

Kernel methods in machine learning

Homework 3

Thibault de SURREL
thibault.de-surrel@ensta-paris.fr

Exercise 1 : B_n -splines

We have $k(x, y) = B_n(x - y)$. In order to show that k is a positive-definite kernel, thanks to Bochner's theorem, it is sufficient to show that $B_n \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$ and that its Fourier transform only has non-negative real values.

- I is clearly in $L^1(\mathbb{R})$, and we know that, for $f, g \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$, we have $f \star g \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$. Therefore, we can show by induction that $B_n = I \star \dots \star I$ is in $L^1(\mathbb{R})$.
- As $B_n = I^{\star n}$, we can easily compute its Fourier transform. In fact, we have that, for $f, g \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$, $\mathcal{F}[f \star g] = \mathcal{F}[f]\mathcal{F}[g]$ where \mathcal{F} is the Fourier transformation. Therefore, we have that, once again by induction,

$$\mathcal{F}[B_n] = \mathcal{F}[I^{\star n}] = (\mathcal{F}[I])^n$$

We need to compute $\mathcal{F}[I]$:

$$\forall \xi \in \mathbb{R}, \mathcal{F}[I](\xi) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} I(x) e^{-i\xi x} dx = \int_{-1}^1 e^{-i\xi x} dx = -\frac{1}{i\xi} [e^{-i\xi x}]_{-1}^1 = -\frac{e^{-i\xi} - e^{i\xi}}{i\xi} = \frac{\sin(\xi)}{\xi}$$

So, we have that

$$\forall \xi \in \mathbb{R}, \mathcal{F}[B_n](\xi) = \left(\frac{\sin(\xi)}{\xi} \right)^n$$

Therefore, $\mathcal{F}[B_n]$ has only non-negative values if, and only if n is even. Otherwise, $\mathcal{F}[B_n](-\frac{\pi}{2}) < 0$.

So, we can conclude that k is a positive-definite kernel if, and only if, n is even.

Moreover, we can describe the corresponding reproducing kernel Hilbert space thanks to a results that we saw in class. The corresponding reproducing kernel Hilbert space \mathcal{H} is

$$\mathcal{H} = \left\{ f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}) \mid \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{|\hat{f}(\omega)|}{B_n(\omega)} d\omega < \infty \right\}$$

endowed with the with the inner product:

$$\langle f, g \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\hat{f}(\omega) \overline{\hat{g}(\omega)}}{B_n(\omega)} d\omega$$

Exercise 2 : Sobolev spaces

1.

In order to show that \mathcal{H} is a RHKS, we need to show several points.

Let us make a first remark : f absolutely continuous implies differentiable almost everywhere and for all $x \in [0, 1]$,

$$f(x) = f(0) + \int_0^x f'(u)du \quad (1)$$

- First, let us start by showing that \mathcal{H} is a Hilbert space for the given bilinear form.

We have that $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathcal{H}}$ is symmetric bilinear form. Moreover, for $f \in \mathcal{H}$, $\langle f, f \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} = \int_0^1 (f'(x))^2 dx \geq 0$. Moreover, thanks to 1 and as $f(0) = 0$ (because $f \in \mathcal{H}$), we have

$$|f(x)| = \left| \int_0^x f'(u)du \right| \leq \sqrt{x} \left(\int_0^1 (f'(u))^2 du \right)^{1/2} = \sqrt{x} \langle f, f \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} \quad (2)$$

We used Cauchy-Schwarz's inequality in $L^2([0, 1])$ between f' and $\mathbb{1}_{[0, x]}$. So $\langle f, f \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} = 0$ implies $f = 0$. So $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathcal{H}}$ is a inner product and \mathcal{H} is a pre-Hilbert space.

Let us show that \mathcal{H} is complete. Let $(f_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a Cauchy sequence in \mathcal{H} . Then $(f'_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a Cauchy sequence in the Hilbert space $L^2([0, 1])$, so $(f'_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges in $L^2([0, 1])$ to a function $g \in L^2([0, 1])$. Moreover, thanks to 2 applied to the f_n , we have that, for all $x \in [0, 1]$, $(f_n(x))_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a Cauchy sequence in \mathbb{R} , which is complete. So, for all $x \in [0, 1]$, $(f_n(x))_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges in \mathbb{R} to a real number $f(x)$. Moreover,

$$f(x) = \lim_n f_n(x) = \lim_n \int_0^x f'_n(u)du = \int_0^x g(u)du.$$

So f is absolutely continuous and $f' = g$ almost everywhere, so in particular, $f' \in L^2([0, 1])$. Finally, $f(0) = \lim_n f_n(0) = 0$. So we have $f \in \mathcal{H}$ and

$$\|f_n - f\|_{\mathcal{H}} = \|f'_n - g\|_{L^2([0, 1])} = 0.$$

This shows that \mathcal{H} is a Hilbert space.

