

Thomas Koch  
thomas@koch.ro  
matriculation number 7250371

November 21, 2011  
Fernuniversität Hagen  
Faculty of mathematics and computer science

## Contents

<b>1. Is webdav restful?</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>2. Kolab and its use of IMAP</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>3. Persistency for Groupware Data</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>A. Standards</b>	<b>3</b>
A.1. Contacts . . . . .	3
A.2. Calendaring . . . . .	3
A.3. Scheduling . . . . .	4
A.4. out of scope . . . . .	4
<b>B. People, Groups and Organizations</b>	<b>4</b>
<b>C. Implementations</b>	<b>5</b>
C.1. Servers . . . . .	5
C.2. Portable Contacts . . . . .	5
<b>D. Links</b>	<b>5</b>
<b>E. TODO</b>	<b>5</b>

# 1. Is webdav restful?

## 2. Kolab and its use of IMAP

Kolab uses an IMAP server as the data store and synchronization protocol for calendar and contact informations. I want to compare this approach to a restful one.

Advantages of IMAP:

- already there, since Mail uses it
- can store blobs/files so no need to map the iCal/vCard files to a relational scheme
- out of the box support for offline work and later synchronization (How does it solve editing conflicts?)

Disadvantages of IMAP:

- Complicate, 38 RFCs according to [http://de.wikipedia.org/wiki/Internet\\_Message\\_Access\\_Protocol](http://de.wikipedia.org/wiki/Internet_Message_Access_Protocol) see also: <http://www.apps.ietf.org/rfc/ipoplist.html>
- All clients directly access the iCal/vCard files with no moderation layer in between. This means that no validation or normalization can be done. Schema updates can only be done if all clients cooperate.
- IMAP imposes a folder structure. Google's gmail is an example for another, tag based approach. Messages could have several tags. It is therefor hard to access Gmail via IMAP.
- Sam Varshavchik, the author of the courier Mail Transfer Agent explains the history of IMAP and claims that the IMAP standard is broken: <http://www.courier-mta.org/fud/>
- IMAP is so complicate that the IMAP wiki holds 10 pages of advises for IMAP client authors: <http://www.imapwiki.org/ClientImplementation> RFC 2683 "IMAP4 Implementation Recommendations" is a 23 pages document (cut 5 pages for verbosity) explaining how to implement another RFC standard. Is there any widely used standard that needs another RFC explaining how to implement it?
- [http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Internet\\_Message\\_Access\\_Protocol#Disadvantages](http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Internet_Message_Access_Protocol#Disadvantages)
- Some attempts to create a simpler alternative to IMAP:
  - <http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/POP4>
  - [http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Simple\\_Mail\\_Access\\_Protocol](http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Simple_Mail_Access_Protocol) also here <http://www.courier-mta.org/cone/smap1.html>
  - [http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Internet\\_Mail\\_2000](http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Internet_Mail_2000)
  - HTTP restful: <http://tools.ietf.org/id/draft-dusseault-httpmail-00.txt> mailing list: <https://www.ietf.org/mailman/listinfo/httpmail>
  - BikINI is not IMAP <http://bikini.caterva.org>
  - Outlook uses HTTP to communicate with Hotmail
  - another rest mail proposal: <http://www.prescod.net/rest/restmail/>
- more rants: <http://blog.gaborcselle.com/2010/02/how-to-replace-imap.html>
- IMAP issues found by the chandler project <http://chandlerproject.org/bin/view/Jungle/IntrinsicI>

## 3. Persistency for Groupware Data

Relational Databases vs. NoSQL databases vs. plain files

### A. Standards

#### A.1. Contacts

##### **RFC 6450 vCard Format Specification**

This document defines the vCard data format for representing and exchanging a variety of information about individuals and other entities (e.g., formatted and structured name and delivery addresses, email address, multiple telephone numbers, photograph, logo, audio clips, etc.). This is the new version and obsoletes RFCs 2425, 2426, and 4770, and updates RFC 2739.

