

Heuristic Analysis

Optimal Plans

Problem	1	2	3
Plan	Length = 6 Load(C1, P1, SFO) Load(C2, P2, JFK) Fly(P2, JFK, SFO) Unload(C2, P2, SFO) Fly(P1, SFO, JFK) Unload(C1, P1, JFK)	Length = 9 Load(C1, P1, SFO) Load(C2, P2, JFK) Load(C3, P3, ATL) Fly(P2, JFK, SFO) Unload(C2, P2, SFO) Fly(P1, SFO, JFK) Unload(C1, P1, JFK) Fly(P3, ATL, SFO) Unload(C3, P3, SFO)	Length = 12 Load(C1, P1, SFO) Load(C2, P2, JFK) Fly(P2, JFK, ORD) Load(C4, P2, ORD) Fly(P1, SFO, ATL) Load(C3, P1, ATL) Fly(P1, ATL, JFK) Unload(C1, P1, JFK) Unload(C3, P1, JFK) Fly(P2, ORD, SFO) Unload(C2, P2, SFO) Unload(C4, P2, SFO)

Non-heuristic search comparison

Problem	1	2	3
Breath-first (1)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Optimal • 0.031s • 43 expansions 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Optimal • 16.986s • 3343 expansions 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Optimal • 138.886s • 14663 expansions
Depth-first (3)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Non-optimal • 0.012s • 21 expansions 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Non-optimal • 4.69s • 624 expansions 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Non-optimal • 2.315s • 408 expansions
Uniform cost search (5)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Optimal • 0.039s • 55 expansions 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Optimal • 10.77s • 4823 expansions 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Optimal • 53.416s • 18235 expansions

For these specific problems, breath-first is guaranteed to yield an optimal plan. Depth first create a very long, non-optimal plan but yield the best run-time for problem 3 due to a solution being found early in the search. Uniform cost search also yield optimal solution for these specific problem, though it's not a guarantee for more generic problems.

Heuristic search comparison

Problem	1	2	3
A* with ignore_preconditions	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Optimal• 0.036s• 41 expansions	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Optimal• 3.429s• 1421 expansions	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Optimal• 14.089s• 4859 expansions
A* with level-sum	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Optimal• 0.181s• 39 expansions	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Optimal• 23.754s• 1111 expansions	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Non-optimal• 192.15s• 4295 expansions

A* with ignore_preconditions are much faster than A* with level-sum as it does not involve constructing a planning graph, which is an expensive operation. However, A* with level-sum resulted in lower number of expansions.

For these specific problem, A* with ignore_preconditions is the better method due to its optimality and faster run-time. In other real-life situations, however, A* with level-sum may be a more “efficient” heuristic where it is more expensive to “expand”, such as cases where a human actor is involved to find out the “distance” value (e.g. by making a phone call).

Both methods, being a heuristic search, provide no guarantee of finding an optimal path for all problems.

Please note that the implemented heuristic contains problem-specific optimization on the planning graph, such as ignoring mutex.