

# CS31: Introduction to Computer Science

Professor Smallberg

Thilan Tran

Fall 2019

## Contents

<b>CS31: Introduction to Computer Science</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>11.5.18</b>	<b>3</b>
C++ Basics . . . . .	3
Strings . . . . .	4
Operations with Strings . . . . .	5
More Misc. String/Char Rules . . . . .	5
Char and Int . . . . .	5
Character Classification . . . . .	6
Functions . . . . .	6
More Loop Conventions . . . . .	6
Arrays . . . . .	8
C-Strings . . . . .	9
Comparing C++ Strings and C-Strings . . . . .	10
Converting C++ Strings and C-Strings . . . . .	11
2-D Arrays . . . . .	11
More 2-D Array Functions . . . . .	12
<b>11.7.18</b>	<b>13</b>
Array of C-Strings . . . . .	13
Pointers . . . . .	14
More pointer practice . . . . .	15
<b>11.14.18</b>	<b>15</b>
Pointers cont. . . . .	15
<b>11.19.18</b>	<b>18</b>

Even more pointers...	18
Structures	19
<b>11.21.18</b>	<b>22</b>
More Structures, Classes, and Abstraction	22
Syntax with Structures	25
Constructors	26
Classes	26
<b>11.28.18</b>	<b>27</b>
Classes and Pointers	27
<b>11.30.18</b>	<b>30</b>
Classes and Pointers Review	30
Strange Code Interaction	31
Pointer Warmup	32
Dynamic Allocation	32
<b>12.3.18</b>	<b>32</b>
Memory & More Classes	32
Classes in Classes	33
<b>12.05.18</b>	<b>35</b>
Classes with Pointers	35
More Classes in Classes	36
Overloading	38

# CS31: Introduction to Computer Science

---

## 11.5.18

---

### C++ Basics

---

```
#include <iostream> // defines a special library of commands to be inserted here by compiler
using namespace std; // different families / 3rd party libraries use
                        // diff. namespaces to help distinguish themselves
                        // 'using' now defaults to this namespace

int main()
{
    cout << "Hello!" << endl; // cout prints to standard output
                             // endl moves cursor to next line
}
```

- not `#include <iostream.h>` as in C
- **include** statement:
  - compiler has to learn standard library beyond built-in language
  - ie. fundamental parts of English vs. parts that change over time
- fully qualified **cout** statement would be `std::cout`
- whitespace in code doesn't matter (format for readability), but spaces in literal text does matter ("Hello!")
- **cin** reads input into a variable

```
int main()
{
    cout << "How many hours? ";
    // variable declaration form: type identifier
    double hoursWorked; // uninitialized, value is unspecified / garbage
    cin >> hoursWorked;
    cout << "Pay rate? "; // enter for input already skips the next line
    double payRate;
    cin >> payRate;

    cout << "The hours worked are " << hoursWorked << endl; // testing print statements
    cout << "The pay rate is " << payRate << endl;
}
```

```
cout << "You made $" << hoursWorked * payRate << endl; // spaces matter in literal text!
cout << "Money withheld $" << .1 * (hoursWorked * payRate) << endl;
}
```

- C++ naming conventions:
  - eg. hours\_worked, HoursWorked, hoursWorked (can't be reserved words)
  - case sensitive!
- `cout <<` , output, read out
- `cin >>` , input, read in

## Strings

```
#include <string>           // string header library

string personsName;
getline(cin, personsName); // only used for strings

cin >> personsName;        // ignores whitespaces, only grabs one word

cin >> age;                 // must be used for integer variables
                           // skips input if getline() is called after because of the trailing
                           // newline character

cin.ignore(10000, '\n');    // throws away buffer up to and including newline character
                           // this issue only occurs when reading a number then call getline

string s = "Hello";
for (int k = 0; k < s.size(); k++) // s.size() returns 5, char c = s[k];
    cout << s[k] << endl;         // use subscript operator, behaves like an array of characters

const double PAYRATE_THRESHOLD; // constants naming conventions and syntax

if (citizen == "US")
    if (age >= 18)
        cout << "You can work." << endl;
else cout << "Not U.S. citizen." << endl; // automatically pairs to second if (closesnt unpaired)
                                           // must add brackets for intended operation
```

- calling `getline()` after a `cin` execution leaves a `'\n'` in the buffer
  - `cin` does not consume `'\n'`
  - so `getline()` sets string to empty string

- program continues executing
- must use `cin.ignore()`
- modifying `cout` with flags:
  - `cout.setf(ios::fixed);` , different double modes, scientific, exponential
  - `cout.precision(2);` , number of digits after decimal point
  - `showpoint` command always shows point (even with no floating digits)

## Operations with Strings

- `size()` function (historically, `length()`)
- `s[0]` accesses individual characters
- use `i ≠ s.size()` when iterating through string
  - technically, returns type `string::size_type`, or an unsigned int
  - if unsigned, can't iterate `size_type` backwards, will give an error
- `'+='` operator can be used to append to strings
- `substr()` function
  - `s.substr(5, 3)` create a substring starting at position 5 going for 3 characters
  - `t = t.substr(6, t.size() - 6)` , clips off the first six characters

## More Misc. String/Char Rules

- can't access index of a string out of bounds
- when using `toupper()` and other conversion functions, make sure to save the char
  - eg. `s[0] = toupper(s[0]);`
- `if (t[k] == 'E' || t[k] == 'e')` is equivalent to `if (toupper(t[k] == 'E'))`
- assignment returns a value:
  - `n = 2 * (k = 3 + 5);`

