JavaScript

Thilan Tran

Summer 2020

Contents

JavaScript	2
Language Overview	2
Comparisons	4
Scope and Closures	5
this Identifier and Prototypes	7
Backwards Compatibility	8
Scope	9
Lexical and Dynamic Scope	10
·	12
-	13
	14
•	15
Closures	18
Modules	19
this and Binding Rules	21
Binding Rules	22
	25
Object Prototypes	26
Duplicating Objects	27
	27
Classes	31
Mixins	31
Asynchronous JavaScript	34

JavaScript

- **JavaScript (JS)** is a programming language that is one of the *core technologies* on the Internet (alongside HTML and CSS):
 - JS is used for handling client-side behavior of web applications, and allows for *interactive* web pages
 - as a programming language:
 - * JS is a multi-paradigm language that is imperative, functional, and event-driven:
 - * JS features a C-style syntax, dynamic typing, first-class functions, and prototype-based object-orientation (rather than class-based)
 - * JS uses just-in-time compilation (like Java)
 - JavaScript and Java are distinct, similar only in name and syntax
 - JS is specified by the ECMAScript (ES) specification
- note that there are key JavaScript features that are not JavaScript language features:
 - eg. JavaScript is written to run and interact with browsers, using primarly the DOM API:
 - * eg. var el = document.getElementById("foo")
 - * DOM API is not controlled by the JS specification or provided by the JS engine
 - * getElementById is a built-in method provided by the DOM from the *browser*, which may be implemented in JS or traditionally C/C++
 - eg. input and output:
 - * eg. alert and console.log are again provided by the browser, not the JS engine itself

Language Overview

• primitive builtin types:

- string eg. "hello world"

* single vs. double-quotes are a purely stylistic distinction

- number eg. 42

- boolean eg. true and false

- null and undefined

* the undefined value is behaviorally no different from an uninitialized variable

- object eg. in literal form var obj = { foo: "bar" } , or in constructed
form var obj = new Object() and obj.foo = "bar"

- * literal and constructed form result in exactly the same sort of object
- * an object is a compound value with **properties** ie. named locations
- * properties accessed through dot-notation obj.foo or bracket-notation obj["foo"]
- conversion between types is done through explicit and implicit coercion:
 - with explicit coercion, the type cast is explicitly specified in the code eg.
 var a = Number("42")
 - with *implicit* coercion, the type cast occurs as a non-obvious side effect of some other operation eg.
 var a = "42" * 1 coerces a string to a number implicitly
 - * note that arrays are default coerced to strings by joining the values with , in between
 - \cdot eg. [1,2,3] = "1,2,3" is true
 - * while objects are default coerced to the string "[object Object]"
- wrapper objects:
 - wrapper objects ie. "natives" pair with their corresponding primitive type to define useful builtin functions
 - eg. the string in "hello".toUpperCase() is automatically wrapped or "boxed" into the String object that supports various useful string operations
 - other wrapper objects include Number and Boolean
- arrays and functions are *specialized* object subtypes:
 - arrays are objects that hold values of any type in numerically indexed positions:
 - * eg. var arr = ["hello world", 42, true]
 - * arr[0] gives "hello world" , arr.length gives 3
 - functions are also an object subtype:
 - $_{\ast}$ note however that typeof func gives "function" not "object"
 - $\ast\,$ as objects, functions can also have properties
 - * as first-class values, functions are *values* that can be assigned to variables:
 - $\cdot\,$ JS has anonymous function expressions and named function expressions
 - \cdot eg. var foo = function() {} vs. var foo = function bar() {}
 - * an **immediately invoked function expression (IIFE)** is another way to execute a function expression immediately:
 - eg. var x = (function foo() { console.log(42); return 1; })()
 immediately prints 42 and assigns 1 to x
 - the first outer () prevents the expression from being treated as a normal function declaration
 - the next () immeidately executes the function
 - · often used to declare variables that do not affect the surround-

ing code

- \cdot the declared function is not accessible outside of the IIFE
- identifiers in JS are [a-zA-Z\$_][a-zA-Z\$_0-9]*
 - nontraditional character sets such as Unicode are also supported
 - execpting reserved words such as for, in, if, null, true, false

Comparisons

- "truthy" and "falsy" values are automatically coerced to their corresponding boolean values by JS:
 - the complete list of JS falsy values are:
 - * "", 0, -0, NaN, null, undefined, and false
 - anything that is not falsy is truthy:
 - * note that *empty* arrays and objects coerce to true, as well as functions
- there are four equality operators in JS:
 - =, ==, ≠, ≠
 - double equals checks for value equality with coercion allowed
 - * eg. "42" == 42 is true
 - * note that null is a special case that is equal to null or undefined only
 - \cdot eg. null = "" is false
 - while triple equals or "strict equality" checks for value equality without allowing coercion
 - $\ast\;$ ie. checking both value and type equality
 - * eg. "42" === 42 is false
 - for non-primitive values like *objects*:
 - * = and == check if the *references* match, rather than the underlying values
 - * eg. [1,2,3] = [1,2,3] is false
- there are four *relational* comparison operators in JS:
 - <, >, ≤, ≥
 - usually used with numbers, as well as strings
 - there are no *strict* comparison operators
 - like equality, coercion rules apply:
 - * eg. 41 < "42" is true
 - * eg. "42" < "43" is true lexicographically
 - \ast eg. 42 < "foo" and 42 > "foo" are both false since "foo" is coerced to NaN , which is neither greater nor less than any other value
 - · note that NaN does not equal anything, even itself

