

Overview

1 Introduction

- Longitudinal Data
- Variation and Correlation
- Different Approaches

2 Mixed Models

- Linear Mixed Models
- Generalized Linear Mixed Models

3 Marginal Models

- Linear Models
- Generalized Linear Models
- Generalized Estimating Equations (GEE)

4 Transition Models

5 Further Topics

Transition models

Remember that a transition model (a special kind of [conditional model](#)) explains correlation between Y_{i1}, \dots, Y_{in_i} by letting past values Y_{i1}, \dots, Y_{ij-1} influence the present observation Y_{ij} . More specifically:

Let $\mathcal{H}_{ij} = \{y_{i1}, \dots, y_{ij-1}\}$ denote the past responses for subject i (the history at time t_{ij}). Then, a transition model assumes for the conditional moments

- $g(\mu_{ij}^C) = g(E(Y_{ij}|\mathcal{H}_{ij})) = \mathbf{x}'_{ij}\boldsymbol{\beta} + \sum_{r=1}^s \kappa_r(\mathcal{H}_{ij}; \boldsymbol{\alpha}, \boldsymbol{\beta})$
- $v_{ij}^C = \text{Var}(Y_{ij}|\mathcal{H}_{ij}) = \phi v(\mu_{ij}^C)$.

Here, the $\kappa_r(\cdot)$ are known functions and might, for example, include interactions between past responses.

Transition models

The most useful and common transition models are **Markov chains** of order q , for which the conditional distribution of $Y_{ij}|\mathcal{H}_{ij}$ only depends on the last q observations $y_{ij-1}, \dots, y_{ij-q}$.

Then, the conditional distribution is assumed to follow a GLM

- $f(y_{ij}|\mathcal{H}_{ij}) = \exp\{\phi^{-1}[y_{ij}\eta_{ij} - \psi(\eta_{ij})] + c(y_{ij}, \phi)\}$ with conditional moments $\mu_{ij}^C = E(Y_{ij}|\mathcal{H}_{ij}) = \psi'(\eta_{ij})$ and $v_{ij}^C = \text{Var}(Y_{ij}|\mathcal{H}_{ij}) = \phi\psi''(\eta_{ij})$.
- $g(\mu_{ij}^C) = \mathbf{x}_{ij}'\boldsymbol{\beta} + \sum_{r=1}^s \kappa_r(\mathcal{H}_{ij}; \boldsymbol{\alpha}, \boldsymbol{\beta})$
- $v_{ij}^C = \phi v(\mu_{ij}^C)$.

Transition models are most useful for **equally space data**, i.e. where the t_{ij} are equally spaced, and we will assume this in the following.

Linear transition models

A simple **autoregressive model** of order $q = 1$ is

$$\begin{aligned} Y_{ij} &= \mathbf{x}'_{ij}\boldsymbol{\beta} + \varepsilon_{ij} \\ \varepsilon_{ij} &= \alpha\varepsilon_{ij-1} + Z_{ij}, \quad Z_{ij} \stackrel{iid}{\sim} N(0, \tau^2), \end{aligned}$$

with $\tau^2 = \sigma^2(1 - \alpha^2)$ and $\alpha = \exp(-\phi) \geq 0$.

We can rewrite this model by substituting $\varepsilon_{ij-1} = Y_{ij-1} - \mathbf{x}'_{ij-1}\boldsymbol{\beta}$ as

$$Y_{ij}|Y_{ij-1} \sim N(\mathbf{x}'_{ij}\boldsymbol{\beta} + \alpha(Y_{ij-1} - \mathbf{x}'_{ij-1}\boldsymbol{\beta}), \tau^2).$$

This form shows how the past observation Y_{ij-1} is treated as a predictor of Y_{ij} , the same way as the explanatory variables \mathbf{x}_{ij} .

We can also derive the marginal covariance structure

$$\text{Cov}(Y_{ij}, Y_{ik}) = \alpha^{|j-k|}\sigma^2,$$

which is the AR(1) structure already mentioned for marginal models.

Linear transition models

Note that in this model, the marginal mean is

$$E(Y_{ij}) = \mathbf{x}'_{ij}\beta,$$

and the β parameters thus have a marginal interpretation.