## Char and Int

- chars share a lot of properties with int (automatic conversion)
- `char ch = 76;` `ch` is now 'L', depending on character set
- `int k = 'L';` `k` is now 76 (integer encoding of the character)
- standard dictates:
  - `'a' < 'b', 'y' < 'z'`, etc.
  - `'A' < 'B', 'Y' < 'Z'`, etc.
  - but no special relationship between the two
  - doesn't guarantee contiguous encoding! (but ASCII does)
  - `'0' '1' '2'` etc. are contiguous
  - `cout << tallySeats(..., ..., s) << " " << s;`
    - \* where `s` is a reference to a declared int

- \* standard doesn't dictate which operand is processed first (s or tallyseats())
- \* solve by splitting into two statements
- \* or use assert to test (will short-circuit / evaluate from left to right)

## Character Classification

```
#include <cctype> // do operations / checks on characters

isalpha();
isupper();
islower();
isdigit();
tolower();
toupper();
```

## Functions

---

- useful for self-contained sequences, reusing specific codes / functions
- void functions don't return value, can use return within function block to break out
  - variables obey strict scope guidelines within function blocks
- functions with return type must return a value
  - every possible path must result in a return statement
- boolean type: holds true or false (keywords)
- naming convention: use predicate forms, eg. isdigit(), isalpha(), livesin()
- it is not possible to return more than one value
  - instead have function save values into variables using pass by reference
    - \* passing by value -> copy
    - \* passing by reference -> another name for original
  - otherwise values will not save
  - double means a memory location that can hold a double
  - double& means another name for an already existing double, "reference to double"
- functions need prototypes so the compiler knows functions when they are called before implemented

## More Loop Conventions

---

```

cout << "Phone #";
string phone;
getline(cin, phone);
while (!isValid(phone))
{
    cout << "Must have 10 digits" << endl;
    cout << "Phone #";
    getline(cin, phone); // repetition of code twice! can we replace with do-while loop?
}
// VS.
for (;;) // n-and-a-half-times loop
{
    cout << "Phone #";
    getline(cin, phone);
    if (isValid(phone)) // condition is tested in the middle, not top (while loop), or bottom
        break;
    cout << "Must have 10 digits" << endl;
}

// Another example:
int nScores = 0;
int total = 0;
for (;;) // n-and-a-half-times loop
{
    int s;
    cin << s;
    if (s < 0)
        break;
    total += s;
    nScores++;
}
// cout << "Average " << total / nScores << endl; error, integer division, also should check
cout << "Average " << static_cast<double>(total) / nScores << endl; // cast creates temporary

for (...)
{
    if (...) // if and else close, easy to see
        ...
    else
    {
        ... // nested, indented code can be hard to read, can we improve?
        ...
    }
}

```

```

    }
}
// VS.
for (...)
{
    if (...)
    {
        ...
        continue; // abandons current iteration of the for loop, jumps to end of the brackets
    }
    ...
    ...
}

int k;
for (k = 0; k < 10; k++)
{
    ...
    if (...)
        continue; // k++ still happens at the end of the loop after continue
}
// VS.
while (k < 10)
{
    if (...)
        continue; // k++ is skipped after continue
    k++;
}
// continue will act differently in these formats!

```

## Arrays

---

- how to set up a table for irregular patterns such as month/day?
- use arrays:

```

const int daysInMonth[12] = {
    31, 28, 31, 30, 31, 30    // easier to see array if split up/organized
    31, 31, 31, 31, 31, 31
};

```

- arrays start with 0



- undefined out-of-bounds behavior
- can utilize paired/parallel arrays (eg. month name and days in month)
- good practice to hold similar digits in const variable with symbolic name
- there is NO size/length function for arrays!!!
- arrays size must be known at compile time!!!

```
int n;
cin >> n;
double d[n]; // error! not a const variable

int main()
{
    const int MAX_NUM_SCORES = 10000;
    int scores[MAX_NUM_SCORES];
    int nScores = 0;
    ... fill up array partially
    computeMean(scores, nScores);
    int stuff[100];
    computeMean(stuff, 100);
}

double computeMean(int a[], int n) // cannot check number of elements, must be passed as a parameter
{
    int total = 0;
    for (int k = 0; k < n; k++)
        total += a[k]; // passes directly by reference, not a copy
    return static_cast<double>(total) / n;
}
```

- const arrays cannot be modified
  - cannot be passed to functions modifying it (without const)
  - compiler catches the error

## C-Strings

---

```
#define _CRT_SECURE_NO_WARNINGS

#include <cstring>

char t[10] = { 'G', 'h', 'o', 's', 't' }; // allowed to have initializer list < total length
char t[10] = "Ghost"; // uses null character in order to denote end of a string, '\0' (zero byte)
char s[100] = "";
```

```

for (int k = 0; t[k] != '\0'; k++)
    cout << t[k] << endl;
cout << t; // cout << is overloaded

cin.getline(s, 100);

// s = t; Error! Can't assign arrays!
strcpy(s, t); // strcpy(destination, source), up to and including zero byte

strcat(s, "!!!"); // now s is "Ghost!!!"

// if (t < s) Compiles, but compares addresses, not the actual strings.
if (strcmp(a, b) < 0)

//if (strcmp(a, b)) //Yields OPPOSITE result
if (strcmp(a, b) == 0)

```

- c-strings are character arrays terminated by zero byte
- null pointer != null character (zero byte)
- technically, string literals are always c-strings
- declaring with double quotes automatically appends a zero byte
- don't use `k != strlen[t]` when iterating through c-string, instead use `t[k] != '\0'`
- function library with c-strings:
  - cstring library has **strlen()**, unlike c++ strings
  - **getline()** has different parameters for c-strings, string and buffer size
  - when using **strcpy()**, make sure t is a valid c-string and destination has a large enough size.
  - **strcat()** finds zero byte and then appends. Make sure destination string is big enough and has a zero byte.
  - **strcmp(a, b)** returns:
    - \* c++ strings: a OP b
    - \* c-strings: strcmp(a, b) OP 0
    - \* negative if a < b
    - \* 0 if a == b
    - \* positive if a > b
- use `#define _CRT_SECURE_NO_WARNINGS` to stop compiler warnings