Example comparison coercions:

```
true + false // 1 + 0 \rightarrow 1
[1] > null // "1" > 0 \rightarrow 1 > 0 \rightarrow true

"foo" + + "bar" // "foo" + (+"bar") \rightarrow "foo" + NaN \rightarrow "fooNaN"
[] + null + 1 // "" + null + 1 \rightarrow "null" + 1 \rightarrow "null"

{} + [] + {} + [1] // +[] + {} + [1] \rightarrow 0 + "[object Object]" + [1] \rightarrow // "0[object Object]1"
! + [] + [] + ![] // (!+[]) + [] + (![]) \rightarrow !0 + [] + false \rightarrow // true + "" + false \rightarrow "truefalse"
```

Scope and Closures

- the var keyword declares a variable belonging to the current function scope, or the global scope if at the top level
 - JS also uses **nested scoping**, where when a variable is declared, it is also available in any lower ie. inner scopes
 - * inner scopes ie. nested functions
 - without var, the variable is *implicitly* auto-global declared
 - * can use **strict mode** with the "use strict"; declaration, which throws errors such as disallowing auto-global variables
 - in ES6, block scoping can be achieved instead of function scoping using the let declaration keyword
 - * allows for a finer granularity of variable scoping
- in JavaScript, whenever var appears *inside* a scope, that declaration is *automatically* taken to belong to the *entire scope*
 - this behavior is called **hoisting** ie. a variable declaration is conceptually moved to the top of its enclosing scope
 - variable hoisting is usually avoided, but function hoisting is a more commonly used practice

Illustrating hoisting:

```
var a = 2;
foo(); // foo declaration is *hoisted*

function foo() {
  a = 3; // a declaration is *hoisted*
  console.log(a); // 3
```

```
var a;
}
console.log(a); // 2
```

- **closures** are a way to *remember* and continue accessing the variables in a function's scope even once the function has finished running:
 - an essential part of **currying** in functional programming languages
 - closuers are also commonly used in the **module** pattern:
 - * allows for defining private implementation details, with a public API

Closure example:

```
function makeAdder(x) {
  function add(y) {
    return y + x;
  }
  return add;
}

var plusOne = makeAdder(1); // returns ref to inner add that has bound x to 1
var plusTen = makeAdder(10); // returns ref to inner add that has bound x to 10

plusOne(41); // gives 42
plusTen(41); // gives 51
```

Module example:

this Identifier and Prototypes

- the this keyword in a function points to an object:
 - which object it points to depends on *how* the function was called
 - * dynamically bound
 - this *does not* refer to the function itself
 - not exactly an object-oriented mechanism

this example:

```
function foo() {
  console.log(this.bar);
var bar = "global";
var obj1 = {
 bar: "obj1",
 foo: foo
};
var obj2 = {
 bar: "obj2"
};
         // "global", this set to global object in non-strict mode
foo();
obj1.foo(); // "obj1", this set to obj1
foo.call(obj2); // "obj2", this set to obj2
            // undefined, this set to brand new empty object
new foo();
```

- the **prototype** mechanism in JavaScript allows JS to use an object's internal prototype reference to find another object to look for a missing property:
 - ie. a fallback when an accessed property is missing
 - the internal prototype reference linkage occurs when the object is created
 - could be used to emulate a fake class mechanism with inheritance, but more naturally is used for the delegation design pattern

Prototype example:

```
var foo = { a: 42 };
var bar = Object.create(foo); // creates bar and links it to foo
bar.b = "hello";
bar.b; // "hello"
bar.a; // 42, delegated to foo
```

Backwards Compatibility

- JavaScript as a language has been constantly evolving
 - ECMAScript specifications change, currently on ES6
 - older browsers do not fully support ES6 JS
 - two methods to achieve backwards compatibility with older versions, polyfilling and transpiling
- a **polyfill** takes the definition of a newer feature and produces a piece of code that is equivalent behavior-wise, but is still able to run on older JS environments:
 - note that some features are not fully polyfillable
 - different polyfill libraries available for ES6, eg. ES6-Shim

Example polyfill for Number.isNaN for ES6:

```
if (!Number.isNaN) {
   Number.isNaN = function isNaN(x) {
    return x # x; // NaN not equal to itself
   };
}
```

- transpiling converts newer code into older code equivalents:
 - there is no way to polyfill new syntax added in new ES versions
 - * source code with new syntax must instead be *transpiled* into an old syntax form
 - transpiler is inserted into the build process, like the code linter or minifier
 - eg. Babel and Traceur transpile ES6+ into ES5

Transpiling ES6 default parameter values:

```
// in ES6:
function foo(a = 2) { console.log(a); }

// transpiled:
function foo() {
  var a = arguments[0] == (void 0) ? arguments[0] : 2;
  console.log(a);
}
```

