An Agda proof of the correctness of Valiant's algorithm for CF parsing

Thomas Bååth Sjöblom

Chalmers University of Technology

Valiant's algorithm

- Valiant's algorithm
 - 1974: Prove that parsing can be done as quickly as matrix multiplication.

- Valiant's algorithm
 - 1974: Prove that parsing can be done as quickly as matrix multiplication.
 - 2013: Provide a practical (?) algorithm for parsing in parallel.

- Valiant's algorithm
 - 1974: Prove that parsing can be done as quickly as matrix multiplication.
 - 2013: Provide a practical (?) algorithm for parsing in parallel.
 - Can be derived from matrix algebra.

- Valiant's algorithm
 - 1974: Prove that parsing can be done as quickly as matrix multiplication.
 - 2013: Provide a practical (?) algorithm for parsing in parallel.
 - Can be derived from matrix algebra.
- Formal proof

- Valiant's algorithm
 - 1974: Prove that parsing can be done as quickly as matrix multiplication.
 - 2013: Provide a practical (?) algorithm for parsing in parallel.
 - Can be derived from matrix algebra.
- Formal proof
 - Bug free code is important!

- Valiant's algorithm
 - 1974: Prove that parsing can be done as quickly as matrix multiplication.
 - 2013: Provide a practical (?) algorithm for parsing in parallel.
 - Can be derived from matrix algebra.
- Formal proof
 - Bug free code is important!
 - Valiant's algorithm is complicated enough to need it.

- Valiant's algorithm
 - 1974: Prove that parsing can be done as quickly as matrix multiplication.
 - 2013: Provide a practical (?) algorithm for parsing in parallel.
 - Can be derived from matrix algebra.
- Formal proof
 - Bug free code is important!
 - Valiant's algorithm is complicated enough to need it.
- Agda

- Valiant's algorithm
 - 1974: Prove that parsing can be done as quickly as matrix multiplication.
 - 2013: Provide a practical (?) algorithm for parsing in parallel.
 - Can be derived from matrix algebra.
- Formal proof
 - Bug free code is important!
 - Valiant's algorithm is complicated enough to need it.
- Agda
 - Familiar if you know Haskell.

- Valiant's algorithm
 - 1974: Prove that parsing can be done as quickly as matrix multiplication.
 - 2013: Provide a practical (?) algorithm for parsing in parallel.
 - Can be derived from matrix algebra.
- Formal proof
 - Bug free code is important!
 - Valiant's algorithm is complicated enough to need it.
- Agda
 - Familiar if you know Haskell.
 - Not much math in it (yet!).

Analysing the structure of a string

Analysing the structure of a string

"She eats a fish with a fork"

Analysing the structure of a string

"She eats a fish with a fork"

Analysing the structure of a string

"She eats a fish with a fork"

$$\Sigma = \{\mathsf{She}, \mathsf{eats}, \mathsf{a}, \mathsf{fish}, \mathsf{with}, \mathsf{fork}\}$$

Analysing the structure of a string

"She eats a fish with a fork"

$$\Sigma = \{ She, eats, a, fish, with, fork \}$$

$$N = \{ S, N, N_p, V, V_p, D, P, P_p \}$$

Analysing the structure of a string

"She eats a fish with a fork"

$$\begin{split} \Sigma &= \{\mathsf{She}, \mathsf{eats}, \mathsf{a}, \mathsf{fish}, \mathsf{with}, \mathsf{fork}\} \\ N &= \{S, N, N_p, V, V_p, D, P, P_p\} \\ P &= \left\{ \begin{array}{c|c} S &\to N_p V_p & P_p \to P N_p & N_p \to \mathsf{She} \\ V_p \to V_p P_p & N_p \to D N & N \to \mathsf{fish} \\ V_p \to V N_p & V \to \mathsf{eats} & N \to \mathsf{fork} \\ V_p \to \mathsf{eats} & P \to \mathsf{with} & D \to \mathsf{a} \end{array} \right\} \end{split}$$

