**Name(s): Theodoros Mamalis** 

**NetID(s):** mamalis2

Team name on Kaggle leaderboard: PeacockNavigator

For each of the sections below, your reported test accuracy should approximately match the accuracy reported on Kaggle.

# **Perceptron**

Briefly describe the hyperparameter settings you tried. In particular, you should list the different values for learning rate and number of epochs you tried. You should also mention whether adding a learning rate decay helped and how you implemented this decay. Report the optimal hyperparameter setting you found in the table below. Report your training, validation, and testing accuracy with your optimal hyperparameter setting.

The settings of hyperparameters (lr, n\_epochs) tried were (0.1,10), (0.1,15), (0.01,10), (0.01,15), (0.01,20), (0.001,10), (0.001,15), (0.001,20). The learning rate decay used was  $e^{-k}$ , (so the learning rate was  $\eta e^{-k}$ ) where k is the number of epochs passed thus far, and adding a learning rate decay helped,

adding 1-2% percentages to the overall accuracies. Decay of the form  $\frac{1}{1+k}$  was also used with k=0,1...,

K-1 (K is total number epochs), but the exponential decay gave slightly better results for the CIFAR dataset (for the MR dataset the two decays gave similar results), for the particular experiments run in this assignment.

#### **MUSHROOM DATASET**

| Optimal hyperparameters: | $lr = 0.01$ n_epochs = 20 |
|--------------------------|---------------------------|
| Training accuracy:       | 95.055396                 |
| Validation accuracy:     | 94.215385                 |
| Test accuracy:           | 94.707692                 |

#### **CIFAR DATASET**

| Optimal hyperparameters: | $lr = 0.01$ $n\_epochs = 10$ |
|--------------------------|------------------------------|
|                          | I                            |

| Training accuracy:   | 37.415 |
|----------------------|--------|
| Validation accuracy: | 31.49  |
| Test accuracy:       | 31.14  |

# SVM

Describe the hyperparameter tuning you tried for learning rate, number of epochs, and regularization constant. Report the optimal hyperparameter setting you found in the table below. Also report your training, validation, and testing accuracy with your optimal hyperparameter setting.

The settings of hyperparameters (lr, n\_epochs, reg\_const) tried were (0.1,10,0.05), (0.1,10,0.5), (0.01,10,0.05), (0.1,10,0.005), (0.1,15,0.0005), (0.1,20,0.0005). The learning rate decay used was  $\eta e^{-k}$ , where k is the number of epochs passed thus far.

## MUSHROOM DATASET

| Optimal hyperparameters: | lr = 0.01<br>n_epochs = 10<br>reg_const = 0.05 |
|--------------------------|--|
| Training accuracy:       | 94.523077                                      |
| Validation accuracy:     | 94.523077                                      |
| Test accuracy:           | 95.116947                                      |

## **CIFAR DATASET**

| Optimal hyperparameters: | lr = 0.1<br>n_epochs = 10<br>reg_const = 0.005 |
|--------------------------|--|
| Training accuracy:       | 38.055000                                      |
| Validation accuracy:     | 31.570000                                      |
| Test accuracy:           | 31.090000                                      |

#### **Softmax**

Once again, describe the hyperparameter tuning you tried for learning rate, number of epochs, and regularization constant. Report the optimal hyperparameter setting you found in the table below. Also report your training, validation, and testing accuracy with your optimal hyperparameter setting.

The settings of hyperparameters (lr, n\_epochs, reg\_const) tried were (0.1,10,0.05), (0.1,10,0.5), (0.01,10,0.05), (0.01,10,0.005), (0.01,10,0.0005), (0.01,15,0.0005), (0.01,15,0.00005), (0.01,15,0.000005), (0.01,15,0.000005). The learning rate decay used was  $\eta e^{-k}$ , where k is the number of epochs passed thus far.

## MUSHROOM DATASET

| Optimal hyperparameters: | lr = 0.01<br>n_epochs = 10<br>reg_const = 0.0005 |
|--------------------------|--|
| Training accuracy:       | 92.553846  |
| Validation accuracy:     | 92.492308  |
| Test accuracy:           | 93.126795  |

# CIFAR DATASET

| Optimal hyperparameters: | lr = 0.01<br>n_epochs = 10<br>reg_const = 0.000005 |
|--------------------------|--|
| Training accuracy:       | 40.905   |
| Validation accuracy:     | 29.96  |
| Test accuracy:           | 30.18  |

## Logistic

Once again, describe the hyperparameter tuning you tried for learning rate, number of epochs, and regularization constant. Report the optimal hyperparameter setting you found in the table below. Also report your training, validation, and testing accuracy with your optimal hyperparameter setting.

The settings of hyperparameters (lr, n\_epochs) tried were (0.1,10), (0.1,15), (0.1,20), (0.01,10), (0.01,15), (0.01,20), (0.001,10), (0.001,15), (0.001,20). The learning rate decay used was  $\eta e^{-k}$ , where k is the number of epochs passed thus far, and the learning rate helped.

# MUSHROOM DATASET

| Optimal hyperparameters: | learning_rate = 0.01<br>n_epochs = 10 |
|--------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Training accuracy:       | 93.208863                             |
| Validation accuracy:     | 92.369231                             |
| Test accuracy:           | 92.369231                             |