## Comparing C++ Strings and C-Strings

C++ Strings	C-Strings
string s; // default constructor, guaranteed the empty string, unlike other built-in types	char s[100]; // uninitialized, not empty string
size()	strlen(t)
[ ] operator for characters	[ ] subscript operator
getline(cin, s); // read in input	cin.getline(s, 10); // read in input for 10 characters
s = t;	s = t; // error, arrays can't be assigned
s += "!!!";	s += "!!!"; // error, not supported
t < s	use strcmp(a, b) for comparison

## Converting C++ Strings and C-Strings

```

void f(const char cs[])
{
    ...
}

int main()
{
    string s = "Hello";
    f(s); // Won't compile
    f(s.c_str()); // OK

    char t[10] = "Ghost";
    s = t; // Assigning c-string to c++
    t = s; // Won't compile, can't use assignment OP with c-strings
    t = s.c_str(); // Won't compile
    strcpy(t, s.c_str()); // Works
}

```

## 2-D Arrays

Structured tables are easier to visualize, eg. calendar:

```

const int N WEEKS = 5;
const int N DAYS = 7;

```

```

int attendance[NWEEKS][NDAYS];
cout << attendance[2][5];

for (int w = 0; w < NWEEKS; w++) // Iterate through 2-D arrays with nested loops
{
    int t = 0;
    for (d = 0; d < NDAYS; d++)
        t += attendance[w][d];
    cout << "The total for week " << w << " is " << t << endl;
}

const string dayNames[NDAYS] = {
    "Monday", "Tuesday", "Wednesday", "Thursday", "Friday", "Saturday", "Sunday"
};

int grandTotal = 0; // Put assignment in the right area
for (int d = 4 /*Friday*/ ; d < NDAYS: d++)
{
    int t = 0;
    for (int w = 0; w < NWEEKS; w++)
        t += attendance[w][d];
    cout << "The total for " << dayNames[d] << "is " << t << endl;
    grandTotal += t;
}
cout << grandTotal;

```

## More 2-D Array Functions

```

double meanForADay(const int a[][NDAYS], int nRows, int dayNumber)
// MUST specify bounds for any other dimensions (first dimension usually pased as a parameter)
{
    if (nRows ≤ 0)
        return 0;
    for (int r = 0; r < nRows; r++)
        total += [r][dayNumber];
    return static_cast<double>(total) / nRows;
}

int main()
{
    int attendance[NWEEKS][NDAYS];

```

```

    double meanFri = meanForADay(attendance, N WEEKS, 4 /*Friday*/);
}

int multiplexChainAttendance[5][7][10][16];
// Valid, 10 multiplexes with 16 screenings, should use symbolic constants

void f(int b[][7][10][16], ...);

```

## 11.7.18

### Array of C-Strings

Array of c-strings is an array of array of chars - another 2-D array.

```

const int MAX_WORD_LENGTH = 6;

int countLength(const char a[][MAX_WORD_LENGTH + 1], int n, int targetLength);

int main()
{
    const int MAX_PETS = 5;

    char pets[MAX_PETS][MAX_WORD_LENGTH + 1] = {
        // Longest word length in this case is 6, +1 to account for zero byte.
        "cat", "mouse", "eel", "ferret", "horse"
    };

    cout << countLength(pets, MAX_PETS, 5); // How many 5-character strings?
}
// Only really 1-D arrays : (2-D arrays are simply arrays of elements,
// where each element is another array).
int countLength(const char a[][MAX_WORD_LENGTH + 1], int n, int targetLength)
{
    int total = 0;
    for (int k = 0; k < n; k++)
    {
        if (strlen(a[k]) == targetLength) // a[1], or shorthand for an element of the 2-D array,
            // is array of chars, can treat as c-string and use strlen()
    }
}

```

```

        // However, arrays and columns are not treated similarly
        total++;
    }
    return total;
}

```

- Restriction of having to predetermine size of arrays / c-strings: use const variables.
- If using `countLength()` with strings, similar structure:
  - pass array as `const string a[]`
  - `if(a[k].size() == targetLength)`

## Pointers

- Another way to implement passing by reference
    - `void f(int& n)` in C++, reference-to-int or another-name-for-some-int
    - in C, pointers are only way to pass by reference
  - Traverse arrays
  - Manipulate dynamic storage
  - Represent relationships in data structures
1. Pointers as alternative way to pass as reference:
    - pointers are variables that point to the memory location of another variable
      - eg. pointer 'xx' passes "an indication of where x is", not a copy of 'x'
      - stores values in variable where 'x' is, not in 'xx'

```

#include <cmath>
int main()
{
    polarToCartesian(r, angle, &x, &y);
}

void polarToCartesian(double rho, double theta, double* xx, double* yy)
{ // 'xx' is not a double!
  // cannot pass double to 'yy' either, will not compile
  // pointers (has actual value) and references (another name) are not same type
  // xx = rho * cos(theta); Will not compile!
  *xx = rho * cos(theta);
  *yy = rho * sin(theta);
}

```

- `double&` means reference-to-int or another-name-for-some-int
- `double*` means pointer-to-double or the-address-of-some-double
- `&x` means “generate a pointer to x” or “address of x” (operator)
- `*p` means “follow the pointer p” or “the object that p points to”