Analysing the structure of a string

"She eats a fish with a fork"

$$\begin{split} \Sigma &= \{\mathsf{She}, \mathsf{eats}, \mathsf{a}, \mathsf{fish}, \mathsf{with}, \mathsf{fork}\} \\ N &= \{S, N, N_p, V, V_p, D, P, P_p\} \\ P &= \left\{ \begin{array}{c|c} S &\to N_p V_p & P_p \to PN_p & N_p \to \mathsf{She} \\ V_p \to V_p P_p & N_p \to DN & N \to \mathsf{fish} \\ V_p \to \mathsf{VN}_p & V \to \mathsf{eats} & N \to \mathsf{fork} \\ V_p \to \mathsf{eats} & P \to \mathsf{with} & D \to \mathsf{a} \end{array} \right\} \\ S &= S \end{split}$$

"She eats a fish with a fork"

- Superdiagonal:
 - Fill with $N_p o \mathsf{She}$, $V o \mathsf{eats}$, $V_p o \mathsf{eats}$, $D o \mathsf{a}$, etc. Defines C.

```
      0 ? ? ? ? ? ? ? ?

      0 ? ? ? ? ? ? ?

      0 ? ? ? ? ? ?

      0 ? ? ? ? ?

      0 ? ? ?

      0 ? ? ?

      0 ? ? ?
```

"She eats a fish with a fork"

- Superdiagonal:
 - Fill with $N_p o \mathsf{She}$, $V o \mathsf{eats}$, $V_p o \mathsf{eats}$, $D o \mathsf{a}$, etc. Defines C.

```
      0 ? ? ? ? ? ? ? ?

      0 ? ? ? ? ? ? ?

      0 ? ? ? ? ? ?

      0 ? ? ? ? ?

      0 ? ? ?

      0 ? ? ?

      0 ? ? ?
```

"She eats a fish with a fork"

- Superdiagonal:
 - Fill with $N_p \rightarrow \text{She}$, $V \rightarrow \text{eats}$, $V_p \rightarrow \text{eats}$, $D \rightarrow \text{a}$, etc. Defines C.

```
      0 ? ? ? ? ? ? ? ?

      0 ? ? ? ? ? ? ?

      0 ? ? ? ? ? ?

      0 ? ? ? ? ?

      0 ? ? ? ?

      0 ? ? ?

      0 ? ? ?
```

"She eats a fish with a fork"

- Superdiagonal:
 - Fill with $N_p \rightarrow \mathsf{She}$, $V \rightarrow \mathsf{eats}$, $V_p \rightarrow \mathsf{eats}$, $D \rightarrow \mathsf{a}$, etc. Defines C.
- The rest:

```
      0 ? ? ? ? ? ? ?

      0 ? ? ? ? ? ?

      0 ? ? ? ? ?

      0 ? ? ? ?

      0 ? ? ? ?

      0 ? ? ?

      0 ? ? ?

      0 ? ?
```

"She eats a fish with a fork"

- Superdiagonal:
 - Fill with $N_p o \operatorname{She}$, $V o \operatorname{eats}$, $V_p o \operatorname{eats}$, $D o \operatorname{a}$, etc. Defines C.
- The rest:
 - $x \cdot y = \{A \mid B \in x, C \in y, A \rightarrow BC \in P\}$

"She eats a fish with a fork"

- Superdiagonal:
 - Fill with $N_p o \operatorname{She}$, $V o \operatorname{eats}$, $V_p o \operatorname{eats}$, $D o \operatorname{a}$, etc. Defines C.
- The rest:
 - $x \cdot y = \{A \mid B \in x, C \in y, A \rightarrow BC \in P\}$

"She eats a fish with a fork"

- Superdiagonal:
 - Fill with $N_p o \operatorname{She}$, $V o \operatorname{eats}$, $V_p o \operatorname{eats}$, $D o \operatorname{a}$, etc. Defines C.
- The rest:
 - $x \cdot y = \{A \mid B \in x, C \in y, A \rightarrow BC \in P\}$

"She eats a fish with a fork"

- Superdiagonal:
 - Fill with $N_p o \operatorname{She}$, $V o \operatorname{eats}$, $V_p o \operatorname{eats}$, $D o \operatorname{a}$, etc. Defines C.
- The rest:
 - $x \cdot y = \{A \mid B \in x, C \in y, A \rightarrow BC \in P\}$

"She eats a fish with a fork"

