

7630 – Autonomous Robotics

Introduction to Mapping

Cédric Pradalier

March 18th, 2013



Objectives

Mapping

- ▶ Occupancy grids
- ▶ Relative mapping
- ▶ Kalman-Filter-based mapping

Later lecture: SLAM

- ▶ SLAM = Simultaneous Localisation and Mapping
- ▶ EKF-SLAM
- ▶ FastSLAM: Particle filter for SLAM
- ▶ MonoSLAM: SLAM with a single camera

Outline

Bayesian Fusion

Mapping

Mapping using Occupancy Grids

Mapping using Feature Maps

Mapping using Kalman Filters

Examples

Bayesian Fusion

Assumptions

- ▶ A variable X is being estimated
- ▶ A set of observations $\{Z_i\}$ correlated with X are being made.

$$P(X Z_1 \dots Z_n) = P(X) \prod_{i=1}^n P(Z_i|X) \quad (1)$$

Bayesian inference:

$$P(X|Z_1 \dots Z_n) \propto P(X) \prod_{i=1}^n P(Z_i|X) \quad (2)$$

Bayesian Fusion: Gaussians

Assumptions

- All distributions are Gaussian: $P(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{N}(\mu_0, \Sigma_0)$,
 $P(Z_i|X) \rightarrow \mathcal{N}(\nu_i, \sigma_i)$.

$$P(X|Z_1 \dots Z_n) \propto P(X) \prod_{i=1}^n P(Z_i|X) \quad (3)$$

- Hence $P(X|Z_1 \dots Z_n)$ is Gaussian $\mathcal{N}(\mu_n, \Sigma_n)$.

Bayesian inference:

$$\log P(X|Z_1 \dots Z_n) = \log P(X) + \sum_{i=1}^n \log P(Z_i|X) + Cst$$

Bayesian Fusion: Gaussians

Assumptions

- $P(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{N}(\mu_0, \Sigma_0)$, $P(Z_i|X) \rightarrow \mathcal{N}(\nu_i = h(x), \sigma_i)$.

Bayesian inference:

$$\begin{aligned} \log P(X|Z_1 \dots Z_n) &= \log P(X) + \sum_{i=1}^n \log P(Z_i|X) + Cst \\ (\mu_n - x)^T \Sigma_n^{-1} (\mu_n - x) &= (\mu_0 - x)^T \Sigma_0^{-1} (\mu_0 - x) \\ &+ \sum_{i=1}^n (\nu_i - h(x))^T \sigma_i^{-1} (\nu_i - h(x)) + Cst \end{aligned}$$

Bayesian Fusion Special Cases

$$h(x) = x \text{ and } n = 1$$

$$\begin{aligned} & (\mu_1 - x)^T \Sigma_1^{-1} (\mu_1 - x) \\ &= (\mu_0 - x)^T \Sigma_0^{-1} (\mu_0 - x) + (\nu_1 - x)^T \sigma_1^{-1} (\nu_1 - x) + Cst \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \mu_1^T \Sigma_1^{-1} \mu_1 + 2\mu_1^T \Sigma_1^{-1} x + x^T \Sigma_1^{-1} x \\ &= \mu_0^T \Sigma_0^{-1} \mu_0 + 2\mu_0^T \Sigma_0^{-1} x + x^T \Sigma_0^{-1} x \\ &+ \nu_1^T \sigma_1^{-1} \nu_1 + 2\nu_1^T \sigma_1^{-1} x + x^T \sigma_1^{-1} x + Cste \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \Sigma_1^{-1} &= \Sigma_0^{-1} + \sigma_1^{-1} \\ \mu_1 &= \Sigma_1 (\Sigma_0^{-1} \mu_0 + \sigma_1^{-1} \nu_1) \end{aligned}$$

Bayesian Fusion Special Cases

$$h(x) = x \text{ and } n = 1$$

$$\begin{aligned}\Sigma_1^{-1} &= \Sigma_0^{-1} + \sigma_1^{-1} \\ \mu_1 &= \Sigma_1 (\Sigma_0^{-1} \mu_0 + \sigma_1^{-1} \nu_1)\end{aligned}$$

Can be rewritten as:

$$\begin{aligned}K &= \Sigma_0 (\Sigma_0 + \sigma_1)^{-1} \\ \Sigma_1 &= (I - K) \Sigma_0 \\ \mu_1 &= \mu_0 + K (\nu_1 - \mu_0)\end{aligned}$$

