

# CS152: Introductory Digital Design Laboratory

TA Xu

Thilan Tran

Spring 2021

## Contents

CS152A: Introductory Digital Design Laboratory	2
FPGA	2
Verilog	3
CS152B: Digital Design Project Laboratory	7
FPGA Implementation	7
FPGA Performance	9
Performance Enhancing Techniques . . . . .	9
Non-FPGA Specific . . . . .	10
FPGA Specific . . . . .	11

# CS152A: Introductory Digital Design Laboratory

---

## FPGA

---

- a **field-programmable gate array (FPGA)** is an integrated circuit:
  - designed to be configured after manufacturing
  - AKA programmable circuit
  - components include:
    - \* logic blocks for implementing combinational and sequential logic
    - \* interconnects ie. wires for connecting input blocks to logic blocks
    - \* I/O blocks for external connections
  - many applications, useful for prototyping
- FPGA design fundamentals:
  1. design:
    - create modules and define interactions between modules
    - control logic and state machine drawings
    - define external I/O
  2. implementation:
    - express each module in HDL source code
    - connect modules in a hierarchical manner
  3. simulation:
    - important debugging tool for FPGA
    - fast to quickly simulate
  4. logic synthesis:
    - a logic synthesis tool analyzes the design and generates a netlist with common cells available to FPGA target
    - converting software to hardware
      - \* netlist should be functionally equivalent to the original source code
    - done by XST from ISE
  5. technology mapping:
    - synthesized netlist is mapped to device-specific libraries
    - creates another netlist closer to the final target device
    - done by NGDBUILD from ISE
  6. cell placement:
    - the cells instantiated by the final netlist are placed in the FPGA layout
    - can be time-consuming
    - done by MAP from ISE

7. route:
  - AKA place-and-route in combination with cell placement
  - connecting the cells in the device to match the netlist
  - done by PAR from ISE
8. bitstream generation:
  - produces a programming file AKA a **bitstream** to program the FPGA
  - ie. compiling a FPGA design
  - done by BITGEN from ISE

## Verilog

---

- **Verilog overview:**
  - similar to C eg. case sensitive, same comment style and operators
  - two standards Verilog-1995 and Verilog-2001
  - VHDL is another widely used hardware definition language (HDL)
- Verilog has historically served two functions:
  1. create **synthesizable code** ie. describe your digital logic design in a high-level way instead of using schematics
  2. create **behavioral code** to model elements that interact with your design
    - ie. testbenches and models
- data types:
  - main data types are `wire` and `reg`
  - must be declared before used
  - the `wire` type models a basic wire that holds transient values:
    - \* changing the value on the RHS changes the LHS
    - \* only wires can be used on the LHS of continuous assign statement
    - \* default is wire type
  - the `reg` type is anything that stores a value
    - \* only regs can be used on the LHS of non-continuous assign statements
- data values:
  - values include `1`, `0`, `x/X`, `z/Z`
    - \* `x` is an unknown value, `z` represents a high impedance value
  - radices include `d`, `h`, `o`, `b`
  - format is `<size>'<radix><value>` :
    - \* eg. `8'h7B`, `'b111_1011`, `'d123`
    - \* underscores have no value, purely for readability
- operators:
  - bitwise operators eg. `~`, `&`, `|`, `^`, `~^`
  - reduction operators are bitwise operations on a *single* operand and pro-

- duce one bit result
- logical operators eg. `!, &&, ||, =, ≠, ==, ≠=`
  - \* triple equals also compare `x, z`
- arithmetic operators eg. `+, -, *, /, %`
- shift operators `<<, >>, <<<, >>>`
  - \* `<<` logical, `<<<` arithmetic
- conditional operator `sel ? a : b`
- concatenation operator `{a, b}`
- replication operator `{n{m}}`
- assignment operator `= ≤`
  - \* in a block assignment `=`, assignment is immediate
  - \* in a non-blocking assignment `≤`, assignment are deferred until all right hand sides has been evaluated, closer to actual hardware behavior
- assignment operator guidelines:
  - for pure sequential logic, use non-blocking
  - for pure combination logic, use blocking
  - do not mix block and non-blocking in the same always block
  - both sequential and combination logic in the same always block, use non-blocking
- a **module** is the basic building block:
  1. define input, output, inout ports
  2. signal declarations eg. `wire [3:0] a;`
  3. concurrent logic blocks:
    - continuous assignments
      - \* can leave off `assign` keyword for shorthand
    - always block, either sequential or combinatorial
    - initial blocks, used in behavioral modeling
    - forever blocks, used in behavioral modeling
    - continuous assignments are used for assigning to wires
      - \* all other blocks are used for assigning to registers
  4. instantiations of sub-modules

