Here is the approach I recommend for project 2.

- 1) First build the skeleton for project 2, run it and be sure that you understand how it works.
- 2) Replace the lexical analyzer in the project 2 skeleton with your lexical analyzer from project
- 1. Be sure to remove the main method from your scanner.1. Add the necessary token declarations for the new tokens. Verify that the project builds correctly at this point. Then confirm that test cases test1.txt-test4.txt that were provided as test cases for the skeleton code parse properly.
- 3) The simplest modification to make first to modify the grammar to include variables and parameters of the real data type as well as real literals and Boolean literals. Use test5.txt to test this modification. Shown below is the output that should result when using that test case as input:

```
$ ./compile < test5.txt

1  -- Function with a Real Variable and Boolean and Real Literals
2
3 function main returns boolean;
4     r: real is 5.7;
5 begin
6     true and 2 < 8.E+1 + 1.7E-2 * 7.3E2;
7 end;</pre>
```

4) Next, add the if statement to the grammar. Be sure that you understand the difference between the meaning of; and ';' in the bison input file. The former is the symbol that terminates a production. The latter represents the semicolon symbol in the target language as does the; in the project specification. Be sure you understand the difference between the statement and the statement_productions that were provided in the skeleton code. The latter incorporates the semicolon that ends the if statement in the target language and also provides recovery should an error occur within a statement. Use test6.txt to test this modification. Shown below is the output that should result when using that test case as input:

```
$ ./compile < test6.txt

1  -- Conditional expression
2
3  function main returns integer;
4  begin
5    if 5 + 4 >= 9 then
6    6 + 9 * 3;
7    else
8    8 - 9 / 7;
9    endif;
10 end;
```

Compiled Successfully

Compiled Successfully

5) The case would be best implemented next. The grammar in the specification defines the language but is not in the form needed for bison. It contains EBNF symbols, that must be removed. In that part of the grammar, the EBNF braces are used to indicate that a case statement contains 0 or more when clauses. Because bison does not support these EBNF symbols, a recursive production must be used instead. Use test7.txt to test this modification. Shown below is the output that should result when using that test case as input:

```
$ ./compile < test7.txt

1    // Case selection
2    3    function main returns integer;
4         a: integer is 4 + 2;
5    begin
6         case a is
7         when 1 => 3;
8         when 2 => (3 + 5 - 5 - 4) * 2;
9         others => 4;
10         endcase;
11    end;
```

Compiled Successfully

6) The provided skeleton allows an optional, 0 or 1, variable declaration. The requirements state that 0 or more should be permitted. This modification again requires replacing the EBNF braces with a recursive production. Use test8.txt to test this modification. Shown below is the output that should result when using that test case as input:

```
$ ./compile < test8.txt

1  -- Multiple integer variable initialization
2
3  function main returns integer;
4    b: integer is 5 + 1 - 4;
5    c: integer is 2 + 3;
6  begin
7    b + 1 - c;
8  end;</pre>
```

Compiled Successfully

7) The next feature to add is 0 or more parameter declarations in the function header. Because the parameters require comma separators, notice that the grammar is written to indicate that the parameters are optional because in the parameters production must contain at least one. Study how optional elements are included in the grammar by noticing how an optional variable declaration was implemented in the skeleton. Two test cases are provided to test this change. Before using either of them, use one of the early test cases to confirm that your parser still allows no parameters. Then proceed to use, test9.txt, to verify that program with one parameter declaration parses correctly. Shown below is the output that should result when using that test case as input:

```
$ ./compile < test9.txt</pre>
```

```
1 -- Single parameter declaration
2
3 function main a: integer returns integer;
4 begin
5 a + 1;
6 end;
```

Compiled Successfully

Compiled Successfully

The next test case, test10.txt contains two parameter declarations. Shown below is the output that should result when using that test case as input:

```
$ ./compile < test10.txt

1  -- Two parameter declarations
2
3  function main a: boolean, b: integer returns boolean;
4  begin
5     a and b > 1;
6  end;

Compiled Successfully
```

8) The additional arithmetic operators for remainder and exponentiation should be added next. Notice how the existing operators are implemented in the skeleton code. There must be one production for each level of precedence. Because the remainder operator has the same precedence as the multiplying operators, it should be included as another right-hand side to the existing production. But because the exponentiation operator has higher precedence a production must be introduced. Because that operator is right associative, its production must be right recursive. In the *Course Resources* area of the classroom you will find Module 1 from CMSC 330. You may want to read section II-B if you need a better understanding of how to construct a grammar so that it produces the correct parse tree to account for precedence and associativity.

