## 190.17

## THOMAS BREYDO

**Problem.** For  $u \in V$ , let  $\Phi u$  denote the linear functional V defined by

$$(\Phi u)(v) = \langle v, u \rangle$$

for  $v \in V$ .

- (a) Show that if  $\mathbf{F} = \mathbf{R}$ , then  $\Phi$  is a linear map from V to V'. (Recall from Section 3.F that  $V' = \mathcal{L}(V, \mathbf{F})$  and that V' is called the dual space of V.)
- (b) Show that if  $\mathbf{F} = \mathbf{C}$  and  $V \neq \{0\}$ , then  $\Phi$  is not a linear map.
- (c) Show that  $\Phi$  is injective.
- (d) Suppose  $\mathbf{F} = \mathbf{R}$  and V is finite-dimensional. Use parts (a) and (c) and a dimension-counting argument (but without using 6.42) to show that  $\Phi$  is an isomorphism from V onto V'.

**Note.** Part (d) gives an alternative proof of the Reisz Representation Theorem (6.42) when  $\mathbf{F} = \mathbf{R}$ . Part (d) also gives a natural isomorphism (meaning that it does not depend on a choice of basis) from a finite-dimensional real inner product space onto its dual space.

Claim 1. If  $\mathbf{F} = \mathbf{R}$ , then  $\Phi$  is a linear map from V to V'.

*Proof.* Suppose  $u_1, u_2 \in V$ . Then, the functional  $\Phi(u_1 + u_2)$  sends  $v \in V$  to

$$(\Phi(u_1 + u_2))(v) = \langle v, u_1 + u_2 \rangle$$
$$= \langle v, u_1 \rangle + \langle v, u_2 \rangle$$
$$= (\Phi u_1)(v) + (\Phi u_2)(v).$$

Thus,  $\Phi$  is additive.

Next, suppose  $\lambda \in \mathbf{F}$  and  $u \in V$ . Then, the functional  $\Phi(\lambda u)$  sends sends  $v \in V$  to

$$(\Phi(\lambda u))(v) = \langle v, \lambda u \rangle$$

$$= \overline{\lambda} \langle v, u \rangle$$

$$= \lambda \langle v, u \rangle$$

$$= \lambda (\Phi u)(v).$$
(F = R)

Thus,  $\Phi$  is homogenous. Since it is both additive and homogenous, it is linear.  $\square$ 

## **Claim 2.** If $\mathbf{F} = \mathbf{C}$ and $V \neq \{0\}$ , then $\Phi$ is not a linear map.

*Proof.* Since  $V \neq \{0\}$ , there exists a  $u \in V$  such that  $u \neq 0$ . We will show that

$$\Phi(iu) \neq i\Phi(u),$$

and thus  $\Phi$  is not a linear map. Namely,  $\Phi(iu)$  and  $i\Phi(u)$  send u to two different values:

$$\begin{aligned} \big(\Phi(iu)\big)(u) &= \langle u, iu \rangle \\ &= \overline{i}\langle u, u \rangle \\ &= -i\langle u, u \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

while

$$(i\Phi(u))(u) = i(\Phi(u))(u)$$
$$= i\langle u, u \rangle.$$

These are indeed different because  $\langle u, u \rangle \neq 0$  (since  $u \neq 0$ ).

## Claim 3. $\Phi$ is injective.

*Proof.* Suppose  $u \in V$  and  $\Phi u$  is the zero map. Then,

$$(\Phi u)(u) = 0.$$

Since  $(\Phi u)(u) = \langle u, u \rangle$ , the definiteness of the inner product implies u = 0. Thus,  $\Phi$  is injective.

190.17

Claim 4. If  ${\bf F}={\bf R}$  and V is finite-dimensional, then  $\Phi$  is an isomorphism from V onto V'.

*Proof.* By Claim 1 and Claim 3,  $\Phi$  is an injective linear map from V onto V'. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \dim V' &= \dim V \\ &= \dim \operatorname{range} \Phi + \dim \operatorname{null} \Phi \\ &= \dim \operatorname{range} \Phi. \end{aligned}$$

But if  $\dim \operatorname{range} \Phi = \dim V'$ , then  $\Phi$  must be surjective. Since it is both injective and surjective, it is invertible. Thus, it is an isomorphism.

Note. You can view the source code for this solution here.