

When does a bundle have a section?

**Lemma 0.1**

$$\delta\tilde{\sigma}(s_k) = 0.$$

*Proof.* Recall  $\partial^{CW} : C_{k+1}(M) \rightarrow C_k(M)$  is as follows: for  $e_i^{k+1}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \partial e_i^{k+1} &= S^k \xrightarrow{a_i} M^{(k)} \rightarrow M^{k+1}/M^k \cong \bigvee S^k \xrightarrow{p_j} S^k \\ \partial^{CW} e_i^{k+1} &= \sum_j (\deg g_{ij}) e_j^k \end{aligned}$$

where  $g_{ij}$  is the composition map above. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \delta : \text{Hom}(C_{k-1}(M); G) &\rightarrow \text{Hom}(C_k(M); G) \\ (\delta h)(e_i^k) &:= h(\partial^{CW} e_i^k) \end{aligned}$$

$\delta(\tilde{\sigma}(s_k)) : C_{k+2}(M) \rightarrow \pi_k(F)$ ,  $e^{k+2} \mapsto \tilde{\sigma}(s_k)(\partial e^{k+2})$ . Let  $a : \partial e^{k+2} \rightarrow M^{(k+1)}$  be the attaching map and  $I : e^{k+2} \rightarrow M$  be the “inclusion”. We homotop  $a$  as in exercise so

$$(\delta\tilde{\sigma}(s_k))(e^{k+2}) = \tilde{\sigma}(s_k)(\sum d_j [e_j^{k+1}])$$

where  $d_j$  are degrees of maps. As above  $I^*E \cong e^{k+2} \times F$  arrows into  $e^{k+2}$  and  $s_k$  gives a section above  $a(\partial e^{k+2} - \bigcup_n D_n^{k+1})$  from exercise. And  $a|_{\partial D_n^{k+1}}$  is the attaching map for some  $e_i^{k+1}$ . We can use  $p_2 \circ a|_{\pm \partial D_n^{k+2}}$  to define  $\tilde{\sigma}(s_k)(e_i^{k+1})$ . Hence the maps used in definition of  $\sum d_j \tilde{\sigma}(s_k)(e_j^{k+1})$  can be extended over  $\partial e^{k+2} - \bigcup D_n^{k+1}$ .

Exercise: show this means  $\sum d_j \tilde{\sigma}(s_k)(e_j^{k+1})$  is 0 in  $\pi_k(F)$ . Hint: first consider the case when there is only one  $D_n^{k+1}$ . So this finishes the proof.  $\square$

Now suppose  $s_k, s'_k$  are two sections over  $M^{(k)}$  that agree on  $M^{(k-1)}$ , then their **difference class** in  $C^k(M, \pi_k(F))$  is defined as follows:

$$D(s_k, s'_k) : C_k(M) \rightarrow \pi_k(F)$$

Let  $I_i : e_i^k \rightarrow M$  be inclusion of a  $k$ -cell. Then  $I_i^*E \cong e_i^k \times F$ . Now  $s_k|_{\partial e_i^k} = s'_k|_{\partial e_i^k}$ . So putting them together as upper and lower hemispheres, we have a map  $p_2 \circ (s_k|_{e_i^k} - s'_k|_{e_i^k}) : S^k \rightarrow F$ . Define  $D(s_k, s'_k)(e_i^k) := p_2 \circ (s_k|_{e_i^k} - s'_k|_{e_i^k}) \in \pi_k(F)$ .

**Lemma 0.2** (1)  $\delta(D(s_k, s'_k)) = \tilde{\sigma}(s_k) - \tilde{\sigma}(s'_k)$ .

(2) given any  $s_k$  and  $h \in C^k(M; \pi_k(F))$ , there exists  $s'_k$  s.t.  $D(s_k, s'_k) = h$ .

*Proof.* 1 is similar to proof of lemma 1. Exercise.

