


## Peeking inside FFORMS: Feature-based FORecast-Model Selection

Thiyanga Talagala, Rob J Hyndman, George Athanasopoulos 18 June 2019


## **Big picture**






What algorithm is likely to perform best?


## **Big picture**





- What algorithm is likely to perform best?
- Algorithm selection problem, John Rice (1976)



































#### **Forecast-models included**

- White noise process
- ARMA/AR/MA
- ARIMA
- SARIMA
- Random walk with drift
- Random walk
- Seasonal naive
- TBATS
- neural network forecasts
- Theta method

- STL-AR
- ETS-without trend and seasonal
- ETS-trend
- ETS-damped trend
- ETS-trend and seasonal
- ETS-damped trend and seasonal
- ETS-seasonal
- MSTL-ETS
- MSTL-ARIMA

#### Time series features

- length
- strength of seasonality
- strength of trend
- linearity
- curvature
- spikiness
- stability
- lumpiness
- spectral entropy
- Hurst exponent
- nonlinearity

- unit root test statistics
- parameter estimates of Holt's linear trend method
- parameter estimates of Holt-Winters' additive method
- ACF and PACF based features calculated on raw, differenced, seasonally-differenced series and remainder series.

## **Results: M4 Competition data**


	Yearly	Quarterly	Monthly	Weekly	Daily	Hourly
FFORMS	3.17	1.20	0.98	2.31	3.57	0.84
auto.arima	3.40	1.17	0.93	2.55	-	-
ets	3.44	1.16	0.95	-	-	-
theta	3.37	1.24	0.97	2.64	3.33	1.59
rwd	3.07	1.33	1.18	2.68	3.25	11.45
rw	3.97	1.48	1.21	2.78	3.27	11.60
nn	4.06	1.55	1.14	4.04	3.90	1.09
stlar	-	2.02	1.33	3.15	4.49	1.49
snaive	-	1.66	1.26	2.78	24.46	2.86
tbats	-	1.19	1.05	2.49	3.27	1.30
wn	13.42	6.50	4.11	49.91	38.07	11.68
mstlarima	-	-	-	-	3.84	1.12
mstlets	-	-	-	-	3.73	1.23
combination (mean)	4.09	1.58	1.16	6.96	7.94	3.93
M4-1st	2.98	1.12	0.88	2.36	3.45	0.89
M4-2nd	3.06	1.11	0.89	2.11	3.34	0.81
M4-3rd	3.13	1.23	0.95	2.16	2.64	0.87

## **Results: M4 Competition data**

	Yearly	Quarterly	Monthly	Weekly	Daily	Hourly
FFORMS	3.17	1.20	0.98	2.31	3.57	0.84
auto.arima	3.40	1.17	0.93	2.55	-	-
ets	3.44	1.16	0.95	-	-	-
theta	3.37	1.24	0.97	2.64	3.33	1.59
rwd	3.07	1.33	1.18	2.68	3.25	11.45
rw	3.97	1.48	1.21	2.78	3.27	11.60
nn	4.06	1.55	1.14	4.04	3.90	1.09
stlar	-	2.02	1.33	3.15	4.49	1.49
snaive	-	1.66	1.26	2.78	24.46	2.86
tbats	-	1.19	1.05	2.49	3.27	1.30
wn	13.42	6.50	4.11	49.91	38.07	11.68
mstlarima	-	-	-	-	3.84	1.12
mstlets	-	-	-	-	3.73	1.23
combination (mean)	4.09	1.58	1.16	6.96	7.94	3.93
M4-1st	2.98	1.12	0.88	2.36	3.45	0.89
M4-2nd	3.06	1.11	0.89	2.11	3.34	0.81
M4-3rd	3.13	1.23	0.95	2.16	2.64	0.87

■ Can we trust ML-algorithms if we don't know how it works?






## Global explanation of feature contribution

Overall role of features in the choice of different forecast-model selection.


- contribution to predictive accuracy
  - Permutation-based variable importance
  - Mean decrease in Gini coefficient
- causality: change in the value of Y for an increase or decrease in the value of x
  - Partial dependence plots (Jerome H. Friedman, 2001)
  - Individual Conditional Expectation (ICE) curves (Goldstein et al., 2015; Zhao and Hastie, 2017)
















## Partial dependence curve and ICE curves







## Feature importance plots for yearly data



## Partial dependency plots for yearly data




## Partial dependency plots for quarterly data




## Partial dependency plots for monthly data

linearity: estimated value of  $\beta_1$  based on  $T_t = \beta_0 + \beta_1 \phi_1(t) + \beta_2 \phi_2(t) + \varepsilon_t$ 



## **Hourly series**


## multiple seasonality




## Hourly data

- daily 24
  - weekly 168

## Feature importance plots for hourly data




## Partial dependency plots for hourly data: Seasonality



## Partial dependency plots for hourly data: entropy

forecastability of a time series



#### **Interaction effect**

Friedman's H-statistic


fraction of variance of two-variable partial dependency not captured by sum of the respective individual partial dependencies.

#### Interaction effect

#### Friedman's H-statistic

fraction of variance of two-variable partial dependency not captured by sum of the respective individual partial dependencies.

# **Hourly:** interaction between linearity and seasonal lag at seasonally-differenced series




#### Interaction effect

#### Friedman's H-statistic

fraction of variance of two-variable partial dependency not captured by sum of the respective individual partial dependencies.

## **Hourly:** interaction between linearity and seasonal lag at seasonally-differenced series



#### **Discussion**

Global perspective of feature contribution: the overall role of features in the choice of different forecast-models.

#### **Discussion**

Global perspective of feature contribution: the overall role of features in the choice of different forecast-models.

What next? Local perspective of feature contribution: zoom into local regions of the data to identify which features contribute most to classify a specific instance.

## R package



available at: https://github.com/thiyangt/seer

```
devtools::install_github("thiyangt/seer")
library(seer)
```