

# Homework 6

Jaden Wang

**Problem** (LN15 0.4). Show that the bracket satisfies the following properties:  $[X, Y] = -[Y, X]$  and  $[X, [Y, Z]] + [Y, [Z, X]] + [Z, [X, Y]] = 0$ .

*Proof.* The pointwise definition of Lie bracket can be expressed succinctly as  $[X, Y] = XY - YX$ . Then we have

$$[X, Y] = XY - YX = -(YX - XY) = -[Y, X],$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} [X, [Y, Z]] + [Y, [Z, X]] + [Z, [X, Y]] &= XYZ - XZY - YZX + ZYX \\ &\quad YZX - ZYX - ZXY + YXZ \\ &\quad ZXY - YXZ - XYZ + XZY \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

□

**Problem** (LN15 0.5). Show that a connection is symmetric iff the corresponding Christoffel symbol satisfy  $\Gamma_{ii}^k = \Gamma_{ji}^k$ .

*Proof.* Recall that in local coordinates, using Einstein notation we have

$$\nabla_X Y = \left( X(Y^k) + X^j Y^i \Gamma_{ij}^k \right) E_k.$$

Then by unifying  $i, j$  indices of  $\nabla_X Y$  and  $\nabla_Y X$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_X Y - \nabla_Y X &= \left( X(Y^k) - Y(X^k) + X^i Y^j \left( \Gamma_{ji}^k - \Gamma_{ij}^k \right) \right) E_k \\ &= X(Y^k) E_k - Y(X^k) E_k + X^i Y^j \left( \Gamma_{ji}^k - \Gamma_{ij}^k \right) E_k \\ &= XY - YX + X^i Y^j \left( \Gamma_{ji}^k - \Gamma_{ij}^k \right) E_k \\ &= [X, Y] + X^i Y^j \left( \Gamma_{ji}^k - \Gamma_{ij}^k \right) E_k. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $X, Y$  are arbitrary, we see that  $\nabla_X Y - \nabla_Y X = [X, Y]$  iff  $\Gamma_{ji}^k - \Gamma_{ij}^k = 0$ .

□

**Problem** (do Carmo 3.1).

*Proof.* First, we compute the Jacobian of  $\phi$ :

$$\begin{aligned} D\phi(u, v) &= \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial u}(u, v) & \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial v}(u, v) \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} -f(v) \sin u & f'(v) \cos u \\ f(v) \cos u & f'(v) \sin u \\ 0 & g'(v) \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $f'(v)^2 + g'(v)^2 \neq 0$  and  $f(v) \neq 0$ , we can check

$$\begin{aligned} \left\langle \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial u}, \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial u} \right\rangle &= f(v)^2 \neq 0 \\ \left\langle \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial u}, \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial v} \right\rangle &= 0 \\ \left\langle \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial v}, \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial v} \right\rangle &= f'(v)^2 + g'(v)^2 \neq 0 \end{aligned}$$

That is, they are nonzero and their dot product is 0, so they are orthogonal. Thus  $D\phi$  is injective everywhere, *i.e.*  $\phi$  is an immersion.

(a) Recall that the induced metric is just the pairwise dot products of the pushforward basis under  $\phi$ . Thus by the above computation, we have  $g_{11} = f^2$ ,  $g_{12} = 0$ , and  $g_{22} = (f')^2 + (g')^2$ , which are all functions of  $v$ .

