

# Homework 3

Jaden Wang

**Problem (1).** Since  $G$  acts transitively, the orbit of any  $a \in A$  is all of  $A$ , so  $|A| = |G : G_a|$ . Since  $|A| > 1$ , the index of  $G_a$  is at least 2. Recall that for any  $b = g_b.a$  for some  $g_b \in G$ ,  $G_b = g_b G_a g_b^{-1}$ . Let  $S := \bigcup_{b \in A} g_b G_a g_b^{-1} \subseteq G$ . By exercise 2.1.8, the union of subgroups is a subgroup iff they are contained in one of them. If the union is indeed a subgroup, then  $S = G_b$  for some  $b \in A$ . Since the index of  $G_b$  is at least 2, there exists some  $g \in G \setminus G_b$  which doesn't fix any point by definition of union. If  $S$  is not a subgroup, then since  $G$  is a group, clearly  $S \subsetneq G$  so there exists a  $g \in G \setminus S$ .

**Problem (2).**

- (a) First we consider all types of symmetries of a cube. As we did in class, there are 24 of them.

Table 1: Cube

type	cycle decomp	# cycles	elements of this type
id	(1)(2)(3)(4)(5)(6)(7)(8)	8	1
90 upright rot	(1234)(5678)	2	6
180 upright rot	(13)(24)(57)(68)	4	3
120 diag rot	(123)(456)(7)(8)	4	8
180 semidiag rot	(17)(28)(34)(56)	4	6

So by Burnside, the total distinct ways are

$$\frac{1}{24}(k^8 + 6k^2 + 3k^4 + 8k^4 + 6k^4) = \frac{1}{24}(k^8 + 17k^4 + 6k^2)$$

Table 2: Tetrahedron

type	cycle decomp	# cycles	elements of this type
id	(1)(2)(3)(4)	4	1
120 upright rot	(123)(4)	2	8
grand rot	(12)(34)	2	3

(b) So total distinct ways are

$$\frac{1}{12}(k^4 + 11k^2)$$

**Problem (3).** Consider  $S_n$  acting on itself by conjugation. Notice that two elements  $\sigma, \tau$  commute iff  $\sigma\tau\sigma^{-1} = \tau$ , that is,  $\tau$  is a fix point of the action of  $\sigma$  by conjugation. Therefore, the number of fixed points of  $\sigma$  action is the same as the number of elements commuting with  $\sigma$ . By Burnside lemma,

$$|\mathcal{O}| = \frac{1}{|S_n|} \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} f(\sigma)$$

But since conjugacy classes of  $S_n$  are simply distinct cycle types,  $|\mathcal{O}| = p(n)$ . Also notice that the probability  $p_\sigma$  of fixing an  $\sigma$  and picking another element commuting with it is precisely  $\frac{f(\sigma)}{|S_n|}$ . Since we assume naive probability for picking elements, the probability for picking two such elements is just the average probability of fixing one and picking another. That is,

$$p = \frac{1}{|S_n|} \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} p_\sigma = \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} \frac{f(\sigma)}{|G|} = \frac{p(n)}{n!}.$$

**Problem (4).** If  $H \trianglelefteq G$ , then  $gHg^{-1} = H \forall g \in G$  so  $n_H = 1$ . Otherwise,  $G$  acts on  $H$  by conjugation and  $n_H = |\mathcal{O}| = |G : G_H|$ . Notice that for any  $h \in H$ ,  $hHh^{-1} = H$ , so  $H \leq G_H$ . Therefore,  $n_H = |G : G_H|$  divides  $|G : H| = p$  so it is 1 or  $p$ . But if  $n_H = 1$ ,  $gHg^{-1} = H \forall g \in G$  which shows that  $H$  is normal, a contradiction. So it must be that  $n_H = p$ .

**Problem (5).**

- (a) First  $|S_5| = 5! = 120 = 2^3 \cdot 3 \cdot 5$ . So the Sylow 2-subgroups are conjugates of order 8. By Sylow,  $n_2$  divides 15. We already know that  $S_4$  has three distinct copies of  $D_8$  and there are  $\binom{5}{4} = 5$  distinct copies of  $S_4$  in  $S_5$ . Thus  $n_2$  is at least  $3 \times 5 = 15$ . Thus it must be 15. (To form  $D_8$  in  $S_4$ , we just need to pick any 4-cycle as the rotation. The reflection comes from one of the transposition in the square of this 4-cycle which is a double transposition. This completely determines  $D_8$ . There are  $3! = 6$  distinct 4 cycles in  $S_4$ . Since each  $D_8$  requires two, we have  $6/2 = 3$  copies of  $D_8$  in  $S_4$ ).

