Thomas Koch

thomas@koch.ro

matriculation number 7250371

January 4, 2012

Fernuniversität Hagen

Faculty of mathematics and computer science

Contents

1. Motivation					
2.	Advantages of REST for Groupware data				
3.	Evaluation of APIs 3.0.1. Algermissen's Classification of HTTP-based APIs				
4.	Existing Groupware data APIs 4.1. IMAP (Kolab)				
5.	ATOM				
6.	. Persistency for Groupware Data				
7.	Synchronizing a large collection	g			
8.	Mediatypes8.1. Syntax vs. Semantic8.2. xml vs. json8.3. Updates with alternative Mediatypes8.4. Mediatype conversion8.5. Example: vCard8.6. HFactor	9 9 10 11 11 14			
9.	Hypermedia in RESTful applications 9.1. Hypermedia in OpenSocial	15			
10	10.1. REST framework	15 15 15			

11	. Testing	15
Α.	Standards A.1. Contacts / Persons A.2. Calendaring A.3. Scheduling A.4. Relations and Links A.5. out of scope	16 16 16 17 17
В.	People, Groups and Organizations	18
C.	Implementations C.1. Servers C.2. Clients C.3. Web Services C.4. Others	19 19 20 20 20
D.	Links D.1. Apache Shindig	20 21 21
Ε.	TODO	21

1. Motivation

Experiences with Android and Data in the cloud http://keithp.com/blogs/calypso Why vCard/CardDav: many clients
Why OpenSocial / Portable Contacts:

- used by Google, LinkedIn,
- $\bullet\,$ used in Enterprise applications like Attlassian tools (Jira, Confluence, ...), Nuxeo CMS, ...
- OpenSocial can be used to implement inhouse portals and populate it with data from the companies GroupWare

2. Advantages of REST for Groupware data

The REST style is motivated by the argument that its application would help to provide certain attributes for an architecture. [Fie00, sec 5.1]

Maybe not so important for a companies internal Groupware: Scalability (in terms of users), Network performance, Efficiency,

- Cachability can keep the data available also in offline mode
- Simplicity helps to develop glue code between different systems
- Modifiability allows to adapt the Groupware to changes in the organization
- Reliability
- Administrativ scalability

3. Evaluation of APIs

3.0.1. Algermissen's Classification of HTTP-based APIs

Jan Algermissen, proposes a "Classification of HTTP-based APIs" in February 2010.¹ He identifies and names a five level order of HTTP based APIs. Each level adds one constraint to be obeyed. The last level obeys all five constraints and is RESTful. (Table 1)

In addition to that, Algermissen also provides a list of "Effect on System Properties and Cost" of the different API styles and acknowledges that the initial costs of developing a REST API may be higher compared to the other styles but lower in the long run.

4. Existing Groupware data APIs

4.1. IMAP (Kolab)

Kolab uses an IMAP server as the data store and synchronization protocol for calendar and contact informations. I want to compare this approach to a restful one.

Advantages of IMAP:

• already there, since Mail uses it

 $^{^{1}\; \}texttt{http://nordsc.com/ext/classification_of_http_based_apis.html}\;\; (2011-12-08)$

level name	constraint violated	violation description
WS-*	Identification of Resources	Only service endpoint is identified by
		URI. No resources exposed.
RPC URI-	Manipulation of Resources	SOAP body contains operation
Tunneling	through Representations	name, message not transferred to
		manipulate resource state.
HTTP-based	Self-Descriptive Messages	Message semantics depend on action
Type I		specified in message body.
HTTP-based	Hypermedia as the Engine	Application state machine known at
Type II	of Application State	design time.

Table 1: Classification of HTTP-based APIs after Algermissen

- can store blobs/files so no need to map the iCal/vCard files to a relational scheme
- out of the box support for offline work and later synchronization (How does it solve editing conflicts?)

Disadvantages of IMAP:

- Complicate, 38 RFCs according to http://de.wikipedia.org/wiki/Internet_Message_ Access_Protocol see also: http://www.apps.ietf.org/rfc/ipoplist.html
- All clients directly access the iCal/vCard files with no moderation layer in between. This means that no validation or normalization can be done. Schema updates can only be done if all clients cooperate.
- IMAP imposes a folder structure. Google's gmail is an example for another, tag based approach. Messages could have several tags. It is therefor hard to access Gmail via IMAP.
- Sam Varshavchik, the author of the courier Mail Transfer Agent explains the history of IMAP and claims that the IMAP standard is broken: http://www.couriermta.org/fud/
- IMAP is so complicate that the IMAP wiki holds 10 pages of advises for IMAP client authors: http://www.imapwiki.org/ClientImplementation RFC 2683 "IMAP4 Implementation Recommendations" is a 23 pages document (cut 5 pages for verbosity) explaining how to implement another RFC standard. Is there any widely used standard that needs another RFC explaining how to implement it?
- http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Internet_Message_Access_Protocol#Disadvantages
- Some attempts to create a simpler alternative to IMAP:
 - http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/POP4
 - http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Simple_Mail_Access_Protocol also here http://www.comta.org/cone/smap1.html
 - http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Internet_Mail_2000
 - HTTP restful: http://tools.ietf.org/id/draft-dusseault-httpmail-00.txt mailing list: https://www.ietf.org/mailman/listinfo/httpmail
 - BikINI is not IMAP http://bikini.caterva.org

