Homeworks

Iushin Nikolai

2025-04-27

Contents

1	Homework 2	1
	1.1 Q1. CES Utility	1
	1.2 Q2. Cobb-Douglas in an Open Economy	2
2	Homework 3 – Equivalence of the Two Equilibria	2
	2.1 Definitions	2
	2.2 Situation 1: Separate Free Trade	3
	2.3 Situation 2: Integrated World Economy	3
	2.4 Conclusion	3
	2.5 Graphical Intuition	3
3	Homework 4	3
	Homework 4 3.1 Q1. Equilibrium in DFS (1977) with $U = \int_0^1 b(z) \ln c(z) dz$	3
	3.2 Q2. Intuitive transition when $L^* \to L^{*\prime}$	4
4	Homework 5	5
5	Homework 6	5

1 Homework 2

1.1 Q1. CES Utility

Given

$$u = \left(\gamma\,y_1^{\frac{\sigma-1}{\sigma}} + y_2^{\frac{\sigma-1}{\sigma}}\right)^{\frac{\sigma}{\sigma-1}}, \qquad \text{budget: } p_1y_1 + p_2y_2 = I.$$

Find the ratio y_1/y_2 that maximizes u.

Solution

1. Define the intermediate function

$$V = \gamma y_1^{\frac{\sigma - 1}{\sigma}} + y_2^{\frac{\sigma - 1}{\sigma}}$$

and set up the Lagrangian

$$\mathcal{L} = V + \lambda \left(I - p_1 y_1 - p_2 y_2 \right).$$

2. First-order conditions:

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial y_1} = \gamma \, \frac{\sigma - 1}{\sigma} \, y_1^{\frac{\sigma - 1}{\sigma} - 1} - \lambda \, p_1 = 0, \quad \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial y_2} = \frac{\sigma - 1}{\sigma} \, y_2^{\frac{\sigma - 1}{\sigma} - 1} - \lambda \, p_2 = 0.$$

3. Divide the two equations to eliminate λ :

$$\frac{\gamma \frac{\sigma-1}{\sigma} y_1^{\frac{\sigma-1}{\sigma}-1}}{\frac{\sigma-1}{\sigma} y_2^{\frac{\sigma-1}{\sigma}-1}} = \frac{p_1}{p_2} \implies \gamma y_1^{-\frac{1}{\sigma}} p_2 = y_2^{-\frac{1}{\sigma}} p_1 \implies \left(\frac{y_1}{y_2}\right)^{\frac{1}{\sigma}} = \frac{\gamma p_2}{p_1}.$$

4. Therefore, the optimal ratio is

$$\boxed{\frac{y_1}{y_2} = \left(\gamma \frac{p_2}{p_1}\right)^{\sigma}}$$

1.2 Q2. Cobb–Douglas in an Open Economy

Given

$$U(y_1, y_2) = y_1^{\alpha} y_2^{\beta}, \quad \alpha + \beta = 1,$$

endowment (y_1^e, y_2^e) , world prices (p_1, p_2) .

1. **Income** (value of endowment):

$$I = p_1 y_1^e + p_2 y_2^e$$
.

2. Budget constraint

$$p_1 y_1 + p_2 y_2 = I.$$

3. Maximization yields standard expenditure shares:

$$p_1 y_1 = \alpha I, \quad p_2 y_2 = \beta I.$$

4. Demand functions:

$$y_1^* = \frac{\alpha I}{p_1}, \quad y_2^* = \frac{\beta I}{p_2}.$$

5. Substituting $I = p_1 y_1^e + p_2 y_2^e$ gives:

$$y_1^* = \alpha \left(y_1^e + \frac{p_2}{p_1} y_2^e \right), \quad y_2^* = \beta \left(\frac{p_1}{p_2} y_1^e + y_2^e \right).$$

2 Homework 3 – Equivalence of the Two Equilibria

Claim. The home country's equilibrium under

- 1. "Separate free-trade" (Situation 1) and
- 2. "Integrated world economy" (Situation 2)

coincide. In both cases the relative price p is the same, so home produces and consumes the same bundle.

