Name	
Roll Numbe	r

Quiz 2

MTH302: Set Theory and Mathematical Logic

(Odd Semester 2024/25, IIT Kanpur)

Question 1. $[3 \times 1 \text{ Points}]$

For each of the following statements, determine whether it is true or false. No justification required.

- (i) If $T \vdash (\phi \lor \psi)$, then either $T \vdash \phi$ or $T \vdash \psi$.
- False. Consider $T = \emptyset$, $\phi \equiv \exists_{\geq 2}$ and $\psi \equiv \neg \phi$.
- (ii) $(\mathbb{Z}, +)$ is an elementary submodel of $(\mathbb{Q}, +)$.
 - False. Let $\psi \equiv (\forall x)(\exists y)(y+y=x)$. Then $(\mathbb{Q},+) \models \psi$ and $(\mathbb{Z},+) \models \neg \psi$.
- (iii) If $A \subseteq \omega$ and $\omega \setminus A$ are both c.e., then A is computable.
 - True. See Slide 158.

Question 2. [7 Points]

[2 Points] Let \mathcal{L} be a first order language and T be an \mathcal{L} -theory.	
(i) Define what it means for T to be consistent .	
Solution: There is no \mathcal{L} -sentence ϕ such that $T \vdash \phi$ and $T \vdash \neg \phi$.	
(ii) Define what it means for T to be complete .	
Solution: For every \mathcal{L} -sentence ϕ , either $T \vdash \phi$ or $T \vdash \neg \phi$.	
[2 Points] Let \mathcal{L} be the empty language. Show that $T = \{\exists_{\geq n} : n \geq 2\}$ is a complete \mathcal{L} -theory.	
Solution: Note that $M \models T$ iff $ M \ge \omega$. So T is consistent and it does not have a finite model. is κ -categorical for every infinite cardinal κ . By the theorem on Slide 141, T is complete.	Also T
	 (i) Define what it means for T to be consistent. Solution: There is no L-sentence φ such that T ⊢ φ and T ⊢ ¬φ. (ii) Define what it means for T to be complete. Solution: For every L-sentence φ, either T ⊢ φ or T ⊢ ¬φ. [2 Points] Let L be the empty language. Show that T = {∃≥n : n ≥ 2} is a complete L-theory. Solution: Note that M ⊨ T iff M ≥ ω. So T is consistent and it does not have a finite model.

(c) [3 Points] Describe all elementary submodels of $(\mathbb{Q}, +)$.

Solution 1: Suppose $M \subseteq \mathbb{Q}$ and (M,+) is an elementary submodel of $(\mathbb{Q},+)$. First note that $0 \in M$ since $(\exists x)(x+x=x)$ is true in $(\mathbb{Q},+)$. Since $(\mathbb{Q},0,+)\models \mathrm{TFDAG}$, by elementarity, $(M,0,+)\models \mathrm{TFDAG}$. As models of TFDAG are \mathbb{Q} -linear vector spaces, M must be closed under multiplication by rationals. Also, M is infinite because $\mathbb{Q}\models \exists_{\geq n}$ and therefore $(M,+)\models \exists_{\geq n}$ for every $n\geq 2$. Pick any $a\neq 0$ in M. Then the product $r\cdot a\in M$ for every $r\in \mathbb{Q}$. It follows that $\mathbb{Q}\subseteq M$. So $M=\mathbb{Q}$. Hence the only elementary submodel of $(\mathbb{Q},+)$ is $(\mathbb{Q},+)$.