

Zorn's Lemma for subsets of sets:

Let X be a non-empty set and \mathcal{F} be a non-empty collection of subsets of X .

Let \mathcal{S} be a chain in \mathcal{F} i.e.

if $A, B \in \mathcal{S}$ then either $A \subseteq B$
or $B \subseteq A$.

Example:- Consider \mathcal{F} to be the power set $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{N})$ i.e. \mathcal{F} is the collection of all subsets of natural numbers \mathbb{N} .
Let $\mathcal{S} = \{ \{1\}, \{1, 2\}, \{1, 2, 3\}, \dots, \{1, 2, \dots, n\} \}$
Then \mathcal{S} is a chain.

If $\mathcal{S} = \{ \{1, 2\}, \{1, 3\}, \{1, 2, 3\} \}$
then \mathcal{S} is not a chain as $\{1, 2\}$
& $\{1, 3\}$ are not subset of each other.

Upper bound of a Chain:- Let \mathcal{S} be a

chain in \mathcal{F} . An element $P \in \mathcal{F}$ is said to be an upper bound for \mathcal{S} if
 $\forall A \in \mathcal{S}, A \subseteq P$.

Zorn's lemma: Let \mathcal{F} be a non-empty collection of subsets of X . If every chain in \mathcal{F} has an upper bound in \mathcal{F} then there exists a maximal element of \mathcal{F} i.e. \exists an element $M \in \mathcal{F}$, s.t. $\forall A \in \mathcal{F}$, $M \not\subset A$.

Theorem: Every non-zero vector space has a basis.

Proof:- Let V be a vector space & $V \neq \{0\}$

Let \mathcal{F} be a collection of all linearly independent subsets of V . $\mathcal{F} \neq \emptyset$ as $\exists v \in V$ s.t. $v \neq 0$ & $\{v\}$ is a linearly independent set & hence $\{v\} \in \mathcal{F}$.

Let \mathcal{C} be a chain in \mathcal{F} . Then for any two elements $A, B \in \mathcal{F}$ either $A \subseteq B$ or $B \subseteq A$.

$$\text{Let } P = \bigcup_{A \in \mathcal{C}} A$$

Claim:- P is a linearly independent set.

Proof of claim:- Let F be any finite subset of P . As F is finite there exists finitely many elements A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n in \mathcal{C} such that $F \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^n A_i$.

As \mathcal{C} is a chain there exists A_k such that $A_1, \dots, A_{k-1}, A_{k+1}, \dots, A_n \subseteq A_k$.

Hence $\bigcup_{i=1}^n A_i = A_k$ & this implies $F \subseteq A_k$.

As $A_k \in \mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathcal{F}$. A_k is a linearly independent set & hence F is linearly independent. So, any finite subset of P is linearly independent. Hence, P is linearly independent.

Thus, $P = \bigcup_{A \in \mathcal{L}} A$ is an upper bound

of the chain \mathcal{L} and $P \in \mathcal{F}$.

By Zorn's lemma, \exists a maximal element $M \in \mathcal{F}$.

So, M is linearly independent.

Claim:- Linear span of M is whole of V
i.e. $L(M) = V$

Pf. of claim:- Suppose $L(M) \subsetneq V$.

then $\exists x \in V$ such that $x \notin L(M)$

Let $Q = M \cup \{x\}$, then Q is
Linearly independent, $M \subsetneq Q$ & $Q \in \mathcal{F}$.

This contradicts maximality of M .

Hence, $L(M) = V$

So, M is a basis of V .

□