

Surface-EMG Processing & Classification for Muscle Interfaces


University of Southern Denmark

Supervisors:

Poramate Manoonpong (poma@mmmi.sdu.dk)

Xiaofeng Xiong (xizi@mmmi.sdu.dk)

Date:



1 Abstract

Hello, this is my abstract...

2 Acknowledgements

Hello, here is some text without a meaning...

Glossary

abduction/adduction Movement away/towards the midline of the hand. 14, 15

Congenital A disease or physical abnormality present from birth. 6

flexion/extension The bending movement of the finger. 14

phalange Bone in the finger. 14

sEMG surface-electromyography. 8

traumatic A disease or physical abnormality due to trauma. 6

Contents

1	Abstract	2
2	Acknowledgements	3
3	Introduction	7
4	Problem Specification	9
4.1	Motivation	9
5	Literature Review	11
5.1	Introduction to Literature	11
5.2	Noise in EMG signals	11
5.3	sEMG Sensors for Prosthetics	12
5.4	Adaptive Grasping Methods	13
5.5	Grasping Intention from the Upper-arm	13
5.6	Alternatives to sEMG-based prosthetics	14
5.7	Summary of Literature	14
6	Methodology & Implementation	16
6.1	Anatomy	16
6.2	Brief of used Software	16
6.3	Dataset Creation	17
6.3.1	Existing datasets	18
6.3.2	Sensor Locations etc.	18
6.3.3	Motion Capture Glove	18
6.4	Design of a Simulated Prosthetic Hand	20
6.4.1	Simulated Hand Articulation design	21
6.5	sEMG Data Processing	21
6.6	Network Design	22
6.7	Software Hand Design	22
7	Tests & Results	23
7.1	1. (Machine Learning Algorithms)	23
7.1.1	Types of Features for extraction	23
7.2	2. Windowed MLP/CNN	23
7.2.1	Pre-Processing Filters	23
7.2.2	Window Size	23
7.2.3	Training Parameters	23
7.2.4	Types of Features for extraction	23
7.3	3. Recurrent Neural Networks	23
7.3.1	Training Parameters	23
7.4	Useability of the Simulated Prosthetic Hand	24
7.4.1	Anatomical Assessment and Maneuverability	24
7.4.2	Posing based on Network Output	25
8	Discussion	26

9 Conclusion	27
9.1 Future Work	27
10 Bibliography	28

3 Introduction

The human hand is one of the most important factors of the human identity. The hand allows a person to perform complex muscolatory combinations to interact with the surrounding world, express complex emotions during speech, and aid in defining a person's individuality and personalty [???]. The hands are controlled by a complex combination on precise muscles designed to perform gentle, precise control of the fingers. This allows a person to grasp objects in many different ways, perform complex tasks such as writing, playing musical instruments, or even constructing a house. The hand also acts like a sensory device allowing us to perform precise observations through feeling and touch. This allows a person to understand the environment without seeing it, the hand is able to sensor heat/cold, create complex understanding of geometries and texture through touch and manipulation.

Missing limbs, either Congential or traumatic amputation severely reduces a person's ability to interact with- / understand the world, express themselves and perform simple day-to-day tasks. In order to alleviate some of the drawbacks of missing a limb, amputees are often able to aquire a prosthetic replacement of their lost limb. The aquired prosthetic tries to imitate the movements of the lost limb, through muscle-activated interfaces, that is then used to control the movements of the prosthetic. In the case of hand prosthetics, the prosthetic allows the user to perform simple, day-to-day tasks, and is able to alleviate some of the stress caused to the non-amputated hand through overusage.



Figure 1: Example figure text

This thesis aims to summarize, and elaborate on current state-of-the-art research and products in the field of prosthetics devices, and products the control of prosthetics and the existing limitations of these state-of-the-art products.

This thesis aims to contribute to the world of prosthetics control, by researching effective methods of collecting sensory data from the lower-/upper-arm, and by doing so, creating an state-of-the-art Artificial Intelligence (AI) based controller, that is able to imitate the intent and movements of a real hand. And by doing so, by improving sEMG controller design to increase functionality and the controllable DoF of the prosthetic, to provide a more true-to-life experience to the prosthetics user, and thus reduce the amount of patients that disregard prosthetics.

This thesis also aims to explore efficient methods of designing a network to identify lower-/upper-arm musculatory intent, with the purpose of controlling a simulated prosthetics device, and by doing so, increase the controllable Degree-of-Freedom for the prosthetics user.

