

Algebraic Geometry and Analytic Geometry (GAGA)

JP Serre
tr. T Waring

November 23, 2021

Notes

The world probably doesn't need another English translation of GAGA, but I need an activity so here we are. This will, most likely, end up relatively rough, but should be (I hope!) correct. As such, do let me know if anything is unclear or incorrect. I am working from the French available here [Ser56].

I will give the references as in the original, supplemented occasionally by a modern treatment, if I have come across one. Principally this will be from the excellent [GLS07], on the theory of analytic spaces.

After this section I will put anything that is mine (ie: not Serre) in a footnote, or proceed it with a note.

Introduction

Let X be a projective algebraic variety, defined over the complex numbers. We can study X from two points of view: the *algebraic* point of view, where the objects of interest are the local rings at points of X , and rational or regular mappings from X to other varieties; and the *analytic* point of view (sometimes called “transcendent”) in which holomorphic functions on X play the principal role. We know that the second point of view is particularly fertile if X is non-singular, allowing us to apply techniques from the theory of Kähler manifolds.

For a number of questions, the two points of view give us essentially equivalent results, although the methods are different. For example, we know that globally defined holomorphic differential forms are exactly those rational differential forms of the first kind (supposing still that X is non-singular). Chow's theorem is another example of the same type: all closed analytic subspaces of X are algebraic varieties.

The principal goal of this paper is to understand this equivalence in terms of *coherent sheaves*. More precisely, we demonstrate that coherent algebraic sheaves correspond bijectively to coherent analytic sheaves, and that the equivalence (??) between the two categories of sheaves gives us an isomorphism of their cohomology groups (see Section 3.1 for the statements). We will indicate diverse applications of these results, notably to the comparison between analytic and algebraic fibre bundles.

The first two sections are preliminaries. In Section 1 we give the definition and principal properties of *analytic spaces*. The definition we adopt was proposed by Cartan in [Car], though we drop his restriction to normal varieties. A similar definition is used by Chow in his, as yet unpublished, work on this subject. In Section 2, we associate to any algebraic variety X the structure of an analytic space, and derive its elementary properties. Without doubt the most important of these is that, if \mathcal{O}_x (resp. \mathcal{H}_x) denotes the local ring (resp. ring of germs of holomorphic functions) of X at a point x , then the rings \mathcal{O}_x and \mathcal{H}_x form a flat pair¹.

¹Two rings $A \subset B$ are called a flat pair if B/A is a flat A -module.

Section 3 contains the proofs of the results alluded to above. These proofs rest principally on the theory of coherent algebraic sheaves developed in [Ser55b], and on Cartan's theorems A and B [Car, 1953-4, §18-19]. To be complete, we will reproduce the proofs of these theorems.

Section 4 is dedicated to applications: invariance of Betti numbers by automorphisms of \mathbb{C} , Chow's theorem, and comparison of the analytic and algebraic fibre bundles of the structure group of a given algebraic group. Our results on the latter question are incomplete: among semi-simple groups we have only treated symplectic and unimodular (linear) groups.

Finally, we include in Appendix A certain results on local rings which could not be found explicitly in the literature.

1 Analytic spaces

1.1 Analytic subsets of affine space

Let $n \geq 0$ be an integer, and give \mathbb{C}^n its usual topology. For a subset $U \subset \mathbb{C}^n$, we say that U is *analytic* if, for every $x \in U$, there are functions f_1, \dots, f_k holomorphic on an open neighbourhood W of x , so that:

$$U \cap W = \{z \in W \mid f_1(z) = \dots = f_k(z) = 0\}.$$

Any analytic subset is locally closed in \mathbb{C}^n (the intersection of an open and a closed set), and as such locally compact with the induced topology.

