Written Exercise 1

due Friday, February 5, 2016 in class

Problem 1: Consider the following ambiguous BNF grammar:

Rewrite the grammar so that it is no longer ambiguous and has the following properties: The operators have the following precedence, from highest to lowest: (), !, &&, ||, ?:, =. The !, ?:, and = operators are right associative, and the && and || operators are left associative.

Problem 2: Consider the following grammar (yes, it is ambiguous but that is unimportant). The subscripts are used to distinguish otherwise identical non-terminals for the purpose of the questions below.

```
\langle \text{start1} \rangle \rightarrow \langle \text{start3} \rangle; \langle \text{start3} \rangle
           \langle \text{start2} \rangle \rightarrow \langle \text{stmt4} \rangle
           \langle \text{stmt1} \rangle \rightarrow \langle \text{declare2} \rangle
           \langle \text{stmt2} \rangle \rightarrow \langle \text{assign2} \rangle
      <declare1> \rightarrow <type3> <var>
           \langle \text{type1} \rangle \rightarrow \text{int}
           \langle \text{type2} \rangle \rightarrow \text{double}
        \langle assign1 \rangle \rightarrow \langle var \rangle = \langle expression3 \rangle
\langle expression1 \rangle \rightarrow \langle expression4 \rangle \langle op \rangle \langle expression5 \rangle
\langle expression2 \rangle \rightarrow \langle value4 \rangle
                 \langle op \rangle \rightarrow + |-|*|
          <value1> \rightarrow <var>
          \langle value2 \rangle \rightarrow \langle integer \rangle
          \langle value3 \rangle \rightarrow \langle float \rangle
                \langle var \rangle \rightarrow a legal name in the language
         \langle \text{integer} \rangle \rightarrow \text{ a base 10 representation of an integer}
              \langle \text{float} \rangle \rightarrow \text{ a base 10 representation of a floating point number}
```

Suppose our static semantic description has five attributes:

```
type = { integer, double }
typetable(<var>) = { integer, double, error }
inittable(<var>) = { true, false, error }
typebinding = (<var>, { integer, double })
initialized = (<var>, { true, false })
```

typetable maps each possible variable name to its declared type, and inittable maps each possible variable name to a boolean indicating whether the variable has been assigned a value. Initially, both typetable and inittable will map all possible variable names to error to indicate that the variables have not been declared in the program. typebinding maps a single variable name to its declared type, and initialized maps a single variable name to whether it has been assigned a value. For each subscripted non-terminal, provide a rule to calculate its type, table, inittable, typebindig, and initialized attributes,

if that non-terminal requires that attribute. Each attribute should either be inherited or synthesized, but not both. For example, here are two such rules:

```
<value2>.type := integer
<declare1>.initialized := (<var>, false)
```

(Here I am using := to create a mapping so you can use = to mean only mathematical equality.)

Problem 3: Suppose we want to enforce the following rules in the grammar from Problem 2:

- (a) The type of the expression must match the type of the variable in all assignment statements.
- (b) A variable must be declared before it can be used.
- (c) A variable must be assigned a value as its first use in the program.

Where in the parse tree should these rules be checked (i.e. at which non-terminals), and write the precise tests that should be done at those non-terminals using the attibutes available.

Problem 4: Use axiomatic semantics to prove that the postcondition is true following the execution of the program assuming the precondition is true:

Problem 5: Let Mstate be a denotational semantic mapping that takes a syntax rule and a state and produces a state. Define the Mstate mapping for the following three syntax rules assuming we allow side effects, that is, assuming expressions and conditions can change the values of variables.

```
<assign>\rightarrow <var> = <expression><if>\rightarrow if <condition> then <statement1> else <statement2><while>\rightarrow while <condition><loop body>
```

Assume we have the following mappings defined:

 M_{value} takes a syntax rule and a state and produces a numeric value (or an error condition).

 $M_{\rm boolean}$ takes a syntax rule and a state and produces a true / false value (or an error condition).

 M_{name} takes a syntax rule and produces a name (or an error condition).

Add takes a name, a value, and a state and produces a state that adds the pair (name, value) to the state.

Remove takes a name and a state and produces a state that removes any pair that contains the name as the first element.

You may assume the Add and Remove mappings do not produce errors.