Scope

- **scope** is the set of rules for *storing* variables in a location and *finding* those variables later
 - scoping has some other uses beyond just determining how to lookup variables:
 - * scoping can be used for **information hiding** ie. hiding variables and functions
 - * hiding names also avoids collisions between variables with the same names
 - collisions also avoided through use of global namespaces or modules
 - for JS, when and how the scoping rules are set depends on its compilation process
 - traditional compilation process:
 - 1. tokenizing / lexing the source code
 - 2. parsing it into a syntax tree
 - 3. generating machine code from the syntax tree
 - unlike *traditional* compiled languages, JS is not compiled in advance, it is compiled as the program runs, microseconds before code is executed:
 - * less time for optimization
 - * must use tricks such as lazy compilation and hot recompliation to be efficient
- the JavaScript **engine** is responsible for start-to-finish compilation and execution:
 - calls upon the compiler to parse and generate code
 - uses scope in order to retrieve a look-up list of variables and their accessibility rules
 - * due to nested scope, if a variable is not found in the immediate scope, the engine consults the next *outercontaining* scope, until the global scope has been reached
 - * any variable declared within a scope is *attached* to that scope
 - eg. for the statement var a = 2 :
 - 1. compiler will declare a variable (if not previously declared) in the current scope
 - 2. compiler *generates* code that will be run by the engine that actually *looks* up the variable in the scope and assigns to it, if found
 - * note that the lookup that occurs can be for a LHS variable or a RHS variable:
 - · LHS ie. *target* variable to assign to, eg. a = 2
 - · RHS ie. source of the assignment, eg. console.log(a)

- note that scope-related assignments will *implicitly* occur when assigning to function parameters
- LHS and RHS lookups are *distinct* in behavior when the variable has not been declared:
 - when a RHS lookup fails to find a variable, anywhere in the nested scope,
 a ReferenceError is thrown by the engine
 - when a LHS lookup arrives at global scope without finding a variable:
 - * if the program is not in strict mode, the global scope will create a *new* variable of that name in the global scope and hand it back to the engine
 - * in strict mode, implicit global variable creation is disallowed, so a ReferenceError is again thrown by the engine
 - note that a ReferenceError indicates a scope resolution failure, while other errors at this time indicate scope resolution was successful, but an illegal action was attempted

Lexical and Dynamic Scope

- there are two models of scoping, lexical or static scoping and dynamic scoping:
 - with lexical scoping, the scoping rules are *defined* at lexing time ie. compile time:
 - * based on where variables and blocks of scopes are *authored*, using nested scoping rules
 - * no matter where or how a function is invoked, its lexical scope is only defined by *where* it was declared
 - * most programming languages, including JavaScript, use lexical scoping rules
 - with dynamic scoping, lookup happens *dynamically* at runtime:
 - * eg. this in JS is dynamically scoped, since its value depends on how its function was called
 - * eg. Bash scripting, some Perl modes
 - scope lookup stops once the first match is found, and the same identifier name can be *shadowed* by inner scopes
- JavaScript does provide some ways to *dynamically* modify its lexical scoping rules:
 - can lead to dangerous side effects
 - eg. using eval , or the now deprecated with expression
 - * both of these methods are restricted by strict mode
 - * both of these methods force the compiler to limit or avoid optimizations, so code will run *slower*

Changing lexical scope with eval:

```
function foo(str, a) {
    eval(str);
    console.log(a, b);
}

var b = 2;
foo("var b = 3;", 1); // prints 1, 3
```

Example of the now deprecated with keyword:

```
var obj = { a: 1, b: 2, c: 3 };

// tedious reassignment
obj.a = 2;
obj.b = 3;
obj.c = 4;

// with shorthand
with (obj) {
    a = 3;
    b = 4;
    c = 5;
};
```

Changing lexical scope using with:

```
function foo(obj) {
    with (obj) { a = 2; }
}

var o1 = { a: 3 };

var o2 = { b: 3 };

foo(o1);

console.log(o1.a); // prints 2

foo(o2);

console.log(o2.a); // prints undefined

console.log(a); // prints 2, global has been *leaked*
    // with keyword creates a new lexical scope, but a is missing,
    // so lookup goes to the global level and creates a new declaration (non-strict)
```

Lexical Scope with Arrow Functions

- ES6 introduced a new syntactic form of function declaration called the **arrow** function
 - pros:
 - * the "fat arrow" is a shorthand for the function keyword
 - * performs a lexical binding for this , rather than following the normal this binding rules
 - cons:
 - * arrow functions are all anonymous

Illustrating the problem of lexical scope with this:

```
var obj = {
  id: "foo",
  identify: function idFn() {
    console.log(this.id);
  }
}
var id = "bar";
obj.identify(); // prints foo
setTimeout(obj.identify, 100); // prints bar, this binding is lost
                               // since this is bound dynamically
// explicit fix:
var obj = {
  id: "foo",
  identify: function idFn() {
    var self = this;
    setTimeout(function log() { // have to move setTimeout inside
      console.log(self.id);
   }, 100);
}
// bind fix:
var obj = {
  id: "foo",
  identify: function idFn() {
    setTimeout(function log() { // have to move setTimeout inside
      console.log(this.id);
    }.bind(this), 100);
```

Function Scope SCOPE

```
// fat-arrow fix:
setTimeout(() => { obj.identify(); }, 100);
```

Function Scope

• JavaScript var declarations follow **function scope** where the declarations within a function are effectively hidden from the outside

- ie. follow a scope *unit* of functions
- there are serveral considerations for functions as scope
- functions expressions can be *anonymous* (omitting the name) or *named*:
 - function declarations cannot omit the name
 - drawbacks to anonymous functions:
 - 1. anonymous functions have no name to display in stack traces
 - 2. without a name, the function can only refer to itself through the deprecated arguments.callee
 - 3. without a name, code may be less readable or understandable
 - note that *inline* functions can still be named, they are not forced to be anonymous

Anonymous vs. named inline functions:

```
setTimeout(function() {
  console.log("1 sec passed");
}, 1000);

setTimeout(function timeoutHandler() {
  console.log("1 sec passed");
}, 1000);
```

- by wrapping a function in parentheses, function expressions and **immediately invoked function expressions (IIFE)** can be created:
 - useful for avoiding polluting the enclosing scope, since the identifier of the function (if named), is found *only* in the scope within the IIFE, and is inaccessible outside the IIFE