In the economics literature, the autoregressive model is often written as

$$Y_{ij} = \mathbf{x}'_{ij}\beta + \alpha Y_{ij-1} + Z_{ij}, \quad Z_{ij} \stackrel{iid}{\sim} N(0, \tau^2),$$

i.e. Y_{ij} is regressed on the past value Y_{ij-1} itself rather than adjusting for its expectation. While the predictions in both model formulations are the same, note that here

$$E(Y_{ij}) = \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \alpha^r \mathbf{x}'_{ij-r}\beta.$$

β thus does not have the usual marginal interpretation and the interpretation of β will change with the correlation model. Thus, the other model formulation is generally preferable.

Linear transition models

An autoregressive model of order q (AR(q)) is

$$Y_{ij} = \mathbf{x}'_{ij}\boldsymbol{\beta} + \sum_{r=1}^q \alpha_r(Y_{ij-r} - \mathbf{x}'_{ij-r}\boldsymbol{\beta}) + Z_{ij}, \quad Z_{ij} \stackrel{iid}{\sim} N(0, \tau^2).$$

This is a transition model with $g(\mu_{ij}^C) = \mu_{ij}^C$, $v(\mu_{ij}^C) = 1$, and $\kappa_r(\mathcal{H}_{ij}; \alpha, \boldsymbol{\beta}) = \alpha_r(y_{ij-r} - \mathbf{x}'_{ij-r}\boldsymbol{\beta})$.

Logistic transition models

A first-order Markov chain for binary responses is

$$\text{logit}P(Y_{ij} = 1|\mathcal{H}_{ij}) = \mathbf{x}'_{ij}\boldsymbol{\beta} + \alpha y_{ij-1}. \quad (1)$$

Here, $g(\mu_{ij}^C) = \text{logit}(\mu_{ij}^C) = \log\left(\frac{\mu_{ij}^C}{1-\mu_{ij}^C}\right)$, $v(\mu_{ij}^C) = \mu_{ij}^C(1 - \mu_{ij}^C)$, and

$$\kappa_1(\mathcal{H}_{ij}; \alpha, \boldsymbol{\beta}) = \alpha y_{ij-1}.$$

For example: the probability of a child having a respiratory infection at time t_{ij} depends not only on explanatory variables, but also on the infection status at the previous visit.

- $\exp(\alpha)$ then is the ratio of the odds of infection among children with and without an infection at the previous visit.
- $\boldsymbol{\beta}_k$ is the change per unit change in \mathbf{x}_k in the log odds of infection among children free of infection at the last visit.

Note that $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ does no longer have a marginal interpretation as it had in the linear transition model.

Logistic transition models

This model is a first-order Markov chain and can be described by its transition matrix with entries $P(Y_{ij} = y_{ij} | Y_{ij-1} = y_{ij-1})$, $y_{ij}, y_{ij-1} \in \{0, 1\}$.

$$\begin{array}{cc}
 & y_{ij} \\
 & \begin{array}{cc} 0 & 1 \end{array} \\
 y_{ij-1} \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 1 \end{array} & \begin{array}{cc} \frac{1}{1 + \exp(x'_{ij}\beta)} & \frac{\exp(x'_{ij}\beta)}{1 + \exp(x'_{ij}\beta)} \\ \frac{1}{1 + \exp(x'_{ij}\beta + \alpha)} & \frac{\exp(x'_{ij}\beta + \alpha)}{1 + \exp(x'_{ij}\beta + \alpha)} \end{array}
 \end{array}$$

Note that the rows of this matrix sum to 1, as

$$P(Y_{ij} = 1 | Y_{ij-1} = y_{ij-1}) + P(Y_{ij} = 0 | Y_{ij-1} = y_{ij-1}) = 1.$$

The transition probabilities depend on the explanatory variables \mathbf{x}_{ij} and can thus vary from subject to subject.

Logistic transition models

An extension of this model to a model of order q is

$$\text{logit}P(Y_{ij} = 1|\mathcal{H}_{ij}) = \mathbf{x}'_{ij}\beta_q + \sum_{r=1}^q \alpha_r y_{ij-r}.$$

The notation β_q is chosen to indicate that the interpretation (and value) of β_q will change with the Markov order q .

Another extension would be to let β differ by y_{ij-1} . This can be achieved by letting

$$\text{logit}P(Y_{ij} = 1|\mathcal{H}_{ij}) = \mathbf{x}'_{ij}\beta + y_{ij-1}\mathbf{x}'_{ij}\alpha.$$

In this model we could then test $\alpha = (\alpha, \mathbf{0})$ to see whether (1) holds and the covariate effects are independent of $y_{ij-1} = 1$ or $y_{ij-1} = 0$.

Similarly, we could extend the model of order q to allow for covariate effects to differ by past values.