(b) Then  $g^{11} = \frac{1}{f^2}$ ,  $g^{12} = 0$ ,  $g^{22} = \frac{1}{(f')^2 + (g')^2}$ . Based on the equation  $\Gamma_{ij}^m = \frac{1}{2}g^{km} \left( -\frac{\partial g_{ij}}{\partial x_k} + \frac{\partial g_{jk}}{\partial x_i} + \frac{\partial g_{ki}}{\partial x_j} \right)$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_{11}^1 &= \frac{1}{2f^2} (-0 + 0 + 0) + 0 = 0 \\ \Gamma_{11}^2 &= 0 + \frac{1}{2((f')^2 + (g')^2)} (-2ff' + 0 + 0) = -\frac{ff'}{(f')^2 + (g')^2} \\ \Gamma_{12}^1 &= \frac{1}{2f^2} (-0 + 0 + 2ff') + 0 = \frac{ff'}{f^2} \\ \Gamma_{12}^2 &= 0 + \frac{1}{2((f')^2 + (g')^2)} (-0 + 0 + 0) = 0 \\ \Gamma_{21}^1 &= \Gamma_{12}^1 = \frac{ff'}{f^2} \\ \Gamma_{21}^2 &= \Gamma_{12}^2 = 0 \\ \Gamma_{22}^1 &= \frac{1}{2f^2} (-0 + 0 + 0) + 0 = 0 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\Gamma_{22}^2 &= 0 + \frac{1}{2((f')^2 + (g')^2)}(-1 + 1 + 1)(2f'f'' + 2g'g'') \\ &= \frac{f'f'' + g'g''}{(f')^2 + (g')^2}.\end{aligned}$$

The equation of geodesic  $\gamma(t) = (u(t), v(t))$  is

$$\begin{aligned}\ddot{\gamma}^k + \dot{\gamma}^i \dot{\gamma}^j \Gamma_{ij}^k(\gamma) &= 0 \\ \begin{cases} \ddot{u} + \dot{u}\dot{v}\frac{ff'}{f^2} + \dot{v}\dot{u}\frac{ff'}{f^2} &= 0 \\ \ddot{v} - \dot{u}^2\frac{ff'}{(f')^2 + (g')^2} + \dot{v}^2\frac{f'f'' + g'g''}{(f')^2 + (g')^2} &= 0 \end{cases} \\ \begin{cases} \ddot{u} + \frac{2ff'}{f^2}\dot{u}\dot{v} &= 0 \\ \ddot{v} - \frac{ff'}{(f')^2 + (g')^2}\dot{u}^2 + \frac{f'f'' + g'g''}{(f')^2 + (g')^2}\dot{v}^2 &= 0 \end{cases}\end{aligned}$$

(c) We compute

$$\begin{aligned}|\dot{\gamma}|^2 &= \begin{pmatrix} \dot{u} & \dot{v} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} f^2 & 0 \\ 0 & (f')^2 + (g')^2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \dot{u} \\ \dot{v} \end{pmatrix} \\ &= f^2\dot{u}^2 + ((f')^2 + (g')^2)\dot{v}^2.\end{aligned}$$

Taking the time derivative of this equation, using  $\dot{f} = f'\dot{v}$ ,  $\dot{f}' = f''\dot{v}$ , and the first equation  $\ddot{u} = -\frac{2ff'}{f^2}\dot{u}\dot{v}$  we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}&2ff'\dot{v}\dot{u}^2 + 2f^2\dot{u}\ddot{u} + 2(f'f'' + g'g'')\dot{v}^3 + 2((f')^2 + (g')^2)\dot{v}\ddot{v} \\ &= 2\dot{v}(-ff'\dot{u}^2 + (f'f'' + g'g'')\dot{v}^2 + ((f')^2 + (g')^2)\ddot{v}).\end{aligned}$$

Since we exclude parallels,  $\dot{v} \neq 0$ . The energy is constant iff time derivative is 0, where we can simply divide the equation by  $2\dot{v}((f')^2 + (g')^2) \neq 0$  to obtain the second equation.