Variations on IIFEs:

```
(function() {...})();  // anonymous IIFE
(function() {...}());  // equivalent IIFE
(function IIFE() {...})(); // named IIFE
```

Block Scope SCOPE

```
(function IIFE(global) {...})(window); // passing in arguments to IIFE

(function IIFE(def){ // alternative inverted IIFE definition used in def(window); // the Universal Module Definition (UMD) project
})(function def(global) {...});
```

Block Scope

• although functions are the most common unit of scope used in JS, **block scoping** is another popular scoping unit:

- used by many languages, eg. C/C++, Java, Python
- pros:
 - * allows for even *more* information hiding, at a finer granularity *within* functions
 - * allows for more efficient garbage collection and *faster* reclamation of memory
 - * easier to add additional, *explicit* scoped blocks (rather than creating new functions)
- JavaScript *does* provide some facilities for achieving block scope:
 - * with , try/catch , let , and const
- the with statement is an example of block scope since the created scope is only within the statement, not the enclosing function
- the variable declaration in the catch clause of a try/catch is block scoped to the catch block
- the let keyword, introduced by ES6, attaches the variable declaration to the scope of the *containing* block, specified by brackets:
 - let declarations will also *not* hoist to the entire scope of the block
 - when used in blocks, a let declaration in the loop header will actually rebind the variable on each iteration of the loop, which is useful for handling closures
 - ES6 also added the const keyword, which also creates a block-scoped variable whose value is fixed

Using let loops:

```
for (let i = 0; i < 10, i++) {
  console.log(i);
}
console.log(i); // ReferenceError with let instead of var</pre>
```

Hoisting *SCOPE*

```
// let loop rebinding: (equivalent code to let loop)
  let j;
  for (j = 0; j < 10; j \leftrightarrow) {
    let i = j;
    console.log(i);
}
```

Polyfilling block scope:

```
{ // ES6
  let a = 2;
  console.log(a);
console.log(a);
// is polyfilled to:
try {throw 2} catch(a) {
 // ES3 catch has block scope!
 // alternatively, use an IIFE? isn't an IIFE faster than try/catch?
 // IIFE performs faster, but wrapping a function around arbitrary code changes
  // the meaning of the code, eg. this, return, break, and continue change meanings
  console.log(a);
console.log(a);
```

Hoisting

• generally, a JavaScript program is *interpreted* line-by-line:

- - this is mostly true, except for the case of declarations
 - the engine will have the compiler *compile* the code in its entirety (usually) before it interprets ie. runs it:
 - * part of the compilation phase is to find and associate declarations with their appropriate scopes
 - · thus all declarations are processed *first*, before any part of the code is executed
 - ie. declarations are **hoisted** or moved from where they appear in the flow of the code to the top of the code
 - * note that only the declarations themselves are hoisted, not any as-

Hoisting SCOPE

signments or other executable logic

- · thus function expressions are not hoisted
- * thus a = 2 and var a = 2 have two *distinct* statements, one of which (the declaration) is hoisted
- * note that functions are always hoisted *first*, then variables
- note that declarations appearing inside normal blocks (such as if-else blocks) are hoisted to the enlossing scope, instead of being conditional

Illustrating hoisting:

```
a = 2;
var a;
console.log(a); // prints 2

// declaration is hoisted as:
var a;
a = 2;
console.log(a);

console.log(a); // prints undefined
var a = 2;

// declaration is hoisted as:
var a;
console.log(a);
a = 2;
```

Hoisting in function declarations:

```
foo(); // prints undefined
function foo() {
  console.log(a);
  var a = 2;
}

// declarations are hoisted as:
function foo() {
  var a;
  console.log(a);
  a = 2;
}
foo();
```

Hoisting in function expressions:

Hoisting SCOPE

Hoisting functions first:

```
foo(); // prints 3, not 1 or 2
var foo;
function foo() { console.log(1); }
foo = function() { console.log(2); };
function foo() { console.log(3); }

// declaration is hoisted as:
function foo() { console.log(1); }
function foo() { console.log(3); } // subsequent declaration overrides previous one
// var foo is a *duplicate* and thus ignored declaration
foo();
foo = function() { console.log(2); };
```

Closures

- **closure** is when a function is able to remember and access its lexical scope even when that function is executing outside its lexical scope:
 - ordinarilly, we would expect the entirety of the scope of a function to go away after execution, when the garbage collector runs:
 - * however, with closures, this is not the case, and the scope of a returned function can still be accessed
 - * implemented using *nesting links*, and placing certain call frames on the heap instead of the stack
 - closures happen naturally in JavaScript as a result of writing code that rely on lexical scope:
 - * whenever an inner function is *transported* outside of its lexical scope ie. treated as first-class values, it maintains a closure reference to its original lexical scope
 - * eg. timers, event handlers, AJAX requests, callback functions, etc.