Transition models for categorical data

Similar models can be derived for categorical data without natural ordering, by modeling transition probabilities

$$\pi_{ab} = P(Y_{ij} = b | Y_{ij-1} = a).$$

For ordered categorical data, the proportional odds model can also be extended to include functions of past values. See [Diggle et al \(2002\)](#) for more details.

Log-linear transition models

A first-order Markov model for count data analogous to the one for binary data would be

$$\mu_{ij}^C = E(Y_{ij} | \mathcal{H}_{ij}) = \exp(\mathbf{x}_{ij}'\boldsymbol{\beta} + \alpha y_{ij-1}).$$

However, if $\alpha > 0$, this model causes the conditional expectation to grow exponentially over time. Thus, this model can only be reasonably used to describe negative associations ($\alpha < 0$).

Log-linear transition models

A possible first-order Markov chain for count data is a model where $Y_{ij}|\mathcal{H}_{ij}$ follows a Poisson distribution, and where $\kappa_1(\mathcal{H}_{ij}; \alpha, \beta) = \alpha\{\log(y_{ij-1}^*) - \mathbf{x}'_{ij-1}\beta\}$ with $y_{ij}^* = \max(y_{ij}, d)$, $0 < d < 1$, such that

$$\mu_{ij}^C = E(Y_{ij}|\mathcal{H}_{ij}) = \exp(\mathbf{x}'_{ij}\beta) \left(\frac{y_{ij-1}^*}{\exp(\mathbf{x}'_{ij-1}\beta)} \right)^\alpha.$$

Zeger & Qaqish, *Biometrics*, 1988 propose this model, where d prevents $y_{ij-1} = 0$ from being an absorbing state, which forces all future observations to be 0 as well. For $\alpha > 0$, μ_{ij}^C is increased when y_{ij-1} exceeds $\exp(\mathbf{x}'_{ij-1}\beta)$ (positive correlation), and reversed for $\alpha < 0$ (negative correlation).

Note that while in the linear model $\kappa_r(\mathcal{H}_{ij}; \alpha, \beta) = \alpha_r(y_{ij-r} - \mathbf{x}'_{ij-r}\beta)$ could be used to obtain marginal interpretations for β , i.e. $E(Y_{ij}) = \mathbf{x}'_{ij}\beta$ independent of the order q , this is difficult in log-linear or logistic models. The interpretation of β will usually depend on the model for the time dependence.

Fitting transition models

In a Markov model of order q , the likelihood contribution from the i th subject is

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L}(y_{i1}, \dots, y_{in_i}) &= f(y_{i1}, \dots, y_{iq}) \prod_{j=q+1}^{n_i} f(y_{ij} | \mathcal{H}_{ij}) \\ &= f(y_{i1}, \dots, y_{iq}) \prod_{j=q+1}^{n_i} f(y_{ij} | y_{ij-1}, \dots, y_{ij-q}).\end{aligned}$$

If our model is correctly specified, then conditional on the past, the transitions for a person are independent. Thus, each term in the product contributes an independent univariate GLM.

For $f(y_{i1}, \dots, y_{iq})$, a separate model has to be specified to obtain the full likelihood, as this is not described by the conditional model.

For the Gaussian $\text{AR}(q)$ models, assuming a multivariate normal distribution for Y_{i1}, \dots, Y_{iq} nicely produces a multivariate normal distribution for Y_{i1}, \dots, Y_{in_i} without additional unknown parameters. Inference can then proceed using the full likelihood.

Fitting transition models

Generally, however, $f(y_{i1}, \dots, y_{iq})$ is not determined from the conditional model, and cannot be specified without additional unknown parameters.

An alternative (less efficient than ML, but best option without additional assumptions on $f(y_{i1}, \dots, y_{iq})$) then is to estimate β and α using the conditional likelihood

$$\prod_{i=1}^I f(y_{iq+1}, \dots, y_{in_i} | y_{i1}, \dots, y_{iq}) = \prod_{i=1}^I \prod_{j=q+1}^{n_i} f(y_{ij} | \mathcal{H}_{ij}).$$

Now, in the case where $\kappa_r(\mathcal{H}_{ij}; \alpha, \beta) = \alpha_r \kappa_r(\mathcal{H}_{ij})$ (as in the discussed logistic models), we have

$$g(\mu_{ij}^C) = \mathbf{x}'_{ij}\beta + \sum_{r=1}^s \alpha_r \kappa_r(\mathcal{H}_{ij})$$

and $g(\mu_{ij}^C)$ is a linear function of both β and $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_s)$. Estimation can then proceed using independent GLMs with extended explanatory variables $(\mathbf{x}_{ij}, \kappa_1(\mathcal{H}_{ij}), \dots, \kappa_s(\mathcal{H}_{ij}))$.