- Outlook uses HTTP to communicate with Hotmail
- another rest mail proposal: http://www.prescod.net/rest/restmail/
- more rants: http://blog.gaborcselle.com/2010/02/how-to-replace-imap.html
- $\bullet \ \ IMAP \ issues found \ by \ the \ chandler \ project \ http://chandler project.org/bin/view/Jungle/IntrinsicIII. \\$

4.2. WebDAV, CalDAV, CardDAV

4.2.1. Is WebDAV restful?

Roy Fielding says no: http://tech.groups.yahoo.com/group/rest-discuss/message/5874

PROP* methods conflict with REST because they prevent important resources from having URIs and effectively double the number of methods for no good reason. Both Henrik and I argued against those methods at the time. It really doesn't matter how uniform they are because they break other aspects of the overall model, leading to further complications in versioning (WebDAV versioning is hopelessly complicated), access control (WebDAV ACLs are completely wrong for HTTP), and just about every other extension to WebDAV that has been proposed.

 $[\dots]$

The problem with MOVE is that it is actually an operation on two independent namespaces (the source collection and destination collection). The user must have permission to remove from the source collection and add to the destination collection, which can be a bit of a problem if they are in different authentication realms. COPY has a similar problem, but at least in that case only one namespace is modified. I don't think either of them map very well to HTTP.

The discussion also continued on the microformats mailing list http://microformats.org/discuss/mail/microformats-rest/2006-April/thread.html#217.

see [Amu10] for a restful approach to properties.

Is ATOM an alternative to WebDAV?

AtomPub is different from DAV in two key respects:

- The client doesn't control where things go, the server does
- It is allowed and expected that an AtomPub server will look at the incoming information and change it (generate ID, timestamps, sanitize HTML, etc)

Tim Bray, http://www.imc.org/atom-protocol/mail-archive/msg11271.html

4.3. PortableContacts aka OpenSocial

4.3.1. Is OpenSocial restful?

Roy Fielding wrote a blog post about the "SocialSite REST API", stating that it isn't restful at all but clearly an RPC style API.² Fielding was referring to SocialSite, which is however an implementation of the OpenSocial specification. Dave Johnson, a contributor to SocialSite, reacted on this critique by opening a discussion on an OpenSocial mailing list:³

 $^{^2 \}texttt{http://roy.gbiv.com/untangled/2008/rest-apis-must-be-hyperhtext-driven} \ (2011-12-06)$

³http://groups.google.com/group/opensocial-and-gadgets-spec/browse_thread/thread/ aff4ba7373e21284/201a413efa67c26e (2011-12-06)

I must admit, it is not clear to me how OpenSocial REST API violates the six rules that Roy stated.

The above quote warrants a short comment. I also thought before, that REST would be so simple that there wouldn't be much need for further studying. Every web developer has some understanding of URIs, HTTP and a bit less of Hypermedia. So it is easy to fall into the trap that everything build on top of HTTP would be restful. Now however, after some more reading about REST, I can easily find violations of the REST constraints in the OpenSocial specification.

Restful APIs are modeled around resources, their representations and links between them. The authors of the OpenSocial API however seem to have modeled their API around the concept of services:[Ope11, Social API Server, sec 2, Services]

OpenSocial defines several services for providing access to a container's data.

Fielding's critique Fielding listed some rules that a restful API must obey, but did not give explicit examples how OpenSocial violates this rules. The following section will provide such examples.

A REST API should not be dependent on any single communication protocol, [...] any protocol element that uses a URI for identification must allow any URI scheme to be used for the sake of that identification. [Failure here implies that identification is not separated from interaction.]

OpenSocial defines a construct called "REST-URI-Fragment" which is a clear violation of the above rule. This URI fragment is simply an encoding of procedural parameters as elements of an HTTP URI:[Ope11, Core API Server, sec 2.1.1.2.2, REST-URI-Fragment]

Each service type defines an associated partial URI format. The base URI for each service is found in the URI element associated with the service in the discovery document. Each service type accepts parameters via the URL path. Definitions are of the form:

 ${a}/{b}/{c}$

URIs can contain a query component that would be more appropriate to contain parameters. This would also have made it clearer to see that the specification actually defines services instead of resources. One test showing the misfit is to ask how dot-segments ('.' and '..') inside the URI fragment are interpreted and whether this conforms with the letter and spirit of the URI standard. [BLFM05, sec 3.3] Another misfit can be seen in the URI fragment to retrieve one or multiple albums. In this case the 'c' part in the quoted definition above is actually a list of albums to retrieve separated by a slash.

Fielding's second bullet point most likely refers to the X-HTTP-Method-Override header. This header is a widely used⁴ workaround to allow the use of other HTTP methods than GET and POST from HTML forms or through firewalls.

The next two points again refer to a more serious issue:

A REST API should spend almost all of its descriptive effort in defining the media type(s) used for representing resources and driving application state[...]. [Failure here implies that out-of-band information is driving interaction instead of hypertext.] A REST API must not define fixed resource names or hierarchies[...] [Failure here implies that clients are assuming a resource structure due to out-of band information[...]].