Illustrating closures:

Concrete closure examples:

```
function wait(msg) {
    setTimeout(function timer() { console.log(msg); }, 1000);
}
wait("Hello!"); // uses closures

function debugButton(name, selector) {
    $(selector).click(function activator() {
       console.log("activating " + name);
    });
```

Modules CLOSURES

```
}
// uses closures
debugButton("Continue", "#continue");
debugButton("Quit", "#quit");
```

Closure and loops:

```
for (var i = 1; i \le 5; i++) {
  setTimeout(function timer() {
    // each timer function is closed over same shared *global* scope,
    // due to the declaration of i using var
    console.log(i); // when each timer runs after setTimeout triggers, i is 6
   // the *desired* functionality is to capture a different copy
   // of i at each iteration, ie. a per-iteration block scope
  }, i*1000);
} // prints 6 6 6 6 6, one 6 each second
// solving with IIFE:
for (var i = 1; i \le 5; i++) {
  (function(j) { // use an IIFE to *create* a new lexical scope within global scope
    setTimeout(function timer() {
      console.log(j);
    }, j*1000);
  })(i);
} // prints 1 2 3 4 5
// solving using let:
for (let i = 1; i \le 5; i ++) {
 // let has per-iteration rebinding
  setTimeout(function timer() {
    console.log(i);
  }, i*1000);
} // prints 1 2 3 4 5
```

Modules

- the **module** code pattern leverages closures in order to reveal a certain public API while hiding implementation details, and requires:
 - 1. an outer enclosing function, that must be invoked at least once to create

Modules CLOSURES

a new module instance

- 2. the enclosing function must return back at least one inner function
 - the inner function thus has closure over the *private* scope

Example module pattern:

```
function Module() {
  var foo = "bar";
  var qaz = [1, 2, 3];

  function doFoo() { console.log(foo); }
  function doQaz() { console.log(qaz.join("!")); }

  return {
    doFoo: doFoo,
    doQaz: doQaz
  };
}

var mod = Module();
mod.doFoo(); // prints bar
mod.doQaz(); // prints 1!2!3
```

- ES6 added first-class syntax support for modules:
 - each file is treated as a separate module
 - modules can import other modules or specific API members, and export their own public API members
 - ES6 module APIs are static and thus import errors can be checked at runtime
 - a module can:
 - * export an identifier to the public API for the current module
 - * import one or more members from a module's API into the current scope

this and Binding Rules

- JavaScript's this mechanism allows functions to be reused against multiple different *context* objects:
 - a more elegant mechanism than *explicitly* passing along an object or context reference as a parameter
 - a common misconception of this is that it refers to the function itself or to a function's lexical scope:
 - * however, altough this may point to a calling function, it does not always do so
 - * there is also no way to use a this reference to look something up in a lexical scope
 - · ie. there is no bridge between lexical scopes
 - this is a dynamic, runtime binding that is *contextual* based on the conditions of the function's *invocation*
 - * the this reference is a property on the activation record of the function in the call stack
 - * ie. based on the function's call-site

Utility of this:

```
function identify() { return this.name; }
function speak() {
  var greeting = "Hello, I'm " + identify.call(this);
  console.log(greeting);
}

var me = { name: "Bob" };
  var you = { name: "Blob" };

identify.call(me); // prints Bob
  speak.call(you); // prints Hello, I'm Blob
```

Allowing a reference to get a reference to itself:

```
function foo(num) {
   console.log(num);
   this.count++; // not bound to foo!
}
foo.count = 0;

for (let i = 0; i < 10; i++) {
   if (i > 5) {
```

```
foo(i);
}
}
console.log(foo.count); // prints 0?

// forcing the binding on this to point to foo:
for (let i = 0; i < 10; i++) {
   if (i > 5) {
      foo.call(foo, i);
   }
}
console.log(foo.count); // prints 4
```

Binding Rules

- 1. the first binding rule, **default binding**, applies for *standalone* function invocation:
 - the default, catch-all rule when none of the others apply
 - the default binding points this at the global object
 - variables declared in the global scope are synonymous with the *global object* properties of the same name
 - note that in strict mode, the global object is not eligible for the default binding, so this is instead set to undefined

Default binding:

```
function foo() {
  console.log(this.a);
}
var a = 2;
foo(); // prints 2
```

- 2. in **implicit binding**, the call-site may have a context ie. owning object:
 - the function call is preceded by an object reference
 - implicit binding points this to that object
 - note that only the top or last level of an object property reference chain matters to the call-site
 - a problem with implicit binding occurs when an implicitly bound function loses its binding, and falls back to the default binding:
 - occurs commonly with function callbacks

- some frameworks will also forcefully modify this during the callback
- need a way to fix the this

Implicit binding:

```
var obj2 = {
    a: 2,
    foo: foo // doesn't matter whether foo is defined here or added as a reference
};
var obj1 = {
    a: 42,
    obj2: obj2
};
obj1.obj2.foo(); // prints 2
```

Implicit binding loss:

```
function doFoo(fn) {
   fn(); // call-site is what matters, fn becomes another reference to foo
}
var obj = {
   a: 2,
   foo: foo
};
var a = "global";
doFoo(obj.foo); // prints global, not 2
```

- 3. **explicit binding** forces a function call to use a particular object for the binding, *without* putting a property function reference on the object:
 - uses call or apply , which both take in an object to use for this as the first argument
 - hard binding is a form of explicit binding that fixes the issue of binding loss
 - provided by bind in ES5, which returns a new function that is hardcoded to call the original function with this specified context
 - some APIs will provide an optional *context* parameter that uses a form of explicit binding to use that context
 - apply also helps to spread out an array as parameters (replaced by the ES6 spread operator)
 - bind also is useful for currying functions

Explicit binding:

```
var obj = { a: 2 };
foo.call(obj); // prints 2
```

Hard binding:

```
var obj = { a: 2 };
var bar = function() {
  foo.call(obj); // actual call-site
}
bar(); // prints 2
setTimeout(bar, 100); // also prints 2
bar.call(window); // still prints 2

// simple example hard binding helper:
function bind(fn, obj) {
  return function() {
    return fn.apply(obj, arguments);
  };
}
var bar = bind(foo, obj);

// same functionality provided by ES5 bind function:
var bar = foo.bind(obj);
```