2.1 Definitions

• Let

$$RS_H(p)=$$
 home's relative-supply of good 1 (units of 1 per 2) at price p ,
$$RS_F(p)=$$
 foreign's relative-supply of good 1 at price p ,
$$RS_W(p)=RS_H(p)+RS_F(p) \quad \text{(world relative-supply)}.$$

• Let RD(p) be world relative-demand (units of 1 per 2) at price p.

2.2 Situation 1: Separate Free Trade

1. World price determination.

Since both countries take the same world price p and supply goods competitively, the **aggregate** equilibrium price p^* solves

$$RS_W(p^*) \ = \ RD(p^*) \, .$$

2. Country-specific outcome.

At p^* , home chooses its profit-maximizing production point on its PPF and its utility-maximizing consumption on its indifference map. Call this bundle (y_1^H, y_2^H) .

2.3 Situation 2: Integrated World Economy

1. Aggregate economy.

Treat home + foreign as one big country with relative-supply

$$RS_W(p) = RS_H(p) + RS_F(p).$$

2. World equilibrium.

The integrated economy's equilibrium price \tilde{p} solves the **same** equation

$$RS_W(\tilde{p}) = RD(\tilde{p}).$$

3. Specialization assumption.

At \tilde{p} (between the two autarky prices), home fully specializes in good 1 and foreign in good 2, but the determining equation for \tilde{p} is identical.

2.4 Conclusion

• Both situations pick the **same** relative price:

$$p^* = \tilde{p}$$
.

- Home faces the same price in both cases, so by price-taking behavior its production & consumption plan (y_1^H, y_2^H) is **identical**.
- Therefore the two equilibria coincide for the home country.

2.5 Graphical Intuition

- In both pictures you draw the world relative-supply curve $RS_W(p)$ and the relative-demand curve RD(p).
- The intersection $RS_W = RD$ is **exactly** the same point in Situation 1 and Situation 2.
- Home then "picks off" its point on its own PPF at that price—so you end up at the same coordinate in both diagrams.

Hence the equilibria are equal.

3 Homework 4

3.1 Q1. Equilibrium in DFS (1977) with $U = \int_0^1 b(z) \ln c(z) dz$

1. Preferences & demand

• Utility:

$$U = \int_0^1 b(z) \ln c(z) \, dz, \quad \int_0^1 b(z) \, dz = 1.$$

• FOC w.r.t. c(z):

$$\frac{b(z)}{c(z)} = \lambda \, p(z) \quad \Longrightarrow \quad c(z) = \frac{b(z)}{\lambda \, p(z)}.$$

• Budget $\int_0^1 p(z)c(z) dz = I$ implies $\lambda = 1/I$, so

$$c(z) = I \, \frac{b(z)}{p(z)}.$$

• Expenditure share on good z:

 $p(z) c(z) = I b(z) \rightarrow$ "Cobb-Douglas" across the continuum.

- 2. Production & zero profit
 - Home has unit-labour requirements a(z), foreign $a^*(z)$.
 - Let relative wage $\omega = w/w^*$.
 - Zero-profit prices:

$$p(z) = \begin{cases} w \, a(z), & z < z^*, \\ w^* \, a^*(z), & z > z^*, \end{cases}$$

where the **cutoff** z^* solves

$$w\,a(z^*) = w^*\,a^*(z^*) \quad \Longrightarrow \quad \omega = \frac{a^*(z^*)}{a(z^*)}.$$

- 3. World relative-supply & demand
 - Supply of home goods (z $[0,z^*]$) vs. foreign goods (z $[z^*,1]$):

$$RS(\omega) = \frac{L \int_0^{z^*} 1/a(z) \, dz}{L^* \int_{z^*}^1 1/a^*(z) \, dz}.$$