4 Problem Specification

There is a large need for new technology that improves the effectiveness and ergonomics of human hand prosthetics.

Current state-of-the-art products on the market exhibits a severe reduction of controllable Degree of Freedom (Dof) compared to their biological counterparts. These products often rely on simple, grasp control based on 2 or more surface-electromyography (sEMG) interfaces, to classify “open/close” signals for the control scheme. The prosthetics user is then manually required to change grip control-scheme, creating very crude control dynamics that is very different from biological hand-control. A in-depth explanation of “open/close” control can be seen in section ?? This is a great pitfall in the field of Research and Creation of prosthetics, as unsatisfactory function of prosthetics lead to amputees, that exhibit a great deal of stress during the rehabilitation process.

This can cause the patient to repel the rehabilitation process and the prosthetic all-together. The repelling of the prosthetic increase in the cases of the most severe cases of amputation, where the largest amount of control muscles are lost. These amputations are often located further up the limbs, where the loss of mobility and controllability are greatest. The amount of muscles leftover from amputation also dictates the type of prosthetic a patient is able to receive. Patients of lower-arm amputation has less control over their prosthetic than patients of hand amputation, due to the loss of the muscles in the lower-arm. The loss of control increases as the amputation severity increases, and this is a problem in prosthetics design because it is impossible to create a standardized controller that suits most patient’s needs.

State-of-the-art commercial prosthetics further decrease the controllable DoF in order to increase robustness of the control experience, this is further elaborated upon in ??.

4.1 Motivation

The main goal of this thesis is to provide a meaningful contribution to the world of prosthetics design and control. In order to confine the workload done in this thesis, a set of development goals has been made:

1. Create a software-based, biology-inspired, anatomically realistic simulation of a humanoid lower-arm/hand that is able to imitate the movements of the humanoid limb.
2. Make the prosthetics simulation controllable from a widely-used robotics-software.
3. Design a sEMG muscle pre-processing pipeline for a prosthetics controller.
4. Design a state-of-the-art prosthetics controller based on AI, to control a simulated prosthetics device.
5. Create a custom dataset to train AI based controllers for prosthetics.

6. Test and Validate the created prosthetics controller against state-of-the-art methods.

5 Literature Review

As sensors capability for biological sensing increases in state-of-the-art prosthetics development and research, there becomes a larger need for translating sensor data into usable input data for prosthetics controllers. A lot of research has been done in this area, this research elaborates on different Machine learning or AI-based methods of understanding muscle-based sensor data. The pipeline for converting EMG sensor data to usable input data often contains a pre-processing step, where data is de-noised, and cleaned of potential errors. The pre-processing step can also contain feature extraction such as ... The pre-processing step is then followed by a processing step, this step encompasses the use of a Machine-learning algorithm or a Neural Network, designed to either Classify a grip type, or Regress the angle of the joints. After Classification or Regression a post-processing step can be added where the actual kinematic data is created, and used as input to the prosthetic controller. Popular methods of processing EMG signals will be researched, and elaborated upon, with the aim of identifying robust, effective and implementable methodologies.

5.1 Introduction to Literature

Human Machine Interfaces (HMI), are control systems that enables humans to interact and control a mechanical or robotic system. As explained in the paper [1], researchers and prosthetists have been developing mechanical prosthetics for many years. One example of such devices would be the ankle-foot-orthoses, a support device strapped to the ankle, used to reliably adjust the pressure applied by the body while walking, to help impaired individuals with walking in a more natural way. [1] proposes that EMG signal based control research are an ongoing topic in rehabilitation and prosthetics. Generally, EMG is an experiment-based method of evaluating and recording electrical signals from muscles. Specifically, EMG signals emanate from the excitability of muscle fibers through neural control, causing action potentials that cause depolarization and repolarization of the muscle membrane. These polarization changes can be detected using EMG sensors, either through non-invasive or invasive techniques. Invasive EMG signal recording requires the use of a penetrating needle electrode to be placed in the muscle tissue, this method reduces the signal-to-noise ratio (SNR) but can be a cause of discomfort and infection. As an alternative, non invasive EMG sensors, placed at the surface of the skin are called sEMG sensors, they provide much less discomfort and propose no risk of infection to the amputee, at the cost of having an increased SNR. [1] explains that the muscle fiber membrane has a resting potential of -90 to $-90mV$ when resting. The paper also explains that the amplitude of sEMG signals have a voltage range from 0 to $10mV$, and a frequency range from 10 to $500Hz$.