Let  $P(t) = (a(t), b)$  where  $b$  is constant. Then  $\dot{P}(t) = (\dot{a}(t), 0)$ . Recall that

$$\begin{aligned}\cos \beta &= \frac{\langle \dot{\gamma}, \dot{P} \rangle_{(u,v)}}{|\dot{\gamma}||\dot{P}|} \\ &= \frac{f^2\dot{u}\dot{a}}{|\dot{\gamma}||f\dot{a}|} \\ &= \frac{\text{sgn}(f)\text{sgn}(\dot{a})f\dot{u}}{|\dot{\gamma}|}.\end{aligned}$$

Using the fact that  $\frac{d}{dt}|\dot{\gamma}| = 0$ , the time derivative of  $f(v) \cos \beta$  is

$$\begin{aligned} f'(v)\dot{v} \cos \beta + f(v) \frac{d}{dt}(\cos \beta) &= \frac{\operatorname{sgn}(f) \operatorname{sgn}(\dot{a}) f f' \dot{u} \dot{v}}{|\dot{\gamma}|} + \frac{\operatorname{sgn}(f) \operatorname{sgn}(\dot{a}) f (f' \dot{v} \dot{u} + f \ddot{u}) |\dot{\gamma}| - 0}{|\dot{\gamma}|^2} \\ &= \frac{\operatorname{sgn}(f) \operatorname{sgn}(\dot{a})}{|\dot{\gamma}|} (f \ddot{u} + 2f f' \dot{u} \dot{v}). \end{aligned}$$

Since  $r \cos \beta = |f(v)| \cos \beta$ , it is constant iff this time derivative is 0 iff the first equation holds.

- (d) Since  $r = |v|$ , and  $|v| \cos \beta$  is constant, the constant  $c$  is either zero or nonzero. If  $c = 0$ , then since we can vary  $v$  it must be that  $\cos \beta = 0$ . Since  $\beta < \pi$ , it must be that  $\beta \equiv \frac{\pi}{2}$ , which means the geodesic must intersect parallels at right angle all the time, making it a meridian which we exclude. Thus  $c$  must be nonzero and WLOG let  $c > 0$ . This forces  $\cos \beta > 0$ . Since we can decrease the radius at will,  $\cos \beta$  is forced to increase. But  $\cos \beta$  max out at 1, so it must be that  $\beta = 0$  precisely when  $|v| = c$ . Since we can no longer decrease  $|v|$  further, and  $|v|$  is not allowed to be constant,  $|v|$  must increase instead. Therefore,  $\gamma$  is going up again. If we can argue that  $\gamma$  must always rotate around and is trapped between a minimum  $r$  and a maximum  $r$ , we would complete the proof. But this seems tedious.

□

**Problem** (do Carmo 3.7). Let  $M$  be a Riemannian manifold of dimension  $n$  and let  $p \in M$ . Show that there exists a neighborhood  $U \subseteq M$  of  $p$  and  $n$  vector fields  $E_1, \dots, E_n \in \mathfrak{X}(U)$ , orthonormal at each point of  $U$ , s.t. at  $p$ ,  $\nabla_{E_i} E_j(p) = 0$ . This is called a geodesic frame at  $p$ .

*Proof.* At point  $p$ , since  $\exp_p$  is a local diffeomorphism, there exists open sets  $V \subseteq T_p M$  around origin and  $U \subseteq M$  around  $p$  s.t.  $V \cong U$  under  $\exp_p$ . Since for every point  $v$  in  $V$ , we have a canonical orthonormal frame  $F_1(v), \dots, F_n(v) \in T_v(T_p M)$ , under the pushforward by diffeomorphism we obtain vector fields  $E_i(u) := d(\exp_p)_v(F_i)$  in  $\mathfrak{X}(U)$ . By Gauss's Lemma, we obtain

$$\langle E_i, E_j \rangle = \langle d(\exp_p)_v(F_i), d(\exp_p)_v(F_j) \rangle = \langle F_i, F_j \rangle = 0.$$

Since the exponential map preserves length, we conclude that  $E_i$  is an orthonormal frame of  $U$ . Moreover, we know that  $d(\exp_p)_0$  is the identity, so  $E_i(p) = F_i(0)$ . In fact, they exactly coincide. Thus,  $\nabla_{E_i(p)} E_j(p) = \nabla_{F_i(0)} F_j(0) = 0$ , and  $U$  is the neighborhood we seek.  $\square$