 $^{^4}$ http://www.subbu.org/blog/2008/07/another-rest-anti-pattern (2011-12-06)

The OpenSocial specification contains a lot of out-of-band information describing how to form URIs to access information or which methods to use on which URIs for different actions. This means that the OpenSocial API is not simple or intuitive to use but requires a client developer to read a lot of specification, thus violating the simplicity property of a restful architecture. Since the URIs are fixed in the specification and necessarily also in clients, the modifiability property is also violated. [Fie00, sec 2.3]

The following tables give some examples of the specified URIs:

URI fragment	Method	Description
/people/{User-Id}/@self	GET	profile for User-Id
/people/{User-Id}/@self	DELETE	remove User
/people/{User-Id}/{Group-Id}	GET	full profiles of group members
	POST	Create relationship, target specified
		by <entry><id> in body</id></entry>
	POST	Update Person
/people/{Initial-User-Id}/	GET	???
{Group-Id}/{Related-User-Id}		
/people/@supportedFields	GET	list of supported person profile fields
/groups/{User-Id}[/{Group-Id}]	GET	one or all groups of a user
	PUT	update group
	DELETE	delete group
/groups/{User-Id}	POST	create group

Table 2: URI fragments for peoples and groups in the OpenSocial REST API

URI fragment	Method	Description
/albums/{User-Id}/@self	POST	create album
/albums/{User-Id}/{Group-Id}[/Album-Id]*	GET	one or multiple albums
<pre>/mediaItems/{User-Id}/{Group-Id}/{Album-Id}/</pre>	GET	one mediaitem
{MediaItem-Id}		
<pre>/mediaItem/{User-Id}/@self/{Album-Id} (sic!)</pre>	POST	create mediaitem

Table 3: URI fragments for albums and mediaitems in the OpenSocial REST API

The last URI in Table 3 is obviously missing an "s" behind mediaItem. This typo is present and unfixed in the OpenSocial spec since Version 1.0, released in march 2010. This is of course not a big issue in itself, but rather a sign that the specification is too verbose and does over-specify things that should rather be auto-discovered through hyperlinks.

Fielding mentions in a comment to the same blog post that the OpenSocial API "could be made so [restful] with some relatively small changes" but does not specify these changes. However some issues can easily be identified.

First the data structures defined in OpenSocial do not use URIs to refer to other resources. Instead they use Object-Ids that must then be inserted in the appropriate URI templates. Examples are the recipients, senderId, collectionIds of messages and the ownerId of albums. The person structure does not contain fields referencing other resources. Thus it does not obviously violate REST like the albums and messages. However it does so even worse since there are hidden references only defined out-of-band in the specification. One can retrieve the albums, relations or messages of a user by filling in the userId in one of the specified URI templates. If Users would just contain references to other resources related to a user, the specification could already be shortened a lot.

Another missed opportunity for a much more intuitive API is the relation of media items and albums. This seems to be poster child example for a collection (album) to collection-

element (media item) relation which could have made use of the hierarchical character of URI paths. OpenSocial however requires the client developer to use two different URI templates. (Table 3)

A not so small change to OpenSocial would be to either use already standardized and registered media types where possible or to register new types where necessary. It seems that there are some already existing media types that could be a good fit for OpenSocial but only miss a canonical json representation for easy consumption by javascript applications. These are vCard for persons,⁵ ATOM entries[NS05] for messages, activities and media items and ATOM categories, collections or workspaces[Gh07] for albums and groups. It would probably be necessary to add extensions to the mentioned media types but vCard and ATOM both already anticipated this need and provided mechanisms to do so.

The use of the ATOM format could promote the adoption of OpenSocial because developers could either reuse existing knowledge about ATOM or would be more motivated to learn about a system that is based on an already widespread format. In fact OpenSocial already mentions ATOM as a way to wrap OpenSocial data. However this wrapping does not build extend and reuse ATOM semantics as proposed above but just puts the OpenSocial data structures inside the entry/content element of ATOM. This kind of misuse of ATOM does of course not deliver any advantage on top of the existing plain JSON or XML representations.⁶ Consequently the newest OpenSocial specification deprecates any reference to the ATOM format.

In Algermissen's classification (3.0.1), the OpenSocial REST API would actually be "HTTP-based Type I" due to the lack of media types and direct hyper links between related resources. Algermissen writes that this level has the lowest possible initial cost of all HTTP APIs. Or in other words: The OpenSocial specification authors did not have to invest a lot to come up with this API specification but maintenance and evolution cost may be medium or high.

5. ATOM

Google's Data API extends ATOM? Calendar feeds are available as ATOM with the published entry set to the date of the event.

WebDAV vs. ATOM: http://intertwingly.net/wiki/pie/WebDav http://intertwingly.net/wiki/pie/WebDavVsAtom google webdav atom

6. Persistency for Groupware Data

Relational Databases vs. NoSQL databases vs. plain files

Relational databases are not practical for contacts, events or todos. Common patterns in systems that use relational DBs for that purpose:

- artificial limits of entries, e.g. only 3 email addresses per contact, because there are only three columns email1, email2 and email3.
- Fields for custom data like custom1 to customX
- EAV pattern: tables like: id, foreign_id, type, value

⁵OpenSocial persons are based on portable contacts which in turn borrowed field names from vCards.

⁶compare Bill de Hora, Extensions v Envelopes. 11/2009 http://www.dehora.net/journal/2009/11/28/extensions-v-envelopes (2011-21-07)

7. Synchronizing a large collection

How to efficiently synchronize a large collection of contacts with the server without checking each contact for changes?