5.2 Noise in EMG signals

The paper [1] proposes different noise types that contaminate EMG signals, these noises are defined as electrical signals that are not part of the desired EMG signal. The different noise types found in EMG signals are

- Inherent Noise in Electronics Equipment,
- Ambient Noise,
- Motion Artifacts,
- Inherent Signal Instability,
- Electrocardiographic (ECG) Artifacts,
- & Cross Talking.

Noise in Electronics Equipment exists in all electronic devices, this noise has been proved to be reduced by using electrodes made of silver. **Motion Artifacts** affects EMG signals when the skin and electrodes move in relation to the movement of the underlying muscle. This can cause artifacts due to inconsistent displacement. **Inherent Signal Instability**, The amplitude of EMG signals are quasi-random. Frequency components less than 20 Hz are unstable and affected by firing rate of the motor units. This range is considered unwanted noise. Muscles change based on their active motor units, therefore the EMG signal changes too. **ECG Artifacts** is the electrical activity of the human heart is a huge interference component of EMG signals recorded from the Shoulder Girdle (Shoulder muscle groups). It is very hard to remove ECG artifacts from EMG signals, due to their relative characteristics in the frequency spectrum! **Cross Talk** is undesired EMG signals from muscle groups not commonly monitored. I guess it's a form of EMG leak from undesired muscles.

5.3 sEMG Sensors for Prosthetics

The usage of sEMG sensors propose a lot of obstacles because of noise, but that does not stop sEMG sensors from being part of the state-of-the-art research in prosthetics. In the paper [2] proposes that the usage of sEMG sensors are of great importance in upper-limb classification for prosthetics devices. The paper uses sEMG sensors to classify reaching-to-grasping tasks using a Convolutional Neural Network (CNN) after pre-processing the signal with Principal Component Analysis (PCA) to reduce noise. The processing combination method of PCA-CNN proved to show higher accuracy than Machine Learning methods, such as Support Vector Machine (SVM) with an accuracy of $70.1 \pm 9.8\%$ based on 9 subjects. The paper proposes that the sEMG sensors are placed on the upper-body in combination with the upper-arm for grasping intention classification, specifically, the muscles *Pectoralis*, *Trapezius*, *Latissimus Dorsi* & the *Biceps/Triceps*, see section ?? for placements. In [2], the *Southampton Hand Assessment Procedures (SHAP)* [3] was used to create a dataset. SHAP is designed for the assessment of musculoskeletal and neurological conditions, and can be used to test the effectiveness of prosthetics.

Another paper that proposes the usage of sEMG sensors for prosthetics is [4]. The paper proposes the usage of different sEMG devices, two of those are the wearable product “Myo Armband”, [5] a discontinued sEMG product consisting of 8 sensors that can be placed below the elbow joint, and the “Delsys Trigno” [6], a set of individual sEMG sensors that can be worn and record most muscle groups. [4] proposes

the pre-processing of the sEMG data using a Notch filter of 50Hz. Furthermore, the target angles obtained as ground truth targets were reduced in dimensions through PCA, thus having the 6 dominant PC's be the targets. Then, using an "Inverse PCA algorithm", they compute the final control output for the prosthetic. In order to process the sEMG data, [4] proposes the use of a time window of 200ms, with feature extraction for root mean square (RMS) & zero crossing (ZC). The extracted features were used as input to a nonlinear autoregressive exogenous (NARX) network, that consists of a fully-connected multilayer-perceptron (MLP) network combined with a recurrent neural network (RNN).

5.4 Adaptive Grasping Methods

Most state-of-the-art methodologies consist of using sEMG data to predict grasp type classification or joint angle regression. The paper [7] proposes that this method becomes a burden for the HMI user, as the severity of the amputation increases and the loss of muscle recording areas become greater. [7] takes inspiration from evolutionary robotics, and proposes the use of evolutionary computation to predict stable grasping methods based on touch sensor input. This is done by having a mapping between the touch sensor input of the fingers and the motorcontrol of the joints. [7] uses a simulation to train a RNN network, this RNN takes sEMG sensor data, Touch sensor data, distance to the object & object height into account. It is possible to compute distance to / height of object because grasping and training is done entirely in simulation, using a simulated target object, but that the method used would be realisable for prosthetics. The paper concludes that alongside sEMG sensors, touch sensors could be used to appropriate joint motion could be predicted using contact states between hand and object.

