

Representability of  $D_{\bar{p}}$  when  $C_k(\bar{p}) = k$ . Need to check H1-H4 in Schlessinger's criterion.

Objects  $R_0, R_1, R_2 \in \hat{\mathcal{E}}_\Lambda$  with morphisms  $R_1 \rightarrow R_0$ ,  $R_2 \rightarrow R_0$ ; set  $R_3 := R_1 \times_{R_0} R_2$

$$b: D_{\bar{p}}(R_3) \rightarrow D_{\bar{p}}(R_1) \times_{D_{\bar{p}}(R_0)} D_{\bar{p}}(R_2)$$

$E_i :=$  set of all deformations  $p: G \rightarrow GL_n(R_i)$  of  $\bar{p}$ .

$$D_{\bar{p}}(R_i) = E_i / \text{strict equiv.} = E_i / \Gamma_n(R_i) \quad \left( \Gamma_n(R_i) := \ker(GL_n(R) \rightarrow GL_n(k)) \right)$$

Then write

$$b: E_3 / \Gamma_n(R_3) \rightarrow E_1 / \Gamma_n(R_1) \times_{E_0 / \Gamma_n(R_0)} E_2 / \Gamma_n(R_2)$$

H1: if  $R_2 \rightarrow R_0 \Rightarrow b$  surjective

Proof. Take  $p_1, p_2$  representatives of classes in  $E_1 / \Gamma_n(R_1)$ ,  $E_2 / \Gamma_n(R_2)$ . Assume that

the images of  $p_1$  and  $p_2$  via  $R_1 \rightarrow R_0$ ,  $R_2 \rightarrow R_0$  are in the same class.

$$\begin{array}{l} p_{10}: G \rightarrow GL_n(R_0) \\ p_{20}: G \rightarrow GL_n(R_0) \end{array} \quad \text{Then } \exists M_0 \in \Gamma_n(R_0) \text{ s.t. } M_0^{-1} p_{20} M_0 = p_{10}.$$

Since  $R_2 \rightarrow R_0$  is surjective,  $\Gamma_n(R_2) \rightarrow \Gamma_n(R_0)$  is surjective. So we can choose a lift  $M_2$  of  $M_0$  in  $\Gamma_n(R_2)$ . Then the representations  $M_2^{-1} p_2 M_2$  and  $p_1$  give the same representations in  $GL_n(R_0)$ . They glue to a representation  $p_3: G \rightarrow GL_n(R_3)$

$$(p_3(\cdot)) = (p_1(\cdot), M_2^{-1} p_2(\cdot) M_2) \in GL_n(R_1 \times_{R_0} R_2)$$

H2, H4: similar strategies (see Gôivoren)

H3:  $D_{\bar{p}}(\underbrace{k[\mathcal{E}]}_{\substack{\sim k((X)) \\ X^2}})$  is a finite-dimensional  $k$ -vector space.

Proof.  $G_0 = \ker \bar{p}$  ( $\dim(G/G_0) < \infty$ );  $R \in \hat{\mathcal{E}}_\Lambda$  and  $p: G \rightarrow GL_n(R)$  a lift of  $\bar{p}$ .

Then  $\rho|_{G_0}$  takes values in  $\Gamma_n(R)$ . This is a pro- $p$  group.

Take  $R = k[\varepsilon]$ . Then  $\Gamma_n(k[\varepsilon]) = 1 + \underbrace{\varepsilon M_n(k)}_{p\text{-elementary finite group}}$

A lift  $\rho: G \rightarrow GL_n(k[\varepsilon])$  of  $\bar{\rho}$  defines a homomorphism

$$G_0 \longrightarrow \Gamma_n(k[\varepsilon]), \quad (\rho|_{G_0} = \rho'|_{G_0} \iff \rho \sim \rho' \text{ strictly equiv.})$$

but  $\Gamma_n(k[\varepsilon])$  is a finite sum of  $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ 's ( $\iff p$ -elementary finite)

By  $p$ -finiteness  $\text{Hom}_{\text{cont}}(G_0, \Gamma_n(k[\varepsilon]))$  is finite.

By Schlessinger,  $\exists$  universal deformation couple  $(R_{\bar{\rho}}^{\text{univ}}, \rho^{\text{univ}})$  for  $\bar{\rho}$ .

Proof of Schlessinger's criterion gives a surjection  $\Lambda[T_1, \dots, T_d] \rightarrow R_{\bar{\rho}}^{\text{univ}}$

By looking at tangent spaces, one sets, for the minimal  $d$ :  $d = \dim_k t_{R_{\bar{\rho}}^{\text{univ}}} \iff \dim_k D_{\bar{\rho}}(k[\varepsilon])$

\* Can we give  $d$  in terms of  $\bar{\rho}$ ?