Then proceed to use, test11.txt, to verify that program containing every arithmetic operator parses correctly. Shown below is the output that should result when using that test case as input:

```
$ ./compile < test11.txt

1  // Arithmetic operators
2
3 function main returns integer;
4 begin
5  9 + 2 - (5 - 1) / 2 rem 3 * 3 ** 1 ** 2;
6 end;</pre>
```

9) The additional logical operators, which include the or and not operators should be added next. Each has a separate precedence level. The or operator has the lowest precedence of all operators and not has the highest. So, two new productions must be added to the grammar. Shown below is the output that should result when using that test case as input:

```
$ ./compile < test12.txt

1   -- Relational and logical operators
2
3  function main returns boolean;
4  begin
5    5 > 8 and 3 = 3 or 9 < 1 and not (3 /= 7) or 6 <= 7 and 3 >= 9 or not true and not not false;
6  end;
```

10) At this point your parser should contain the complete grammar for the language. As a final check, use test cases test13.txt, which contains a nested if together with most elements of the language and test14.txt, which contains a nested case statement. Shown below is the output that should result when using test13.txt as input:

```
$ ./compile < test13.txt</pre>
   1
     -- Comprehensive test with nested if
   3 function main a: integer, b: boolean, c: real returns integer;
   4
         d: integer is 8;
   5
         e: real is 3.75;
   6
         f: boolean is true and not b;
   7 begin
   8
       if a > 5 and a < 1 and c = 5. or c /= 8.E4 or f then
  9
             if c \ge 7.E-2 and c \le 5.2 or false then
  10
                  a + 2 - 7.E + 2 / 9 * 4;
  11
              else
  12
                 a rem 2 - 5 / c;
  13
              endif;
 14
          else
 15
              a ** 2 rem 3;
 16
          endif;
  17 end;
```

Compiled Successfully

Compiled Successfully

Shown below is the output that should result when using test14.txt as input:

```
$ ./compile < test14.txt</pre>
      // Comprehensive test with nested case
   3 function main a: integer, b: real returns real;
   4
           c: integer is 8;
   5
           d: real is 7.E2;
   6 begin
   7
           case a is
                 when 1 => a * 2 / d ** 2;
   9
                 when 2 => a + 5.E + 2 - b;
  10
                 when 3 \Rightarrow
  11
                     case d is
  12
                          when 1 \Rightarrow a \text{ rem } 2;
  13
                          others \Rightarrow 9.1E-1;
```

```
14 endcase;

15 when 4 => a / 2 - c;

16 others => a + 4.7 * b;

17 endcase;

18 end;
```

Compiled Successfully

11) At this point, you are ready to implement the error recovery portion of the program. Verify first that test case <code>syntax1.txt</code> that was include with the project 2 test data produces the correct output. Then modify the grammar so that errors in the function header will be properly recovered from. You will need to introduce an additional production that includes the <code>error</code> token. You should use the <code>statement_</code> production in the skeleton code as a model. Once you have implemented the error recovery production for the function header, use test case <code>syntax2.txt</code> to test it. Shown below is the output that should result when using <code>syntax2.txt</code> as input:

```
$ ./compile < syntax2.txt</pre>
  1 -- Error in function header, missing colon
  3 function main a integer returns integer;
Syntax Error, Unexpected INTEGER, expecting ':'
       b: integer is 3 * 2;
  5 begin
  if a \leq 0 then
  7
        b + 3;
       else
  9
        b * 4;
 10 endif;
 11 end;
Lexical Errors 0
Syntax Errors 1
Semantic Errors 0
```

The fact that it continued to parse the remainder of the program is confirmation that it has been properly implemented.

12) Next implement error recovery in variable declarations. As before, an additional production is required. Once you have implemented the error recovery production for variable declarations, use test case syntax3.txt to test it. Shown below is the output that should result when using that test case as input:

Confirmation that error recovery has occurred is that no extraneous error messages are generated after the variable declaration.

13) The final addition to the grammar to enhance error recovery is to an error recovery to the when clause of the case statement. As before, an additional production is required. Use test case syntax4.txt to test it. Shown below is the output that should result when using that test case as input:

The fact that the second error, the one indicating that the others clause is missing, confirms that recovery from the first error message has occurred.

14) As a final test use test case syntax5.txt, which contains a variety of syntax errors. Shown below is the output that should result when using that test case as input:

```
$ ./compile < syntax5.txt

1    // Multiple errors
2    3 function main a integer returns real;
Syntax Error, Unexpected INTEGER, expecting ':'
4    b: integer is * 2;
Syntax Error, Unexpected MULOP
5    c: real is 6.0;</pre>
```

```
6 begin
7    if a > c then
8         b + / 4.;
Syntax Error, Unexpected MULOP
9    else
10         case b is
11         when => 2;
Syntax Error, Unexpected ARROW, expecting INT_LITERAL
12         when 2 => c;
13         endcase;
Syntax Error, Unexpected ENDCASE, expecting WHEN or OTHERS
14         endif;
15    end;
Lexical Errors 0
Syntax Errors 5
Semantic Errors 0
```

If you have implemented all the required error recovery, your compiler should detect all five syntax errors.

All of the test cases discussed above are included in the attached .zip file.

You are certainly encouraged to create any other test cases that you wish to incorporate in your test plan. Keep in mind that your parser should parse all syntactically correct programs, so I recommend that you choose some different test cases as a part of your test plan. I may use a comparable but different set of test cases when I test your projects.