

Homework 3

Jaden Wang

Problem (2). (a) Recall from HW2 that $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{B_t}{t} = 0$ a.s. so $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{B_t}{t} - \frac{1}{2} = -\frac{1}{2}$ a.s. Therefore, we have a.s.

$$\begin{aligned}\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} X_t &= \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \exp \left(t \left(\frac{B_t}{t} - \frac{1}{2} \right) \right) \\ &= \exp(-\infty) = 0.\end{aligned}$$

(b) No. Suppose that $X_t \rightarrow X$ in L^1 for some X , then after rational discretization there exists a subsequence such that $X_t \rightarrow X$ a.s., but since $X_t \rightarrow 0$ a.s., this forces $X = 0$ a.s. However, we have

$$\mathbb{E}[X_t] = \mathbb{E} \left[e^{B_t} e^{-\frac{t}{2}} \right] = \mathbb{E} \left[e^{\sqrt{t} B_1} \right] e^{-\frac{t}{2}} = e^{\frac{t}{2}} e^{-\frac{t}{2}} = 1 \neq 0 = \mathbb{E}[X],$$

a contradiction.

Problem (3). (a) Since each T_n is a stopping time, $\{T_n \leq t\} \in \mathcal{F}_t \forall n, t$. Then

$$\{\sup_n T_n \leq t\} = \bigcap_n \{T_n \leq t\} \in \mathcal{F}_t.$$

Thus $\sup_n T_n$ is a stopping time.

(b) The case for infimum is different because for infimum to be $\leq t$, it is possible that all $T_n > t$ but the infimum converges to t . Since \mathcal{F}_t is right continuous, we have

$$\{\inf_n T_n \leq t\} = \bigcup_n \underbrace{\{T_n \leq t\}}_{\mathcal{F}_t} \cup \underbrace{\bigcap_{s > t} \{T_n \leq s\}}_{\mathcal{F}_{t+} = \mathcal{F}_t} \in \mathcal{F}_t.$$

Thus $\inf_n T_n$ is a stopping time.

Define $S_n := \sup_{m \geq n} T_m$ and $I_n := \inf_{m \geq n} T_m$. It follows from above that S_n and I_n are stopping times. Then

$$\{\limsup_n T_n \leq t\} = \{\inf_n \sup_{m \geq n} T_m \leq t\} = \{\inf_n S_n \leq t\} \in \mathcal{F}_t$$

$$\{\liminf_n T_n \leq t\} = \{\sup_n \inf_{m \geq n} T_m \leq t\} = \{\sup_n I_n \leq t\} \in \mathcal{F}_t.$$

Problem (4). We first show that (X_n) has uncorrelated increments. Let $m \leq n \in \mathbb{N}$, we repeatedly apply the Tower property:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}[(X_n - X_m)^2] &= \mathbb{E}[\mathbb{E}[(X_n - X_m)^2 | \mathcal{F}_m]] \\ &= \mathbb{E}[\mathbb{E}[X_n^2 - 2X_n X_m + X_m^2 | \mathcal{F}_m]] \\ &= \mathbb{E}[\mathbb{E}[X_n^2 | \mathcal{F}_m] - 2\mathbb{E}[X_n | \mathcal{F}_m] X_m + X_m^2] \\ &= \mathbb{E}[\mathbb{E}[X_n^2 | \mathcal{F}_m] - 2X_m^2 + X_m^2] \\ &= \mathbb{E}[\mathbb{E}[X_n^2 | \mathcal{F}_m] - X_m^2] \\ &= \mathbb{E}[X_n^2 - X_m^2].\end{aligned}$$

Let $S := \sup_n \mathbb{E}[X_n^2] < \infty$. Then $\mathbb{E}[X_n^2 - X_m^2] \leq 2S$. Consider

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}[(X_n - X_0)^2] &= \mathbb{E}[X_n^2 - X_0^2] \\ &= \mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{i=0}^n (X_{i+1}^2 - X_i^2)\right] \quad \text{telescope} \\ &= \mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{i=0}^n (X_{i+1} - X_i)^2\right] \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^n \mathbb{E}[(X_{i+1} - X_i)^2] \leq 2S.\end{aligned}$$

Since each term is nonnegative, the partial sum is an monotone increasing sequence, and is bounded above by $2S$, the series converges by MCT, and the tail sum tends to 0. That is, given any $\varepsilon > 0$, by choosing m, n large enough, $\mathbb{E}[(X_n - X_m)^2] < \varepsilon$. Thus (X_n) is Cauchy and therefore converges in L^2 .