\*  $R_{\bar{\rho}}^{\text{univ}} = \Lambda[T_1, \dots, T_d] / \underline{I}$ , we will describe  $\dim R_{\bar{\rho}}^{\text{univ}}$  in terms of  $\bar{\rho}$ .

Explicit case:  $n=1$ .

Fix  $\bar{\rho}: G \rightarrow k^\times = GL_1(k)$ . We describe the universal deformation couple for  $\bar{\rho}$ . There is a lift  $\rho_0: G \rightarrow W(k)^\times$  given by  $(W(\mathbb{F}_p) = \mathbb{Z}_p)$  the Teichmüller lift  $k^\times \rightarrow W(k)^\times$ .

Take another lift  $\rho: G \rightarrow A^\times$  for  $A \in \hat{\mathcal{C}}_\Lambda$ . (one has a map  $W(k)^\times \rightarrow A^\times$ )

Then  $\rho_0^{-1} \circ \rho: G \rightarrow A^\times$  takes values in  $1 + M_A$ .

$$\rho_0^{-1}(g) = \rho(g)^{-1} \quad \leftarrow \text{not } \circ$$

$1 + M_A$  is a pro- $p$ -group, so  $\rho_0^{-1} \circ \rho$  factors through the pro- $p$ -completion  $\Gamma$  of  $G$ .

$$\left( \Gamma := \varprojlim_{\substack{\text{quotient of } G \text{ that is finite } p\text{-group}}} H \right)$$

$$\rho_0^{-1} \rho : G \rightarrow 1 + m_A$$

$\downarrow \gamma$       $\nearrow \exists f_p$  continuous group hom.

By continuity  $f_p$  can be extended to

$$f_p : \Lambda[\Gamma] \rightarrow A, \quad \Lambda\text{-alg. hom.}$$

$$\uparrow$$

$$\Lambda[\Gamma] = \varprojlim_{\substack{\Gamma' \text{ open normal} \\ \text{subgp. of } \Gamma}} \Lambda[\Gamma/\Gamma']$$

group-algebra

Remark.  $\ast \Lambda[\Gamma] \in \mathcal{E}_\Lambda$ .

One checks that

$$G \text{ satisfies } p\text{-finiteness} \Rightarrow \Gamma \text{ is top. f.g. by } x_1, \dots, x_k \Rightarrow \Lambda[\Gamma] \text{ is a quotient of } \Lambda[x_1, \dots, x_n]$$

Proposition. The universal deformation couple for  $\bar{\rho}$  is

$$(\Lambda[\Gamma], \rho^{\text{univ}}), \text{ where } \rho^{\text{univ}} = \rho_0 \circ [\gamma]$$

where  $[\gamma]$  is the composition  $G \rightarrow \Gamma \rightarrow \Lambda[\Gamma]^*$

Proof. We already defined a  $\Lambda$ -algebra morphism  $f_p : \Lambda[\Gamma] \rightarrow A$  (for any deformation  $\rho : G \rightarrow A^\times$ )

We check: for  $g \in G$

$$f_p \circ \rho^{\text{univ}}(g) = f_p(\rho_0(g) [\gamma(g)]) = \rho_0(g) f_p([\gamma(g)]) = \rho_0(g) \underbrace{\rho_0^{-1}(g) \rho(g)}_{=1} = \rho(g).$$

Check that  $f_p$  is unique.

Remark.  $\ast R_{\bar{\rho}}^{\text{univ}}$  is independent of  $\bar{\rho}$ .

Take any  $\bar{\rho} : G \rightarrow GL_n(k)$  (with  $C_k(\bar{\rho}) = k$ ). Then there is a universal def. couple

$$(R_{\bar{\rho}}^{\text{univ}}, \rho^{\text{univ}}). \text{ Look at } \det \rho^{\text{univ}} : G \rightarrow R_{\bar{\rho}}^{\text{univ}, \times}. \text{ It is a deformation of}$$

$$\det \circ \bar{\rho} \Rightarrow \text{There exists a } \Lambda\text{-alg. morphism } \Lambda[\Gamma] \rightarrow R_{\bar{\rho}}^{\text{univ}}$$

inducing  $\det \rho^{\text{univ}}$

It is a special case of "functoriality".

$\ast$  Take any algebraic morphism  $GL_m \xrightarrow{\delta} GL_n$  (of algebraic groups)

Take  $\bar{\rho}_m : G \rightarrow GL_m(k)$ , then we get  $\bar{\rho}_n : G \rightarrow GL_n(k) := \delta_k \circ \bar{\rho}_m$ .