We now assign a sheaf to the topological space U . For any topological space X , let $\mathcal{C}(X)$ be the sheaf of germs of complex-valued functions on X . If \mathcal{H} denotes the sheaf holomorphic functions on \mathbb{C}^n , then \mathcal{H} is a subsheaf of $\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{C}^n)$. Given a point x of U , we have a restriction homomorphism:

$$\epsilon_x : \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{C}^n)_x \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}(U)_x.$$

The image of \mathcal{H}_x under ϵ_x is a sub-ring $\mathcal{H}_{x,U}$ of $\mathcal{C}(U)_x$; and the rings $\mathcal{H}_{x,U}$ form a sub-sheaf \mathcal{H}_U of $\mathcal{C}(U)$, which we call the sheaf of holomorphic functions on U . We denote by $\mathcal{A}_x(U)$ the kernel of $\epsilon_x : \mathcal{H}_x \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_{x,U}$. By definition:

$$\mathcal{A}_x(U) = \{f \in \mathcal{H}_x \mid f|_{W \cap U} = 0, x \in W \text{ open}\}.$$

We frequently identify $\mathcal{H}_{x,U}$ with the quotient $\mathcal{H}_x / \mathcal{A}_x(U)$.

With a topology and a sheaf of functions, we can define the notion of a holomorphic mapping (cf [Car, exp. 6] and [Ser55b, 32]).

Let U and V be analytic subsets of \mathbb{C}^r and \mathbb{C}^s respectively. A mapping $\varphi : U \rightarrow V$ is called holomorphic if it is continuous, and if for every $f \in \mathcal{H}_{\varphi(x),V}$, we have $f \circ \varphi \in \mathcal{H}_{x,U}$. This is equivalent to each of the s components of φ being holomorphic functions of $x \in U$.

The composite of two holomorphic mappings is holomorphic. A bijection $\varphi : U \rightarrow V$ is called an *analytic isomorphism* (or simply an isomorphism) if φ and φ^{-1} are holomorphic; this is equivalent to the statement that φ is a homeomorphism $U \rightarrow V$ which induces an isomorphism between the sheaves \mathcal{H}_U and \mathcal{H}_V .

If U and U' are two analytic subsets of \mathbb{C}^r and $\mathbb{C}^{r'}$, the product $U \times U'$ is an analytic subset of $\mathbb{C}^{r+r'}$. The properties laid out in [Ser55b, 33] carry over to this situation, replacing everywhere “locally closed subset” with “analytic subset”, and “regular function” with “holomorphic function”. In particular, if $\varphi : U \rightarrow V$ and $\varphi' : U' \rightarrow V'$ are analytic isomorphisms, then so is

$$\varphi \times \varphi' : U \times U' \longrightarrow V \times V'.$$

However, unlike the algebraic case, the topology of $U \times U'$ is the product of the topologies of U and U' .

1.2 The notion of analytic space

Definition 1. An analytic space is a topological space X , and a subsheaf \mathcal{H}_X of $\mathcal{C}(X)$ satisfying the following axioms.

(H1) There is an open cover $\{V_i\}$ of X , such that V_i — with its induced topology and sheaf — is isomorphic to an analytic subset U_i of some affine space.

(H2) The topology on X is Hausdorff.

The definitions of the previous subsection are local, so apply equally to analytic spaces. As such, we refer to \mathcal{H}_X as the sheaf of holomorphic functions on the analytic space X . Defining holomorphic mappings $\varphi : X \rightarrow Y$ in the same way, we obtain a family of *morphisms*² (in the sense of Bourbaki) for the structure of an analytic space.

If V is an open subset of an analytic space X , a *chart* on V is an isomorphism from V to some analytic subset U . The axiom (H2) indicates that it is possible to recover X from the open sets possessing charts. A subset Y of X is said to be analytic if, for every chart $\varphi : V \rightarrow U$ the image $\varphi(Y \cap V)$ is an analytic subset of U . Any such Y is locally closed in X , and can be given the structure of an analytic space in the natural way. This structure is said to be induced from that of X (cf [Ser55b, 35] for the algebraic case). Similarly, there is a natural analytic structure on the product $X \times X'$ of two analytic spaces, using the product of the charts on X and X' . Given this structure, $X \times X'$ is called the product of the analytic spaces $X \times X'$, and one observes (as above) that the topology is the product of the topologies on X and X' .