- (b) We know that for the  $S_4$  copy on  $\{1, 2, 3, 4\}$ , the  $V_4$  of double transpositions  $e, (1, 3)(2, 4), (1, 2)(3, 4), (1, 4)(2, 3)$ , a 4 cycle and its inverse  $(1, 2, 3, 4), (1, 4, 3, 2)$ , and two single transpositions  $(1, 3), (2, 4)$ . Similarly,  $S_4$  on  $\{1, 2, 3, 5\}$  yields another  $D_8$ . We simply conjugate one by  $(4, 5)$  to obtain the other.

**Problem (6).**

- (a) Since  $p$  is an odd prime, any  $P \in \text{Syl}_p(D_{2n})$  cannot contain any reflection or 2 would divide  $p$  by Lagrange. Therefore, any element in  $P$  is a power of rotation  $r$ . The smallest such power is clearly a generator of  $P$  so  $P$  is cyclic. Since  $r$  commutes with its powers,  $rPr^{-1} = P$ . Since  $sr^i s = r^{-i}$ ,  $s$  acts on  $P$  as inversion which is clearly an automorphism so  $sPs^{-1} = P$ . Since  $r, s$  generates  $D_{2n}$ , we see that  $P \leq D_{2n}$ .
- (b) Let  $n = 2^k m$  so given  $P \in \text{Syl}_2(D_{2n})$ ,  $|P| = 2^{k+1}$ . Since  $o(r) = n = 2^k m$ , we see that  $o(r^m) = 2^k$  so  $r^m$  must be in some Sylow 2-groups and so does its powers. Moreover, all reflections must also be evenly distributed in Sylow 2-groups (since conjugation preserves cycle type). We see that  $\langle s, r^m \rangle$  consists of elements of the form  $s^i (r^m)^j$  where  $i = 0, 1, 0 \leq j \leq 2^k - 1$ . That is, the order of the group is  $2^k$  rotations and  $2^k$  reflections with a total order of  $2^{k+1}$ . This is precisely the order of a Sylow 2-subgroup. Thus, each Sylow 2-subgroup contains  $2^k$  reflections, so  $n_2 = 2^k m / 2^k = m$ .

**Problem (7).**

- (a)  $|G| = pqr, p < q < r$ . By Sylow,  $n_r | pq$  and  $n_r \equiv 1 \pmod r$ . Since  $p < q < 1 + kr$  for  $k > 0$ , it must be that  $n_r = 1$  or  $pq$ . Suppose  $n_r = pq$ . Then by Lagrange we know these prime order groups all intersect trivially so we have  $pq(r-1)$  distinct nontrivial elements from these groups. Now consider  $n_q | pr$  and  $n_q \equiv 1 \pmod q$ . Again since  $p < 1 + kq$  for  $k > 0$ ,  $n_q = 1, r, pr$ . Suppose  $n_q = r$ . Then we have  $(q-1)r \geq pr > pq$  additional nontrivial distinct elements. That is, there are  $pq(r-1) + (q-1)r > pq(r-1) + pq = pqr$  distinct elements from these two Sylow subgroups alone, a contradiction. Hence at least one of them must be unique and thus is normal, which implies that  $G$  is not simple.
- (b) Since at least one of them is normal, if  $R$  is normal we are done. If  $P \leq G$ , then  $G/P$  is a quotient group. Let  $\bar{S} \in \text{Syl}_r(G/P)$ . Then  $\bar{n}_r = 1$  or  $p$  and  $\bar{n}_r \equiv 1 \pmod r$ .

Since  $p \not\equiv 1 \pmod{r}$  as  $p < r$ , we have  $\bar{n}_r = 1$  so  $\bar{S} \trianglelefteq G/P$ . By the 4th isomorphism theorem, there exists a corresponding subgroup  $S \trianglelefteq G$  s.t.  $S/P = \bar{S}$ . We see that  $|S| = |\bar{S}||P| = pr$ . Therefore,  $P \leq S$  and there exists an  $R \in \text{Syl}_r(G)$  s.t.  $R \leq S$ . Since  $P \trianglelefteq G$ ,  $PR$  is a subgroup and  $PR \leq S$ . Since  $P \cap R = \{e\}$ , by prime and Lagrange  $|PR| = pr/1 = pr = |S|$  so  $PR = S$  and thus  $PR \trianglelefteq G$ . Now, let  $n'_r$  be the number of Sylow  $r$ -subgroups in  $PR$ . Then  $n'_r = 1$  or  $p$  and  $n'_r \equiv 1 \pmod{r}$  so  $p < r$  forces  $n'_r = 1$ . That is,  $R$  is the unique subgroup of order  $r$  of  $PR$  and therefore characteristic in  $PR$ . By “transitivity” of characteristic subgroups of a normal subgroup,  $R \trianglelefteq G$  as desired. The case when  $Q \trianglelefteq G$  is similar.