## More pointer practice

```
double a = 3.2;
double b = 5.1;
double* p = &a;
// double* q = 7.6; Won't compile, wrong types.
double c = a;
// double d = p; Another type incompatibility.
double d = *p;
double& dd = d; // Usually references are only used when passing to functions.
// p = b; Another type incompatibility.
p = &b; // Assigning one pointer to another
//or
// *p = b; Assigns b to a
*p += 4; // *p = *p + 4, b is 9.1
int k = 7;
// p = &k; Won't compile since &k is pointer to int and p is pointer to double
// bit patterns wouldn't match up
// cannot convert pointers of one type to another
int* z = &k; // New pointer type
cout << (k * b);
// cout << (k * p); Won't compile, can't multiply an int and a pointer.
cout << (k * *p); // Ignores whitespace, so equivalent to (k**p).
cout << (*z * *p);
```

## 11.14.18

## Pointers cont.

```
double* q;
// *q = 4.7; Undefined, trying to follow a pointer that hasn't been initialized
// Run-time error, similar to index-out-of-bounds.
// could be outside accessible memory, or stored in random program memory location
```

```

q = p; // points to b
double* r = &a;
*r = b; // assigns b to a;

if (p == r) // false. comparing two pointers
    cout << "Hello";

if (p == q) // true

if (*p == *r) // true

```

## 2. Pointers as an alternative to parsing through arrays:

- another way to visit each element

```

const int MAXSIZE = 5;
double da[MAXSIZE];
int k;
double* dp;

for (k = 0; k < MAXSIZE; k++)
    da[k] = 3.6;

for (dp = &da[0]; dp < &da[MAXSIZE]; dp++) // dp++ ==> dp +=1
    // dp = &da[0] + 1;
    // dp = &da[0 + 1];
    // dp = &da[1];
    // &da[t];
    // &da[0 + 5];
    // &da[0] + 5;
    // da + 5;

    *dp = 3.6;
    // *dp = 3.6;
    // *(&da[0]) = 3.6;
    // da[o] = 3.6;
// syntax of loop above is equivalent to:
for (dp = da; dp < da + MAXSIZE; dp++)

int lookup(const string* a, int n, string target)
{
    ... a[k] ...
}

int main()

```



```
{
  string sa[5] = {"cat", "mouse", "eel", "ferret", "horse"};
  lookup(sa, 5, "eel");
  lookup(&sa[0], 5, "eel");
  lookup(sa + 1, 3, "ferret"); // passing &sa[1], checks elements 2 through 4
}
```

- $*\&x \Rightarrow x$
- $\&a[i] + j \Rightarrow \&a[i + j]$
- $\&a[i] < \&a[j] \Rightarrow i < j$ , also other logical operators such as  $\leq$ ,  $!=$ , etc as long as referencing the same array
- allowed to generate pointer just past end of the array, but cannot follow that pointer
  - cannot generate pointer of negative index
- pointer arithmetic always in terms of the pointer type
- `dp++` in machine language is translated to adding 8 bytes to the pointer-to-double
- in most expressions, array name by itself is treated as pointer to element 0 of array
  - ie. when passing arrays to functions
    - \* `lookup(const string a[]), string sa[5], function call lookup(sa)`
    - `string a[]` is really `string*`
    - \* generates a pointer to `sa[0]`
    - \* could have passed `&sa[0]`
- $p[i] \Rightarrow *(p + i)$
- thus, can work on any contiguous portion of an array by passing a pointer

```
string* fp;
sstring fish[5];
fp = &fish[4]; // fp = fish + 4;
*fp = "yellowtail"; // fp[0] = "yellowtail";
*(fish + 3) = "salmon"; // fish[3] = "salmon";
fp -= 3;
fp[1] = "loach"; // (fp + 1)[0] = "loach"; fp isn't changed
fp[0] = "trout";
bool d = (fp == fish);
bool b = (*fp == *(fp + 1));

/* fp[1] = *(fp + 1)
   *fish, *fp
   fish[0], fp[0] */
```

## 11.19.18

---

### Even more pointers...

---

```
int findFirstNegative(double a[], int n) // Returning an index with array notation.
{
    for (int k = 0; k < n; k++)
    {
        if (a[k] < 0)
            return k;
    }
    return -1;
}

int findFirstNegative(double a[], int n) // Returning an index with pointer notation.
{
    for (double* p = a; p < a + n; p++)
    {
        if (*p < 0)
            return p - a; // How far *ahead* is one element from the other.
                        // Remember, in terms of memory, compiler is still
                        // working in terms of the type pointed to.
    }
    return -1;
}

double* findFirstNegative(double a[], int n) // Returning a pointer.
{
    for (double* p = a; p < a + n; p++)
    {
        if (*p < 0)
            return p;
    }
    return a + n; // Returns pointer just past end of the array.
    // return nullptr; Alternative return value.
}

int main() // Returning an index.
{
    double da[5];
    int fnpos = findFirstNegative(da, 5);
    if (fnpos == -1)
```

```

    cout << "No negatives." << endl;
else
{
    cout << "First negative value is " << da[fnpos] << endl;
    cout << "At element " << fnpos << endl;
}
}
int main() // Where findFirstNegative() returns a pointer.
{
    double da[5];
    double* pfn = findFirstNegative(da, 5);
    if (pfn == da + 5)
        // if (pfn == nullptr) Alternative.
        cout << "No negatives." << endl;
    else
    {
        cout << "First negative value is " << *pfn << endl;
        cout << "At element " << pfn - da << endl; // pfn - &da[0];
    }
}

```

- There is another way to indicate a pointer function has failed
- null pointer value
- c++11: nullptr
- earlier: NULL
- double\* p = nullptr;
  - if (p == nullptr)
  - if (p != nullptr)
  - \*p is undefined if p has null pointer value

```

int* p1;
int* p2 = nullptr;
... *p1 ... // undefined behavior : p is not initialized
... *p2 ... // undefined behavior: p has the null pointer value
              // Reality is that program usually crashes
              // 0x00000000 indicates null pointer

```

## Structures

- Remember, arrays must be of the same type.