We leave the reader to transpose to analytic spaces the other results of [Ser55b, 34-35].

1.3 Analytic sheaves

The definition of analytic sheaves given in [Car, 1951-2 exp. 15] extends to the case of an analytic space X : an analytic sheaf on X is simply a sheaf of \mathcal{H}_X -modules.

Let Y be a closed analytic subset of X , and $x \in X$ a point. Denote by $\mathcal{A}_x(Y)$ the set of $f \in \mathcal{H}_{x,X}$ whose restriction to Y vanishes in a neighbourhood of x . The $\mathcal{A}_x(Y)$ form a sheaf of ideals $\mathcal{A}(Y)$ for the sheaf \mathcal{H}_X ; that is, $\mathcal{A}(Y)$ is an analytic sheaf. The quotient sheaf $\mathcal{H}_X/\mathcal{A}(Y)$ vanishes outside Y , and its restriction to Y is nothing but \mathcal{H}_Y , with the usual definition of the induced structure.

Proposition 1. (a) \mathcal{H}_X is a coherent sheaf of rings.

(b) If Y is a closed analytic subset of X , the sheaf $\mathcal{A}(Y)$ is coherent.³

In the case that X is an open subset of \mathbb{C}^n , these results are due to Oka and Cartan — see [Car50, Theorems 1 and 2] and [Car, 1951-2, exp. 15-16]. The general case proceeds immediately; in effect, the question is local, so one can assume that X is a closed analytic subset of some open $U \subset \mathbb{C}^n$. In this case, we have $\mathcal{H}_X = \mathcal{H}_U/\mathcal{A}(X)$. In light of the previous, \mathcal{H}_U is a coherent sheaf of rings, and $\mathcal{A}(X)$ is a coherent sheaf of ideals; the result (a) follows by [Ser55b, Theorem 3]. The second assertion is proven in the same way.

Other examples of coherent analytic sheaves include the sheaf of sections to a vector bundle, and the sheaf of automorphic functions [Car, 1953-4, exp. 20].

1.4 Neighbourhood of a point in an analytic space

Let X be an analytic space, x a point of X , and \mathcal{H}_x the ring of germs at x . This ring is a \mathbb{C} -algebra which has a unique maximal ideal \mathfrak{m} consisting of those functions vanishing at x , and the field $\mathcal{H}_x/\mathfrak{m}$ is \mathbb{C} — in other words, \mathcal{H}_x is a *local algebra* over \mathbb{C} . If $X = \mathbb{C}^n$, then $\mathcal{H}_x = \mathbb{C}\{z_1, \dots, z_n\}$, the algebra of convergent series in n variables; in the general case, \mathcal{H}_x is isomorphic to a quotient algebra $\mathbb{C}\{z_1, \dots, z_n\}/\mathfrak{a}$, since

²I think this means a category?

³In [GLS07], the Oka coherence theorem (a) is Theorem 1.63, and (b) is Theorem 1.75.

X is locally isomorphic to an analytic subset of \mathbb{C}^n . As a result, the ring \mathcal{H}_x is noetherian⁴, it is also an analytic ring, in the sense of [Car, 1953-4, exp. 8].

We see easily that the knowledge of \mathcal{H}_x determines X in a neighbourhood of x [Car, *loc. cit.*]. In particular, if \mathcal{H}_x is isomorphic to $\mathbb{C}\{z_1, \dots, z_n\}$ then X is locally isomorphic to \mathbb{C}^n ; this condition is equivalent to requiring that \mathcal{H}_x is a regular local ring of dimension n ⁵ (for the theory of local rings, see [Sam53a]). In this case, the point x is called *smooth* of dimension n ; if every point of X is smooth, X is called an analytic *variety*.

