

Note. Gibbs only occur if FS is truncated. Gibbs has about 9% over/undershoot.

Intuition. A sequence of continuous function cannot converge uniformly to a discontinuous function.

- if the adjusted periodic extension $\tilde{f}(x)$ is piecewise smooth on every finite interval but has a jump discontinuity then the Fourier Series of $f(x)$
 - a) converges pointwise by Dirichlet's Theorem for pointwise convergence.
 - b) will converge at different rates of convergence at each point.
 - c) is not uniformly convergent and therefore not absolutely convergent.
 - d) exhibits Gibbs Phenomenon in every open interval around a jump discontinuity and does not converge to a continuous function (but does converge).
- A series that converges uniformly will not exhibit Gibbs phenomenon.
- if $\tilde{f}(x)$ is continuous everywhere then we expect absolute convergence.

0.1 Integration and Differentiation of Fourier Series

Theorem: term-by-term integration

Let $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} f_n(x)$ be defined on $[a, b]$. If each $f_n(x)$ is continuous on $[a, b]$ and if the series $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} f_n(x)$ converges uniformly to $f(x)$ on $[a, b]$ then

- (i) $f(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} f_n(x)$ is continuous on $[a, b]$
- (ii)

$$\int_a^b \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} f_n(x) dx = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \int_a^b f_n(x) dx.$$

Theorem: term-by-term differentiation

Suppose $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} f_n(x)$ converges pointwise to $f(x)$ in $[a, b]$.

Suppose $f'_n(x)$ exists for each n and is continuous on $[a, b]$ and suppose the series $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} f'_n(x)$ converges uniformly on $[a, b]$ then

$$f'(x) = \frac{d}{dx} \left[\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} f_n(x) \right] = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{d}{dx} f_n(x).$$

Theorem: univorm convergence theorem

Let $f(x)$ be a continuous piecewise smooth function $[-L, L]$ such that $f(-L) = f(L)$. Then $\text{F.S.}[f](x)$ converges uniformly to $f(x)$ on $[-L, L]$. That is,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \max_{-L \leq x \leq L} |S_N(x) - f(x)| = 0.$$

Pinsky book has much more analysis.

1 Derivation of the Heat Equation 1

1.1 Insulated Rod

We model the transfer of thermal energy in a one dimensional rod with ends at $x = 0$ and $x = L$ and where the lateral surface of the rod is insulated perfectly.

Definition

- 1) The **thermal energy density** $e(x, t)$ is the amount of thermal energy per unit volume.
- 2) Consider a thin slice of the rod with cross sectional area A between x and $x + \Delta x$. The heat energy changes in time due only to heat flowing across the edges (x and $x + \Delta x$). If Δx is small then $e(x, t)$ may be approximated as constant throughout the slice so:

$$\text{heat energy in slice } [x, x + \Delta x] = e(x, t) \cdot A \cdot \Delta x.$$

Integrating it yields:

$$\text{Total heat energy in the rod} = \int_0^L e(x, t) A dx.$$

Definition: heat flux

The **heat flux**, $\Phi(x, t)$, is the amount of thermal energy flowing to the right per unit time per unit surface area. If $\Phi(x, t) < 0$ then energy flows to the left.

The heat energy flow per unit time across the boundaries of slice $[x, x + \Delta x]$

with cross sectional surface area A is:

$$\Phi(x, t) \cdot A \text{ (heat gain)} + (-\Phi(x + \Delta x, t) \cdot A \text{ (heat loss)}) = -[\Phi(x + \Delta x, t) - \Phi(x, t)] \cdot A.$$

Definition

In the model we allow for **internal sources of energy**. Let $Q(x, t)$ be the heat energy generated per unit volume per unit time within the rod then

$$\text{heat energy per unit time} = Q(x, t) \cdot A \cdot \Delta x.$$

Theorem: Heat Flow Process

The fundamental heat flow process in the rod is conceptually described as:

rate of change of heat energy wrt time = heat energy flowing across boundaries per unit time + heat energy generated inside the rod per unit time.

Now consider any finite segment of the rod (from a to b), then the conservation of heat energy principle given above implies:

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int_a^b e(x, t) A dx = -[\Phi(b, t) - \Phi(a, t)] \cdot A + \int_a^b Q(x, t) A dx.$$

which after canceling $A > 0$ can be rewritten as (by fundamental theorem of calculus):

$$\int_a^b \frac{\partial}{\partial t} e(x, t) dx = - \int_a^b \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \Phi(x, t) dx + \int_a^b Q(x, t) dx.$$

which yields the "Integral Conservation Law"

$$\int_a^b \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial t} e(x, t) + \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \Phi(x, t) - Q(x, t) \right] dx = 0.$$

which holds for any a and b within the rod, and since the integrand is assumed to be continuous, this implies (proof by contradiction):

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} e(x, t) + \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \Phi(x, t) - Q(x, t) = 0 \Rightarrow \frac{\partial}{\partial t} e(x, t) = -\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \Phi(x, t) + Q(x, t).$$

If $\partial_x \Phi > 0$ then Φ is an increasing function in x so the heat flowing to the right at $x = b$ is greater than the heat flowing to the right at $x = a$ thus the heat energy decreases between $x = a$ and $x = b$ (hence the minus sign).

Definition: heat capacity

- 1) Let $u(x, t)$ be the temperature of the rod at point x and at time t . Note that it may take different amounts of thermal energy to raise two different materials from one temperature to another.
- 2) Define the heat capacity, $c(x, u)$, to be the heat energy required to raise its temperature one unit. We will either assume $c = c(x)$ or c is a constant.
- 3) An alternate description of thermal energy is that it is the amount of energy needed to raise the rod's temperature from 0 to the actual temperature $u(x, t)$. Thus if $\rho(x)$ is the mass density of the rod then

$$\text{heat energy} = c(x) \cdot u(x, t) \cdot \rho(x) \cdot A \cdot \Delta x.$$

now equating the expression for heat energy derived earlier with this expression yields

$$e(x, t) \cdot A \Delta x = c(x) u(x, t) \rho(x) \cdot A \Delta x \Rightarrow e(x, t) = c(x) \rho(x) u(x, t).$$