- Superdiagonal:
 - Fill with $N_p o \operatorname{She}$, $V o \operatorname{eats}$, $V_p o \operatorname{eats}$, $D o \operatorname{a}$, etc. Defines C.
- The rest:
 - $x \cdot y = \{A \mid B \in x, C \in y, A \rightarrow BC \in P\}$
 - $X_{ij} = \sum_k X_{ik} \cdot X_{kj}$

```
      0 ? ? ? ? ? ? ?

      0 ? ? ? ? ? ?

      0 ? ? ? ? ?

      0 ? ? ? ?

      0 ? ? ? ?

      0 ? ? ?

      0 ? ? ?

      0 ? ?
```

"She eats a fish with a fork"

- Superdiagonal:
 - Fill with $N_p o \operatorname{She}$, $V o \operatorname{eats}$, $V_p o \operatorname{eats}$, $D o \operatorname{a}$, etc. Defines C.
- The rest:
 - $x \cdot y = \{A \mid B \in x, C \in y, A \rightarrow BC \in P\}$
 - $X_{ij} = \sum_k X_{ik} \cdot X_{kj}$
- X = XX + C

Valiant's Algorithm

$$X = XX + C$$

C upper triangular. Find X.

Valiant's Algorithm

$$X = XX + C$$

C upper triangular. Find X.

• C a 1×1 matrix $\Longrightarrow C = (0)$. X = (0).

$$X = XX + C$$

- C a 1×1 matrix $\Longrightarrow C = (0)$. X = (0).
- C a $2^n \times 2^n$ matrix:

$$X = XX + C$$

- C a 1×1 matrix $\Longrightarrow C = (0)$. X = (0).
- C a $2^n \times 2^n$ matrix:

$$X = XX + C$$

- C a 1×1 matrix $\Longrightarrow C = (0)$. X = (0).
- C a $2^n \times 2^n$ matrix:

$$X = XX + C$$

C upper triangular. Find X.

- C a 1×1 matrix $\Longrightarrow C = (0)$. X = (0).
- C a $2^n \times 2^n$ matrix:

3 Recursively compute X_U , X_L .

$$X = XX + C$$

- C a 1×1 matrix $\Longrightarrow C = (0)$. X = (0).
- C a $2^n \times 2^n$ matrix:

- **3** Recursively compute X_U , X_L .

$$X_R = X_U X_R + X_R X_L + C_R$$

$$X_R = X_U X_R + X_R X_L + C_R$$

• C_R a 1×1 matrix $\implies X_U = X_L = (0)$. $X_R = C_R$.

$$X_R = X_U X_R + X_R X_L + C_R$$

- C_R a 1×1 matrix $\implies X_U = X_L = (0)$. $X_R = C_R$.
- C_R a $2^n \times 2^n$ matrix:

$$X_R = X_U X_R + X_R X_L + C_R$$

- C_R a 1×1 matrix $\implies X_U = X_L = (0)$. $X_R = C_R$.
- C_R a $2^n \times 2^n$ matrix:

• Split
$$C_R = \begin{pmatrix} C_1 & C_2 \\ C_3 & C_4 \end{pmatrix}$$
, $X_R = \begin{pmatrix} X_1 & X_2 \\ X_3 & X_4 \end{pmatrix}$, $X_U = \begin{pmatrix} X_{U_U} & X_{U_R} \\ & X_{U_L} \end{pmatrix}$ and $X_L = \begin{pmatrix} X_{L_U} & X_{L_R} \\ & X_{L_L} \end{pmatrix}$

$$X_R = X_U X_R + X_R X_L + C_R$$

- C_R a 1×1 matrix $\Longrightarrow X_U = X_L = (0)$. $X_R = C_R$.
- C_R a $2^n \times 2^n$ matrix:

• Split
$$C_R = \begin{pmatrix} C_1 & C_2 \\ C_3 & C_4 \end{pmatrix}$$
, $X_R = \begin{pmatrix} X_1 & X_2 \\ X_3 & X_4 \end{pmatrix}$, $X_U = \begin{pmatrix} X_{U_U} & X_{U_R} \\ & X_{U_L} \end{pmatrix}$ and $X_L = \begin{pmatrix} X_{L_U} & X_{L_R} \\ & X_{L_L} \end{pmatrix}$