Portable Contacts has a filter "updatedSince".

How is synchronization done in CardDAV?

8. Mediatypes

To some extent, people get REST wrong because I failed to include enough detail on media type design within my dissertation. – Roy T. Fielding

from: Rest APIs must be hypertext driven⁷

8.1. Syntax vs. Semantic

The usage of standardized media types is one key difference between an API and a restful API. [Fie00, sec. 5.2.1.2] Only if the client has knowledge about the media type can it do something meaningful with it besides just receiving it. In that sense, the often used mime types application/xml or application/json are not really media types. They don't tell the browser or user anything meaningful beside the *syntax* of the data.⁸

To do anything meaningful with plain json or xml, the client programmer must normally look up the meaning or *semantic* of the data in the API documentation. The data therefor fails the self-descriptive constraint of REST.

Compare this with a mime type like application/atom+xml. It specifies the syntax (xml) and the semantic (atom) of the data. Of course somebody once needed to read the atom specification and program the client with the knowledge of how to process this media type. The purpose of standardized media types however is that there is a limited number of them and that they are reused by many sites and clients.

Large sites like Google, Facebook or Twitter can successfully attract enough developers to read their specifications and program clients accordingly. Usually one can also find client libraries that can help a lot. REST however envisions a decentralized web in which parties can interact without previous knowledge of each other. This becomes possible through the usage of well known predefined media types.

8.2. xml vs. json

The application section of the IANA mime type registration has 294 entries ending in "+xml" and only 3 ending in "+json". This stands in contrast to the rise of public json APIs and the decline of XML APIs. ¹⁰

JSON is often preferred as a format over XML because it is perceived as easier to parse, process and smaller in size. XML in comparison is seen as complicate, slow to process and larger in size. A strong argument for JSON as the preferred format for JavaScript applications is that JSON is a subset of JavaScript.

⁷http://roy.gbiv.com/untangled/2008/rest-apis-must-be-hypertext-driven (2011-12-20)

⁸ http://blog.programmableweb.com/2011/11/18/rest-api-design-putting-the-type-in-content-type (2011-21-20) and Web Resource Modeling Language http://www.wrml.org (2011-12-20) both by Mark Massé

 $^{^9 \}text{http://www.iana.org/assignments/media-types/application/index.html} \ (2011-12-20)$

 $^{^{10}} http://blog.programmableweb.com/2011/05/25/1-in-5-apis-say-bye-xml/~(2011-12-20)~http://www.readwriteweb.com/cloud/2011/03/programmable-web-apis-popping.php~(2011-12-21)$

```
<lang pref="1"</pre>
                        "languages": [
                                                             "languages": {
                          {"id":" fr"," pref":"1"},
                                                               "fr":1,
        id = "fr"
                          {"id":"en","pref":"3"}
                                                               "en":3
<lang pref="3"</pre>
        id="en" />
(a) XML fragment
                       (b) unfriendly JSON
                                                            (c) less(?) unfriendly JSON
```

Figure 1: different ways to map XML to JSON

type of data	XML	$_{ m JSON}$	semantic	microformat	comi
Calendar	xCal	Google calendar API		hCalendar	other: i
Contact	xCard	portable contacts, jCard	friend of a friend	hCard	other:
Resume	HR XML		Description of a Career	hResume	

Table 4: data in different formats

A drawback of this mismatch between the preference of media type designers and API consumers is a possible duplication of work and incompatibilities across different APIs. If two developers independently take the same XML media type as a model to develop a JSON format, they can still come up with different results. There is no standard mapping from XML schemes to JSON structures.

Instead, possible mappings have to trade of the preservation of all structural information against the "friendliness" of the resulting JSON structure. [?] Without going into detail, a JSON structure can be seen as friendly if it makes best use of JSON's data types, is compact and easy to process. Figure 1 shows two different examples how to map data from XML to JSON with one of them using JSON number values, being more compact and probably easier to process.

in the area of semantic standardization. Questions whether and how contact information should also hold space for the place of birth and place and date of death of a person¹¹ are independent from a data serialization format. So is the question whether and how to specify the sex or gender of a person.¹²

Version 3 of vCard was published in 1998[HSD98] only a few months after the W3C published Version 1.0 of XML[PSMB98] and eight years before JSON became an official standard.[Cro06]

XML standards: xCal, xCard, XMPP, Atom

http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/ECMAScript_for_XML (E4X) makes XML a first class language construct

Open-Xchange provides an (unrestful) HTTP/JSON API which is used by its javascript frontend.¹³ The comprehensive documentation does not indicate whether the data structures for tasks, appointments, reminders and contacts were inspired by any standards. In any case the API documentation is a good example of the need for standard mime types in JSON format. The use case for this API also shows similarities to the use of OpenSocial for intranet frontends.

8.3. Updates with alternative Mediatypes

How to handle updates, if the mediatypes are not isomorph? How does Google handle PATCH in the calendar API?

