case splits needed). Specifically, observe a ReLU pair $x^f = \text{ReLU}(x^b)$ for which we have $l(x^b) \ge -\epsilon$ for a very small positive ϵ . We can under-approximate this range and instead set $l(x^b) = 0$; and, as previously discussed, we can then fix the ReLU pair to the active state. Symmetrical measures can be employed when learning a very small upper bound for x^f , in this case leading to the ReLU pair being fixed in the inactive state.

Any feasible solution that is found using this kind of under-approximation will be a feasible solution for the original problem. However, if we determine that the under-approximated problem is infeasible, the original may yet be feasible.

V Encoding ReLUs for SMT and LP Solvers

We demonstrate the encoding of ReLU nodes that we used for the evaluation conducted using SMT and LP solvers. Let y = ReLU(x). In the SMTLIB format, used by all SMT solvers that we tested, ReLUs were encoded using an if-then-else construct:

```
(assert (= y (ite (>= x 0) x 0)))
```

In LP format this was encoded using mixed integer programming. Using Gurobi's built-in Boolean type, we defined for every ReLU connection a pair of Boolean variables, b_{on} and b_{off} , and used them to encode the two possible states of the connection. Taking M to be a very large positive constant, we used the following assertions:

```
\begin{array}{l} b_{\rm on} + b_{\rm off} = 1 \\ y >= 0 \\ x - y - M*b_{\rm off} <= 0 \\ x - y + M*b_{\rm off} >= 0 \\ y - M*b_{\rm on} <= 0 \\ x - M*b_{\rm on} <= 0 \end{array}
```

When $b_{on}=1$ and $b_{off}=0$, the ReLU connection is in the active state; and otherwise, when $b_{on}=0$ and $b_{off}=1$, it is in the inactive state.

In the active case, because $b_{\text{off}} = 0$ the third and fourth equations imply that x = y (observe that y is always non-negative). M is very large, and can be regarded as ∞ ; hence, because $b_{\text{on}} = 1$, the last two equations merely imply that $x, y \leq \infty$, and so pose no restriction on the solution.

In the inactive case, $b_{on} = 0$, and so the last two equations force y = 0 and $x \leq 0$. In this case $b_{off} = 1$ and so the third and fourth equations pose no restriction on the solution.

VI Formal Definitions for Properties ϕ_1, \dots, ϕ_{10}

The units for the ACAS Xu DNNs' inputs are:

- ρ : feet.
- $-\theta, \psi$: radians.
- $-v_{\text{own}}, v_{\text{int}}$: feet per second.
- $-\tau$: seconds.

 θ and ψ are measured counter clockwise, and are always in the range $[-\pi, \pi]$.

In line with the discussion in Section 5, the family of 45 ACAS Xu DNNs are indexed according to the previous action a_{prev} and time until loss of vertical separation τ . The possible values are for these two indices are:

- 1. a_{prev} : [Clear-of-Conflict, weak left, weak right, strong left, strong right].
- $2. \ \tau \colon [0, 1, 5, 10, 20, 40, 60, 80, 100].$

We use $N_{x,y}$ to denote the network trained for the x-th value of a_{prev} and y-th value of τ . For example, $N_{2,3}$ is the network trained for the case where $a_{\text{prev}} =$ weak left and $\tau = 5$. Using this notation, we now give the formal definition of each of the properties $\phi_1, \ldots, \phi_{10}$ that we tested.

Property ϕ_1 .

- Description: If the intruder is distant and is significantly slower than the ownship, the score of a COC advisory will always be below a certain fixed threshold.
- Tested on: all 45 networks.
- Input constraints: $\rho \ge 55947.691$, $v_{\text{own}} \ge 1145$, $v_{\text{int}} \le 60$.
- Desired output property: the score for COC is at most 1500.

Property ϕ_2 .

- Description: If the intruder is distant and is significantly slower than the ownship, the score of a COC advisory will never be maximal.
- Tested on: $N_{x,y}$ for all $x \ge 2$ and for all y.
- Input constraints: $\rho \ge 55947.691$, $v_{\text{own}} \ge 1145$, $v_{\text{int}} \le 60$.
- Desired output property: the score for COC is not the maximal score.

Property ϕ_3 .

- Description: If the intruder is directly ahead and is moving towards the ownship, the score for COC will not be minimal.
- Tested on: all networks except $N_{1,7}$, $N_{1,8}$, and $N_{1,9}$.
- Input constraints: $1500 \le \rho \le 1800$, $-0.06 \le \theta \le 0.06$, $\psi \ge 3.10$, $v_{\rm own} \ge 980$, $v_{\rm int} \ge 960$.
- Desired output property: the score for COC is not the minimal score.

Property ϕ_4 .

- Description: If the intruder is directly ahead and is moving away from the ownship but at a lower speed than that of the ownship, the score for COC will not be minimal.
- Tested on: all networks except $N_{1,7}$, $N_{1,8}$, and $N_{1,9}$.
- Input constraints: $1500 \le \rho \le 1800$, $-0.06 \le \theta \le 0.06$, $\psi = 0$, $v_{\text{own}} \ge 1000$, $700 \le v_{\text{int}} \le 800$.
- Desired output property: the score for COC is not the minimal score.

Property ϕ_5 .

- Description: If the intruder is near and approaching from the left, the network advises "strong right".
- Tested on: $N_{1,1}$.
- Input constraints: 250 $\leq \rho \leq$ 400, 0.2 $\leq \theta \leq$ 0.4, –3.141592 $\leq \psi \leq$ –3.141592 + 0.005, 100 $\leq v_{\rm own} \leq$ 400, 0 $\leq v_{\rm int} \leq$ 400.
- Desired output property: the score for "strong right" is the minimal score.

Property ϕ_6 .

- Description: If the intruder is sufficiently far away, the network advises COC.
- Tested on: $N_{1,1}$.
- Input constraints: $12000 \le \rho \le 62000$, $(0.7 \le \theta \le 3.141592) \lor (-3.141592 \le \theta \le -0.7)$, $-3.141592 \le \psi \le -3.141592 + 0.005$, $100 \le v_{\rm own} \le 1200$, $0 \le v_{\rm int} \le 1200$.
- Desired output property: the score for COC is the minimal score.

Property ϕ_7 .

- Description: If vertical separation is large, the network will never advise a strong turn.
- Tested on: $N_{1,9}$.
- Input constraints: $0 \le \rho \le 60760$, $-3.141592 \le \theta \le 3.141592$, $-3.141592 \le \psi \le 3.141592$, $100 \le v_{\rm own} \le 1200$, $0 \le v_{\rm int} \le 1200$.
- Desired output property: the scores for "strong right" and "strong left" are never the minimal scores.

Property ϕ_8 .

- Description: For a large vertical separation and a previous "weak left" advisory, the network will either output COC or continue advising "weak left".
- Tested on: $N_{2,9}$.
- Input constraints: $0 \le \rho \le 60760$, $-3.141592 \le \theta \le -0.75 \cdot 3.141592$, $-0.1 \le \psi \le 0.1$, $600 \le v_{\text{own}} \le 1200$, $600 \le v_{\text{int}} \le 1200$.
- Desired output property: the score for "weak left" is minimal or the score for COC is minimal.

Property ϕ_9 .

- Description: Even if the previous advisory was "weak right", the presence of a nearby intruder will cause the network to output a "strong left" advisory instead.
- Tested on: $N_{3,3}$.
- Input constraints: $2000 \le \rho \le 7000, -0.4 \le \theta \le -0.14, -3.141592 \le \psi \le -3.141592 + 0.01, 100 \le v_{\rm own} \le 150, 0 \le v_{\rm int} \le 150.$
- Desired output property: the score for "strong left" is minimal.

Property ϕ_{10} .

- Description: For a far away intruder, the network advises COC.
- Tested on: $N_{4,5}$.
- Input constraints: $36000 \le \rho \le 60760,\ 0.7 \le \theta \le 3.141592,\ -3.141592 \le \psi \le -3.141592 + 0.01,\ 900 \le v_{\rm own} \le 1200,\ 600 \le v_{\rm int} \le 1200.$
- Desired output property: the score for COC is minimal.