• Demand for home goods:

$$RD(\omega) = \frac{\int_0^{z^*} b(z) \, dz}{\int_{z^*}^1 b(z) \, dz}.$$

• Equilibrium requires simultaneously

$$RS(\omega) = RD(\omega), \quad \omega = \frac{a^*(z^*)}{a(z^*)}.$$

- 4. Effect of b(z)
 - Only the **shape** of the relative-demand curve $RD(\omega)$ changes (weights b(z) instead of uniform).
 - The qualitative features—existence of a unique cutoff z^* , full specialization on each side—remain intact.

3.2 Q2. Intuitive transition when $L^* \to L^{*'}$

- 1. Foreign labor rises supply shift
 - Larger L^* raises foreign output of every good world **relative supply** of home goods falls.
- 2. Relative-price adjustment
 - To clear the market, the relative price $\omega = w/w^*$ must **decline**.

- Graphically, the supply curve A(z) shifts so its intersection with demand B(z) moves from E to E'.
- 3. New cutoff z^*
 - Lower ω solves $\omega = a^*(z^*)/a(z^*)$ at a smaller z^* .
 - Home now specializes in a narrower set of goods (only the lowest-z range).
- 4. Partial-equilibrium analogy
 - Just like \uparrow supply in a single market $\rightarrow \downarrow$ price + new quantity, here \uparrow foreign labor endowment $\rightarrow \downarrow$ relative price of home goods + adjusted specialization until the new intersection E' clears the world market.

4 Homework 5

Answer. Since

$$a_i(j) \sim \text{Weibull}(\text{shape} = \alpha_i, \text{ scale} = \frac{1}{\lambda_i})$$

and

$$p_{ni}(j) = \frac{w_i}{d_{ni}} \ a_i(j),$$

it follows that $p_{ni}(j)$ is also Weibull with

- Shape parameter: α_i
- Scale parameter: $\frac{w_i}{d_{ni}\,\lambda_i}$

Its CDF is

$$\begin{split} F_p(x) &= \Pr (p_{ni} \leq x) = \Pr \Big(a_i \leq \frac{d_{ni}}{w_i} \, x \Big) \\ &= 1 - \exp \! \Big[- \big(\lambda_i \cdot \frac{d_{ni}}{w_i} \, x \big)^{\alpha_i} \Big]. \end{split}$$

5 Homework 6

Let

$$\begin{array}{ll} V\big(y_1;L,K\big) \; = \; \max_{\substack{L_1+L_2 \leq L \\ K_1+K_2 \leq K \\ f_1(L_1,K_1) \geq y_1}} f_2(L_2,K_2) \end{array}$$

be the PPF viewed as a function of endowments (L, K) at fixed y_1 . Then:

1. Envelope theorem

At the optimum,

$$\frac{\partial V}{\partial L} = \lambda_L, \quad \frac{\partial V}{\partial K} = \lambda_K,$$

where λ_L, λ_K are the Lagrange multipliers on the labor and capital constraints.

2. CRS homogeneity

Because each sector's technology is CRS,

$$\lambda_L L + \lambda_K K = f_2(L_2^*, K_2^*) = V(y_1; L, K).$$

3. Tripling endowments

Take $(L, K) \to (3L, 3K)$. Then by the envelope theorem and homogeneity,

$$V(y_1; 3L, 3K) - V(y_1; L, K) = 2\big(\lambda_L L + \lambda_K K\big) = 2\,V(y_1; L, K).$$

Hence

$$V(y_1; 3L, 3K) = 3 V(y_1; L, K).$$

4. Conclusion: outward shift For every y_1 , the maximum feasible y_2 triples. Equivalently,

$$\boxed{\text{New PPF: } y_2^{\text{new}}(y_1) = 3\,y_2^{\text{old}}(y_1)}.$$

The frontier simply scales out by a factor of 3, with its slope at each point unchanged.