 $^{^{11}}$ https://datatracker.ietf.org/doc/draft-ietf-vcarddav-birth-death-extensions/ (2011-12-20)

¹²http://www.ietf.org/mail-archive/web/vcarddav/current/msg01778.html (2011-12-20)

¹³http://oxpedia.org/index.php?title=HTTP_API (2011-19-12)

8.4. Mediatype conversion

Which fields of portable contacts are derived from vCard: http://wiki.portablecontacts.net/w/page/17776141/schema

No single data representation is ideal for every client. This protocol defines representations for each resource in three widely supported formats, JSON [RFC4627], XML, and Atom [RFC4287] / AtomPub [RFC5023], using a set of generic mapping rules. The mapping rules allow a server to write to a single interface rather than implementing the protocol three times.

[Ope11, Core API Server]

In 2007, a project called microjson wanted to standardize js on representations of microformat data structures. $^{\rm 14}$

The project identified the need for a json schema:¹⁵

If there are standard microJSON formats for transfer of certain datasets, there will be a need to validate that data to ensure that it is infact valid format. To validate a format you need something that details the structure, data content types and required data. Sounds like we'll be needing a schema for each microJSON format.

```
jCard example from microjson.org<sup>16</sup>
"name": {
    "given":"John",
    "additional": "Paul",
    "family": "Smith"
  },
  "org": "Company Corp",
  "email": john@companycorp.com".
  "address":{
    "street": "50 Main Street",
    "locality": "Cityville",
    "region": "Stateshire",
    "postalCode": "1234 abc"
    "country": "Someplace"
  },
"tel":"111-222-333",
  "aim": "johnsmith",
  "yim": "smithjohn"
}
```

8.5. Example: vCard

```
<?xml version = "1.0" encoding = "UTF-8"?>
<vcards xmlns = "urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:vcard-4.0">
```

 $^{^{14}}$ http://notizblog.org/2007/09/16/microjson-microformats-in-json/ (2011-12-19)

 $^{^{15}}$ http://web.archive.org/web/20080524003749/http://microjson.org/wiki/Schemas (2022-12-19)

¹⁶http://web.archive.org/web/20080517003233/http://microjson.org/wiki/JCard (2011-12-19)

```
<vcard>
    < fn > text > Simon Perreault < / text > < / fn >
      <surname>Perreault </surname>
      <given>Simon</given>
      <additional/>
      <prefix/>
      <suffix>ing. jr</suffix>
      <suffix>M. Sc.</suffix>
    </n>
    <bday><date>-0203<math></date></bday>
    <anniversary>
      <date-time>20090808T1430-0500</date-time>
    </anniversary>
    <gender><sex>M</sex>
    <lang>
      <parameters><pref><integer>1</integer></pref></parameters></pref></pref>
      <language-tag>fr/language-tag>
    </lang>
    <lang>
      <parameters><pref><integer>2</integer></pref></parameters></pref></pref></pref>
      <language-tag>en/language-tag>
    </lang>
    <org>
      <parameters><type><text>work</text></type></parameters>
      <text>Viagenie</text>
    </org>
    < adr >
      <parameters>
        < type > < text > work < / text > < / type >
        <label><text>Simon Perreault
2875 boul. Laurier, suite D2-630
Quebec, QC, Canada
G1V 2M2 < /text > < /label >
      </parameters>
      <pobox/>
      \langle \text{ext}/ \rangle
      <street > 2875 boul. Laurier, suite D2-630</street >
      <locality > Quebec </locality >
      <region>QC</region>
      < code > G1V 2M2 < / code >
      <country>Canada</country>
    </adr>
    <tel>
      <parameters>
        <type>
           <text>work</text>
           <text>voice</text>
         </type>
      </parameters>
      <uri>tel:+1-418-656-9254; ext=102</uri>
```

```
</tel>
    \langle tel \rangle
      <parameters>
        <type>
          <text>work</text>
          <text>text</text>
          <text>voice</text>
          <text>cell</text>
          <text>video</text>
        </type>
      </parameters>
      <uri>tel:+1-418-262-6501</uri>
    </tel>
    <email>
      <parameters><type><text>work</text></type></parameters>
      <text>simon.perreault@viagenie.ca</text>
    </email>
    <geo>
      <parameters><type><text>work</text></type></parameters>
      <uri>geo:46.766336, -71.28955</uri>
    </geo>
    <key>
      <parameters><type><text>work</text></type></parameters>
      <uri>http://www.viagenie.ca/simon.perreault/simon.asc</uri>
    <tz><text>America/Montreal</text></tz>
    <url>
      <parameters><type><text>home</text></type></parameters>
      <uri>http://nomis80.org</uri>
    </url>
  </vcard>
</vcards>
<?xml version="1.0" encoding="UTF-8"?>
<vcards xmlns="urn: ietf:params:xml:ns:vcard-4.0">
  <vcard>
    < fn > < text > Simon Perreault < / text > < / fn >
    <n>
      <surname>Perreault </surname>
      <given>Simon</given>
      < suffix > ing. jr < / suffix >
      <suffix>M. Sc.</suffix>
    </n>
    <bday day="02" month="03" />
    <anniversary format="date-time">20090808T1430-0500</anniversary>
    <gender>M</gender>
    < lang pref = "1" > fr < / lang >
    <lang pref="2">en</lang>
    <org type="work">Viagenie</org>
    <adr type="work">
      <label>Simon Perreault
2875 boul. Laurier, suite D2-630
```

```
Quebec, QC, Canada
G1V 2M2 < /label >
      <street > 2875 boul. Laurier, suite D2-630
      <locality > Quebec </locality >
      <region>QC</region>
      <code>G1V 2M2</code>
      <country>Canada</country>
    </adr>
    <tel>
      <type>work</type>
      <type>voice</type>
      <uri>tel:+1-418-656-9254; ext=102</uri>
    </tel>
    \langle tel \rangle
      <type>work</type>
      <type>text</type>
      <type>voice</type>
      <type>cell </type>
      <type>video</type>
      < uri > tel: +1-418-262-6501 < /uri >
    </tel>
    <email type="work">simon.perreault@viagenie.ca</email>
    <geo type="work">
      <uri>geo:46.766336, -71.28955</uri>
    </geo>
    <kev type="work">
      <uri>http://www.viagenie.ca/simon.perreault/simon.asc</uri>
    <tz>America/Montreal</tz>
    <url type="home">
      <uri>http://nomis80.org</uri>
    </url>
  </vcard>
</vcards>
```