- How to deal with keeping track of strings and other types all at once? (ie. data of employees)
  - Use multiple arrays with corresponding index.
  - But this is a little clunky to access corresponding values.
  - Want a collection of employees!
  - Can introduce *new* types into the language

```

struct Employee // Defines what it means to be an employer.
                // Usually means we will use a lot of Employees.
{
    string name; // Called data members (fields, instance variables, attributes).
    double salary;
    int age;
}; // This type *NEEDS* a semicolon!
    // Without semicolon, compiler will throw an error regarding the next line.
int main()
{
    Employee e1; // Has three data members.
                // Empty string, uninitialized double and int.
    Employee e2;
    e1.name = "Fred";
    e1.salary = 60000;
    e1.age = 50;

    e2.name = "Ethel";

    e1.age++; // Can do anything you would do to an int.

    cout << "Enter name: ";
    getline(cin, e2.name); // Can do anything you would do to a c++ string.

    Employee company[100];
    company[3].name = "Ricky";

    // To print name vertically:
    for (int k = 0; k ≠ company[3].name.size(); k++) // Same '.' operator
    {
        cout << company[3].name[k] << endl; // company[3]name is a string
    }
}

```

- member function syntax:
  - an object of some member type . the name of a member of that type
- Structures essentially add a new functional type through declaration

```

void printPaycheck(const Employee& e);
void celebrateBirthday(Employee* ep);
double totalPayroll(const Employee eps[], int n);

int main()
{
    Employee company[100];
    int nEmployees = 0;
    // fill some of arrays and set nEmployees

    printPaycheck(company[0]);
    celebrateBirthday(&company[2]);
    cout << totalPayroll(company, nEmployees);

    for (Employee* ep = company; ep < company + nEmployees; ep++) // ep goes forward one employ
        cout << ep->name << endl;
}

void printPaycheck(Employee e) // pass by value
{
    cout << "Pay to " << e.name << " the amount $" << e.salary/12 << endl;
    // Passing by value, copies company[0] to e!
    // Could be an issue when copying huge structures
}

void printPaycheck(const Employee& e) // pass by constant reference, e is another name for co
    // use const keyword, reference to a constant employee
    // makes it clear we are not modifying employee
{
    cout << "Pay to " << e.name << " the amount $" << e.salary/12 << endl;
}

void celebrateBirthday(Employee& e) // using reference to change object
{
    e.age++; // Won't compile if paramater is const Employee& e
}

void celebrateBirthday(Employee* ep) // using pointers to change object
{
    (*ep).age++; // NEED parantheses to bypass c++ order of operations
                // dot operator has higher precedence than star or ++ operator
    ep->age++; // or use arrow operator
}

double totalPayroll(const Employee eps[], int n) // array is really a pointer to first elemen
{
    double total = 0;

```

```

for (int k = 0; k < n; k++)
    total += emps[k].salary;
return total;
}

```

- caller's object should not change:
  - pass by value (cheap to copy)
  - pass by constant reference (not cheap to copy, large structure)
- caller's object should change
  - pass by non-constant reference
  - pass by non-constant pointers
- pointers can be declared constant as well
  - eg. constant types can only be assigned to the corresponding constant pointer type
  - for constant pointers, cannot modify the object being pointed to
  - but, that pointer itself can be modified, eg. replaced with another object's address
- a pointer to an object of some struct type -> the name of a member of that type
  - `p->m = (*p).m`
  - can't use `ep.age` or `e->age`

## 11.21.18

---

### More Structures, Classes, and Abstraction

---

- “abstraction” - generalizing operations
  - “abstracts” away the intricacies of the actual machine language process
  - just go through the interface, not the implementation (*how* processes are done)
  - eg. `*` operator for multiplication

Code example:

```

class Target
{
    public: // any part of the program can access these members
    // Member functions AKA operations, methods
    Target(); // constructor, automatically called when object is created
}

```

```

        // no return type, not even void, never const
void init(); // no longer necessary, use constructors instead
bool move(char dir);
int position() const; // const has to go after close parentheses, function promises not to
void replayHistory() const;

// Invariants (constraints, must have valid state):
//   History consistst only of Rs and Ls
//   pos == number of Rs in history minus number of Ls in history

private: // can only be mentioned in the implementations of the member functions
// Data members AKA fields, attributes, instance variables
    int pos;
    string history; // instead of array of ints or array of characters
};
Target::Target()
{
    pos = 0; // in implementation, if modifying data members, can leave off this→
            // compiler assumes we are talking about the object the function was called with
            // as long as local variables/parameters of the same name don't exist
    this->history = "";
}
void Target::init() // no longer necessary, use constructors instead
{
    this->pos = 0;
    this->history = "";
}
bool Target::move(char dir) // move() by itself has nothing to do with targets
                            // needs to relate back to the Target class
{
    switch (dir)
    {
        case 'R':
        case 'r': // member function can use the keyword 'this'
                  // is the pointer to target object that called member function
            this->pos++;
            break;
        case 'L':
        case 'l':
            this->pos--;
            break;
        default:
    }
}

```

```

        return false;
    }
    this->history += toupper(dir);
    return true;
}
int Target::position() const
{
    return this->pos;
}
void Target::replayHistory() const
{
    for (int k = 0; k < this->history.size(); k++) // this->history is a string!
        cout << this->history[k] << endl;
}
// can leave off this-> for all the above implementations!

void repeatMove(Target& x, char dir, int nTimes) // Non-member function! Not part of any type
{
    for (int k = 0; k < nTimes; k++)
        x.move(dir); // simply calls a public member function of Target
}
void f(const Target& x) // won't compile even though position() doesn't modify x
                        // compiler can't distinguish or check
                        // will compile after position() is declared as a const member function
{
    cout << x.position() << endl;
}
int main()
{
    Target t; // automatically calls constructor
    // t.init(); no longer necessary, use constructors instead
    // t.pos = 0;
    // t.history = "";

    t.move('R'); // move() member function with t object
                // member function is AUTOMATICALLY passed a pointer to t
                // calling move() should retain the target in a valid state
                // throwing away return value because 'R' is known to be valid
    t.replayHistory();

    Target t2;
    ...

