

Name _____

Roll Number _____

Rate this quiz. Easy/Moderate/Difficult

Quiz 1

MTH302: Set Theory and Mathematical Logic

(Odd Semester 2024/25, IIT Kanpur)

Question 1. [3 × 1 Points]

For each of the following statements, determine whether it is **true or false**. No justification required.

- (i) For every uncountable linear ordering $(L, <)$, there exists an infinite $X \subseteq L$ such that $(X, <)$ is a well-ordering.

Answer False. Consider $(\omega_1, >)$.

□

- (ii) The set of all irrational numbers has the same cardinality as the set of all real numbers.

Answer True. Since $|\mathbb{R}| = |\mathbb{Q} \cup \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q}| = \max(|\mathbb{Q}|, |\mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q}|) = \max(\omega, |\mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q}|)$. Since \mathbb{R} is uncountable, it follows that $|\mathbb{R}| = |\mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q}|$.

□

- (iii) There exists a sequence of sets $\langle X_n : n < \omega \rangle$ such that $|X_{n+1}| < |X_n|$ for every $n < \omega$.

Answer False. Otherwise $\{|X_n| : n < \omega\}$ does not have smallest ordinal.

□

Question 2. [7 Points]

- (a) [1 Point] State Schröder-Bernstein theorem.

Answer If there are injective functions from A to B and from B to A , then there is a bijection from A to B .

□

- (b) [2 Points] State Zorn's lemma.

Answer Let (P, \preceq) be a partial ordering such that every chain in P has an upper bound in P . Then (P, \preceq) has a maximal element.

□

- (c) [2 Points] Let $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be an additive function with $f(1) = 7$. Assume f is continuous at 0. Show that $f(x) = 7x$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$.

Answer It suffices to show that f is everywhere continuous. Let $x \in \mathbb{R}$. Then

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} f(x+h) = \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} (f(x) + f(h)) = \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} f(x) + \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} f(h) = f(x) + f(0) = f(x) + 0 = f(x)$$

where in the third equality we used the fact that f is continuous at 0.

□

- (d) [2 Points] Show that the set of all bijections from ω to ω is uncountable.

Answer Suppose not and towards a contradiction let $\langle f_k : k < \omega \rangle$ list all bijections from ω to ω . Define $d : \omega \rightarrow \omega$ as follows.

If $f_k(2k) = 2k + 1$, then $d(2k) = 2k$ and $d(2k + 1) = 2k + 1$.

If $f_k(2k) \neq 2k + 1$, then $d(2k) = 2k + 1$ and $d(2k + 1) = 2k$.

It is clear that d is a bijection from ω to ω distinct from each f_k (as $d(2k) \neq f_k(2k)$). This contradicts our assumption that $\langle f_k : k < \omega \rangle$ lists all bijections from ω to ω .

□