The paper [8] proposes a passive solution to adaptiveness when grasping. Their method uses an underactuated, compliant linkage mechanism, where the joint rotation of the finger joints can be driven by a single motor. This allows the fingers to not rely on touch sensors, as the method in [7] does. [8] proposes the use of a sliding window with a size of 250ms. The window is then processed using feature extraction of integral myoelectric value (iEMG), RMS, mean absolute value (MAV) & ZC with a threshold to eliminate low signal fluctuation from noise. The extracted features are used for linear discriminant analysis (LDA) to classify grasping intent. The paper proposes that LDA showed the highest accuracy out of different Machine Learning methods.

5.5 Grasping Intention from the Upper-arm

Another way of reducing the usage of lower-arm muscles when designing hand prosthetics would be to predict the intent of the user's actions based on upper-arm grasp prediction. The focus of the paper [9] on recording and classification of upper-arm. The paper proposes a learning approach that decodes grasping intention during the reaching motion for upper-limb prosthetics. For pre-processing, a 30-350Hz band-pass filter is used on 12 muscles, 7 located in the upper-arm and 5 located in the lower-arm. These muscles are passed through a buttersworth filter with cut-off at

20Hz. Furthermore, the elbow joint angle was measured using a goniometer. [9] proposes that a sliding window of 150ms should be used, and they use no dimensionality reduction method such as PCA. The paper tests 2 different machine-learning methods, LDA and Support Vector Machine (SVM), that utilize feature extraction of the average activation, waveform length and the number of slope changes for each window. Additionally, the paper tests an echo state network (ESN) using the given window of data with no feature extraction.

5.6 Alternatives to sEMG-based prosthetics

Research of prosthetics control interfaces expand into a multitude of areas. The paper [10] proposes the use of a brain-computer-interface (BCI) as an alternative to HMI. BCI is a type of technology that uses brain activity and the brain's neural information to control machine interfaces such as computers, assistive technology & prosthetics. BCI's have great benefit in areas where access to muscolatory information such as sEMG is impossible due to loss of muscles in the target recording area, or due to paralyzation where it becomes impossible for the patient to activate the targeted muscle groups. One dominant method of achieving a BCI interface is electroencephalography (EEG). The purpose of [10] is to detect individual finger control using EEG sensors. EEG functions similarly to EMG but with the focus on recording brain activity instead of muscle activity. EEG is a non-invasive, portable and low-cost sensing type, that provides a high temporal resolution in comparison to other methods that detects brain activity, according to the paper [11]. [11] explains the great need for assisted rehabilitation devices and prosthetics, and that the need will increase in the future. Brain Computer Interfaces using EEG sensors needs to be further researched to increase overall performance of the system. [11] proposes that the most used method of controlling a prosthesis or a rehabilitation device using EEG is to pre-process/filter the recorded data before segmenting it using a sliding window. Using the windows, feature extraction in both time & frequency domains are used as input to a feature reduction algorithm. These features are then subject to a classification network in order to transform the EEG data into motorcontrol for the BCI. It can be noted that the EEG sensor contains artifacts from other parts of the brain, such as eye movement, cardiac activity or contraction of the scalp muscles. Overall performance of using EEG for prosthetics control is low compared to more conventional methods such as EMG [11]. Furthermore, the setup for EEG recording is more complicated than EMG.

5.7 Summary of Literature

The state-of-the-art literature spans different methods of creating human machine interfaces. The main areas of creating interfaces are Muscle-/neuron-based recording and brain-based recording. Due to the large amount of cross-talk noise from brain-based sensing, it is apparent that to increase overall controllability and robustness of a prosthetics device, the prosthetic is required to use EMG based sensing for its control. By reviewing the state-of-the-art literature in sEMG-based hand prosthetics, it is apparent that if a Machine-Learning & Neural Network (except RNN), are to

be used, we need to use a sliding window technique with a size of $150ms$ to $250ms$. It can be noticed that all methods use a pre-processing filter step on the raw EMG data, but that the choice of filter varies greatly. Amongst the most used filters are Butterworth & lowpass filters with different frequency responses. 3 different methods of classification/regression of sEMG data are used: **Machine Learning**, **Window-Based Neural Networks**, **Recurrent Neural Networks**. algorithms such as LDA, SVM & ... As an alternative to ML, most literature proposes the use of **Neural Networks**, on the sliding window. Alternatively, methods not using a sliding window are recurrent networks, such as the echo state network or the NARX network. These Recurrent methods would also be applicable due to the continuous nature of the data.