Returning to the general case, the ring \mathcal{H}_x has no nilpotents other than 0, and as such [Sam53b, Chapter 4 §2]

$$\{0\} = \bigcap \mathfrak{p}_i,$$

where \mathfrak{p}_i runs over the minimal prime ideals of \mathcal{H}_x . If we denote by X_i the irreducible components of X containing x , we have $\mathfrak{p}_i = \mathcal{A}_x(X_i)$, and $\mathcal{H}_x/\mathfrak{p}_i = \mathcal{H}_{x, X_i}$ ⁶. This essentially reduces the local study of X to that of X_i ; for example, the *dimension* (analytic — that is to say half the topological dimension) of X at x is the largest of the dimensions of the X_i ⁷. One observes that this dimension coincides with the dimension (in the Krull sense) of the local ring \mathcal{H}_x . In effect, it suffices to check dimensions in the case that X is irreducible at x (that \mathcal{H}_x is an integral domain) — in this case, if r is the analytic dimension of X at x , we know [Car, 1953-4 exp. 8] that \mathcal{H}_x is a finite extension of $\mathbb{C}\{z_1, \dots, z_r\}$. Since $\mathbb{C}\{z_1, \dots, z_r\}$ has completion $\mathbb{C}[[z_1, \dots, z_r]]$, the same is true of \mathcal{H}_x .

2 The analytic space associated to an algebraic variety

In what follows, we consider algebraic varieties over \mathbb{C} . Such a variety is given two topologies: the “usual” topology, and the Zariski topology. To avoid confusion, we will prefix notions relative to the latter by the letter Z ; for example, “ Z -open” is short for “open in the Zariski topology”.

2.1 Defenition of the analytic space associated to an algebraic variety

We will give every algebraic variety⁸ the structure of an analytic space, which is possible by the following lemma.

Lemma 1. a) The Z -topology on \mathbb{C}^n is less fine than the usual topology.

b) Every Z -locally closed subset of \mathbb{C}^n is analytic.

c) If U and U' are two Z -locally closed subsets of \mathbb{C}^n and $\mathbb{C}^{n'}$, and $f : U \rightarrow U'$ is a regular mapping, then f is holomorphic.

d) Under the hypotheses of c), if we suppose in addition that f is a biregular isomorphism, then f is an analytic isomorphism.

By definition, a Z -closed subset of \mathbb{C}^n is defined by the vanishing of a certain number of polynomials; since a polynomial is continuous in the usual topology (resp. holomorphic), one deduces a) (resp. b)). To demonstrate c), we may suppose that $U' = \mathbb{C}$; then we must show that every regular function on U is holomorphic, which follows from the fact that a polynomial is a holomorphic function. Finally, d) follows immediately from c), applied to f^{-1} .

⁴[GLS07, Theorem 1.15].

⁵[GLS07, Proposition 1.48].

⁶See [GLS07, Proposition 1.51], and following exercises

⁷That there is a finite number of irreducible components follows from the fact that \mathcal{H}_x is noetherian — see [GLS07, §B.1].

⁸In “giving X the structure of an analytic space”, we mean defining this structure on the underlying set (in a way natural w.r.t. the algebraic structure). It should be noted that to do this for a variety in the scheme sense, one would (I think) need to apply the construction to the set of closed points. See [Har00, Proposition 2.6].

Now let X be an algebraic variety over \mathbb{C} (in the sense of [Ser55b, 34], so not necessarily irreducible). Let V be a \mathbb{Z} -open subset of X with an algebraic chart

$$\varphi : V \longrightarrow U,$$

onto a \mathbb{Z} -locally closed subset U of some affine space. According to Lemma 1 b), U can be given the structure of an analytic space.

Proposition 2. There exists on X the structure of an analytic space, which is unique if we require that, for every chart $\varphi : V \rightarrow U$, the \mathbb{Z} -open set V is open, and φ is an analytic isomorphism from V (with the induced analytic structure) onto U (with the analytic structure from Section 1.1).

(More briefly: every algebraic chart is an analytic chart.)