Multiplying together gives

$$X_{1} = X_{U_{U}}X_{1} + X_{1}X_{L_{U}} + X_{U_{R}}X_{3} + C_{1}$$

$$X_{2} = X_{U_{U}}X_{2} + X_{2}X_{L_{L}} + X_{U_{R}}X_{4} + X_{1}X_{L_{R}} + C_{2}$$

$$X_{3} = X_{U_{L}}X_{3} + X_{3}X_{L_{U}} + C_{3}$$

$$X_{4} = X_{U_{L}}X_{4} + X_{4}X_{L_{L}} + X_{3}X_{L_{R}} + C_{4}$$

Need:

Matrix datatype

- Matrix datatype
- Upper triangular matrix datatype

- Matrix datatype
- Upper triangular matrix datatype
- Addition and multiplication

- Matrix datatype
- Upper triangular matrix datatype
- Addition and multiplication
- Equality

```
\begin{array}{l} \textbf{data} \ \mathsf{Mat} : \ \mathbb{N} \to \mathsf{Set} \ \textbf{where} \\ \mathsf{sing} : \ \mathsf{R} \to \mathsf{Mat} \ \mathsf{0} \\ \mathsf{quad} : \ \forall \ \{\mathsf{n}\} \to \mathsf{Mat} \ \mathsf{n} \to \mathsf{Mat} \ \mathsf{n} \\ & \to \mathsf{Mat} \ \mathsf{n} \to \mathsf{Mat} \ \mathsf{n} \\ & \to \mathsf{Mat} \ (\mathsf{suc} \ \mathsf{n}) \end{array}
```

```
\begin{array}{l} \textbf{data} \ \mathsf{Mat} : \ \mathbb{N} \to \mathsf{Set} \ \textbf{where} \\ \mathsf{sing} : \ \mathsf{R} \to \mathsf{Mat} \ \mathsf{0} \\ \mathsf{quad} : \ \forall \ \{\mathsf{n}\} \to \mathsf{Mat} \ \mathsf{n} \to \mathsf{Mat} \ \mathsf{n} \\ & \to \mathsf{Mat} \ \mathsf{n} \to \mathsf{Mat} \ \mathsf{n} \\ & \to \mathsf{Mat} \ \mathsf{n} \to \mathsf{Mat} \ \mathsf{n} \end{array}
```

```
data Tri : \mathbb{N} \to \mathsf{Set} where
zer : Tri 0
tri : \forall \{n\} \to \mathsf{Tri} n \to \mathsf{Mat} n \to \mathsf{Tri} n \to \mathsf{Tri} n \to \mathsf{Tri} n \to \mathsf{Tri} (suc n)
```

```
\_+\_: \forall \{n\} \rightarrow \mathsf{Mat} \ n \rightarrow \mathsf{Mat} \ n \rightarrow \mathsf{Mat} \ n
\mathsf{sing} \ \mathsf{x} \qquad + \mathsf{sing} \ \mathsf{x}' \qquad = \mathsf{sing} \ (\mathsf{x} +_{\!\scriptscriptstyle R} \ \mathsf{x}')
\mathsf{quad} \ \mathsf{A} \ \mathsf{B} \ \mathsf{C} \ \mathsf{D} + \mathsf{quad} \ \mathsf{A}' \ \mathsf{B}' \ \mathsf{C}' \ \mathsf{D}' =
\mathsf{quad} \ (\mathsf{A} + \mathsf{A}') \ (\mathsf{B} + \mathsf{B}') \ (\mathsf{C} + \mathsf{C}') \ (\mathsf{D} + \mathsf{D}')
```

```
\_+\_: \forall \{n\} \rightarrow \mathsf{Mat} \ n \rightarrow \mathsf{Mat} \ n \rightarrow \mathsf{Mat} \ n
\mathsf{sing} \ \mathsf{x} \qquad + \mathsf{sing} \ \mathsf{x}' \qquad = \mathsf{sing} \ (\mathsf{x} +_{\!\!R} \ \mathsf{x}')
\mathsf{quad} \ \mathsf{A} \ \mathsf{B} \ \mathsf{C} \ \mathsf{D} + \mathsf{quad} \ \mathsf{A}' \ \mathsf{B}' \ \mathsf{C}' \ \mathsf{D}' =
\mathsf{quad} \ (\mathsf{A} + \mathsf{A}') \ (\mathsf{B} + \mathsf{B}') \ (\mathsf{C} + \mathsf{C}') \ (\mathsf{D} + \mathsf{D}')
```

```
\_*\_: \forall \{n\} \rightarrow \mathsf{Mat} \ n \rightarrow \mathsf{Mat} \ n \rightarrow \mathsf{Mat} \ n
\mathsf{sing} \ \mathsf{x} \qquad * \mathsf{sing} \ \mathsf{x}' \qquad = \mathsf{sing} \ (\mathsf{x} \ *_R \ \mathsf{x}')
\mathsf{quad} \ \mathsf{A} \ \mathsf{B} \ \mathsf{C} \ \mathsf{D} \ * \ \mathsf{quad} \ \mathsf{A}' \ \mathsf{B}' \ \mathsf{C}' \ \mathsf{D}' =
\mathsf{quad} \ (\mathsf{A} \ * \ \mathsf{A}' + \mathsf{B} \ * \ \mathsf{C}') \ (\mathsf{A} \ * \ \mathsf{B}' + \mathsf{B} \ * \ \mathsf{D}')
(\mathsf{C} \ * \ \mathsf{A}' + \mathsf{D} \ * \ \mathsf{C}') \ (\mathsf{C} \ * \ \mathsf{B}' + \mathsf{D} \ * \ \mathsf{D}')
```

```
overlap: \forall \{n\} \rightarrow Tri n \rightarrow Mat n \rightarrow Tri n \rightarrow Mat n
overlap zer (sing x) zer
                                                    = sing x
overlap (tri U_1' R_1' L_1') (quad A B C D)
                               (tri U_2' R_2' L_2') = quad A' B'
                                                                C' D'
   where
      C' = \text{overlap } L_1' C U_2'
      A' = \text{overlap } U_1' (A + R_1' * C') U_2'
      D' = \text{overlap } L_1' (D + C' * R_2') L_2'
      B' = \text{overlap } U_1' (B + R_1' * D' + A' * R_2') L_2'
```

```
valiant : \forall \{n\} \rightarrow \mathsf{Tri} \; n \rightarrow \mathsf{Tri} \; n

valiant zer = zer

valiant (tri U R L) = tri U<sup>+</sup> (overlap U<sup>+</sup> R L<sup>+</sup>) L<sup>+</sup>

where U<sup>+</sup> = valiant U

L<sup>+</sup> = valiant L
```