Bayesian Fusion Special Cases

$$h(x) = Hx \text{ and } n = 1$$

$$\begin{aligned} K &= \Sigma_0 H^T (H \Sigma_0 H^T + \sigma_1)^{-1} \\ \Sigma_1 &= (I - KH) \Sigma_0 \\ \mu_1 &= \mu_0 + K (\nu_1 - H \mu_0) \end{aligned}$$

$$h(x) \text{ non linear and } n = 1$$

$$\begin{aligned} H &= \frac{\partial h}{\partial x} \longrightarrow \text{Jacobian} \\ K &= \Sigma_0 H^T (H \Sigma_0 H^T + \sigma_1)^{-1} \\ \Sigma_1 &= (I - KH) \Sigma_0 \\ \mu_1 &= \mu_0 + K (\nu_1 - H \mu_0) \end{aligned}$$

Bayesian Fusion Special Cases

Maximum A Posteriori (MAP)

- We are just interested in the maximum of $P(X|Z_1 \dots Z_n)$, i.e. μ_n .

$$\begin{aligned}
 \arg \max_X P(X|Z_1 \dots Z_n) &= \arg \min_X \log P(X|Z_1 \dots Z_n) \\
 &= \arg \min_X (\mu_0 - x)^T \Sigma_0^{-1} (\mu_0 - x) \\
 &\quad + \sum_{i=1}^n (\nu_i - h(x))^T \sigma_i^{-1} (\nu_i - h(x))
 \end{aligned}$$

- Weighted least-square minimisation (or regression), non-linear if h is non-linear.

Recursive Bayesian Fusion

Assumptions

- ▶ A variable X is being estimated
- ▶ A set of observations $\{Z_i\}$ correlated with X are being made over time.
- ▶ We denote $Bel_k(X) = P(X|Z_1 \dots Z_k)$.

Bayesian inference:

$$\begin{aligned} Bel_k(X) &= P(X|Z_1 \dots Z_k) \propto P(X) \prod_{i=1}^k P(Z_i|X) \\ &= \left[P(X) \prod_{i=1}^{k-1} P(Z_i|X) \right] P(Z_k|X) \\ &= P(Z_k|X) \cdot Bel_{k-1}(X) \end{aligned}$$

Recursive Bayesian Fusion, with Gaussian Assumption

Assumptions

$$Bel_k(X) = P(Z_k|X) \cdot Bel_{k-1}(X)$$

- ▶ Bel_k is Gaussian $\rightarrow \mathcal{N}(x_k, P_k)$
- ▶ $P(Z_k|X)$ is Gaussian $\rightarrow \mathcal{N}(h(X), R)$

This is Gaussian Bayesian fusion with $n = 1$:

$$\begin{aligned} H &= \frac{\partial h}{\partial x} \rightarrow \text{Jacobian} \\ K &= P_{k-1} H^T (H P_{k-1} H^T + R)^{-1} \\ P_k &= (I - KH) P_{k-1} \\ x_k &= x_{k-1} + K (z_k - H x_{k-1}) \end{aligned}$$

Exercise

Problem

Measure the position of a WiFi router (2D) from range measurement z_i taken from a set of positions p_i . The WiFi range measurements are expected to have a $10m$ precision.

Questions

- ▶ What is the estimated state?
- ▶ Using a Gaussian assumption, what are the update equations?
- ▶ What is the precision?
- ▶ How is the precision of the belief evolving with the number of observations? Can it worsen?

Outline

Bayesian Fusion

Mapping

- Mapping using Occupancy Grids

- Mapping using Feature Maps

- Mapping using Kalman Filters

Examples

Outline

Bayesian Fusion

Mapping

Mapping using Occupancy Grids

Mapping using Feature Maps

Mapping using Kalman Filters

Examples

Mapping using Occupancy Grids

Principle

- ▶ Discrete representation of the map as an array of cell.
- ▶ In each cell, compute the likelihood of occupancy.
- ▶ Requires localisation, but no data association.
- ▶ Well suited for time-of-flight range sensors, as they give information about occupied and free space.

Mapping using Occupancy Grids

Let's work on the following paper:

- ▶ Efficient GPU-based Construction of Occupancy Grids Using several Laser Range-finders M. Yguel, O. Aycard, C. Laugier. Proc. of the IEEE-RSJ Int. Conf. on Intelligent Robots and Systems (2006)

Also...

- ▶ <http://hal.inria.fr/docs/00/18/20/08/PDF/egcog.pdf>
- ▶ And many others...

Occupancy Grids

Questions:

- ▶ What is the update law?
- ▶ What are log quotients and how do they help?
- ▶ Why do we need ray-tracing algorithms?