Fitting transition models

A somewhat more complicated case arises when $\kappa_r(\mathcal{H}_{ij}; \alpha, \beta)$ depends on both α and β (as in the linear and log-linear models discussed). In this case, the derivative of the conditional likelihood gives the conditional score equation for $\delta = (\beta, \alpha)$

$$S^C(\delta) = \sum_{i=1}^I \sum_{j=q+1}^{n_i} \frac{\partial \mu_{ij}^C}{\partial \delta} v_{ij}^{C-1} (Y_{ij} - \mu_{ij}^C) = \mathbf{0},$$

the conditional analogue of the GLM score equation. $\frac{\partial \mu_{ij}^C}{\partial \delta}$ can depend on α and β . Letting $\mathbf{Y}_i = (Y_{iq+1}, \dots, Y_{in_i})$, $\boldsymbol{\mu}_i^C = (\mu_{iq+1}^C, \dots, \mu_{in_i}^C)$, $\mathbf{W}_i = \text{diag}(v_{iq+1}^C, \dots, v_{in_i}^C)$ and \mathbf{X}_i^* the $(n_i - q) \times (p + s)$ matrix with k th row $\frac{\partial \mu_{iq+k}^C}{\partial \delta}$, gives

$$S^C(\delta) = \sum_{i=1}^I \mathbf{X}_i^* \mathbf{W}_i^{-1} (\mathbf{Y}_i - \boldsymbol{\mu}_i^C) = \mathbf{0}.$$

We can solve this equation for $\hat{\delta}$ using Fisher scoring, iteratively regressing the working variate \mathbf{Z} , where $\mathbf{Z}_i = \mathbf{X}_i^* \hat{\delta} + (\mathbf{Y}_i - \hat{\boldsymbol{\mu}}_i^C)$, on \mathbf{X}^* using weights \mathbf{W}^{-1} .

Inference in transition models

- If the model for conditional mean and variance is correctly specified, $\hat{\delta}$ is asymptotically (as $l \rightarrow \infty$) normal with mean δ and $(p + s) \times (p + s)$ variance matrix

$$\mathbf{V}_{\delta} = \left(\sum_{i=1}^l \mathbf{x}_i^{*'} \mathbf{W}_i^{-1} \mathbf{x}_i^* \right)^{-1},$$

which can be consistently estimated by replacing α by $\hat{\alpha}$ and β by $\hat{\beta}$.

- If the conditional mean is correctly specified, but the conditional variance is not, the asymptotic variance changes to

$$\left(\sum_{i=1}^l \mathbf{x}_i^{*'} \mathbf{W}_i^{-1} \mathbf{x}_i^* \right)^{-1} \left(\sum_{i=1}^l \mathbf{x}_i^{*'} \mathbf{W}_i^{-1} \mathbf{V}_i \mathbf{W}_i^{-1} \mathbf{x}_i^* \right) \left(\sum_{i=1}^l \mathbf{x}_i^{*'} \mathbf{W}_i^{-1} \mathbf{x}_i^* \right)^{-1},$$

which can be consistently estimated by replacing $\mathbf{V}_i = \text{Var}(\mathbf{Y}_i | \mathcal{H}_{ij})$ by the estimate $(\mathbf{Y}_i - \hat{\mu}_i^C)(\mathbf{Y}_i - \hat{\mu}_i^C)'$, and (α, β) by $(\hat{\alpha}, \hat{\beta})$.

Inference in transition models

- When the Markov property is violated, using the robust variance will often still give consistent confidence intervals for $\hat{\delta}$. However, the interpretation of $\hat{\delta}$ becomes questionable, as then $\mu_{ij}^C = E(Y_{ij}|y_{ij-1}, \dots, y_{ij-q}) \neq E(Y_{ij}|\mathcal{H}_{ij})$.

Transition Models in R

See `examples_transition_models.R` for example code for

- setting up a data set to fit first- or second-order transition models
- fit first- or second-order transition models for binary data

The data sets mentioned in the Longitudinal Data Analysis book [Diggle et al \(2002\)](#), and in particular the example used here, can be obtained from Patrick Heagerty's website:

<http://faculty.washington.edu/heagerty/Books/AnalysisLongitudinal/>