##### **RFC 6351 xCard: vCard XML Representation**

This document defines the XML schema of the vCard data format.

##### **Portable Contacts**

Portable Contacts defines contact data structures and a ReST API. It has been integrated in the OpenSocial standard.

#### A.2. Calendaring

##### **RFC 5545 Internet Calendaring and Scheduling Core Object Specification**

iCalendar is the core data schema for calendaring information. This is the new version and obsoletes RFC2445

##### **RFC 6321 xCal: The XML format for iCalendar**

This specification defines a format for representing iCalendar data in XML. More specifically, is to define an XML format that allows iCalendar data to be converted to XML, and then back to iCalendar, without losing any semantic meaning in the data. Anyone creating XML calendar data according to this specification will know that their data can be converted to a valid iCalendar representation as well.

##### **CalWS RESTful Web Services Protocol for Calendaring**

This document, developed by the XML Technical Committee, specifies a RESTful web services Protocol for calendaring operations. This protocol has been contributed to OASIS WS-CALENDAR as a component of the WS-CALENDAR Specification under development by OASIS.

##### **Google Calendar API V3**

While not being a standard, the Google Calendar API is RESTful and will surely be implemented by many client applications. It's remarkable that the API supports partial GETs returning only specified fields and the HTTP PATCH verb to update only specified fields.

### **A.3. Scheduling**

#### **RFC 5546 iCalendar Transport-Independent Interoperability Protocol (iTIP)**

Scheduling Events, BusyTime, To-dos and Journal Entries; Specifies the mechanisms for calendaring event interchange between calendar servers. This is the new version and obsoletes RFC2446

#### **RFC 6047 iCalendar Message-Based Interoperability Protocol (iMIP)**

Specifies how to exchange calendaring data via e-mail. This is the new version and obsoletes RFC2447.

**others**

### **A.4. out of scope**

#### **OMA Converged Address Book V1.0**

Standard by the Open Mobile Alliance defining data structures and synchronization of contact data. It references vCard.

#### **W3C Contacts API**

A standard on how address books could be accessed on devices or from JavaScript inside a Web Browser. The standard references vCard, OMA Converged Address Book and Portable Contacts.

## **B. People, Groups and Organizations**

### **People**

**Eliot Lear** `jlear@cisco.com`;

IETF Calsify WG chair

**Lisa Dusseault**

Lisa Dusseault is a development manager and standards architect at the Open Source Applications Foundation, where she's involved in the Chandler, Cosmo and Scooby projects. Previously, Lisa came from Xythos, an Internet startup where she was development manager for four years. She has also been an IETF contributor on various Internet applications protocols for eight years now, and continues to do this kind of work at OSAF. She co-chairs the IETF IMAP extensions and CALSIFY (Calendaring and Scheduling Standards Simplification) Working Groups. She is also the author of a book on WebDAV and co-author of CalDAV, an open and interoperable protocol for calendar access and sharing.

**Peter Saint-Andre** `stpeter@stpeter.im`

IETF Calsify WG area director

**Joseph Smarr**

former Plaxo now Google presentation about portable contacts at vcarddav wg <http://tools.ietf.org/agenda/2006-02-28/2.pdf> <http://josephsmarr.com> <http://anyasq.com/79-im-a-technical-lead-on-the-google+-team>

**Mike Conley**

<http://mikeconley.ca/blog/> working on a new address book for Thunderbird: <https://wiki.mozilla.org/Thunderbird/tb-planning>

## **C. Implementations**

### **C.1. Servers**

owncloud

ownCloud supports syncing of calendar and contacts information via the CalDAV and CardDAV protocols.

### **C.2. Portable Contacts**

## **D. Links**

- <http://thesocialweb.tv>
- <http://www.vogella.de/articles/REST/article.html> REST with Java (JAX-RS) using Jersey - Tutorial

## **E. TODO**

- Does funambol.org has interesting implementations?