

Theorem: 11.4 (Approximation Theorem)

Suppose \mathcal{A} is a semiring, and μ is a measure on $\mathcal{F} := \sigma(\mathcal{A})$, and μ is σ -finite on \mathcal{A} . Take $\varepsilon > 0$ and any $B \in \mathcal{F}$. Then

- (i) There exists a disjoint sequence $A_1, A_2, \dots \in \mathcal{A}$ (maybe finite with empty sets) such that $B \subseteq \bigcup_n A_n$ and

$$\mu \left(\bigcup_n A_n \setminus B \right) < \varepsilon.$$

- (ii) If $\mu(B) < \infty$, there exists a finite disjoint sequence $A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n \in \mathcal{A}$ such that

$$\mu \left(B \Delta \left(\bigcup_{i=1}^n A_i \right) \right) < \varepsilon.$$

Note. Recall $A \Delta B = (A \setminus B) \cup (B \setminus A)$.

12: Measures in Euclidean Space

Example. Consider \mathbb{R} . Let \mathcal{A} be the collection of half intervals $(a, b]$. We saw from last time that \mathcal{A} is a semiring. Define a measure λ as $\lambda(\emptyset) = 0$ and $\lambda((a, b]) = b - a$. Note that λ is defined on the field \mathbb{R} . Then $\sigma(\mathcal{A}) = \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$ since the Borel sets can be generated by these half intervals. By Theorem 11.3, λ can be extended to a measure on $\sigma(\mathcal{A}) = \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$. Since \mathcal{A} is a π -system, \mathcal{A} , λ is σ -finite, Theorem 10.3 tells us that the extension of λ from \mathcal{A} to $\sigma(\mathcal{A})$ is unique. So there is no other measure on $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$ that will assign measure $(b - a)$ to $(a, b]$. But Lebesgue measure assigns $(b - a)$ to $(a, b]$, then λ must be Lebesgue measure.

Example. In \mathbb{R}^k , the analogy is let

$$R = \{(x_1, \dots, x_k) : a_i < x_i \leq b_i \text{ for } i = 1, \dots, k\},$$

$$\lambda(\mathbb{R}) := \prod_{i=1}^k (b_i - a_i)$$

and assign $\lambda(\emptyset) = 0$. Then this extend to all of \mathbb{R}^k so we can define Lebesgue measure on \mathbb{R}^k .

Property.

- 1) **Translation invariance:** For $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^k)$ and any $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^k$, define the set $A + \mathbf{x}$ as

$$A + \mathbf{x} = \{\mathbf{a} + \mathbf{x} : \mathbf{a} \in A\}.$$

Then

- (i) $A + \mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^k)$.
- (ii) $\lambda(A) = \lambda(A + \mathbf{x})$.

Note. $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^k)$ does not have to be a rectangle.

Proof

- (i) Let $\mathcal{G} = \{A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^k : A + \mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^k) \forall \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^k\}$. We can show that \mathcal{G} is a σ -field. Let \mathcal{A} be the class of half open rectangles in \mathbb{R}^k . Then $\sigma(\mathcal{A}) = \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^k)$ by the definition of $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^k)$. We can show that $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{G}$. Thus,

$$\mathcal{A} \subseteq \sigma(\mathcal{A}) = \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^k) \subseteq \mathcal{G}.$$

Therefore, by the definition of \mathcal{G} , $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^k) \subseteq \mathcal{G} \Rightarrow A + \mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^k)$.

□

- 2) For a linear mapping $T : \mathbb{R}^k \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^k$,

- (i) $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^k) \Rightarrow T(A) \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^k)$.
- (ii) $\lambda(T(A)) = |\det(T)| \cdot \lambda(A) \forall A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^k)$.

Note. A linear map $T : \mathbb{R}^k \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^k$ can be written as $T(\mathbf{x}) = T\mathbf{x}$ where T is a $k \times k$