4.4 The OWL Language

111

even though it would allow us to infer that because :Paul lives in dbpedia:Amsterdam,

he must live in dbpedia: Netherlands as well.

4.4.5 Class Axioms

Classes are defined by asserting a resource to be of type owl: Class. There are two pre-

defined classes that play an important role in reasoning: owl: Thing and owl: Nothing.

The former is the most *general* class; every possible OWL2 individual is a member of

this class, and every instance of owl:Class is a subclass of owl:Thing. The owl:Nothing

class is the *empty* class; it has no members, and every instance of owl:Class is a su-

perclass of that class. Inconsistent classes cannot have any members, and are therefore

equivalent to owl:Nothing. Note that restrictions on owl:Thing have very far-reaching

consequences: they hold for every class and individual in the ontology.

**Subclass Relations** Subclass relations are defined as in RDF Schema. For example,

we can define a class: LuxuryApartment as follows:

:LuxuryApartment rdf:type owl:Class ;

rdfs:subClassOf :Apartment .

**Class Equivalence** Equivalence of classes means that every member of a class must

also be a member of the equivalent class, and vice versa. In other words, both classes

cover exactly the same set of individuals. Class equivalence can be defined using an

owl:equivalentClass property:

:Apartment owl:equivalentClass dbpedia:Apartment .

This states that the :Apartment class in our apartment ontology is equivalent to the

dbpedia:Apartment imported from DBPedia. Asserting an equivalence relation be-

tween classes is equivalent to asserting subclass relations in both directions: