

Little Learning is dangerous! *To Mr. Raihan*

K. Hussein

If we read the articles of Mr. Raihan, it seems that Mr. Raihan is not only a Pundit of Botany (as Mr. Mirza pointed out) but also pundit of Islamic studies, Koranic studies, Biblical studies, Christian studies, Jewish studies and Arabic language. WOW! But I have to mention to Mr. Raihan “little learning is dangerous”. Why? Because he takes bits and pieces randomly from Bible (Old Testament—Jewish Scripture, New Testament—Christian Scripture), Koran and Arabic language, yet without studying all thoroughly, he tries to create his own hypothesis, which will never be approved as thesis on earth. Do you know why folks? Because his hypothesis (or may be falsothesis? = false+thesis, in his case) lacks in facts, context, theme, history, analysis, critical understanding, etc. which shows that he does not study something thoroughly but yet wants to prove his dissertation with his false logical fallacy to earn his Ph. D.

He is claiming the word Islam means Peace. I have to say Wow to Mr. Arabic pundit Raihan!!! Why? Because **“The Arabic word 'Islam' simply means 'submission', and derives from a word meaning 'peace'. In a religious context it means complete submission to the will of God”**. Don't believe it Mr. Raihan—Arabic pundit? See the reference below because I copied and pasted from this [authentic Islamic link](http://www.islamicity.com/education/understandingislamandmuslims/default.asp?ContentLocation=/Education/UnderstandingIslamAndMuslims&CurrentPageID=5&Top=&Bottom=&Right=&Left=&SideBarWidth=&RightWidth=&LeftWidth=&SideBarLocation=&Style=&CatID=&Destination=/Education/UnderstandingIslamAndMuslims/5.asp) so that you may believe.

<<http://www.islamicity.com/education/understandingislamandmuslims/default.asp?ContentLocation=/Education/UnderstandingIslamAndMuslims&CurrentPageID=5&Top=&Bottom=&Right=&Left=&SideBarWidth=&RightWidth=&LeftWidth=&SideBarLocation=&Style=&CatID=&Destination=/Education/UnderstandingIslamAndMuslims/5.asp>>

He asked for explanation that according to the basic definition of Islam, which he thinks “peace”, how come Jihadists / Islamists, who are not peaceful, are Muslims? It seems that he does NOT know AT ALL the basic definition of Islam (central dogma, shahadah), yet he is an Islamic Pundit! Wow! Wow! Wow!

Why?

Because the basic definition of Islam is very straight forward, i.e. “There is no god but Allah, and Muhammad is His prophet.” Anybody, who wants to be converted to Islam is just required to proclaim this statement and follow the other pillars of Islam. This statement does not have **any such word “peace” nor do the other pillars of Islam have “peace”** (five pillars are Iman/Faith, Salah/Prayer, Zakah, Sawm/Fasting, Hajj/pilgrimage). This statement is very clear, any body who is Muslim, has to believe in **Allah and Muhammad BOTH**. Not only Allah. That's why all Muslims are required to believe in Koran—which is the word of Allah (comes from the statement “there is no god but Allah”) and Hadith—which is the word of Mohammad (comes from the statement “Muhammad is His prophet”). I think what Mr. Raihan is trying to do is creating a new religion. I would like to call it “Raihanism”. Why? Because in this new religion, the central dogma will be “There is no god but Allah”, that's it! The remaining

“Muhammad is His prophet” will be discarded which will imply that all the Hadith (Muhammad’s words, deeds, actions, principals) can be discarded too. Very creative, isn’t it? Or may be the new central dogma of “Raihanism” would be “there is no god but Allah, and Raihan is his last prophet”. Then future Raihanist (I defined Raihanist as the future followers of Raihan) would say “not only Jews, Christians had falsified their scriptures but Muslims also falsified theirs scriptures too, that’s why Allah sent Prophet Raihan as the last prophet and the messenger of Allah, and Raihanism is the superior religion”. This “Raihanism” cannot be called an Islamic sect. Why? Because “Raihanism” rejects the fundamental principal/central dogma of Islam, i.e. “There is no god but Allah, and Muhammad is His prophet.” If you accept Muhammad as the last prophet of Allah, then how dare you reject his words, deeds, actions, and principals (Hadiths)? If “Raihanism” discard Hadith, it clearly proves that “Raihanism” does not accept Muhammad’s prophet hood (divinity). It proves that “Raihanism” is not an Islamic sect but a totally separate religion, created by bits and pieces of other religions, such as Judaism, Christianity, and Islam (Same way Islam was created. It’s funny that “like father like son!!!). Fundamental principal/central dogma of any religion has to be same regardless of doctrinal and interpretational difference among the sects within the same religion. Mr. Raihan asked “...Who are those evil stupid nonsense idiots who impose additional stuffs on the Muslims in the name of Islam?” The answer is very simple Mr. Raihan, “Our beloved prophet Muhammad (PBUH)”. His prophet hood (divinity) is not given by you but by Allah, which is clearly said in the central Islamic dogma (Shahadah).

At least the principal of Isa/Jesus (Ingil Kitab/New Testament of the Bible) is much better than “Raihanism”, why? Because Kafir Christians, (e.g. Mother Teresa, other Christian missionaries) believe in only two commandments, i.e. love your God and love your neighbor as yourself, **to receive eternal life**. Don’t believe me? Well I have cited directly from the Bible, read it in Luke 10:25-37

On one occasion an expert in the law stood up to test Jesus. "Teacher," he asked, "what must I do **to inherit eternal life**?" "What is written in the Law?" he replied. "How do you read it?" He answered: "**Love the Lord your God with all your heart and with all your soul and with all your strength and with all your mind**"; and, '**Love your neighbor as yourself**.'" "You have answered correctly," Jesus replied. "**Do this and you will live**." But he wanted to justify himself, so he asked Jesus, "And who is my neighbor?" In reply Jesus said: "A man was going down from Jerusalem to Jericho, when he fell into the hands of robbers. They stripped him of his clothes, beat him and went away, leaving him half dead. A priest happened to be going down the same road, and when he saw the man, he passed by on the other side. So too, a Levite, when he came to the place and saw him, passed by on the other side. But a Samaritan, as he traveled, came where the man was; and when he saw him, he took pity on him. He went to him and bandaged his wounds, pouring on oil and wine. Then he put the man on his own donkey, took him to an inn and took care of him. The next day he took out two silver coins and gave them to the innkeeper. 'Look after him,' he said, 'and when I return, I will reimburse you for any extra expense you may have.'" "Which of these three do you think was a neighbor to the man who fell into the hands of robbers?" The expert in the law replied, "The one who had mercy on him." Jesus told him, "Go and do likewise." (NIV, Luke 10:25-37)

The central Islamic dogma is NOT “I believe in the peace of the world and love to my neighbors” but “there is no god but Allah, and Muhammad is His prophet.”, which is why, the peaceful people of the world such as Mother Teresa, and other Christian Kafir missionaries, Buddhist kafir peaceful monks, peaceful people of other religions, peaceful atheist people, peaceful secularists people, peaceful writers, peaceful artist, peaceful freethinkers, peaceful humanist are not considered Muslims or part of Islam but “Kafir or infidel or apostate”. Also for the same reason (i.e. “there is no god but Allah, and Muhammad is His prophet.”) Bin Laden, Alatolla Khomeni, and other islamic Molla/terrorist will continue to be the part of Islam and called to be Muslim until eternity because there is no requirement of “peace” under the five pillars and in the central dogma of Islam.