8.6. HFactor

Mike Amundsen defines a method to asses media types that he calls "HFactor".¹⁷ The HFactor distinguishes different types of support for links and indicates which of those are provided by a reviewed media type.

Amundsen did reviews of a couple of media types. Unfortunately these do not include vcard+xml or calendar+xml. I'll try to identify the HFactors of both here.

The different types of link support have two letter acronyms and fall in two categories: Link support values, with the first letter "L" and Control data support, first letter "C".

- Link Support for
 - LE embedded links (HTTP GET)
 - LO out-bound navigational links (HTTP GET)
 - LT templated queries (HTTP GET)
 - LN non-idempotent updates (HTTP POST)

 $^{^{17}}$ http://amundsen.com/hypermedia/ (2011-12-21)

- LI idempotent updates (HTTP PUT, DELETE)
- Control Data Support to
 - CR modify control data for read requests (e.g. HTTP Accept-* headers)
 - CU modify control data for update requests (e. g. Content-* headers)
 - CM indicate the interface method for requests (e.g. HTTP GET,POST,PUT,DELETE methods)
 - CL add semantic meaning to link elements using link relations (e.g. HTML rel attribute)

9. Hypermedia in RESTful applications

The model application is therefore an engine that moves from one state to the next by examining and choosing from among the alternative state transitions in the current set of representations.

[Fie00, sec. 5.3, p.103]

9.1. Hypermedia in OpenSocial

Webfinger, e.g. get a profile picture from an email address Danger: One can trigger na http request by sending an email.

10. Selection of components

Apache Shindig for Open Social, includes client tests

http://code.google.com/p/kolab-android/

https://evolvis.org/projects/kolab-ws/

http://packages.ubuntu.com/source/maverick/dovecot-metadata-plugin https://launchpad.net/ubumetadata-plugin/8-0ubuntu1

10.1. REST framework

Jersey recommended by [Kai11] above Restfulie and RESTeasy because of maturity and flexibility.

Jersey has a atompub-contact client/server example app.

10.2. VCard

11. Testing

How to test the ReST/CardDAV interface?

Portable Contacts test client at plaxo http://www.plaxo.com/pdata/testClient http://code.google.com/p/rest-assured/ http://restfuse.com/

A. Standards

A.1. Contacts / Persons

RFC 6450 vCard Format Specification

This document defines the vCard data format for representing and exchanging a variety of information about individuals and other entities (e.g., formatted and structured name and delivery addresses, email address, multiple telephone numbers, photograph, logo, audio clips, etc.). This is the new version and obsoletes RFCs 2425, 2426, and 4770, and updates RFC 2739.

RFC 6351 xCard: vCard XML Representation

This document defines the XML schema of the vCard data format.

Portable Contacts, OpenSocial

Portable Contacts defines contact data structures and a ReST API. It has been integrated in the OpenSocial standard.

Nepomuk Semantic Desktop Contact Ontology

Friend of a friend (FOAF)

FOAF is a

hCard

jCard

A.2. Calendaring

RFC 5545 Internet Calendaring and Scheduling Core Object Specification

iCalendar is the core data schema for calendaring information. This is the new version and obsoletes RFC2445

RFC 6321 xCal: The XML format for iCalendar

This specification defines a format for representing iCalendar data in XML. More specifically, is to define an XML format that allows iCalendar data to be converted to XML, and then back to iCalendar, without losing any semantic meaning in the data. Anyone creating XML calendar data according to this specification will know that their data can be converted to a valid iCalendar representation as well.

CalWS RESTful Web Services Protocol for Calendaring

This document, developed by the XML Technical Committee, specifies a RESTful web services Protocol for calendaring operations. This protocol has been contributed to OASIS WS-CALENDAR as a component of the WS-CALENDAR Specification under development by OASIS.

Google Calendar API V3

While not being a standard, the Google Calendar API is RESTful and will surely be implemented by many client applications. It's remarkable that the API supports partial GETs returning only specified fields and the HTTP PATCH verb to update only specified fields.