Uniqueness is evident, as we can recover X from the \mathbb{Z} -open subsets and their charts. To prove existence, let $\varphi : V \rightarrow U$ be a chart, and transport the analytic structure on V to U via φ^{-1} . If $\varphi' : V' \rightarrow U'$ is another chart, the analytic structures on $V \cap V'$ induced by V and V' are identical, by Lemma 1 d); in addition, $V \cap V'$ is open in V and in V' , by Lemma 1 a). By glueing, we obtain on X a topology and a sheaf \mathcal{H}_X which satisfies the axiom (H1). To verify that our new topology on X is Hausdorff, we use axiom (VA2') of [Ser55b, 34]⁹. This axiom implies that the diagonal of X is \mathbb{Z} -closed, so *a fortiori* it is closed.

Remark. One can define directly the analytic structure on X without reference to the charts $\varphi : V \rightarrow U$. First, one defines the topology to be the finest such that regular functions on \mathbb{Z} -open subsets of X are continuous. Then, $\mathcal{H}_{x,X}$ is the analytic subring of $\mathcal{C}(X)_x$ generated by $\mathcal{O}_{x,X}$ (in the sense of [Car, 1953-4, exp. 8]). We leave to the reader to verify the equivalence of the two definitions.

In the following, we denote by X^h the set X with the analytic structure we have defined. The topology on X^h is finer than the topology on X ; as X^h can be recovered from a finite number of open subsets with charts¹⁰, X^h is a locally compact space which is *countable at infinity*.

The following properties are immediate from the definition of X^h :

If X and Y are two algebraic varieties, we have $(X \times Y)^h = X^h \times Y^h$. If Y is a \mathbb{Z} -locally closed subset of X , then Y^h is an analytic subset of X^h ; moreover, the induced analytic structure on Y coincides with the structure on Y^h . Finally, if $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is a regular function between algebraic varieties, f is also a holomorphic mapping from X^h to Y^h .

2.2 Relations between the local ring at a point, and the ring of holomorphic functions at that point

Let X be an algebraic variety, and x a point of X . We now compare the local ring \mathcal{O}_x of regular functions at x with the local ring \mathcal{H}_x of germs of holomorphic functions at x ¹¹.

As every regular function is holomorphic, each $f \in \mathcal{O}_x$ defines a germ of a holomorphic function at x , which we denote by $\theta(f)$. The map $\theta : \mathcal{O}_x \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_x$ is a homomorphism, and maps the maximal ideal of \mathcal{O}_x into that of \mathcal{H}_x . By continuity, it extends to a homomorphism $\hat{\theta} : \hat{\mathcal{O}}_x \rightarrow \hat{\mathcal{H}}_x$ between the completions of \mathcal{O}_x and \mathcal{H}_x (see Appendix A.2).

Proposition 3. The homomorphism $\hat{\theta} : \hat{\mathcal{O}}_x \rightarrow \hat{\mathcal{H}}_x$ is bijective.

We will demonstrate this proposition concurrently with another result. Let Y be a \mathbb{Z} -locally closed subset of X and $\mathcal{I}_x(Y)$ (or $\mathcal{I}_x(Y, X)$ if it is necessary to specify X) the ideal of \mathcal{O}_x formed by functions vanishing on Y in a \mathbb{Z} -neighbourhood of x . The image of $\mathcal{I}_x(Y)$ under θ is evidently contained in the ideal $\mathcal{A}_x(Y) \subset \mathcal{H}_x$ defined in Section 1.3.

⁹For charts $\varphi_i : U_i \rightarrow V_i$ and $\varphi_j : U_j \rightarrow V_j$, define $T_{ij} = \{(\varphi_i(x), \varphi_j(x)) \mid x \in U_i \cap U_j\}$. The axiom (VA2') requires that T_{ij} is \mathbb{Z} -closed in $V_i \times V_j$, which is equivalent to $\Delta(X) \subset X \times X$ being \mathbb{Z} -closed (VA2).

¹⁰In (VA1) of [Ser55b, 34] the covering of X by algebraic charts is required to be finite.

¹¹That is, the stalks of the structure sheaves of X and X^h .