6 Methodology & Implementation

6.1 Anatomy

The hand is an anatomically-complex appendage designed to facilitate a large amount of control in different usage scenarios. The hand consists of 27 bones, 14 of these are called phalanges, and make up the 4 fingers and the thumb. These bones, alongside a complex set of ~ 30 muscles are able to perform 24 Degree-of-Freedom (DoF) of rotational motion. The individual finger consists of 3 bones called phalange, arranged linearly from the palm of the hand. The 3 finger bones are called the proximal phalange, middle phalange and distal phalange. The joints between the phalanges have 1 DoF and are able to do flexion/extension movement, while the base of the finger have 2 DoF and are able to do flexion/extension & abduction/adduction movement. The anatomical muscle and joint structure of the hand/forearm can be seen in the figure 2.




Figure 2: A rendering of the anatomical muscle and joint structure of the lower-arm. The complexity of the muscles controlling the hand can be seen. Source [??]

6.2 Brief of used Software

In order to design a simulated prosthetic device that facilitates the requirements stated in section 6.4, an effective simulation software needs to be chosen. The software needs to be controllable from an external source, such as ROS, and because of this, software like Gazebo [12] or CoppeliaSim [13] is ideal. Both simulation softwares allow for the creation of advanced dynamic-body simulations. CoppeliaSim [13] was chosen due to its intuitive development workflow.

In order to record the joint movements of the hand, while simultaneously record

the sEMG activity of the body, products that support hardware-synchronization needs to be used. Products designed to capture sEMG data are widely spread. As mentioned in the paper [4], the Myo armband [5] or the Delsys Trigno package [14] could be used. The Delsys Trigno [14] facilitates a hardware-synchronization recording mode out of the box, while the myo armband [5] does not. Because of availability, and synchronization capabilities it is ideal to use the Delsys Trigno as the sEMG recording device.

Lastly, a product designed to capture the joint movements of the hand needs to be chosen. A simple solution to hand tracking would be to use a software like MediaPipe [15], a python based landmark tracker that can track the joint angles of the hand using Machine Learning from a video feed. An alternative is mentioned in the paper [4], where a glove containing flex sensors could be used. This approach would provide reliable joint angle data without relying on a camera setup. Lastly, a capture glove could be fitted with 3D markers, detectable from a high-accuracy and high-framerate system such as the Motive motion capture setup [16] created by OptiTrack. It was chosen to use the Motive setup due to availability and the additional benefit of having a hardware-synchronization feature, that can be combined with the Delsys Trigno [14] setup.

6.3 Dataset Creation

In order to train a simulated hand prosthetic, a sophisticated dataset containing the measured relation between muscle activity and the finger placements is needed. The paper [2] proposes the SHAP procedure [3] as its main method of dataset creation. An alternative would be the Sollerman test [17] as both procedures are used in assessing the function and moveability of the human hand. By analyzing the most important gripping motions in both tests, it should be possible to denote a suitable set of grips that the dataset should contain. The sollerman grip types are ranked based on their usage percentage in activities of daily living. The most used grip types according to sollerman are the *Pulp pinch*, *Lateral pinch*, *Five-Finger pinch* & *Diagonal Volar grip (Power grip)*, see [17] for further details. SHAP also proposes a set of grip types that are used in day-to-day tasks, these are *Spherical grip*, *Tripod pinch*, *Power grip* & *Lateral pinch*.

In order to create a dataset mimics the movements of day-to-day tasks, the most important grips from [17] & [3] has been chosen. The grip types that needs to be part of the dataset and their usage descriptions can be seen in table 1.

Grip Type	Finger Usage Description
Pulp pinch	Between thumb, index and middle finger
Lateral pinch	Between thumb & side of index finger
Five-Finger pinch	Between thumb, and all four fingers
Power grip	Between thumb, and all four fingers with contact to palm

Table 1: The most used hand grips in day-to-day tasks based on [17] & [3].

A set of consise grip types has been chosen as an alternative to a larger set of general

grips. This is done as a basis of creating a specialized dataset that would be easier to train and work with as a proof of concept.