Open Services for Lifecycle Collaboration (OSLC)

uses FOAF person http://open-services.net/bin/view/Main/OSLCCoreSpecAppendixA?sortcol=table;up=#foaf_Person_Resource

provides change management, some overlapping to iCal TODOs http://open-services.net/bin/view/Main/CmSpecificationV2

reference implementation: http://eclipse.org/lyo

A.3. Scheduling

RFC 5546 iCalendar Transport-Independent Interoperability Protocol (iTIP)

Scheduling Events, BusyTime, To-dos and Journal Entries; Specifies the mechanisms for calendaring event interchange between calendar servers. This is the new version and obsoletes RFC2446

RFC 6047 iCalendar Message-Based Interoperability Protocol (iMIP)

Specifies how to exchange calendaring data via e-mail. This is the new version and obsoletes RFC2447.

A.4. Relations and Links

Xhtml Friends Network (XFN)

One of the relations returned by Google's webfinger.

Webfinger

Webfinger in Firefox Contacts Add-On http://mozillalabs.com/blog/2010/03/contacts-in-the-

RFC 6415 Web Host Metadata

Extensible Resource Descriptor (XRD)

A.5. out of scope

HR XML

The HR-XML Consortium is the only independent, non-profit, volunteer-led organization dedicated to the development and promotion of a standard suite of XML specifications to enable e-business and the automation of human resources-related data exchanges.

OMA Converged Address Book V1.0

Standard by the Open Mobile Alliance defining data structures and synchronization of contact data. It references vCard.

Open Collaboration Services

Also contains data structures for persons and events but does not reuse any known standard. See this thread: http://lists.freedesktop.org/archives/ocs/2011-December/000136.html

W3C Contacts API

A standard on how address books cold be accessed on devices or from JavaScript inside a Web Browser. The standard references vCard, OMA Converged Address Book and Portable Contacts.

W3C vCard ontology

W3C PIM ontology

B. People, Groups and Organizations

People

Eran Hammer-Lahav

http://hueniverse.com Yahoo!, OAuth

Eliot Lear ¡lear@cisco.com;

IETF Calsify WG chair

Julian Reschke julian.reschke@gmx.de;

Lisa Dusseault

Lisa Dusseault is a development manager and standards architect at the Open Source Applications Foundation, where she's involved in the Chandler, Cosmo and Scooby projects. Previously, Lisa came from Xythos, an Internet startup where she was development manager for four years. She has also been an IETF contributor on various Internet applications protocols for eight years now, and continues to do this kind of work at OSAF. She cochairs the IETF IMAP extensions and CALSIFY (Calendaring and Scheduling Standards Simplification) Working Groups. She is also the author of a book on WebDAV and co-author of CalDAV, an open and interoperable protocol for calendar access and sharing.

Mark Nottingham

Mike Amundsen ¡mamund@yahoo.com;

http://amundsen.com

Mike Conley

http://mikeconley.ca/blog/ working on a new address book for Thunderbird: https: //wiki.mozilla.org/Thunderbird/tb-planning

Peter Saint-Andre ¡stpeter@stpeter.im;

IETF Calsify WG area director

Joseph Smarr

 $former\ Plaxo\ now\ Google\ presentation\ about\ portable\ contacts\ at\ vcarddav\ wg\ http://tools.ietf.org/age.\\ 2.pdf\ http://josephsmarr.com\ http://anyasq.com/79-im-a-technical-lead-on-the-google+-team$

C. Implementations

C.1. Servers

Cyn.in

Python, Open Core

DAViCal

PHP, SQL storage, CalDAV, CardDav

eGroupWare

eXo Platform

Open Core, Java, AGPL, participates in OpenSocial?

Group-Office

PHP, AGPL

Horde

OBM Groupware

PHP, GPL

Open-Xchange

Java, In 2006 a Debian packaging attempt was canceled because upstream decided not to publish security updates for the open source version anymore.¹⁸

owncloud

ownCloud supports syncing of calendar and contacts information via the CalDAV and CardDAV protocols.

Scalix

Open Core Scalix Public License (SPL) based on MPL, requires to show the Scalix Logo

¹⁸http://web.archive.org/web/20100510133805/http://seraphyn.deveth.org/archives/ 10-Keine-Zukunft-in-der-freien-Version-von-Open-Exchange-auf-Debian.html (2011-12-19)

Simple Groupware

PHP, GPL, SQL

SOGo

CalDAV and CardDAV, written in Objective-C

Tiki Wiki

PHP, SQL Contacts http://doc.tiki.org/Contacts, Calendar http://doc.tiki.org/Calendar iCal export apparently no CardDAV/CalDAV many many features!

Tine 2.0

Tine is not eGroupWare

Zarafa

PHP, MySQL IIRC it uses an Entity-Attribute-Value pattern to store its data in the relational db.

Zimbra

Open Core, Own license (Zimbra Public License), RFP since 2008 open: http://bugs.debian.org/cgi-bin/bugreport.cgi?bug=498316

C.2. Clients

Spicebird

built on top of Thunderbird with Calendar

Thunderbird

CardDAV via SoCO connector http://www.sogo.nu/fr/downloads/frontends.html

WebiCal

Java, YUI, Web frontend for a CalDAV server, uses iCal4J

Evolution, **Evolution** Data Server

KDE Kontact, Akonadi

more CardDAV

 $\label{lem:http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/CardDAV/Clients http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/CardDAV\# Implementations$

more CalDAV

http://wiki.davical.org/w/CalDAV_Clients http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/CalDAV#Implementations