```



```

t2.move('L');

char ch;
... read a character into ch
if (!t2.move())
    ... problem! ...
/*
t.pos++; // Nothing stops user from moving position but not recording in history
          // Is there a mechanism for minimizing the possibility of this issue?
t.history += 'R';
*/
t.pos = 42; // now it won't compile, private!

repeatMove(t, 'R', 3); // Non-member function; doesn't need to use structure syntax

cout << t.pos; // won't compile, can't even "look" at the data member!
cout << t.position();
}

```

- the name of some struct type :: the name of a member of that type
- steps of abstraction
- the bulk of the program should not be allowed to modify/access position/history
  - eg. can encourage this by having a built-in function that handles valid states for target (move())
  - user has to go through the provided interfaces
  - writer of program can specify permissions for variables, etc.
  - to enforce this, should set up a “wall” with “gates”, set up private data members
    - \* interfaces are the gates (accessible to user), implementations can access data members (inaccessible to user)
  - ie. code cannot directly access data members, but there are certain functions that can be called that do modify data members
  - member functions can also be private (helper functions)
- if user can't access data, how can we first instantiate these objects?
  - Let's try using an init() function
  - how 'bout constructors instead?
- now Targets can never be put in a bad state!
  - except if init() is never called!

## Syntax with Structures

Left-Side	Operator	Right-Side
an object of some member type	.	the name of a member of that type
a pointer to some object of some struct type	->	the name of a member of that type
the name of some struct type	::	the name of a member of that type

## Constructors

```
void f() // issues before Target had a constructor
{
    ...
    Target tg;
    ...
    tg.move('R'); // bug, window of opportunity between when target is created and then initial
    ...
    tg.init(); // tg isn't in a valid state until here
    ...
    ...
}
```

- close this window of opportunity for bugs
- c++ has an initialization function that is immediately called when object is created called a constructor
  - same name as its type
  - no return type, not even void
  - automatically called when object is created
  - eg. strings have a constructor that creates an empty string
  - constructors cannot be called separately from object creation
  - constructors can be private, but there must be at least one public constructor
    - \* otherwise results in a compile error when attempting to create an object

## Classes

- There is no difference between classes and structs in c++ except:
  - struct without explicit public/private declarations assumes by default public
  - class without explicit public/private declarations assumes by default private

- by convention:
  - for collection of data, eg. a point type, with no interesting behavior (functions), tend to use struct keyword
  - when adding behavior to types, eg. rotating or translating a point, tend to use class keyword

## 11.28.18

---

### Classes and Pointers

---

- Data members should generally be private for two reasons:
  - prevent data from being sent into a bad state
  - gives more freedom to change the implementation
    - \* otherwise, if the program is modified, program may no longer compile, data members accessed in program may no longer exist/different functionality
  - EXCEPT, if a simple struct that is just a collection of data AND there is no way to set the data to a bad state, probably better to have public data
    - \* eg. point struct vs. date class

Code example:

```
int main()
{
    Target ta[3]; // calls the constructor three times, sets each of them to a valid state
                 // constructor is called for each element of the array
                 // what if we don't know how many targets we need? (eg. expensive objects)
    ta[0].move('L');
    ta[1].move('R');
    repeatMove(ta[2], 'L', 3);
}

void f()
{
    while (...)
        playGame();
}

void playGame() // needs a lot of targets, but not at the beginning
{
    Target* targets[1000]; // cheap declaration and provides uninitialized targets!
}
```

```

int nTargets = 0;
...
if (...)
    addTargets(targets, nTargets, 3);
...
int i;
... something gives i a value, eg. 1
targets[i]→move('R'); // have to use arrow operator
...
delete targets[1]; // give a pointer to a dynamically allocated object
// this following process is necessary to shift the dangling pointer away
targets[1] = targets[2]; // have to get rid of dangling pointer
nTargets--;
targets[2] = nullptr; // not necessary, but comforting to some

// clearing all dynamically allocated memory
for (int k = 0; k < nTargets; k++)
    delete targets[k];
// now it's safe to leave the function
} // after playGame() ends, local variables (the array of pointers, ints) go away,
// but not the storage allocated to targets by the new keyword
// cannot even refer to these objects anymore; pointer variables have gone out of scope
// on each iteration, we don't get rid of target objects, leads to crash due to lack of mem

void addTargets(Target* ta[], int& nt, int howManyMore) // want to update number of targets
{
    for (int k = 0; k < howManyMore; k++)
    {
        /*
         * Target t;           // incorrect implementation: this creates a local target! (eg. local
         * ta[nt] = &t;        // at the end of the iteration of the loop, after the curly braces,
         * nt++;               // don't want to point to local variables
         */

        ta[nt] = new Target; // allocates space for a target, call the constructor, and returns a
                             // target has no name, only way to access is through that pointer
                             // storage for the object does not go away, even if that pointer dis

        nt++;
    }
}

class Person

```

```

{
    public:
        Person(string nm, int by); // constructors can take arguments
        string name() const;
    private:
        string m_name;
        int m_birthYear;
}
string Person::name() const // will not compile!!!
{
    return m_name; // does not realize if name is referring to data member or member function
}
Person::Person(string nm, int by)
{
    // there aren't really reasonable default initial values, so use a constructor with parameters
    m_name = nm; // or this → name = name if parameter was named 'name'
    m_birthYear = by;
}
Person p; // compiler writes a constructor that leaves built-in types uninitialized, but calls
Person p("Fred", 1999); // with a different constructor with arguments