6.3.1 Existing datasets

In addition to creating a dataset for this thesis, it would be interesting to compare with an existing state-of-the-art dataset. The paper [18] proposes the use of their dataset [19]. The dataset contains a very large set of recordings, consisting of precise anatomical angles of the hand, with the associated muscle activity of the forearm. The dataset consists of 572 recordings from 22 subjects, in both reaching, gripping & releasing actions.

Another dataset is explained in the paper [20]. As an alternative of using finger joint angles as the ground truth data needed to train a network on sEMG data, the dataset uses a set of 16 gesture classes for a classification algorithm. The paper explains that the sEMG data in the dataset has been subject to a pre-processing step using a 10 to 500 Hz bandpass buttersworth filter, and in order to remove powerline noise a 60 Hz notch filter was used. The dataset was created on 43 participants.

6.3.2 Sensor Locations etc.

The muscle recording sensors are located along the muscles of the forearm, the exact positions can be seen in figure 3.




Figure 3: Example figure text

6.3.3 Motion Capture Glove

The motion capture system created by OptiTrack is a set of 8 high-quality cameras mounted to cover a target capture area. The capture system detects fluorescent 3D

markers in the given capture area, with the purpose of triangulating the markers and effectively calculate 3D poses for the markers in the scene down to an effective accuracy of $\pm 0.2mm$ [21]. In order to get precise recordings of the motion of the hand and fingers, fluorescent 3D markers were placed on a glove. The pattern of the marker positions were chosen in order to calculate the angles of the individual finger bones. The markers are used in sets of 3, this allows for the calculation of the triangle angles in 3D space. The positions of the 3D markers on the recorder glove can be seen in figure 4. An example of flexion with the capture glove can be seen in figure 5.




Figure 4: The positions of 3D markers on the capture glove, designed to be detectable using the Motive Capture software [21].

Problems with Design of the Capture Glove and its Software

During testing several problems with the capture glove design became apparent. The Motive software used to generate the 3D poses of the markers uses an optimization algorithm that effectively tries to determine if the markers seen in 1 camera is the same as the markers in the other cameras. This optimization technique is prone to specular reflection in the scene caused by shiny surfaces redirecting light in the target area. Furthermore, the optimization algorithm seemed during recording to be optimizing 3D markers adjacent to each other into the same 3D pose. This problem occurred when the markers at the finger tips of the glove came in contact with each other causing data to be lost. On top of aggressive optimization, the markers also had a tendency to disappear if less than 3 cameras were able to detect it, causing problems with the resulting continuity of the 3D poses.




Figure 5: Flexion of the index finger and its transformation of the 3D markers on the capture glove.

6.4 Design of a Simulated Prosthetic Hand

In order to design a state-of-the-art simulated prosthetic hand, a number of anatomical design choices needs to be considered. This thesis tries to create the most anatomically-correct hand simulation available, this will hopefully have a number of positive effects on prosthetics research. By having access to an advanced simulation, it would in turn be able to test and visualize more advanced movement controllers that can facilitate more DoF than current commercial prosthetics. By creating an anatomically correct prosthetic hand simulation, it is hoped that prosthetics users can have more advanced rehabilitation, and learn to have more natural control of their prosthetics. This would create a more natural usage experience, and decrease the percentage of users that reject the usage of their prosthetic altogether.

A set of requirements The simulated anatomically correct hand should be determined in order to create a state-of-the-art prosthetics simulation.

The simulated prosthetic should:

1. Facilitate the same DoF as an anatomically correct hand.
2. Have proportions that closely resemble that of an anatomically correct hand.
3. Be simulated and be controllable in a commonly used robotics software to increase accessibility for researchers.

6.4.1 Simulated Hand Articulation design

In order to translate the biology and anatomy of a real hand explained in 6.1 into an robotics simulation, we start by denoting the relative lengths of the wrist bones and phalanges by reference, as can be seen in figure 6.




Figure 6: Example figure text

The proportions of the reference is used to denote the bone lengths for the model. The model is implemented in CoppeliaSim ??, The model is created in a hierarchy, the bones are created with cylinders and the joints are created using 1 DoF Revolute joints. As specified in section 6.1, some joints of the human hand facilitates 2 DoF of rotation. This is needed in order for the wrist and finger base joints to be able to do abduction/adduction. To simulate this, two 1 DoF revolute joints were placed in series, thus allowing 2 DoF.

