

**Ref: Khurshed Alam chowdhury's article: Why should Sheik Mujib deserve to be the Father of Nation.-My Response to A. M. Seraj!**

By Dr. Khurshed A. Chowdhury

[Mirza.syed@gmail.com](mailto:Mirza.syed@gmail.com)

April 23, 2007

In his April 16, 2007's diatribe some Mr. Abuusa M. Seraj (AMS) has expressed much anger in his long jargonized baseless self-articulated arguments using all possible vitriolic language. It is not difficult to understand why people like AMS become so much upset and shocked by my essay. Truth is always bitter! Those history distorters thought by their desperate propaganda of utter lies and conspiracies for a period of long 25 years they will be able to burry Bangabandhu's name from the glorious history of Bangladesh for good. But at last, they are painfully watching with great shock and dismay how miserably they have failed.

The laughable and jargonized reasons and arguments given by AMS having no historical references could be demolished by me but it is futile to rebut and correct such a serious devout Zia-sycophant-gun-puppi like AMS. Rather this will be a sheer wastage of my valuable time also. In my article I have made my points not by lip-service but by citing myriads of historical accounts recorded by people from Bangladesh and also from international fields. The citation of N.Y. Times (March 15, 1971) statement by Mr. Tillman I have used in my essay should be good enough to prove my claim. Since my essay was posted in several internet journals, I have received dozens of commendations having good and positive comments from all over the world supporting almost everything I have said about Bangabandhu Sheikh Mujibur Rahman.

But AMS called me sycophant because I claimed Sheikh Mujibur Rahman (SMR) as the founding father of Bangladesh. If supporting the truth of the Bangladesh History brings a title of "sycophant" to some people like me then I have a bad news for AMS. If a simple referendum is taken in Bangladesh today, at least 80% Bangali (sycophants?) will support SMR as the father of the Nation (it was 98.5% in 1972 for guaranteed). That does not mean that the other 20% will vote for the obscure Major of Pakistan as the founding father of Bangladesh! They will not, but hopelessly will try not to give the due place of SMR just like AMS. Even now, after 36 years of relentless history distortion (by some hypocrites like AMS), if a general vote is taken among the foreign nationals worldwide, at least 99.9% people (sycophants?) will agree that SMR was the leader of independence for Bangladesh in 1971, without any trace of doubt. If we investigate world's archives of all news papers of the period '71-'72 as well as recorded history, we will find only Bangabandhu SMR's name as the undisputed founding father or leader of Bangalis Independence. Nobody can discover any trace of Major Zia's name there at all. This is a challenge to this pundit AMS or to any other Zia-sycophants to disagree with my statistics.

People like AMS will always see only negative side of SMR but they forget that it was due to SMR—Bangali got an independent country, and it was due to great leader like SMR millions of Bangali got their identity as the citizens of a free nation. It was only for Bangabandhu's longtime political achievement Bangali Army officers like Ziaur Rahman or H.M.Ershad did not have to retire as Major or at best colonel of Pakistani Army. Major Zia read the declaration on 27<sup>th</sup> March, 1971 (even though it was unnecessary) on behalf of Bangabandhu which nobody deny that, nor anybody discredited him. But that simple reading of petty declaration does not make somebody like an obscure Pakistani major equal to gigantic national leader like SMR! In my essay I have suggested to give due respect to Gen. Zia as one of the eleven 'Sector Commanders' and valiant freedom fighter. What was wrong in that?

Bangabandhu himself also gave Major Zia due respect for his special contribution of reading declaration and perhaps it was only because of that, SMR gave him double promotion and made him Deputy chief of staff of newly formed Bangladesh Army. But what reward Gen. Zia gave to SMR? Gen. Zia secretly participated in the conspiracy of the brutal killing of SMR in 1975 coup, and he was the direct beneficiary of that horrific episode. Was it just a coincidence?

Gen. Zia rewarded all the killers of SMR, created indemnity law to protect the killers of the father of nation. And finally, he established Martial democracy inside the garb of so called multiparty democracy! He single handedly destroyed the very principle of secular Bangladesh (for which millions of Bangali shed their bloods) by altering country's sacred constitution. There were at least 21 army coup happened against his dictatorial rule by the rebel freedom fighters (Mukti-juddhas) and he controlled every one of them by brutally killing only those freedom fighters; thus eradicating most of the valiant freedom fighters like Cols. Khaled Mussarraf, Taher and likes of them.

Bangabandhu closed the doors of religious-based politics in the soil of Bangladesh by constitution for good. Gen. Zia opened the doors for all sorts of religious fanatics to do politics in the sacred soil of Bangladesh which they were bent to destroy it at its infancy. He openly favored and rehabilitated all the anti-liberation killer razakars and war criminals and allowed them to open their shops of religious based politics in the country. Islamization and Pakistanization of SMR's dreaming secular Bangladesh was ruined by his political hypocrisy. He is solely responsible for today's political corruptions, chaos of Islamic terrorists in the country. He openly promised to make Bangladesh politics very difficult and he made his promise successful. It was because of his political fashion of favoring anti-liberation forces nation today is still unable to honour the father of nation and utterly failed to honour those valiant muktijuddhas (freedom fighters). It was his political game made the nation divided, brought today's national tragedy of political chaos and uncertainty, political perils and national emergency is the direct result of his martial democracy he established in the secular born Bangladesh of SMR. So much for martial democracy of Gen. Zia! This is only a synopsis of what Gen. Zia achieved for Bangladesh. I have no scope to describe them all in the short response. Therefore, I should stop here today. Instead, let me ask Mr. AMS some pertinent questions:

1. Could you please tell me what would have happened if there was no reading or so called Ghoshana from Kalurghat temporary radio station?
2. Was this so called Ghosana (in the name of SMR) good enough to uplift an obscure Pakistani major having zero political roles up to the level of national leader?
3. If your answer to question #2 is “yes” then please tell us why Bangali people did not care, or pay minimum attention to show any special respect to then Maj. Zia?
4. Why on the other hand, millions of people were eagerly waiting at the Karmitolla Air-port to receive SMR, and why entire Dhaka city was flooded with Bangali people only to see SMR for a glance?
5. Why nation unanimously made him the supreme leader to form Bangladesh new government? And why not Zia the so called Ghosana leader of Bangali nation?
6. Could you give us just the name of one country in the whole world where people created such a historical chaos and confusions only to not to pay due respect to their father of the nation and to disrespect their other leaders of independence?
7. Could you tell us any good reason why BNP govt. did not take SMR’s name at all during 5 years of their rule and how they could celebrate Independence Day of Bangladesh without paying any respect to its father of the nation or to its leader of independence?
8. Finally, could you tell us who should be the ‘father of the nation’ of Bangladesh?

I urge Mr. AMS to respond to my above questions so that the honorable readers can understand why he protested to my article! Thanks.