- Let us now show that the associated reproducing kernel is

$$\forall (x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2, K(x, y) = \min(x, y)$$

First, let us show that, for $x \in \mathbb{R}$, $K_x \in \mathcal{H}$. First, we have that $K_x(0) = 0$. We can express in more details K_x :

$$\forall y \in [0, 1], K_x(y) = \begin{cases} y & \text{if } y \leq x \\ x & \text{if } y > x \end{cases}$$

So, K_x is differentiable except at x and has a square integrable derivative. Therefore, $K_x \in \mathcal{H}$.

We now need to show the reproducing property : let $f \in \mathcal{H}$ and $x \in [0, 1]$, we have

$$\langle K_x, f \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} = \int_0^1 f'(u)K'_x(u)du = \int_0^x f'(u)du = f(x).$$

So, we have that \mathcal{H} is a RHKS and the reproducing kernel is

$$\forall (x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2, K(x, y) = \min(x, y)$$

2.

Showing that \mathcal{H} is a Hilbert space is the same as in question 1. In fact, the added condition on $f(1)$ does not impact the previous proof, we just need to add, when we show that \mathcal{H} is complete that

$$f(1) = \lim_n f_n(1) = 0.$$

The associated reproducing kernel is

$$\forall (x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2, K(x, y) = \min(x, y) - xy$$

Let us prove this statement :

- First, let $x \in [0, 1]$, et let us show that $K_x \in \mathcal{H}$. First of all, we have that $K_x(0) = 0 - 0 = 0$ and $K_x(1) = x - x = 0$. We also have that

$$\forall y \in [0, 1], K_x(y) = \begin{cases} y(1-x) & \text{if } y \leq x \\ x(1-y) & \text{if } y > x \end{cases}$$

So, K_x is differentiable except at x and has a square integrable derivative. Therefore, $K_x \in \mathcal{H}$.

- Let us now show the reproducing property : let $f \in \mathcal{H}$ and $x \in [0, 1]$, we have

$$\langle K_x, f \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} = \int_0^1 f'(u) K'_x(u) du = \int_0^x (1-x) f'(u) du - \int_x^1 x f'(u) du = \int_0^x f'(u) du - x \underbrace{\int_0^1 f'(u) du}_{[f(u)]_0^1 = 0} = f(x).$$

So, we have that \mathcal{H} is a RHKS and the reproducing kernel is

$$\forall (x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2, K(x, y) = \min(x, y) - xy$$

3.

- Let us start by showing that \mathcal{H} is a Hilbert space when endowed with the bilinear form :

$$\forall f, g \in \mathcal{H}, \langle f, g \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} = \int_0^1 f(u)g(u) + f'(u)g'(u) du = \langle f, g \rangle_{L^2([0,1])} + \langle f', g' \rangle_{L^2([0,1])}$$

$\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathcal{H}}$ is clearly a symmetric bilinear form and we have

$$\forall f \in \mathcal{H}, \langle f, f \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} = \|f\|_{L^2([0,1])}^2 + \|f'\|_{L^2([0,1])}^2 \geq 0$$

Moreover, if $\langle f, f \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} = 0$, then $\|f\|_{L^2([0,1])}^2 = \|f'\|_{L^2([0,1])}^2 = 0$, so $f = 0$ in $L^2([0,1])$. Therefore, $f(x) = 0$ for almost all $x \in [0,1]$. As f is continuous, we have $f = 0$.

So, \mathcal{H} is a pre-Hilbert space.