Outline

Bayesian Fusion

Mapping

Mapping using Occupancy Grids

Mapping using Feature Maps

Mapping using Kalman Filters

Examples

Mapping using Relative Maps

Principle

- ▶ Observe and estimate parameters independent of the robot pose.
- ▶ For ground robots: distances and angles
- ▶ The theory of invariants predict the number and dimensionality of observable given an observation process.
- ▶ Does not require localisation, but helps for data association.

Mapping using Relative Maps

Papers:

- ▶ New approach to map building using relative position estimates. Michael Csorba ; Hugh F. Durrant-Whyte Proc. SPIE 3087, Navigation and Control Technologies for Unmanned Systems II, 115 (June 26, 1997)

Also in the article package:

- ▶ Invariant filtering for simultaneous localization and mapping. Deans, Matthew C. ; Hebert, Martial H. IEEE International Conference on Robotics and Automation, 2000.
- ▶ Simultaneous localization and mapping using the geometric projection filter and correspondence graph matching. C. Pradalier, S. Sekhavat, Advanced Robotics, 17 (7), 675-690, 2003

Relative Maps: Challenges

Questions:

- ▶ Initialization?
- ▶ Building a cartesian representation?

Outline

Bayesian Fusion

Mapping

Mapping using Occupancy Grids

Mapping using Feature Maps

Mapping using Kalman Filters

Examples

Mapping using Kalman Filters

Map representation

- ▶ List of objects described by parameters.
- ▶ Examples: point landmark (x, y) or (x, y, z) , lines, planes, ...

Kalman filtering: hypothesis

- ▶ Known robot/sensor position
- ▶ Gaussian noise
- ▶ No prediction step (or no uncertainty in the prediction step)
- ▶ Only perception step: $P(Z|L X)$

Observation model

Conditional Independence

- Assuming data association:

$$P(Z_1 \dots Z_p | L_1 \dots L_n X) = \prod_{i=1}^p P(Z_i | L_{k(i)} X) \quad (4)$$

- leads to n kalman filter, one for each landmark.

Homework: Step 3

Objectives:

- ▶ Same environment than for the (future) localisation homework.
- ▶ Assuming the robot position is known (TF), build a map of the landmarks.

Challenges:

- ▶ Initialization.
- ▶ Is it a false observation or a new landmark?
- ▶ Has this landmark disappeared?
- ▶ Data association (in general).

Homework: Step 1 & 2

Objectives:

- ▶ Experiment with out-of-the-box mapping solutions
- ▶ Actually running SLAM (but in simulation you can check the difference between Mapping and SLAM).
- ▶ Test on TurtleBots

Challenges:

- ▶ Integration of existing blocks...

Recursive Bayesian Fusion

Assumptions

- ▶ A variable X is being estimated
- ▶ A set of observations $\{Z_i\}$ correlated with X are being made over time.
- ▶ We denote $Bel_k(X) = P(X|Z_1 \dots Z_k)$.

Bayesian inference:

$$\begin{aligned} Bel_k(X) &= P(X|Z_1 \dots Z_k) \propto P(X) \prod_{i=1}^k P(Z_i|X) \\ &= \left[P(X) \prod_{i=1}^{k-1} P(Z_i|X) \right] P(Z_k|X) \\ &= P(Z_k|X) \cdot Bel_{k-1}(X) \end{aligned}$$

Recursive Bayesian Fusion, Gaussian Assumption

Assumptions

$$Bel_k(X) = P(Z_k|X) \cdot Bel_{k-1}(X)$$

- ▶ Bel_k is Gaussian $\rightarrow \mathcal{N}(x_k, P_k)$
- ▶ $P(Z_k|X)$ is Gaussian $\rightarrow \mathcal{N}(h(X), R)$

This is Gaussian Bayesian fusion with $n = 1$:

$$\begin{aligned} H &= \frac{\partial h}{\partial x} \rightarrow \text{Jacobian} \\ K &= P_{k-1} H^T (H P_{k-1} H^T + R)^{-1} \\ P_k &= (I - KH) P_{k-1} \\ x_k &= x_{k-1} + K (z_k - H x_{k-1}) \end{aligned}$$

The update stage of the Kalman filter (more later)

Recursive Bayesian Filter

Assumptions

- ▶ A variable X_k is being estimated at time k
- ▶ A set of observations $\{Z_i\}$ correlated with X are being made over time.
- ▶ A model of changes of X_k is available as $P(X_k|X_{k-1}, U_{k-1})$.
- ▶ We denote $Bel_k(X_k) = P(X_k|Z_1 \dots Z_k, U_1 \dots U_k) = P(X_k|\mathcal{Z}_k, \mathcal{U}_k)$.