```

- Another use for pointers: manipulating dynamic storage
  - eg. only create targets when we need to use them
  - built-in types are not initialized
  - array of targets is expensive, but an array of pointers to targets is very cheap (pointers are a built-in type)
  - use `new` keyword; this is called dynamic allocation
- targets created by the `new` keyword *DO NOT* go away unless explicitly told to
  - program may crash because there is no more storage, called a memory leak
  - “garbage” are objects that have been allocated, but are no longer accessible
  - issue may not be detected unless program runs for a while
- have to delete objects with `delete` keyword
  - `delete` takes a pointer to a dynamically allocated object
  - leaves a dangling pointer not pointing to any valid object, cannot follow that pointer (although it may look like the object is still there)
- naming conventions
  - the same name will often repeat in the data members, member functions, parameters, etc.
  - generally use the most direct name for the public member functions

- (will be seen/used the most)
- for data members then, should follow a pattern/convention
    - \* eg. `name_` or `m_name`
  - parameters are the least visible!
    - \* sp can have suggestive, but not necessarily ‘pretty’ name, eg. `nm`

## 11.30.18

---

### Classes and Pointers Review

---

```
int x = 5;
int y = 10;
int z = 15;
int* arr[3];
*arr = &x;
arr[0] = &x;
arr[1] = &y;
arr[2] = &z;

// c++ will write a constructor if there isn't one, simply calls the constructors for data members
struct Chair
{
    int height; // default to public
    int legs[4];
    void destroy();
};
class Table
{
    int height; // default to private
    void destroy();
public:
    Table(); // must be declared public
    ~Table(); // destructor, goes with delete()

    int getheight() const; // won't modify data members, won't call any const member functions
private:
    bool ischanged;
};
Table::Table()
```

```
{
    height = 10;
}
Table::getheight() const
{
    return height;
}

int DontChangeTable(const Table& t)
{
    // can only call const functions
}

Chair c1;    // memory is allocated for data members contiguously, similar to an array
             // function is also stored in memory
c1.destroy(); // looks for that function in c1's "array" of memory
Table t1;
t1.destroy(); // won't compile, this function can only be called with other Tables
Table* pt = &t1;
pt->destroy();
(*pt).destroy();
```

## Strange Code Interaction

```
#include <iostream>

class Table
{
private:
    int h;
public:
    int* hptr;
    Table()
    {
        h = 0;
        hptr = &h;
    }
};

int main()
{
    Table t;
    std::cout << *(t.hptr) << std::endl;
```

```

    (*(t.hptr))++;
    std::cout << *(t.hptr) << std::endl;
}

```

## Pointer Warmup

- Take in 2 int pointers and swaps those two ints

```

void swap(int* p1, int* p2)
{
    int temp = *p1;
    *p1 = *p2;
    *p2 = temp;
}
// Swap two pointers
void ptrSwap(int*& p1, int*& p2)
{
    int* temp = p1;
    p1 = p2;
    p2 = temp;
}

```

## Dynamic Allocation

- normal static objects have their attributes/sizes known at compile-time (static memory, the stack)
- vs. dynamically allocated objects are just a pointer to an object at compile-time (dynamic memory, the heap)
  - these must be deleted!
  - can't delete statically allocated memory!

## 12.3.18

---

## Memory & More Classes

---

- Where different types of variables can lie in memory:
  - local variables (automatic-variables) live on “the stack”
    - \* automatically go away, scope



- variables declared outside of any storage live in the “global storage area” (static storage area)
  - \* don’t automatically go away, live for the life of the program
  - \* end with main routine
- dynamically allocated objects live on “the heap”
  - \* manage using `new` and `delete` keywords

## Classes in Classes

```
class Toy
{...
};
class Pet
{
public:
    Pet(string nm, int initialHealth);
    ~Pet(); // destructor, no return type not even void, no arguments
    void cleanup();
    void addToy();

private:
    string m_name;
    int m_health;
    Toy* m_favoriteToy; // is an optional feature, can be a nullptr
    // Toy m_favoriteToy; not what is desired, Pet may or may not have a Toy
};
Pet::Pet(string nm, int initialHealth);
{
    m_name = nm;
    m_health = initialHealth;
    m_favoriteToy = nullptr; // all data members should be in a valid state
}
Pet::~Pet() // automatically called when object is about to go away
    // write destructors whenever cleanup is necessary, eg. dynamic variables
    // eg. strings have a destructor, remove targets from the display
{
    delete m_favoriteToy; // harmless if delete is passed a nullptr
}
void Pet::cleanup()
{
    delete m_favoriteToy;
}
void Pet::addToy()
```

```

{
    delete m_favoriteToy;    // delete old toy, harmless if nullptr
    m_favoriteToy = new Toy(); // must make sure to delete this Toy
}

void f()
{
    Pet p("Frisky", 20);
    p.addToy();
    p.addToy();
    Pet* pp;
    // pp = new Pet; // doesn't work!!!
    // only generates default constructor if there is no constructor
    pp = new Pet("Fido", 10);
    pp->addToy();
    // pp going away will not call the destructor automatically, it's a pointer
    delete pp;

    // p.cleanup(); // would work, but unpleasant to use
    // would have to call cleanup() every possible way we leave the function
    // delete p.m_favoriteToy; // WRONG, private data member

    // destructor is automatically called
    // but what if addToy() is called twice?

    Pet p("Fido", 10);
    Pet* pp = new Pet("Fluffy", 20); // 1+ arguments, use parentheses when constructing

    Target t;
    Target* tp = new Target;        // 1 argument, no parentheses
    Target* tp = new Target();
    Target t2();                    // compiles, but doesn't actually create a target
    // technically, this is a function declaration

    t2.move('R');
}

