


```
fun printFirst100(ns: List<Int>) {  
    for (i in 0..100)  
        println(ns[i])  
}
```

treeSet += "a new element"

TimeComplexities Game





O(1)

$O(\log n)$

$O(n)$

$O(n^2)$

list.sort()

`list.sorted()` has a medium time complexity of $O(n \log n)$
why is QuickSort being used and not a $O(n \log n)$ sorting algorithm?

hashMap["key"]

LinkedList[index]

```
list.flatMap { list }  
      .forEach { println(it) }
```

```
list.forEach{ print(it) }
```


hashSet += "a new element"









M



















treeMap["key"]

Time Complexities Game

$O(1)$

```
fun printFirst100(ns: List<Int>) {  
    for (i in 0..100)  
        println(ns[i])  
}
```

```
hashMap["key"]
```

```
hashSet += "a new element"
```

$O(\log n)$

```
treeSet += "a new element"
```

```
treeMap["key"]
```

$O(n)$

```
linkedList[index]
```

```
list.forEach { println(it) }
```

$O(n^2)$

```
list.sorted()
```

```
list.flatMap { list }  
    .forEach { println(it) }
```

`list.sorted()` has a medium time complexity of $O(n \log n)$
why is QuickSort being used and not a $O(n \log n)$ sorting algorithm?

Time Complexities Game

$O(1)$

```
fun printFirst100(ns: List<Int>) {  
    for (i in 0..100)  
        println(ns[i])  
}
```

```
hashMap["key"]
```

```
hashSet += "a new element"
```

$O(n)$

```
linkedList[index]
```

```
list.forEach { println(it) }
```

$O(\log n)$

```
treeSet += "a new element"
```

```
treeMap["key"]
```

$O(n^2)$

```
list.sorted()
```

```
list.flatMap { list }  
    .forEach { println(it) }
```

`list.sorted()` has a medium time complexity of $O(n \log n)$
why is QuickSort being used and not a $O(n \log n)$ sorting algorithm?