Based on the literature review in Section , it becomes apparent that there exists a standard pipeline for translating sEMG recordings into predicted motorcontrol for a prosthetic.

6.5 sEMG Data Processing

Raw sEMG data contains a lot of unwanted noise as explained in section 5.2. Some of the noise can be removed through signal processing using filters. State-of-the-Art papers use a lot of different methods to reduce noise in sEMG data. The paper [22] proposes the use of a 50Hz Notch filter, while papers [23] & [20] respectively chooses a 20Hz cutoff Butterworth filter & a $10 - 500\text{Hz}$ band-pass Butterworth filter. Due to many different filtering types used in state-of-the-art, it was determined that the use of a filter depends on the specific sEMG data, and that the best filter for this thesis is to be determined through testing.

6.6 Network Design

6.7 Software Hand Design

7 Tests & Results

7.1 1. (Machine Learning Algorithms)

7.1.1 Types of Features for extraction

Method

Test

Results

7.2 2. Windowed MLP/CNN

7.2.1 Pre-Processing Filters

Method

Test

Results

7.2.2 Window Size

Method

Test

Results

7.2.3 Training Parameters

Method

Test

Results

7.2.4 Types of Features for extraction

Method

Test

Results

7.3 3. Recurrent Neural Networks

7.3.1 Training Parameters

Method

Test

Results

7.4 Useability of the Simulated Prosthetic Hand

Existing prosthetic hand simulations are not possible to get access to, as explained in section ??, they are either unavailable through deprecation or pay-to-access by buying a real prosthetic. Due to non-availability of prosthetic hand simulations, it was chosen that through this thesis, a open source prosthetic hand needed to be created for testing of the algorithms developed as part of this project. The prosthetic hand created for this thesis can be seen in section 6.4. The prosthetic was designed to be as anatomically similar as a real hand as possible. This was done in order to accurately simulate the movement of a real hand.

7.4.1 Anatomical Assessment and Maneuverability

The useability and moveability of the simulated prosthetic hand needs to be assessed.

Method

As an initial test, it is reasonable to test if the hand is able to achieve the end poses of the grip types from section 6.3 table 1.

Test & Results

The hand was manually posed into all 4 grip types, and visually compared, as can be seen in figure 7.




Figure 7: Example figure text

Based on a visual comparison of figure 7, it can be concluded that the prosthetic hand is able to be posed similarly to the real-life reference. It can be seen how the

prosthetic is proportionally correct and thus also allows for accurate posing when posed manually.

7.4.2 Posing based on Network Output

As a result of testing different network types and their applicability for creating suitable motorcontrol output for a prosthetic hand, it would be ideal to test the network output on the prosthetic simulation. For full video see appendix ??.

Method

Test & Results

8 Discussion

9 Conclusion

Hello, here is some text without a meaning...

9.1 Future Work

10 Bibliography

List of Figures

1	Example figure text	7
2	A rendering of the anatomical muscle and joint strucutre of the lower-arm. The complexity of the muscles controlling the hand can be seen. Source [???]	16
3	Example figure text	18
4	The positions of 3D markers on the capture glove, designed to be detectable using the Motive Capture software [21].	19
5	Flexion of the index finger and its transformation of the 3D markers on the capture glove.	20
6	Example figure text	21
7	Example figure text	24