Problem (5). We first prove the hints.

(a) (\Rightarrow) is a straightforward computation using LOTUS.

(\Leftarrow) : If the MGF $\mathbb{E}[e^{\lambda X}] = e^{\lambda^2/2}$ for every real λ , then since both sides are analytic functions, by analytic continuation we can extend the equality to the complex plane and obtain the characteristic function $\mathbb{E}[e^{i\lambda X}] = e^{-\lambda^2/2}$. Since characteristic function uniquely determines the distribution, X must be standard Gaussian.

(b) If the conditional MGF equals unconditional MGF, then by the characteristic equation argument above, the conditional and unconditional probability distributions of X must

be the same. That is, for any $x \in \mathbb{R}$ and $B \in \mathcal{B}$ such that $\mathbb{P}(B) > 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{P}(X \leq x | B) &= \mathbb{P}(X \leq x) \\ \frac{\mathbb{P}(X \leq x \cap B)}{\mathbb{P}(B)} &= \mathbb{P}(X \leq x) \\ \mathbb{P}(X \leq x \cap B) &= \mathbb{P}(X \leq x) \mathbb{P}(B).\end{aligned}$$

When B is a null-set, *i.e.* $\mathbb{P}(B) = 0$, the equality trivially holds. This proves independence since $X \leq x$ and B are generators of $\sigma(X)$ and \mathcal{B} .

Since X_t already has continuous paths, it remains to show that it is a pre-BM. We shall use definition 3. We already have $X_0 = 0$ a.s. Notice that since $M_t^{(1)}$ is \mathcal{F}_t -measurable, $X_t = \log M_t^{(1)} + \frac{t}{2}$ is also \mathcal{F}_t -measurable.

Let $0 \leq s \leq t$, so $\mathcal{F}_s \subset \mathcal{F}_t$. Next we show for $X_t - X_s \sim N(0, t-s)$. Consider

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}[e^{X_t - X_s}] &= \mathbb{E}[\mathbb{E}[e^{X_t - X_s} | \mathcal{F}_s]] && \text{tower rule} \\ &= \mathbb{E}[\mathbb{E}[e^{X_t} | \mathcal{F}_s] e^{-X_s}] && X_s \in \mathcal{F}_s \\ &= \mathbb{E}[\mathbb{E}[e^{X_t - \frac{1}{2}t} | \mathcal{F}_s] e^{-X_s + \frac{1}{2}s}] e^{\frac{1}{2}(t-s)} \\ &= \mathbb{E}\left[\mathbb{E}\left[M_t^{(1)} | \mathcal{F}_s\right] \frac{1}{M_s^{(1)}}\right] e^{\frac{1}{2}(t-s)} \\ &= \mathbb{E}\left[M_s^{(1)} \frac{1}{M_s^{(1)}}\right] e^{\frac{1}{2}(t-s)} && \text{Martingale} \\ &= e^{\frac{1}{2}(t-s)}.\end{aligned}$$

Then the result follows from hint (a).

Finally, we show independent increment. Since $\mathcal{F}_r \subset \mathcal{F}_s$ for all $0 \leq r \leq s$, it suffices to show that $X_t - X_s$ is independent of \mathcal{F}_s . Using similar computation from above, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}[e^{X_t - X_s} | \mathcal{F}_s] &= e^{\frac{1}{2}(t-s)} \\ &= \mathbb{E}[e^{X_t - X_s}].\end{aligned}$$

The result follows from hint (b). Therefore, X_t is a BM.