```

- if you declare no constructor at all:
  - compiler writes a zero-argument constructor (default-constructor) for you
    - \* any built-in data types are uninitialized
    - \* class data types have their default-constructors called (eg. strings default to empty string)

- if you do write a constructor with arguments, there is no zero-argument constructor automatically created

```
Target ta[100]; // default constructor, pos at 0
string sa[100]; // 100 empty strings
Employee ea[100]; // uninitialized ints, name is an empty string
// Pet pa[100]; // ??? no default constructor, compiler doesn't write one
// cannot declare an array where there is no default constructor whatsoever
// could define another default constructor, but what would the reasonable
// for some types, eg. string, there is a natural default value (empty string)
Pet* ppa[100]; // dynamically allocate new pets instead
```

## 12.05.18

### Classes with Pointers

- eg. for a class representation of a class registrar:
  - better for each class to have array of pointers to students
  - rather than arrays of actual students (who will take multiple classes, expensive)
  - ‘has-a’ relationship
  - but then how do we find all the courses a single student is taking?
    - \* need additional pointers the other way, from student to the class
    - \* ‘is-a’ relationship
- so, when to have objects within the class, or reference external objects with pointers?
  - directly contain: always there, existence tied to the class
  - pointer: optional, existence independent from the class

Code example:

```
class Fan // turn on fan of robot when carrying heavy rocks
{ public: void turnOn();
};
class Rock // various rocks in the arena
{ public: double weight() const;
};

class Robot
{
```

```

    Fan    m_cooler; // every robot has a fan, fans don't need to be in the game after robot is
    Rock* m_rock;    // rock is not always necessarily associated with the robot (optional)
};
void Robot::blah()
{
    if (m_rock != nullptr && m_rock->weight() ≥ 50) // arrow operator and check for null
        m_cooler.turnOn(); // dot operator
}

```

## More Classes in Classes

```

class Employee
{
public:
    Employee(string nm, double sal);
    void receiveBonus const;
    // void receiveBonus(double rate) const;
private:
    string m_name;
    double m_salary;
    Company* m_company;
};
Employee::Employee(string nm, double sal, company* cp)
{
    m_name = nm;
    m_salary = sal;
    m_company = cp;
}
Employee::receiveBonus() const // previously had parameter 'double rate'
{
    // cout << "pay to " << m_name << " $" << rate * m_salary << endl;
    cout << "pay to " << m_name << " $" << m_company->bonusRate() * m_salary << endl;
}

class Company
{
public:
    company();
    ~company();
    void hire(string nm, double sal);
    void setBonusRate(double rate);
    void giveBonuses() const;
}

```

```
    double bonusRate() const;
private:
    Employee* m_employees[100];
    int m_nEmployees;
    double m_bonusRate;
};
Company::Company()
{
    m_employees = 0;
    m_bonusRate = 0;
}
Company::~~Company(){
    for (int k = 0; k < m_nEmployees; k++)
        delete m_employees[k];
}
void Company::hire(string nm, double sal)
{
    if (m_nEmployees == 100)
        ERROR
    m_employees[m_nEmployees] = new Employee(nm, sal, this);
    m_nEmployees++;
}
void Company::setBonusRate(double rate)
{
    m_bonusRate = rate;
}
void Company::giveBonuses() const
{
    for (int k = 0; k < m_nEmployees; k++)
        m_employees[k]→receiveBonus(); // previously passed m_bonusRate
}
double Company::bonusRate() const
{
    return m_bonusRate;
}

int main()
{
    Company myCompany;
    myCompany.hire("Ricky", 80000);
    myCompany.hire("Lucy", 50000);
}
```

```
myCompany.setBonusRate(.02);
myCompany.giveBonuses();
Company yourCompany;
yourCompany.hire("Fred", 40000);
}
```

- what happens when a company goes away?
- in real world model, employees remain, find another job
- however, this implementation is not representative of the real world
  - thus, make sure to document which elements of reality are accounted for in programs
  - in this case, the employees go away when the company goes away
- when adding new data members, make sure to check constructors and destructors for additional behavior
- different possible implementations of a bonus function
  - company contains the bonus function, must ask for parts of the employee
  - employee contains the bonus function and is passed the bonus rate
  - employee contains a zero parameter bonus function
    - \* so now has to ask company for its bonus rate, but how does employee know which company to ask?
    - \* need pointers both ways

## Overloading

```
class Complex
{
public:
    Complex(double re, double im);
    Complex();
    double real() const;
    double imag() const;
private:
    double m_rho; // polar, more efficient apparently
    double m_theta;
};
Complex::Complex(double re, double im)
{
    m_rho = sqrt(re*re + im*im);
    m_theta = atan(im, re);
}
Complex::Complex() // can have multiple constructors with different number of arguments and/or
```

```
{ // function overloading works for any functions, not just constructors
    m_rho = 0;
    m_theta = 0;
}
double Complex::real() const
{
    return m_rho * cos(m_theta);
}
double Complex::imag() const
{
    return m_rho * sin(m_theta);
}

int main()
{
    Complex c1(4, -3); // 4-3i
    cout << c1.real(); // writes 4
    Complex ca[100]; // won't compile, no default constructor
}
```

- you can overload a function name if the functions differ in the number or types of parameters
  - overloading is not possible in C
  - would need functions with different names, eg. `drawRect()`, `drawCirc()`
  - vs. in C++, would only need one function named `draw()`

Code example:

```
void draw(Rectangle r);
void draw(Circle c);

int main()
{
    Rectangle a;
    Circle c;

    draw(a);
    draw(b)
}

void f(int i);
void f(double d);
```

```
void g(int i, double d);  
void g(double d, int i);  
  
int main()  
{  
    f(3);  
    f(3.0);  
  
    // what if there's not an exact match?  
    f('A'); // not int or double, but will be treated as an int  
    g(1, 2); // ambiguous! no best function! compilation error!  
}
```