

A hybrid and reversible quantum language

Kostia Chardonnet, Emmanuel Hainry, Romain Péchoux, Thomas Vinet

Université de Lorraine, CNRS, Inria, LORIA, F-54000 Nancy, France

Introduction

In quantum computation, we can consider a fragment without measure that is purely reversible.

Existing reversible quantum languages

- **Lineal** [1] : Lambda calculus with an algebraic structure
- Restricted set of types and expressivity, deciding unitarity is hard
- **Symmetric pattern-matching** [2] : Reversible terms are defined with pattern-matching
- No normalization condition
- Only linear types allowed in both languages

Contributions

- Typed reversible language with only norm 1 terms
- Hybrid design: classical and quantum control flow
- Linear and non-linear terms through type discipline
- Predicate to characterize reversibility and unitarity

Types

$$T ::= \text{Qbit} \mid B \mid T \multimap T \mid T \Rightarrow T \mid T \leftrightarrow T$$

- Base elements: $\text{Qbit}, A \times B, \mathbf{nat}, [A], \dots$ and three functional arrows
- Disjoint between quantum and classical

Syntax

$$\begin{aligned} t ::= & x \mid |0\rangle \mid |1\rangle \mid \mathbf{qcase} \, t \{ |0\rangle \rightarrow t_0 \mid |1\rangle \rightarrow t_1 \} \\ & \mid c(t_1, \dots, t_n) \mid \mathbf{match} \, t : B \{ c_1(\vec{x}_1) \rightarrow t_1 \mid \dots \} \\ & \mid \lambda x. t \mid \mathbf{letrec} \, f \, x = t \mid \mathbf{unit}(t) \mid t_1 t_2 \\ & \mid \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i \cdot t_i \mid \mathbf{shape}(t) \end{aligned}$$

- Pattern matching: **qcase** for quantum, **match** for classical
- **shape** extracts classical structure following [3]
- Equivalence relation \equiv to gain linearity and simplify $s + 0 \cdot t \equiv s$
- **unit**(t): indicates unitary terms, verified through typing

Typing rules

A typing judgement $\Gamma; \Delta \vdash t : T$ has a linear context, Δ , and a non-linear context, Γ .

$$\overline{\Gamma; x : T \vdash x : T} \quad \overline{\Gamma, x : C; \emptyset \vdash x : C}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma; \Delta, x : T \vdash t : T'}{\Gamma; \Delta \vdash \lambda x. t : T \multimap T'} \quad \frac{\Gamma; \Delta \vdash t_1 : T \multimap T' \quad \Gamma; \Delta' \vdash t_2 : T'}{\Gamma; \Delta, \Delta' \vdash t_1 t_2 : T'}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma; \Delta \vdash t_i : Q \quad \sum_{i=1}^n |\alpha_i|^2 = 1 \quad \forall i \neq j, t_i \perp t_j}{\Gamma; \Delta \vdash \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i \cdot t_i : Q}$$

Orthogonality

- $t \perp t'$ if same classical structure and their inner product is null
- $|0\rangle \perp |1\rangle, |+\rangle :: [] \perp |-\rangle :: [], (0, |0\rangle) \not\perp (S \, 0, |1\rangle)$
- Deciding orthogonality is Π_2^0 -complete
- Most use cases are decidable polynomially

Operational semantics

- Call by value, small-step semantics
- Superposition reduces each term if possible

$$(\lambda x. t) v \rightsquigarrow t[x \leftarrow v] \quad \mathbf{qcase} \, |0\rangle \{ |0\rangle \rightarrow t_0 \mid |1\rangle \rightarrow t_1 \} \rightsquigarrow t_0$$

$$\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i \cdot t_i \in \mathbf{CAN} \setminus \mathbf{V} \quad t_i \rightsquigarrow^{\leq 1} t'_i}{\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i \cdot t_i \rightsquigarrow \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i \cdot t'_i} \quad \frac{}{\mathbf{shape}(|0\rangle) \rightsquigarrow ()}$$

Examples and properties

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{NOT} &= \lambda x. \mathbf{qcase} \, x \{ |0\rangle \rightarrow |1\rangle \mid |1\rangle \rightarrow |0\rangle \} \\ \mathbf{map} &= \lambda \phi. \mathbf{letrec} \, f \, x = \mathbf{match} \, x : [A] \{ [] \rightarrow [] \mid h :: t \rightarrow \phi h :: ft \} \\ \emptyset; \emptyset &\vdash \lambda x. (x, \mathbf{length}(\mathbf{shape}(x))) : [\text{Qbit}] \multimap [\text{Qbit}] \times \mathbf{nat} \end{aligned}$$

Subject reduction

Let $\Gamma; \Delta \vdash t : T$ and $t \rightsquigarrow t'$, then $\Gamma; \Delta \vdash t' : T$.

Progress

Let $; \vdash t : T$, then either $t \equiv v$ or $t \rightsquigarrow t'$.

Pattern matching

There exists a sound and complete predicate that derives an exhaustive and non-overlapping pattern matching.

Reversibility

Given $t : A \leftrightarrow B$, then t is unitary, and in particular reversible.

Work in progress

- Finalize the link between unitarity and reversibility
- Translate our language into term rewriting systems:
$$(\mathbf{map} \, \phi)[] \rightarrow [] \quad (\mathbf{map} \, \phi)(h :: t) \rightarrow \phi h :: (\mathbf{map} \, \phi)t$$
- Existing results with interpretations to compute bounds [4]
- Use / adapt results to get polynomial bounds on the resources used

References

- [1] Pablo Arrighi and Gilles Dowek. Lineal: A linear-algebraic Lambda-calculus. *Logical Methods in Computer Science*, Volume 13, Issue 1, March 2017.
- [2] Amr Sabry, Benoît Valiron, and Juliana Kaizer Vizzotto. From Symmetric Pattern-Matching to Quantum Control. In Christel Baier and Ugo Dal Lago, editors, *Foundations of Software Science and Computation Structures*, pages 348–364, Cham, 2018. Springer International Publishing.
- [3] Alexander S. Green, Peter LeFanu Lumsdaine, Neil J. Ross, Peter Selinger, and Benoît Valiron. Quipper: A scalable quantum programming language. *SIGPLAN Not.*, 48(6):333–342, June 2013.
- [4] G. Bonfante, J. Y. Marion, and J. Y. Moyen. Quasi-interpretations a way to control resources. *Theoretical Computer Science*, 412(25):2776–2796, June 2011.