

Cochrane Table Summarising Study Details

Thomas Klee
The University of Hong Kong

Evidence-Based Practice in Speech-Language Therapy (SHSC 2033)

Written Assignment 2 asks you to include a list of the “included studies in your review, summarised in a table based on the Cochrane reporting framework” (Course Syllabus, p. 4). Examples of Cochrane table headings used in two studies are provided below. As can be seen, each study table contains slightly different information. The paper by Meinusch and Romonath (2011) contains an extra row stating the research question being asked, whereas the paper by Law, Garrett, and Nye (2003) does not. Here’s how the two Cochrane tables compare, using the terms the authors used:

Law et al. (2003, p. 26)	Meinusch and Romonath (2011, Appendix)
Author (Date)	Author (Date)
Methods	Study Design
—	Question
Participants	Subjects
Interventions	Intervention
Outcomes	Outcomes
Notes	Notes
Risk of Bias	Risk of Bias

Your assignment instructions indicate using 7 rows, as were used by Law et al. (2003), but if you want to add another for the research question, that’s fine. The “Risk of Bias” row can be used to list *all* suspected sources of bias in the study.

The Outcomes row should list the main outcome measure(s) of interest. The result associated with each outcome (e.g., ES) should either be listed in the table or in the text, but be sure to include in one of these places.

References

- Law, J., Garrett, Z., & Nye, C. (2003). Speech and language therapy interventions for children with primary speech and language delay or disorder. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews*(3). doi: 10.1002/14651858.CD004110
- Meinusch, M., & Romonath, R. (2011). Early language intervention for children with cleft lip and/or palate: A systematic review. *Evidence-Based Communication Assessment and Intervention*, 5, 197–215. doi: 10.1080/17489539.2012.678093