Recursive Bayesian Filter

Bayesian inference:

$$\begin{aligned} Bel_k(X_k) &= P(X_k | \mathcal{Z}_k, \mathcal{U}_k) \propto P(Z_k | X_k \mathcal{U}_k, \mathcal{Z}_{k-1}) P(X_k | \mathcal{U}_k, \mathcal{Z}_{k-1}) \\ &= P(Z_k | X_k) P(X_k | \mathcal{U}_k, \mathcal{Z}_{k-1}) \\ &= P(Z_k | X_k) \int_{X_{k-1}} P(X_k | \mathcal{U}_k, \mathcal{Z}_{k-1}, X_{k-1}) P(X_{k-1} | \mathcal{U}_k, \mathcal{Z}_{k-1}) \\ &= P(Z_k | X_k) \int_{X_{k-1}} P(X_k | \mathcal{U}_{k-1}, X_{k-1}) P(X_{k-1} | \mathcal{U}_{k-1}, \mathcal{Z}_{k-1}) \\ &= P(Z_k | X_k) \int_{X_{k-1}} P(X_k | \mathcal{U}_{k-1}, X_{k-1}) Bel_{k-1}(X_{k-1}) \end{aligned}$$

Important: know how to derive that.

Recursive Bayesian Filter: Kalman Filter

Assumptions

$$\begin{aligned} Bel_{k-1}(X_k) &= \int_{X_{k-1}} P(X_k|X_{k-1}) Bel_{k-1}(X_{k-1}) \\ Bel_k(X_k) &= P(Z_k|X) \cdot Bel_{k-1}(X_k) \end{aligned}$$

- ▶ Bel_k is Gaussian $\rightarrow \mathcal{N}(x_k, P_k)$
- ▶ $P(X_k|X_{k-1})$ is Gaussian $\rightarrow \mathcal{N}(f(X), Q)$
- ▶ $P(Z_k|X_k)$ is Gaussian $\rightarrow \mathcal{N}(h(X), R)$

Kalman Filter: Prediction Stage

Assumptions

$$Bel_{k-1}(X_k) = \int_{X_{k-1}} P(X_k|X_{k-1}) Bel_{k-1}(X_{k-1} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}(\bar{x}_k, \bar{P}_k))$$

- $P(X_k|X_{k-1})$ is Gaussian $\rightarrow \mathcal{N}(f(X_{k-1}, U_{k-1}), Q)$

$$A = \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} \rightarrow \text{Jacobian}$$

$$B = \frac{\partial f}{\partial u} \rightarrow \text{Jacobian}$$

$$\bar{x}_k = f(x_{k-1}, u_{k-1})$$

$$\bar{P}_k = AP_{k-1}A^T + (BQ_uB^T) + Q$$

Kalman Filter, Observation stage

Assumptions

$$Bel_k(X_k) = P(Z_k|X_k) \cdot Bel_{k-1}(X_k)$$

- ▶ $Bel_{k-1}(X_k)$ is Gaussian $\rightarrow \mathcal{N}(\bar{x}_k, \bar{P}_k)$
- ▶ $Bel_k(X_k)$ is Gaussian $\rightarrow \mathcal{N}(x_k, P_k)$
- ▶ $P(Z_k|X)$ is Gaussian $\rightarrow \mathcal{N}(h(X), R)$

This is Gaussian Bayesian fusion:

$$\begin{aligned} H &= \frac{\partial h}{\partial x} \rightarrow \text{Jacobian} \\ K &= \bar{P}_k H^T (H \bar{P}_k H^T + R)^{-1} \\ P_k &= (I - KH) \bar{P}_k \\ x_k &= \bar{x}_{k-1} + K (z_k - H \bar{x}_{k-1}) \end{aligned}$$

Outline

Bayesian Fusion

Mapping

Mapping using Occupancy Grids

Mapping using Feature Maps

Mapping using Kalman Filters

Examples

Objectives

Kalman Filter

- ▶ Understand the important matrices
- ▶ Design your own filter
- ▶ Use cases for robotics