Example module:

```

module top(a, b, ci, s, co);
  input a, b, ci;
  output s, co;

  wire s;
  reg g, p, co;

  assign s = a ^ b ^ ci;

```

```
// combinatorial always block using begin/end
always @* begin // @* is the sensitivity list
    g = a & b;
    p = a | b;
    co = g | (p & ci);
end
endmodule
```

- the sensitivity list defines when to enter the function in the `begin/end` block
  - when level sensitive, changes to any signals in the list will invoke the always block, used in combinational circuits
    - eg. `always @ (a or b)`, `always @*`
  - when edge sensitive, invoke always block on specified signal edges, used in sequential circuits
    - eg. `always @ (posedge clk or posedge reset)`
- always blocks:
  - an `if/else` or case statement may fail to cover all cases
    - \* add a `default` statement or put statement before case
  - can loop with `while`, `for`, `repeat`

Example modulo 64 counter:

```
module counter(clk, rst, out);
    input clk, rst;
    output [5:0] out;

    reg [5:0] out;
    always @(posedge clk or posedge rst)
    begin
        if (rst)
            out ≤ 6'b000000;
        else
            out ≤ out + 1;
    end
endmodule
```

- module instantiation:
  - using other modules within one module
  - restrictions:
    - \* port order doesn't matter
    - \* unused output port allowed
    - \* name must be unique
  - eg. `counter counter1(.clk(test_clk), .rst(test_rst), .out(out));`

Full-adder example using module instantiation:

```

module half_adder(a, b, x, y);
    input a, b;
    output x, y;
    assign x = a & b;
    assign y = a ^ b;
endmodule

module full_adder(a, b, ci, s, co);
    input a, b, ci;
    output s, co;
    wire g, p, pc;
    half_adder(h1(.a(a), .b(b), .x(g), .y(p)));
    half_adder(h2(.a(p), .b(ci), .x(pc), .y(s)));
    assign co = pc | g;
endmodule

```

- testbench:
  1. instantiate unit under test AKA uut
  2. provide inputs
  3. simulate and verify behavior

Testbench example:

```

reg clk, rst;
wire out;
counter uut(.clk(clk), .rst(rst), .out(out));

initial begin
    // assign inputs
end
always begin
    // alternatively, initial with forever block
    #5 clk = ~clk; // every 5 ms, update clk
end

```

# CS152B: Digital Design Project Laboratory

---

## FPGA Implementation

---

- **programmable logic devices (PLDs)** are the larger family of devices that contain FPGAs:
  - alternative to custom **application specific integrated circuits (ASICs)**
  - *pros*:
    - \* designs can be rapidly loaded onto PLDs, unlike slow and expensive ASIC development process
  - *cons*:
    - \* the hidden programmable logic for connecting logic blocks are slower, more expensive, and consume more power
    - \* when high clock frequencies and very dense logic gates are required, only ASICs can be used
      - on the other hand, when logic is not very dense, the chip may have a minimum area determined by the number of I/O pads ie. pad limited, so PLD may still even be cheaper
- **generic array logic (GAL)** devices:
  - any logical expression can be represented as a sum of products
  - GALs provide a programmable array of AND and OR gates
    - \* every input and inverted input is pulled into AND gates, and groups of these products are fed into separate OR gates
  - modern GALs use EEPROM technology and CMOS switches to allow for reprogrammability
    - \* past technology used fuses that could only be “blown” ie. programmed once
  - in the AND array, connections can be programmed so that the input term is disconnected from the AND input
    - \* then, the OR connections can be hardwired since the AND array is fully programmable
  - a structure called a **macrocell** performs other configurations such as OR / NOR polarities and selecting flip-flops
    - \* ie. determines how the boolean expression is handled and how the associated pins operate
  - to implement a GAL, HDL is converted into a netlist that is then fitted to a target device to create a **fuse map**
  - *pros*:
    - \* simplicity

- *cons*:
  - \* rigidity, with respect to timing or design size
  - \* very high scaling in cost vs. logic density
    - AND matrix increases in square function of I/O terms
- **complex PLDs (CPLDs)** are the more common macrocell-based PLDs in the industry today:
  - CPLDs have a linear scaling of connectivity to logic density by using a segmented architecture with multiplied fixed-size GAL-style logic blocks
  - more scalable because the logic blocks are fixed in size and small enough:
    - \* sometimes just 5 product terms per macrocell
      - product term sharing allows a macrocell to borrow terms from neighboring cells
    - \* switch matrix does become more complicated with more pins
  - best for control paths that only require small number of flip-flops
    - \* eg. small state machines, small registers, control logic
  - *pros*:
    - \* simplicity
    - \* better scaling than GALs
  - *cons*:
    - \* more complex fitting process than GALs due to hierarchical structure and macrocell sharing
    - \* still limited to simpler control path applications
- **field programmable gate arrays (FPGAs)** are devices that address data path applications:
  - consists of an array of small logic cells, each with a flip-flop, lookup table (LUT), and supporting logic for multiplexing and arithmetic carries
    - \* boolean expressions can be evaluated through the LUTs, which are implemented as small SRAM arrays
  - cells are arranged on a grid of routing resources that can make connections between arbitrary cells to build logic paths
    - \* I/O cells are located around the edges of the chip
  - very high logic densities are achieved by scaling the cell array
  - routing now becomes the limiting factor due to the grid interconnect system:
    - \* routing can an especially long amount of time
    - \* large, fast designs require iterative routing and placement algorithms
  - a new issue is with skew across the large system:
    - \* most FPGAs support several global clocks
    - \* phase or delay locked loops can be used to intentionally de-skew clock signals
  - other FPGA considerations:



- \* RAM blocks that can be used in arbitrary width and depth configurations for different purposes
  - also, using logic cells as RAM
- \* third-party logic cores
- \* I/O cell architecture

## FPGA Performance

---

- the FPGA is a general-purpose device with digital logic building blocks:
  - basic building block is a **logic cell or element (LC/LE)** which contains a look-up table and flip-flop
  - dedicated logic blocks, internal memory, buses, peripherals, controllers, and even processors can be constructed from this general-purpose FPGA logic
    - \* a processor built with LCs is called a **soft processor**, and must be synthesized and placed
  - *pros*:
    - \* high level of customization
      - any custom combination of peripherals and controllers
    - \* soft processors allow for obsolescence mitigation since the processor HDL code is permanent
    - \* FPGA component versatility allows larger systems to be reduced into a single FPGA
      - reduced components and cost
    - \* option for hardware acceleration for any software bottlenecks
      - FPGA design tools allow C code to be easily adapted into hardware
  - *cons*:
    - \* requires additional design by the embedded programmer compared to a traditional off-the-shelf processor
    - \* design tools are more complex
    - \* FPGA is more expensive than equivalent processor
      - but can take advantage of FPGA already in the system at no additional cost

## Performance Enhancing Techniques

---

## Non-FPGA Specific

- the first set of techniques are code manipulation strategies:
  1. compiler optimization levels:
    - optimizations include jump and pop, loop unrolling, function inlining, etc.
    - level 2 is standard, performs all optimizations that do not increase code size
    - level 3 is the highest level that includes all optimizations that can increase code size
  2. manufacturers provide some optimized instructions for FPGAs, eg. `xil_printf` which is 5% the size of the standard `printf`, with some limitations
  3. assembly and in-line assembly is supported
  4. other optimizations such as locality of reference, small data sections, loop length, minimal recursion
- the remaining techniques deal with different memory usages:
  - the fastest option is to put everything in local memory called **BlockRAM (BRAM)**:
    - \* essentially L1 or L2 cache of the system
    - \* usually between 32-64 KB
  - the slowest option is to put everything in external memory only:
    - \* eg. SRAM, SDRAM, or DDR SDRAM
    - \* incurs memory access time as well as peripheral bus latency
  - if the entire program cannot fit in local memory, we can consider caching external memory:
    - \* for some systems, such as MicroBlaze architectures, the cache memory is not dedicated silicon but instead constructed from BRAM and LCs
      - this reduces the system frequency since the cache controller adds additional logic and complexity
    - \* thus, enabling the MicroBlaze cache may improve performance, even with a slower system clock, but can also detract from performance
      - multiple cache misses make it so cached external memory performances even worse than external memory without cache
  - thus, the best performance solution in terms of memory for MicroBlaze systems usually involves partitioning code:
    - \* critical data, instructions, and stack are placed in local memory, with everything else in external memory
    - \* data cache is not used, allowing for a larger local memory
    - \* instruction cache can be used if instructions cannot fit in local memory

## FPGA Specific

- one class of techniques deal with increasing the FPGA operating frequency:
  1. only connecting utilized peripherals and buses
    - eg. disabling debug logic modules, using trimmed address buses, simpler GPIO pin version, etc.
  2. specifying area and timing constraints so that the FPGA place and route tools perform better
- the remaining techniques deal with hardware acceleration:
  - hardware acceleration consumes additional FPGA resources, but allows some software limitations to be overcome
  - 1. modules such as dividers and barrel-shifters can be customized to be done in hardware instead of software
    - this consumes more logic but improves performance
  - 2. arbitrary software bottlenecks can be converted to hardware:
    - custom hardware logic can be designed to offload an FPGA embedded processor
    - usually facilitated by low-latency access points onto the processor
    - tools exist that generate FPGA hardware directly from C code
    - eg. FFT, DES and AES encryption, matrix manipulation, etc.