

How does  $[X, Y]_0$  depend on the base point?

**Definition 0.1** — Given  $f_0, f_1 : X \rightarrow Y$  and a path  $u : I \rightarrow Y$ , if there is a homotopy  $H : X \times I \rightarrow Y$  s.t.  $H(x, 0) = f_0(x)$ ,  $H(x, 1) = f_1(x)$ , and  $H(x_0, t) = u(t)$ , then we say  $H$  is a **homotopy along  $u$** , denote  $f_0 \simeq_u f_1$ .

If  $f_0, f_1$  are base-point preserving, then  $u$  is a loop in  $Y$ . To be able to say anything about moving base point we read  $(X, x_0)$  to be “non-degenerate” by which we mean that  $(X, x_0)$  is an **NDR-pair** (neighborhood deformation retract).

**Definition 0.2** —  $A \subseteq X$  is an **NDR-pair** if there are maps  $u : X \rightarrow I$  and  $h : X \times I \rightarrow X$  s.t.

- (1)  $A = u^{-1}(0)$ ;
- (2)  $h(x, 0) = x \ \forall x \in X$ ;
- (3)  $h(a, t) = a \ \forall a \in A$ ;
- (4)  $h(x, 1) \in A \ \forall x \in X$  with  $u(x) < 1$ .

Note:  $u^{-1}([0, 1))$  is an open neighborhood of  $A \in X$  that retracts to  $A$ .

**Example 0.3** (NDR-pairs)

We have

- (1) sub CW-complex of a CW-complex (think that sphere can contract to boundary if we remove a point).
- (2) submanifold of a manifold.

**Lemma 0.4**

If  $(X, A)$  is an NDR-pair, then  $(X \times \{0\}) \cup (A \times I)$  is a retract of  $X \times I$ .

*Proof.* Define  $R : X \times I \rightarrow (X \times \{0\}) \cup (A \times I)$ ,  $(x, t) \mapsto \begin{cases} (x, t) & x \in A \text{ or } t = 0 \\ (h(x, 1), t - u(x)) & t \geq u(x), t > 0 \\ (h(x, \frac{t}{u(x)}), 0) & u(x) \geq t \text{ and } u(x) > 0 \end{cases}$

Exercise: this is a retract.  $\square$

### Lemma 0.5

If  $(X, x_0)$  is an NDR-pair and  $f_0 : X \rightarrow Y$ ,  $f_0(x_0) = y_0$ ,  $\gamma : I \rightarrow Y$  path from  $y_0$  to  $y$ , then there exists  $f_1 : X \rightarrow Y$  s.t.  $f_1(x_0) = y_1$  and  $f_0 \simeq_\gamma f_1$ . We denote  $f_1$  by  $\gamma \cdot f_0$  (well-defined once  $R$  from previous lemma is fixed).

*Proof.* Let  $R$  be from previous lemma for  $(X, x_0)$ . Let  $H : X \times I \rightarrow Y$  to be

$$H(x, t) = \begin{cases} f_0(R(x, t)) & R(x, t) \in X \times \{0\} \\ \gamma(R(x, t)) & R(x, t) \in A \times I \end{cases}$$

Let  $f_1(x) = H(x, 1)$ . Then  $H$  yields  $f_0 \simeq_\gamma f_1$ .  $\square$

### Lemma 0.6

Suppose  $f_0, f_1, f_2 : X \rightarrow Y$ ,  $(X, x_0)$  an NDR pair if  $f_0 \simeq_\gamma f_1$ ,  $f_0 \simeq_{\gamma'} f_2$  with  $\gamma \simeq \gamma'$  rel boundary, then  $f_1 \simeq f_2$  rel base point.

*Proof.* Since  $(X, x_0)$  is an NDR-pair, we can show that  $(X \times I, (X \times \{0, 1\}) \cup \{x_0\} \times I)$  is also an NDR-pair. Exercise: show this ( $\varepsilon$  tubes retract, just need to be compatible on overlaps).