Batch Estimation

- ▶ Link with filtering
- ▶ Design your own estimator
- ▶ Use cases

System 1: Argos Float

Description

- ▶ Float buoy
- ▶ GPS measurement every second

Objective

- ▶ Continuous localisation

System 2: GPS Navigation system

Description

- ▶ GPS Navigation system in a **car**
- ▶ GPS measurement every second

Objective

- ▶ Continuous localisation

System 3: Integrated GPS Navigation system

Description

- ▶ GPS Navigation system in a **car**
- ▶ Rear wheel displacement measure (e.g. differential)

$$\begin{bmatrix} \Delta x \\ \Delta y \\ \Delta \theta \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\Delta s_L + \Delta s_R}{2} \\ 0 \\ \frac{\Delta s_R - \Delta s_L}{e} \end{bmatrix} \quad (5)$$

- ▶ GPS measurement every second

Objective

- ▶ Continuous localisation

System 4: Integrated GPS Navigation system

Description

- ▶ GPS Navigation system in a **car**
- ▶ Speed and steering measurement

$$\begin{bmatrix} \dot{x} \\ \dot{y} \\ \dot{\theta} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} v \cos(\theta) \\ v \sin(\theta) \\ \frac{v \tan(\beta)}{L} \end{bmatrix} \quad (6)$$

- ▶ GPS measurement every second

Objective

- ▶ Continuous localisation

System 5: Indoor Navigation system

Description

- ▶ Indoor robot (e.g. Roomba)
- ▶ Differential wheel measurement
- ▶ Known feature observation with orientation, in body frame

$$Z_i = \begin{bmatrix} x_i \\ y_i \\ \theta_i \end{bmatrix} \quad (7)$$

Objective

- ▶ Continuous localisation

System 6: Indoor Navigation system

Description

- ▶ Indoor robot (e.g. Roomba)
- ▶ Differential wheel measurement
- ▶ Known feature observation: position only in body frame

$$Z_i = \begin{bmatrix} x_i \\ y_i \end{bmatrix} \quad (8)$$

Objective

- ▶ Continuous localisation

System 6b: Indoor Navigation system

Description

- ▶ Indoor robot (e.g. Roomba)
- ▶ Differential wheel measurement
- ▶ Known feature observation: range and bearing in body frame

$$Z_i = \begin{bmatrix} \rho_i \\ \beta_i \end{bmatrix} \quad (9)$$

Objective

- ▶ Continuous localisation

System 7: Indoor Navigation system

Description

- ▶ Indoor robot (e.g. Roomba)
- ▶ Differential wheel measurement
- ▶ Known feature observation, bearing only in body frame

$$Z_i = [\beta_i] \quad (10)$$

Objective

- ▶ Continuous localisation

System 8: Indoor Navigation system

Description

- ▶ Indoor robot (e.g. Roomba)
- ▶ Differential wheel measurement
- ▶ Known feature observation, range only in body frame

$$Z_i = [\rho_i] \quad (11)$$

Objective

- ▶ Continuous localisation

System 9: Underwater system

Description

- ▶ Torpedo-shaped robot
- ▶ Lift-drag based motion model
- ▶ Known feature observation, range only (e.g. sonar pinger)

$$Z_i = [\rho_i] \quad (12)$$

Objective

- ▶ Continuous localisation

System 10: Feature mapper

Description

- ▶ Indoor robot
- ▶ Known localisation
- ▶ Observation of n features with known lds.
- ▶ Observation type: range, bearing, position, pose...

Objective

- ▶ Map estimation

System 11: Feature-based SLAM

Description

- ▶ Indoor robot
- ▶ Unknown localisation
- ▶ Observation of k features with known lds.
- ▶ Observation type: range, bearing, position, pose...

Objective

- ▶ Localisation and Map estimation

System 12: Extrinsic calibration

Description

- ▶ Indoor robot with k sensors.
- ▶ Known localisation
- ▶ Joint observation of one feature with k sensors.
- ▶ Observation type: range, bearing, position, pose...

Objective

- ▶ Sensor position with respect to reference frame (e.g. sensor 1)
- ▶ Detection of loose sensors.

System 13: auto-calibration

Description

- ▶ Indoor robot with 1 sensor.
- ▶ Differential motion model integrating wheel diameter

$$\begin{bmatrix} \Delta x \\ \Delta y \\ \Delta \theta \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{r_L \Delta \theta_L + r_R \Delta \theta_R}{2} \\ 0 \\ \frac{r_R \Delta s_R - r_L \Delta s_L}{e} \end{bmatrix} \quad (13)$$

- ▶ Observation type: range, bearing, position, pose... with respect to known map.

Objective

- ▶ Auto-estimate wheel diameter r_L and r_R and inter-wheel spacing e
- ▶ Puncture detection.