C.3. Web Services

C.4. Others

D. Links

• http://thesocialweb.tv

- http://www.vogella.de/articles/REST/article.html REST with Java (JAX-RS) using Jersey Tutorial
- https://addons.mozilla.org/de/firefox/addon/restclient/
- http://dataportability.org/ still active?
- http://tech.groups.yahoo.com/group/rest-discuss/messages/17242?threaded= 1&m=e&var=1&tidx=1 REST and Semantic

 $IANA\ link\ relations\ registry\ \texttt{http://www.iana.org/assignments/link-relations/link-relatio$

ATOM landscape overview http://dret.typepad.com/dretblog/atom-landscape.html

D.1. Apache Shindig

RPC vs. REST API for Shindig/OpenSocial: http://groups.google.com/group/opensocial-and-gabrowse_thread/a4ddf7cd09f90237/5cfa1658e1c1d698?lnk=gst&q=rest#5cfa1658e1c1d698.http://groups.google.com/group/opensocial-and-gadgets-spec/browse_thread/thread/d1a5627fb6e686ce/d27d47dee92a87b2 One argument was support for batching. A restful batching proposal didn't get consensus: https://docs.google.com/View?docid=dc43mmng_23fdbpp7hd&pli=1

 $Flow\ of\ REST\ requests\ in\ Shindig\ \texttt{https://sites.google.com/site/opensocialarticles/Home/shindig-rest-java$

Google+ is likely to become OpenSocial enabled: http://groups.google.com/group/opensocial-and-gadgets-spec/browse_thread/thread/1187241df6759a9a

Shindig issues to implement OpenSocial 2.0 https://docs.google.com/spreadsheet/ccc?key=0AihdZBncP3KzdGN3dVl3MFpIUlk2TXIyR3hfUDhHZUE&hl=en_US#gid=0

How Shindig supports extensions: https://cwiki.apache.org/confluence/display/SHINDIG/Arbitrary+Extensions+to+Apache+Shindig%27s+Data+Model

browse_thread/thread/7b911edfb1bb3b4d OS and RDF http://groups.google.com/group/opensocial-and-gadgets-spec/browse_

Videos about some 2.0 OS features http://groups.google.com/group/opensocial-and-gadgets-

 $OS\ and\ RDF\ http://groups.google.com/group/opensocial-and-gadgets-spec/browse_thread/thread/20f62d627003509b$

OpenSocial Development Environment (OSDE, Eclipse Plugin) https://sites.google.com/site/opensocialdevenv

D.2. Socialsite

Oracle's (former Sun's) extension to Apache Shindig. Blog http://blogs.oracle.com/socialsite

E. TODO

• Does funambol.org has interesting implementations?

support Plain Text Format (text/plain), RFC5147 URI fragment identifier for plain text?

Sowohl Atom als auch AtomPub definieren XML-Vokabulare, die eine Erweiterung mit zwei Mechanismen unterstützen. Zum einen ist im Standard definiert, dass neue Elemente in diesen Vokaularen selbst von standardkonformen Prozessoren ignoeriert werden müssen. [...] Gleichzeitig ist es überall dort, wo es nicht explizit verboten ist, möglich, Elemente ausanderen XML-Namespaces einzubetten.

[Til11, p. 102]

References

- [Amu10] AMUNDSEN, Mike: Fielding Property Maps. http://amundsen.com/examples/fielding-props/, 3 2010
- [BLFM05] BERNERS-LEE, T.; FIELDING, R.; MASINTER, L.: Uniform Resource Identifier (URI): Generic Syntax / RFC Editor. RFC Editor, January 2005 (3986). RFC
- [Cro06] CROCKFORD, D.: The application/json Media Type for JavaScript Object Notation (JSON) / RFC Editor. RFC Editor, July 2006 (4627). RFC
- [Fie00] FIELDING, Roy T.: REST: Architectural Styles and the Design of Network-based Software Architectures, University of California, Irvine, Doctoral dissertation, 2000
- [Gh07] GREGORIO, J.; HORA, B. de: The Atom Publishing Protocol / RFC Editor. RFC Editor, October 2007 (5023). RFC
- [HSD98] HOWES, T.; SMITH, M.; DAWSON, F.: A MIME Content-Type for Directory Information / RFC Editor. RFC Editor, September 1998 (2425). RFC
- [Kai11] KAISER, Guido: Modellierung und Vergleich von Implementierungen einer REST-Anwendung in verschiedenen Sprachen, Fernuniversität Hagen, Master thesis, 1 2011. http://www.fernuni-hagen.de/dvt/studium/masterarbeiten_9.shtml
- [NS05] NOTTINGHAM, M.; SAYRE, R.: The Atom Syndication Format / RFC Editor. RFC Editor, December 2005 (4287). RFC
- [Ope11] OPENSOCIAL AND GADGETS SPECIFICATION GROUP: OpenSocial Specification Version 2.0.1. http://docs.opensocial.org/display/OSD/Specs. Version: 11 2011
- [PSMB98] PAOLI, Jean; Sperberg-McQueen, C. M.; Bray, Tim: XML 1.0 Recommendation / W3C. 1998. first Edition of a Recommendation. http://www.w3.org/TR/1998/REC-xml-19980210
- [Til11] TILKOV, Stefan: REST und HTTP: Einsatz der Architektur des Web für Integrationsszenarien. 2. DPUNKT VERLAG, 2011