So lemma yields a retraction  $R : (X \times I) \times I \rightarrow ((X \times I) \times \{0\}) \cup ((X \times \{0, 1\}) \cup (\{x_0\} \times I)) \times I$ .

Let  $H$  be homotopy  $f_0 \simeq_\gamma f_1$ ,  $G$  be homotopy  $f_0 \simeq_{\gamma'} f_2$ ,  $K$  be homotopy  $\gamma \simeq \gamma'$  rel boundary.

Let  $\bar{R} = () \circ R$ . Check  $\bar{R}|_{X \times I \times \{1\}}$  is a homotopy  $f_1$  to  $f_2$  rel base point.  $\square$

### Lemma 0.7

Suppose  $f_0, f_1, f_2 : X \rightarrow Y$ ,  $f_0 \simeq_{\gamma_1} f_1$ ,  $f_1 \simeq_{\gamma_2} f_2$  with  $\gamma_1(1) = \gamma_2(0)$ , then  $f_0 \simeq_{\gamma_1 \times \gamma_2} f_2$ .

*Proof.* Concatenate homotopies,  $H$  for  $f_0 \simeq_{\gamma_1} f_1$  and  $G$  for  $f_1 \simeq_{\gamma_2} f_2$ . □

### Theorem 0.8

If  $x_0$  is a non-degenerate base point of  $X$ , then  $\pi_1(Y, y_0)$  acts on  $[X, Y]_0$ . Moreover,  $[X, Y]$  is the quotient of  $[X, Y]_0$  by the  $\pi_1(Y, y_0)$  action if  $Y$  is path-connected.

*Proof.* Take  $[\gamma] \in \pi_1(Y, y_0)$ ,  $[f] \in [X, Y]_0$ , lemma yields  $\gamma \cdot f : X \rightarrow Y$  and  $[\gamma \cdot f]$  clearly  $[X, Y]_0$ .

**Claim 0.9.**  $[\gamma \cdot f]$  is well-defined.

If  $f, g \in [f]$  so  $f \simeq g$  rel base point. By lemma, we get  $f, g$  s.t.  $f \simeq_{\gamma} f_1$  and  $g \simeq_{\gamma} g_1$ . Thus  $f_1 \simeq_{\gamma^{-1}} f \simeq g \simeq_{\gamma} g_1$ . Lemma says  $f_1 \simeq \gamma^{-1} \times \text{const} \times \gamma g_1 \simeq \text{const}$ . So Lemma 11 says  $f_1 \simeq g_1$  rel base points.

That is,  $[\gamma \cdot f]$  does not depend on choice of  $f$ . By lemma 11,  $[\gamma \cdot f]$  does not depend on  $\gamma$ . Let  $\Phi : [X, Y]_0 \rightarrow [X, Y]$  by just forgetting base point. Clearly  $\Phi([\gamma] \cdot [f]) = \Phi([f])$ . Hence we obtain an induced map

$$\Phi : [X, Y]_0 / \pi_1(Y, y_0) \rightarrow [X, Y].$$

□

If  $\Phi([f]) = \Phi([g])$ , then let  $H$  be the free homotopy from  $f$  to  $g$ . Set  $\gamma(t) = H(x_0, t)$ . Both  $f, g$  take  $x_0$  to  $y_0$  so  $[\gamma] \in \pi_1(Y, y_0)$  and  $[\gamma \cdot f] = [g]$ . So  $\Phi$  is injective.

$\Phi$  is surjective by lemma 10 if  $Y$  is path-connected.

### Corollary 0.10

A based map is null-homotopic iff it is based null-homotopic.

*Proof.* Based null-homotopy clearly implies null-homotopic. If  $f \simeq e$ , WLOG  $e(x) = y_0$ , by homotopy  $H$ , let  $\gamma(t) = H(x_0, t)$  so  $f \simeq_{\gamma} e$  so  $e \simeq_{\gamma^{-1}} f$ . By lemma 11,  $f \simeq e$  rel base point. □