

Theorem

Theorem

State i is

recurrent if $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P_{ii}^n = \infty,$

transient if $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P_{ii}^n < \infty,$

Proof

Proof

Let

$$I_n = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } X_n = i, \\ 0 & \text{if } X_n \neq i. \end{cases}$$

—

Then what about $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} I_n$?

Then $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} I_n$ represents the number of times that the process is in state i .

$$E\left[\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} I_n | X_0 = i\right]$$

$$E \left[\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} I_n | X_0 = i \right]$$

represents the expected number of times the process is in state i , given the process was in i at the beginning.

—

Given that the process starts at state i , what is the expected number of times that the process will be in state i .

$$E\left[\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} I_n | X_0 = i\right]$$

$$= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} E[I_n | X_0 = i]$$

$$E \left[\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} I_n | X_0 = i \right]$$

$$= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} E[I_n | X_0 = i]$$

$$= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (1P(I_n = 1 | X_0 = i) + 0P(I_n = 1 | X_0 = i))$$

$$= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} P(I_n = 1 | X_0 = i)$$

$$= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} P(X_n = i | X_0 = i)$$

$$= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} P_{ii}^n$$

Corollary 1

If state i is recurrent, and state i communicates with state j ($i \leftrightarrow j$), then state j is recurrent.

Since state i communicates with state j , there exists k and m such that

$$P_{ij}^{(k)} > 0$$

$$P_{ji}^{(m)} > 0$$

$$P_{jj}^{(m+n+k)} \geq P_{ji}^{(m)} P_{ii}^{(n)} P_{ij}^{(k)}$$

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} P_{jj}^{(m+n+k)} \geq \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} P_{ji}^{(m)} P_{ii}^{(n)} P_{ij}^{(k)}$$

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} P_{jj}^{(m+n+k)} \geq P_{ji}^{(m)} P_{ij}^{(k)} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} P_{ii}^{(n)}$$

Corollary 2

In a Markov Chain with a finite number of states not all of the states can be transient (There should be at least one recurrent state).

Proof:

Suppose not. Then the MC will runput of states not to go to an infinite number of times. This is a contradiction.

Corollary 3

If one state in an equivalent class is transient, then all other states in that class are also transient.

Proof:

Suppose not, i.e, suppose there is a recurrent state. Since all states in the equivalent class communicate, corollary 1 implies all states are recurrent. This is a contradiction.