• Equality:

```
\_\approx\_: \forall \{n\} \rightarrow \mathsf{Tri} \ n \rightarrow \mathsf{Tri} \ n \rightarrow \mathsf{Set}
\mathsf{zer} \approx \mathsf{zer} = \mathsf{tt}
(\mathsf{tri} \ U \ R \ L) \approx (\mathsf{tri} \ U' \ R' \ L') = U \approx U', R \approx R'
, L \approx L'
```

• Equality:

$$_\approx_: \forall \{n\} \rightarrow \mathsf{Tri} \ n \rightarrow \mathsf{Tri} \ n \rightarrow \mathsf{Set}$$
 $\mathsf{zer} \approx \mathsf{zer} = \mathsf{tt}$ $(\mathsf{tri} \ \mathsf{U} \ \mathsf{R} \ \mathsf{L}) \approx (\mathsf{tri} \ \mathsf{U}' \ \mathsf{R}' \ \mathsf{L}') = \mathsf{U} \approx \mathsf{U}', \mathsf{R} \approx \mathsf{R}'$ $, \mathsf{L} \approx \mathsf{L}'$

To prove the correctness, find an element of type

$$\forall \{n\} \{C : Trin\} \rightarrow$$
(valiant C) \approx (valiant C) * (valiant C) + C

Sketch of proof:

- We pattern match on the Tri.
 - zer, should have type

$$zer \approx zer * zer + zer$$

• tri, we get that the overlap function should satisfy

$$R' \approx U * R' + R' * L + R$$

but this was the specification we used to derive it.

Thank you!

Thank you!