Example. Let $L=10\mathrm{cm},\,k=1~\mathrm{cm}^2/\mathrm{sec}$ (copper) and $t=0.35\mathrm{sec}$ and use the first 8 terms.

$$u(x, 0.35) \approx \frac{400}{\pi} \sum_{p=1}^{8} \frac{1}{2p-1} e^{-[(2p-1)\frac{\pi}{10}]^2 \cdot 0.35} \sin\left(\frac{(2p-1)\pi x}{10}\right).$$

See lecture slide for graph.

In this case, $\overline{u}(x) = 0$ and for each x,

$$u(x,t) \to 0$$
 as $t \to \infty$.

Note. Recall $\Phi = -K_0 \frac{\partial u}{\partial x}$. Consider the x-term in $u_n(x,t)$

$$\left[\sin\left(\frac{n\pi x}{L}\right)\right]' = n \cdot \frac{\pi}{L}\cos\left(\frac{n\pi x}{L}\right)$$

Thus the derivative wrt x is proportional to n and Φ . That is, as n increases, the derivative increases, and the heat flux (loss) increases. So the slowest decaying term is when n is smallest, *i.e.* n = 1.

Establishing that the slowest decaying term (dominant term) is at n=1 and for large t, we can use the n=1 term (called the "first Fourier mode") as an approximation

$$u(x,t) \approx B_1 \sin\left(\frac{\pi x}{L}\right) e^{-\left(\frac{\pi}{L}\right)^2 kt}.$$

and we can use this for long term temperature prediction. So for this problem we use the approximation:

$$u(x,t) \approx \frac{400}{\pi} \sin\left(\frac{\pi x}{L}\right) e^{-\left(\frac{\pi}{L}\right)^2 kt}.$$

to analyze the dynamics of the temperature when t grows. We expect $u(x,t) \to 0$ as $t \to \infty$. See lecture slides for graph.

Example (estimating cooling time). How long will it take for the maximum absolute temperature of the rod to be less than $\frac{1}{10}$ the initial maximum absolute temperature?

We wish to find t such that

$$\max_{0 < x < L} |u(x, t)| \le \frac{1}{10} \max_{0 < x < L} |f(x)|.$$

Using the first Fourier mode approximation obtained above, recall there is an upper bound (in fact it's the least upper bound/supremum)

$$|u(x,t)| \le \frac{400}{\pi} e^{-(\frac{\pi}{L})^2 kt}.$$

Hence this upper bound is greater or equal to the maximum (in this case they are in fact equal). Thus it suffices to find t such that

$$\frac{400}{\pi}e^{-(\frac{\pi}{L})^2kt} \le \frac{1}{10} \cdot 100 = 10.$$

Solving this inequality yields

$$t \ge \frac{L^2}{k} \frac{1}{\pi^2} \ln \left(\frac{40}{\pi} \right).$$

1 Insulated Rods

Consider the following BVP:

$$\begin{cases} \text{PDE:} & \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = k \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2}, \quad 0 < x < L, t > 0 \\ \text{BC:} & \frac{\partial u}{\partial x}(0,t) = 0 = \frac{\partial u}{\partial x}(L,t), \quad t > 0 \\ \text{IC:} & u(x,0) = f(x), \quad 0 \le x \le L \end{cases}$$

Recall Fourier's Law of Heat Conduction regarding the heat flux

$$\Phi = -K_0 \frac{\partial u}{\partial x}.$$

So here the BCs imply that there is no heat flow at the ends of the rod, i.e. the rod is insulated on all sides.

Since there is no external source of heat, we expect this BVP to have a steady state solution.

Hence we can assume the solution has the form

$$u(x,t) = \overline{u}(x) + v(x,t).$$

In fact, we can skip this decomposition step because the BVP already gives us a nice vector space due to the homogeneous BCs. And $\lambda = 0$ case (from homework) will give us the steady-state solution anyway.