API calls with context:

```
function foo(el) {
  console.log(el, this.id);
}
var obj = { id: "bar" };
[1, 2, 3].forEach(foo, obj); // prints 1 bar 2 bar 3 bar
```

- 4. the new binding is a special binding rule that is used with the new operator:
 - note that the new operator in JS has *no connection* to object-oriented functionality
 - in JS, **constructors** are just functions that *happen* to be called with the new operator:
 - not attached to classes, nor are they instantiation a class
 - not a special type of function either, more like a construction call of a function
 - in a construction call:
 - 1. a brand new object is constructed
 - 2. the new object is [[Prototype]] linked
 - 3. the new object is set as the this binding for that function call

4. unless the function returns its own alternate object, the function call will *automatically* return the new object

new binding:

```
function foo(a) {
   this.a = a;
}
var bar = new foo(2);
console.log(bar.a); // prints 2
```

Precedence

- default binding is the lowest priority rule of the four
 - next, implicit binding has the next lowest priority
 - followed by explicit binding, and then new binding with the highest priority
 - * note that call and apply override a bind hard binding
 - * in addition, if null or undefined is passed as a binding parameter, default binding applies instead
 - · a *safer* alternative may be to pass in an "*ghost*" object instead that is guaranteed to be totally empty
 - eg. Object.create(null) is "more empty" than {}
 - this may be suprising since the previous hard binding helper does not have a way to override the hard binding, but new binding still supercedes it:
 - * this is because the builin ES5 bind is more sophisticated, and actually checks if the hard-bound function has been called with new or not
 - * overriding hard binding is useful because it allows for a function that can construct objects with some of its arguments preset from a bind , while ignoring the previously hard-bound this
 - · ie. helps with partial application and currying
 - note that *indirect* references to a function can be created, eg. the result value of an assignment expression
 - * these obey default binding, rather than another type of binding expected from the assignment expression
 - an alternative binding rule is soft binding, where a function can still be manually rebound via implicit or explicit binding, but has an alternative if the default binding would otherwise apply
 - * unlike hard binding, which *cannot* be manually overrided with implicit binding or explicit binding

- finally, ES6 introduced a new kind of function that has its own binding rules:
 - instead of following standard this binding rules, arrow functions adopt the this binding from their enclosing scope
 - this lexical binding *cannot* be overriden, even with new
 - commonly used with callbacks
 - similar in spirit to using var self = this to lexically capture this ,
 vs. using this -style binding with bind

Arrow function bindings:

```
function foo() {
  return (a) ⇒ {
    console.log(this.a);
  };
}

var obj1 = { a: 2 };

var obj2 = { a: 3 };

var bar = foo.call(obj1);
bar.call(obj2); // prints 2, not 3, not explicitly rebound
```

Object Prototypes

- object in JavaScript is one of its primary types:
 - a function is a subtype of object, technically a *callable* object
 - arrays are also a structured form of object
 - can be created using a literal form, or constructed form
 - object have **properties** that can be set and accessed:
 - * through . or [] operator
 - * note that property names are *always* strings, so other property name types will be *coerced* to strings
 - * ES6 adds **computed property names**, where an expression surrounded by [] can be used in the key position of an object literal declaration
 - · useful with ES6 Symbol s
 - note that although functions can be a property of an object, these are not exactly *methods* that are bound to the object like in other languages:
 - * the function property is simply another reference to the function, even if it was declared *and* defined within the object
 - * the only distinction between the references would occur if the function had a this reference and an implicit binding was used
 - arrays are objects that are numerically indexed:

- * as objects, arrays can have *additional* named properties, without changing its arr.length property
- * note however that property names that coerce to numbers will be treated as numeric indices

Duplicating Objects

- duplicating objects has the issue of *shallow* vs. *deep* copies:
 - in some situations, deep copies may create an infinite circular duplication, since extra duplications must occur
 - while shallow copies will only create new *references*, instead of additional concrete duplications
 - one copying solution is to duplicate JSON-safe objects:
 - * var newObj= JSON.parse(JSON.stringify(obj))
 - * not always sufficient for objects that are not JSON-safe
 - ES6 provides a shallow copy function:
 - * var newObj = Object.assign({}, obj)
 - * takes target object, and one or more source objects
 - * copies enumerable, owned keys to the target via assignment only, and returns the target

Properties

- ES5 provides **proerty descriptors** that allow properties to be described with extra characteristics:
 - Object.getOwnPropertyDescriptor(obj, name) gets the property descriptor for obj.name
 - Object.defineProperty(obj, name, descriptor) creates or modifies an existing property with the characteristics in descriptor
 - the descriptor is an object that specifies the { value, writable, enumerable, configurations:
 - * writing to a non-writable property fails and causes an error in strict mode
 - * a configurable property can be updated by Object.defineProperty
 - · a non-configurable property also cannot be removed with delete
 - * enumerable controls whether the property will show up in objectproperty enumerations such as the for..in loop or Object.keys
 - · order of iteration over an object's properties is not guaranteed