List of Tables

1	The most used hand grips in day-to-day tasks based on [17] & [3]. . .	17
---	---	----

References

- [1] M. Tech. “A Review paper on EMG Signal and its Classification Techniques”. In: 2015.
- [2] Keun-Tae Kim et al. “Upper-Limb Electromyogram Classification of Reaching-to-Grasping Tasks Based on Convolutional Neural Networks for Control of a Prosthetic Hand”. In: *Frontiers in Neuroscience* 15 (2021). ISSN: 1662-453X. DOI: 10.3389/fnins.2021.733359. URL: <https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fnins.2021.733359>.
- [3] *Southampton Hand Assessment Procedure (SHAP)*. <http://www.shap.ecs.soton.ac.uk/>. Accessed: 2023-04-14.
- [4] Zhaolong Gao et al. “A Multi-DoF Prosthetic Hand Finger Joint Controller for Wearable sEMG Sensors by Nonlinear Autoregressive Exogenous Model”. In: *Sensors* 21.8 (2021). ISSN: 1424-8220. DOI: 10.3390/s21082576. URL: <https://www.mdpi.com/1424-8220/21/8/2576>.
- [5] *Thalmic Labs Myo gesture control armband*. <https://www.zdnet.com/article/thalmic-labs-shuts-down-myo-gesture-control-armband-project/>. Accessed: 2023-04-14.
- [6] *Delsys Trigno*. <https://delsys.com/trigno/>. Accessed: 2023-04-14.
- [7] Yuki Kuroda et al. “Coevolution of Myoelectric Hand Control under the Tactile Interaction among Fingers and Objects”. In: *Cyborg and Bionic Systems* 2022 (2022). DOI: 10.34133/2022/9861875. eprint: <https://spj.science.org/doi/pdf/10.34133/2022/9861875>. URL: <https://spj.science.org/doi/abs/10.34133/2022/9861875>.
- [8] Yanchao Wang et al. “Design of an Effective Prosthetic Hand System for Adaptive Grasping with the Control of Myoelectric Pattern Recognition Approach”. In: *Micromachines* 13.2 (2022). ISSN: 2072-666X. DOI: 10.3390/mi13020219. URL: <https://www.mdpi.com/2072-666X/13/2/219>.
- [9] Iason Batzianoulis et al. “Decoding the grasping intention from electromyography during reaching motions”. In: *Journal of NeuroEngineering and Rehabilitation* 15 (2018).
- [10] Zhen Ma et al. “Decoding of Individual Finger Movement on One Hand Using Ultra high-density EEG”. In: *2022 16th ICME International Conference on Complex Medical Engineering (CME)*. 2022, pp. 332–335. DOI: 10.1109/CME55444.2022.10063299.
- [11] Maged S. AL-Quraishi et al. “EEG-Based Control for Upper and Lower Limb Exoskeletons and Prostheses: A Systematic Review”. In: *Sensors* 18.10 (2018). ISSN: 1424-8220. DOI: 10.3390/s18103342. URL: <https://www.mdpi.com/1424-8220/18/10/3342>.
- [12] *Gazebo - Gazeboism*. <https://staging.gazebosim.org/home>. Accessed: 2023-04-28.
- [13] *Coppeliasim - Coppeliarobotics*. <https://www.coppeliarobotics.com/>. Accessed: 2023-03-21.

- [14] *EMGworks - Delsys*. <https://delsys.com/emgworks/>. Accessed: 2023-03-21.
- [15] *MediaPipe landmark tracking - MediaPipe Python*. <https://mediapipe.dev/>. Accessed: 2023-04-28.
- [16] *Motive:Tracker - OptiTrack*. <https://optitrack.com/>. Accessed: 2023-03-21.
- [17] *Sollerman Hand Function Test*. https://www.physio-pedia.com/Sollerman_Hand_Function_Test. Accessed: 2023-04-25.
- [18] Nestor Jarque-Bou et al. “A calibrated database of kinematics and EMG of the forearm and hand during activities of daily living”. In: *Scientific Data* 6 (Nov. 2019). DOI: 10.1038/s41597-019-0285-1.
- [19] Nestor Jarque-Bou et al. *A calibrated database of kinematics and EMG of the forearm and hand during activities of daily living*. Version 1.0. Zenodo, July 2019. DOI: 10.5281/zenodo.3337890. URL: <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.3337890>.
- [20] Ashirbad Pradhan, Jiayuan He, and Ning Jiang. “Multi-day dataset of forearm and wrist electromyogram for hand gesture recognition and biometrics”. In: *Scientific Data* 9 (Nov. 2022), p. 733. DOI: 10.1038/s41597-022-01836-y.
- [21] *Motive Tracker Software - OptiTrack*. <https://optitrack.com/software/motive/>. Accessed: 2023-03-21.
- [22] Zhaolong Gao et al. “A Multi-DoF Prosthetic Hand Finger Joint Controller for Wearable sEMG Sensors by Nonlinear Autoregressive Exogenous Model”. In: *Sensors* 21.8 (2021). ISSN: 1424-8220. DOI: 10.3390/s21082576. URL: <https://www.mdpi.com/1424-8220/21/8/2576>.
- [23] Iason Batzianoulis et al. “Decoding the grasping intention from electromyography during reaching motions”. In: *Journal of neuroengineering and rehabilitation* 15.1 (2018), pp. 1–13.