Assume  $\bar{\rho}_m, \bar{\rho}_n$  are absolutely irreducible. Then  $\delta_{R_{\bar{\rho}_n}^{\text{univ}} \circ \rho_n^{\text{univ}}}$  is a deformation of  $\bar{\rho}_m$ . By the universal property we get  $R_{\bar{\rho}_m}^{\text{univ}} \xrightarrow{\tau(\delta)} R_{\bar{\rho}_n}^{\text{univ}}$  s.t.  
 $\tau(\delta) \circ \rho_m^{\text{univ}} = \rho_n^{\text{univ}}$

\*  $m=n$ ,  $GL_n/\Lambda \xrightarrow{\delta} GL_n/\Lambda$  given by conjugation with fixed  $g \in GL_n(\Lambda)$ .

Take  $\bar{\rho}_m: G \rightarrow GL_n(k)$ , then  $\exists$  a morphism  $R_{\bar{\rho}_m \otimes \bar{\rho}_n} \rightarrow R_{\bar{\rho}_m} \hat{\otimes}_{\Lambda} R_{\bar{\rho}_n}$   
 $\bar{\rho}_n: G \rightarrow GL_n(k)$  ↑  
completed tensor product

Dimension of the deformation rings

$$\Lambda[[T_1, \dots, T_d]] / \mathcal{I} \cong R_{\bar{\rho}}^{\text{univ}}$$

Reminder: Group cohomology

\*  $G$  pro-finite group,  $M$  topological abelian group with a continuous action of  $G$ .  
 $(G, \cdot)$   $(M, +)$

Can define a functor  $M \mapsto \underline{M}^G = \{m \in M \mid gm = m \forall g \in G\}$   
 $\in \underline{\text{Ab}}$

$H^0(G, M) = \underline{M}^G$ ,  $H^i(G, M) \rightsquigarrow$  Right-derived functor of  $M \mapsto \underline{M}^G$ .

We will compute cohomology with the following complex.

$$M \rightarrow K^1 \xrightarrow{d^1} K^2 \xrightarrow{d^2} \dots \rightarrow K^i \xrightarrow{d^i} \dots$$

with  $K^i := \{f: G^i \rightarrow M \text{ continuous functions}\}$  with  $d^i f(g_1, \dots, g_i)$   
 $= g_1 f(g_2, \dots, g_i) + \sum_{j=1}^i (-1)^j f(g_1, \dots, g_j, g_{j+1}, \dots, g_i)$   
 $+ (-1)^{i+1} f(g_1, \dots, g_i)$

Then check:  $H^i(G, M) = \frac{\text{Cochains in } K^i}{\text{Coboundaries in } K^i}$

Explicitly:  $H^0(G, M) = \underline{M}^G$

$$Z^1(G, M) = \{f: G \rightarrow M \mid f(g_1 g_2) = g_2 f(g_1) + f(g_2)\}$$

$$B^1(G, M) = \{ f: G \rightarrow M \mid \exists m \in M: \text{s.t. } f(g) = (g^{-1})m \quad \forall g \in G \}$$

Cohomological interpretation of the tangent space.

Fix  $\bar{\rho}: G \rightarrow GL_n(k)$  ( $C_k(\bar{\rho}) = k$ ). We said that  $d = \dim_k D_{\bar{\rho}}(k[[\varepsilon]])$   
 $= \dim_k t_{R_{\bar{\rho}}}^{\text{univ}}$

$$(t_{R_{\bar{\rho}}}^{\text{univ}} = \text{Hom}_{\Lambda}(R_{\bar{\rho}}^{\text{univ}}, k[[\varepsilon]]))$$

Start with  $\rho: G \rightarrow GL_n(k[[\varepsilon]])$  a deformation of  $\bar{\rho}$ . Then  $\forall g \in G$ :

$$\rho(g) = \bar{\rho}(g) (1 + \underbrace{c_g}_{M_n(k)} \varepsilon) \quad (k \hookrightarrow k[[\varepsilon]] = k + \varepsilon k)$$

check:  $\rho$  homomorphism

$$\Rightarrow c: G \rightarrow M_n(k), g \mapsto c_g \text{ is a 1-cocycle for the adjoint}$$

action  $G \curvearrowright M_n(k)$  of  $\bar{\rho}$ .

$$\left[ \begin{array}{l} \text{Adjoint representation: } G \curvearrowright M_n(k) \\ g \cdot m := \bar{\rho}(g)^{-1} m \bar{\rho}(g). \text{ Write } \text{Ad}_{\bar{\rho}} \end{array} \right.$$

Proposition: The previous construction gives an isomorphism (of vector spaces)

$$D_{\bar{\rho}}(k[[\varepsilon]]) \longrightarrow H^1(G, \text{Ad}_{\bar{\rho}})$$

Corollary.  $d = \dim_k (H^1(G, \text{Ad}_{\bar{\rho}})).$