- thus note that for..in applied on arrays gives the numeric indices *as well as* any enumerable properties
- Object.getOwnPropertyNames gives all properties, enumerable or not
- there are different ways to achieve *shallow* **immutability** using ES5:
 - 1. combining writable: false and configurable: false essentially creates a *constant* that cannot be changed, redefined, or deleted
 - 2. Object.preventExtensions prevents an objects from having new properties added to it
 - 3. Object.seal creates a *sealed* object, which essentially calls Object.preventExtensions and also marks existing properties as configurable: false
 - cannot add or delete properties (though existing properties *can* be modified)
 - 4. Object.freeze creates a frozen object, which essentially calls Object.seal and also marks existing properties as writable: false
 - prevents any changes to the object
- in terms of property accesses, the access doesn't *just* look in the object for a matching propery:
 - instead, according to the spec, the code performs a [[Get]] operation that:
 - 1. inspects the object for a property of the requested name
 - 2. if found, returns the value accordingly
 - * otherwise, undefined is returned instead
 - * note that this is different from referencing variables, where a variable that cannot be resolved from lexical scope lookup will give a ReferenceError
 - to set a property, the code performs a [[Put]] operation that:
 - 1. if the property is an accessor descriptor, call the setter
 - 2. if the property is not writable, either fail or throw an error
 - 3. otherwise, set the value to the existing property
 - * if property is not yet present, the operation is even more complex
 - ES5 introduced a way to override part of these default operations on a per-property level:
 - * using getters and setters
 - * when a property has a getter or setter, its definition becomes an accessor descriptor:
 - · an accessor descriptor does not have value and writable fields
 - · has the additional set and get characteristics
 - * if only a getter is defined, setting the property later will silently fail

ES5 getters and setters:

```
var myObj = {
  get a() { return 2; }
};

Object.defineProperty(myObj, "b", {
  get: function() { return this.a * 2; },
  enumerable: true
});

myObj.a; // gives 2
myObj.b; // gives 4
```

- the in operator checks if a property is *in* an object, *or* if exists at a higher level of the [[Prototype]] chain object traversal
 - eg. ("a" in myObj)
 - note that the in operator does not check for *values* inside a container, just properties
- on the other hand, myObj.hasOwnProperty checks if *only* myObj has the property or not, ignoring the prototype chain
 - however, it is possible for an object to not link to Object.prototype , in which case the test will fail
 - * in this case, a more robust check is Object.prototype.hasOwnProperty.call(myObject.prototyp
- the for..of loop added by ES6 allows for iterating over the values of objects directly:
 - however, it requires an iterator object created by a default Miterator function
 - * loop then iterates over return values using the iterator object's next method
 - * iterators act similar to generator functions
 - arrays have this function built in, but it can be manually defined for objects

Defining an iterator for an object:

```
var myObj = {
    a: 2,
    b: 3,
    [Symbol.iterator]: function() {
     var self = this;
    var idx = 0;
    var ks = Object.keys(self);
    return {
        next: function() {
```

```
return {
          value: self[ks[idx++]],
          done: (idx > ks.length)
        };
    }
};

for (var v in myObj) {
    console.log(v, myObj[v]);
} // prints a 2 b 3

for (var v of myObj) {
    console.log(v);
} // prints 2 3
```

Classes

• although JavaScript has *some* class-like syntactic elements such as new and instanceof , JS does *not* actually have classes:

- however, since classes and object-oriented design are design patterns, it is possible to implement approximations for classical class functionality
- under the surface, these class approximations are *not* the same as the classes in other languages
- in traditional classes, inheritance and polymorphism are both achieved using some sort of *copy* behavior:
 - * ie. child class really *contains* a copy of its parent class, rather than having some sort of referential relative link to its parent
- JavaScript's object mechanism does *not* automatically perform copying behavior when you inherit or instantiate:
 - since there are no classes in JavaScript to instantiate or inherit from, only objects
 - this missing behavior is *emulated* using explicit and implicit **mixins**
 - * mixins are one way to achieve class-like behavior

Mixins

• since JS does not provide a way to copy behavior ie. properties from another object:

we can create and use a utility that manually copies these properties,
 usually called extend or mixin by libraries and frameworks

- * this mixin approach *mixes* in the nonoverlapping contents of two objects
- * ie. explicit mixin
- pros:
 - * achieves an approximation of inheritance and polymorphism
 - * can partially emulate multiple inheritance by mixing in multiple objects
- cons:
 - * the objects still operate separately due to the nature of copying
 - · eg. adding properties to one of the objects does not affect the other after the mixin
 - * JS functions cannot really be duplicated, so a duplicated *reference* is created instead
 - · if one of the shared function objects is modified, both objects would be affected via the shared reference

Mixins CLASSES

 in the similar parasitic mixin pattern, we initially make a copy of the definition from the parent class ie. object, and then mix in the child class

- JS did not support a facility for *relative* polymorphism (prior to ES6):
 - thus explicit pseudopolymorphism is used in the miin in the statement Vehicle.drive.call(this)
 - absolutely rather than relatively make a reference to the Vehicle object
 - cons:
 - * this pseudopolymorphism creates *brittle*, manual linking which is very difficult to maintain when compared to relative polymorphism

Mixin utility:

```
function mixin(src, target) {
  for (var key in src) {
    if (!(key in target)) {
      target[key] = src[key];
  }
  return target;
}
var Vehicle = {
  engines: 1,
  ignition: function() {...},
  drive: function() {...}
};
var Car = mixin(Vehicle, {
  wheels: 4,
  drive: function() {
    Vehicle.drive.call(this);
  }
});
```

- implicit mixins are also closely related to explicit pseudopolymorphism:
 - essentially borrows functionality from another object's function and calls it in the context of another object
 - * once again, mixes in behavior from two objects
 - exploiting this binding rules
 - still a explicit, brittle call that cannot be made into a more flexible relative reference