Let  $s_k$  be a section over  $M$ , for fix a  $k$ -cell  $e^k$ , define

$$h(e^k) := [g] \in \pi_k(F)$$

and  $h = 0$  on other  $k$ -cells. If we can find  $s'_k$  s.t.  $D(s_k, s'_k) = h$ , then we are done (by doing it cell by cell). Let  $I : e^k \rightarrow M$  be the inclusion so pullback bundle is trivial. We choose a disk  $D^k \subseteq \int e^k$  and homotop  $s_k$  on  $e^k$  so  $p \circ s_k(D^k) = x_0 \in F$ .

Let  $s'_k = s_k$  on  $M^{(k)} - D^k$  and on  $D^k$  let it be  $-g \in \pi_k(F)$ . Clearly  $D(s_k, s'_k) = h$ .  $\square$

The two lemmas above give

**Theorem 0.3**

Given a bundle  $(E, M, F, p)$  satisfying the three assumptions and a section  $s_k : M^{(k)} \rightarrow E$  then  $s_k|_{M^{(k-1)}}$  extends to  $M^{(k+1)} \Leftrightarrow$

$$\sigma(s_k) = [\tilde{\sigma}(s_k)] = 0 \in H^{k+1}(M; \pi_k(F)).$$

**Remark 0.4** If  $\pi_k(F) = 0$  for all  $k < \dim M$ , then the above shows there exists a section of  $(E, M, F)$ . In particular, if  $F$  is contractible then any bundle with fiber  $F$  has a section.

**Remark 0.5**  $\sigma(s_k)$  depends on  $s_k|_{M^{(k-1)}}$  so it is not an obstruction to the existence of a section of  $E$  over  $M^{(k+1)}$  but only an obstruction to the existence of an extension of  $s_k|_{M^{(k-1)}}$  to  $M^{(k+1)}$ .

But the "first obstruction" is independent of any choices and is "natural".

### Theorem 0.6

Given a bundle satisfying the three assumptions, if  $\pi_k(F) = 0$  for  $k < n$ , then there exists a section  $s_n : M^{(n)} \rightarrow E$  and the obstruction  $\sigma(s_n)$  does not depend on  $s_n$ , *i.e.* it is well-defined independent of choices. Denote  $\sigma(s_n)$  by  $\gamma^{n+1}(E)$ , called the **primary obstruction**. And if  $f : N \rightarrow M$  is a map then

$$\gamma^{n+1}(f^*E) = f^*(\gamma^{n+1}(E)).$$

**Definition 0.7** —  $\gamma^{n+1}$  is called a **characteristic class**.

*Proof.* The discussion above says  $s_n$  exists since all obstructions vanish. You can develop an obstruction theory to homotoping one section to another: given  $s, s'$  agreeing on  $k-1$ -skeleton, then  $s|_{M^{(k)}}$  is homotopic to  $s'|_{M^{(k)}} \Leftrightarrow \sigma(s, s') \in H^k(M; \pi_k(F))$  vanishes (by the same argument before). Exercise.

So there is a unique (up to homotopy) section of  $E$  over  $M^{(n-1)}$ . Thus  $\sigma(s_n)$  is independent of  $s_n$ .

For naturality, WLOG suppose  $f : N \rightarrow M$  is a cellular map. Now a section  $s$  of  $E \rightarrow M$  gives a section  $f^*(s)$  of  $f^*(E)$ . Exercise: check this. For any  $\Phi : (D^{n+1}, \partial D^{n+1}) \rightarrow (N^{(n+1)}, N^{(n)})$  we see

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_{n+1}(N^{(n+1)}, N^{(n)}) &\xrightarrow{f_*} \pi_{n+1}(M^{(n+1)}, M^{(n)}) \rightarrow \pi_n(F) \\ [\Phi] &\mapsto [f \circ \Phi] \mapsto [p_2 \circ s \circ f \circ \Phi_{\partial D^{n+1}}] \end{aligned}$$

is essentially both  $\sigma(f^*s)(\Phi)$  and  $(f^*\sigma(s))(\Phi)$ . Now  $\pi_{n+1}(N^{(n+1)}, N^{(n)}) \cong H_{n+1}(N^{(n+1)}, N^{(n)}) \cong C_{n+1}^{CW}(N)$ . Similarly for  $M$ . So the cocycle  $\gamma^{n+1}(f^*E)$  is  $f^*(\gamma^{n+1}(E))$ .  $\square$