Let us now show that \mathcal{H} is complete. Let $(f_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a Cauchy sequence in \mathcal{H} . As $\|f\|_{L^2([0,1])} \leq \|f\|_{\mathcal{H}}$ and $\|f'\|_{L^2([0,1])} \leq \|f\|_{\mathcal{H}}$, $(f_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a Cauchy sequence in $L^2([0,1])$ and $(f'_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is also a Cauchy sequence in $L^2([0,1])$. So $(f_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges to $f \in L^2([0,1])$ and $(f'_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges to $g \in L^2([0,1])$. As the convergence in L^2 implies the convergence point wise almost everywhere, like in the first question, we can show that f is absolutely continuous and $f' = g$ almost everywhere, so in particular, $f' \in L^2([0,1])$. Finally, we have that $f(0) = \lim_n f_n(0) = 0$, so $f \in \mathcal{H}$.

Therefore, \mathcal{H} is a Hilbert space.

4.

Let us start by showing the following lemma :

Lemma 1. *Let $f \in \mathcal{H}$. Then, for all $x \in [0,1]$,*

$$f(x) = \int_0^1 (x-u)_+ f''(u) du$$

In fact, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^1 (x-u)_+ f''(u) du &= \int_0^x (x-u) f''(u) du \\ &= [(x-u) f'(u)]_0^x + \int_0^x f'(u) du \quad \text{by intergration by part} \\ &= \int_0^x f'(u) du \quad \text{as } f'(0) = 0 \\ &= f(x) \end{aligned}$$

- To show that \mathcal{H} is a Hilbert space, we can do like in the first question. We just need to use a slightly different version of 2 : for all $x \in [0,1]$, using lemma 1 and Cauchy-Schwarz's inequality =

$$|f(x)| = \left| \int_0^1 (x-u)_+ f''(u) du \right| \leq \left(\int_0^1 (x-u)_+ du \right)^{1/2} \langle f, f \rangle_{\mathcal{H}}^{1/2} \quad (3)$$

Therefore, we can easily show that \mathcal{H} is a pre-Hilbert space.

To show that \mathcal{H} is complete, let $(f_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a Cauchy sequence of \mathcal{H} . Then, $(f''_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a Cauchy sequence in $L^2([0,1])$ so converges to $g \in L^2([0,1])$, and, using 3, we see that for all $x \in [0,1]$, $(f_n(x))_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a Cauchy sequence in \mathbb{R} so converges to $f(x) \in \mathbb{R}$. Therefore, as we did in the first question and using lemma 1, we have

$$f(x) = \lim_n f_n(x) = \lim_n \int_0^1 (x-u)_+ f''_n(u) du = \int_0^1 (x-u)_+ g(u) du$$

So $f'' = g \in L^2([0, 1])$ almost everywhere, therefore f' exists and is absolutely continuous, and we easily see that $f(0) = f'(0) = 0$. So $f \in \mathcal{H}$ and therefore, \mathcal{H} is complete

Thus, \mathcal{H} is a Hilbert space.

- Let us now show that the associated kernel is

$$\forall (x, y) \in [0, 1], \quad K(x, y) = \int_0^1 (x - u)_+(y - u)_+ du$$

- K is clearly a positive definite kernel.
- Let us show that, for all $x \in [0, 1]$, $K_x \in \mathcal{H}$: to do this, we will start by computing the derivatives of K_x . As, for all $u \in [0, 1]$, $y \mapsto (x - u)_+(y - u)_+$ is a C^2 function on the compact space $[0, 1]$, we can derive under the integral :

$$\forall y \in [0, 1], \quad K'_x(y) = \int_0^y (x - u)_+ du = \int_0^{\min(x, y)} x - u du = \left[xu - \frac{1}{2}u^2 \right]_0^{\min(x, y)} = x \min(x, y) - \frac{1}{2} \min(x, y)^2$$

Moreover, we also have

$$\forall y \in [0, 1], \quad K''_x(y) = \begin{cases} x - y & \text{if } y \leq x \\ 0 & \text{if } x \leq y \end{cases}$$

So, K'_x exists and is absolutely continuous, $K_x(0) = 0$, $K'_x(0) = 0$ and $K''_x \in L^2([0, 1])$. So $K_x \in \mathcal{H}$.

- Finally, we need to show the reproducing property : let $f \in \mathcal{H}$ and $x \in [0, 1]$:

$$\langle K_x, f \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} = \int_0^1 K''_x(u) f''(u) du = \int_0^x (x - u) f''(u) du = \int_0^1 (x - u)_+ f''(u) du = f(x)$$

thanks to lemma 1.

Therefore, we have that \mathcal{H} is a RHKS and the reproducing kernel is

$$\forall (x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2, \quad K(x, y) = \int_0^1 (x - u)_+(y - u)_+ du$$