Mixins CLASSES

Example of implicit mixins:

```
var Foo = {
    qaz: function() {
        this.count = this.count ? this.count+1 : 1;
    }
}
Foo.qaz();
Foo.count; // gives 1

var Bar = {
    qaz: function() {
        Foo.qaz.call(this);
    }
}
Bar.qaz();
Bar.count; // gives 1, not shared state with Foo
```

Asynchronous JavaScript

- a key JavaScript feature is the **event loop**:
 - different *handlers* can be registered for certain events, so that these handlers run when the events occur
 - * unlike normal *synchronous* code, these events can occur *asyn-chronously* ie. at any time
 - different language structures used for asynchronous functions include callbacks, promises, async/await, and generators
 - eg. a common functionality that is handled using asynchronous functions are AJAX requests:
 - * Async JS and XML (AJAX) requests communicate with a server using an HTTP request, without having to reload the current page
 - * ie. retrieving XML (or more recently, JSON) data asynchronously using JS
- using **callbacks** is the most basic method of writing asynchronous event handlers:
 - pros:
 - * simple, making use of continuation passing style (CPS)
 - * used in other JS language structures, eg. synchronous functional callbacks such as forEach , map , filter , etc.
 - cons:
 - * can quickly lead to "callback hell", where callbacks that should be executed in succession become deeply nested and cluttered

Using callbacks in vanilla JS and jQuery:

```
// vanilla JS request:
var http = new XMLHttpRequest();
http.onreadystatechange = function() { // callback
    // 4 different ready states while request is loading
    if (http.readyState = 4 && http.status = 200) {
        console.log(JSON.parse(http.response));
    }
};
http.open("GET", "data/tweets", true);
http.send();

// jQuery alt:
$.get("data/tweets", function(tweets) { // callback
        console.log(tweets);
});
```

Illustrating callback hell:

- **promises** are an alternative to callbacks for asynchronous programming:
 - promises are objects that represent actions that haven't yet finished
 - promises are then *chained* using the .then property in order to specify how data should be handled after it is finished retrieving
 - * the .catch property is used to handle errors, at *any* point in the promise chain
 - Promise.all is used to initialize *multiple* asynchronous requests at once
 - pros:
 - * sequential callbacks are no longer deeply nested
 - * elegant error catching
 - * easy to handle create and use multiple promises
 - cons:
 - * syntax is still a little unnatural, is there a way to make async code look more similar to synchronous code?

Implementing a promise over a vanilla JS callback:

```
function get(url) {
  return new Promise(function(resolve, reject){
    // resolve applies to the .then function,
    // while reject should fall to the .catch function (passing the error code)
    var xhhtp = new XMLHttpRequest();
    xhttp.open("GET", url, true);
    xhttp.onload = function() {
      if (xhttp.status = 200) {
        resolve(JSON.parse(xhttp.response));
      } else {
        reject(xhttp.statusText);
      }
    };
    xhttp.onerror = function() {
      rejext(xhttp.statusText);
    xhttp.send();
```

```
});
}
```

Using promises:

```
get("data/topTweets")
    .then(function(topData) {
    return get("data/tweets/" + topData[0].id);
}).then(function(tweet) { // chaining promises
    return get("data/users/" + tweet.userId);
}).then(function(userData) {
    console.log(userData);
}).catch(function(error) {
    console.log(error);
});
```

Using Promise.all to wait for multiple promises *concurrently*:

```
const p1 = Promise.resolve("hello");
const p2 = 10;
const p3 = new Promise((resolve, reject) ⇒ {
    setTimeout(resolve, 1000, true);
});
const p4 = new Promise((resolve, reject) ⇒ {
    setTimeout(resolve, 3000, 'goodbye');
});

Promise.all([p1, p2, p3]).then(values ⇒
    console.log(values);
); // runs all the promises, values is ["hello", 10, true, "goodbye"] after 3 sec
```

- async/await is a modern syntactical sugar for promises:
 - ie. an *syntactical* extension on promises, still using promises under the surface
 - adopted in other languages, such as Python's asyncio library
 - the await keyword awaits the *resolution* of a promise
 - * can only be used within an async function
 - pros:
 - * cleaner code than promises, async code that *looks* synchronous
 - cons:
 - * a try-catch block is the only way to catch errors

Using async/await:

```
async function getTopUser() {
   try {
     const topData = await get("data/topTweets"); // alternative to .then syntax
     const topTweet = await get("data/tweets/" + topData[0].id);
     const userData = await get("data/users/" + topTweet.userId);
     console.log(userData);
} catch (error) {
     console.log(error);
}
```

- using **generators** is another less common method for asynchronous callbacks:
 - generators are functions that can be *paused* and *resumed*
 - * generators are originally from Python
 - * typically used for lazy evaluation
 - a newer ES6 feature

Generators in JS:

```
function* gen(index){
   while (index < 2)
      yield index++;
}

var myGen = gen(0);
var x = myGen.next(); // object x has attributes value 0 and boolean done
var y = myGen.next(); // y has value 1 and done false
var z = myGen.next(); // z has done true</pre>
```

Async requests using generators:

```
function genWrap(generator){
  var gen = generator();
  function handle(yielded){
    if(!yielded.done){
      yielded.value.then(function(data){
        return handle(gen.next(data));
      })
    }
  }
  return handle(gen.next());
}

genWrap(function*(){
```

```
var top = yield get("data/topTweets");
var tweet = yield get("data/tweets/" + top[0].id);
var user = yield get("data/users/" + tweet.userId);
console.log(user);
});
```