Proposition 4. The ideal $\mathcal{A}_x(Y)$ is generated by $\theta(\mathcal{J}_x(Y))$.

We demonstrate these propositions first in the case where $X = \mathbb{C}^n$. The former is trivial, as $\hat{\mathcal{O}}_x = \hat{\mathcal{H}}_x = \mathbb{C}[[z_1, \dots, z_n]]$, the formal power series ring in n indeterminates. For Proposition 4, let $\mathfrak{a} \subset \mathcal{H}_x$ be the ideal generated by $\mathcal{J}_x(Y)$. Every ideal of \mathcal{H}_x defines a germ of an analytic subset of X at x (see [Car50, 3] or [Car, 1953-4, exp. 6, p.6]); it is clear that the germ defined by \mathfrak{a} is Y . If f is an element of $\mathcal{A}_x(Y)$, by virtue of the *Nullstellensatz*¹² (which holds for ideals of \mathcal{H}_x : [Rü33, p. 278], or [Car, 1951-2, exp. 14, p.3] and [Car, 1953-4, exp. 8, p.9]) there is an integer $r \geq 0$ such that $f^r \in \mathfrak{a}$. *A fortiori*, we have

$$f^r \in \mathfrak{a} \cdot \hat{\mathcal{H}}_x = \mathcal{J}_x(Y) \cdot \hat{\mathcal{H}}_x = \mathcal{J}_x(Y) \cdot \hat{\mathcal{O}}_x.$$

The ideal $\mathcal{J}_x(Y)$ is the intersection of the prime ideals corresponding to the irreducible components of Y at x . By a theorem of Chevalley (see [Sam53a, p.40] and [Sam55, p.67]), the same is true of $\mathcal{J}_x(Y) \cdot \hat{\mathcal{O}}_x$; as such $f^r \in \mathcal{J}_x(Y) \cdot \hat{\mathcal{O}}_x$ implies that $f \in \mathcal{J}_x(Y) \cdot \hat{\mathcal{O}}_x$. As \mathcal{H}_x is a noetherian local ring, we have $\mathfrak{a} \cdot \hat{\mathcal{H}}_x \cap \mathcal{H}_x = \mathfrak{a}$ (see [Sam53b, Chapter 4]). As such, $f \in \mathfrak{a}$ and Proposition 4 for that $X = \mathbb{C}^n$.

Moving to the general case. The question is local, so we may assume that X is a subvariety of some affine space, which we denote by U . By definition, we have

$$\mathcal{O}_x = \mathcal{O}_{x,U} / \mathcal{J}_x(X, U) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{H}_x = \mathcal{H}_{x,U} / \mathcal{A}_x(X, U).$$

The mapping $\theta : \mathcal{O}_x \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_x$ is induced on the quotient by $\theta : \mathcal{O}_{x,U} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_{x,U}$, and by the previous we know that $\hat{\theta} : \hat{\mathcal{O}}_{x,U} \rightarrow \hat{\mathcal{H}}_{x,U}$ is bijective, and that $\mathcal{A}_x(X, U) = \theta(\mathcal{J}_x(X, U)) \cdot \mathcal{H}_{x,U}$. Proposition 3 follows immediately, by Proposition 12. Proposition 4 also follows from the above, since $\mathcal{A}_x(Y)$ is the image of $\mathcal{A}_x(Y, U)$ in the quotient, and the former is generated by $\theta(\mathcal{J}_x(Y, U))$.

Proposition 3 shows, in particular, that $\theta : \mathcal{O}_x \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_x$ is injective, so we may identify \mathcal{O}_x with the subring $\theta(\mathcal{O}_x)$ of \mathcal{H}_x . Using this identification, we have (using Proposition 11) that:

Corollary 1. The rings $(\mathcal{O}_x, \mathcal{H}_x)$ form a flat pair.

Corollary 2. The rings \mathcal{O}_x and \mathcal{H}_x have the same dimension.

In effect, we know that the dimension of a noetherian local ring is equal to that of its completion (see [Sam53a, p.26]).

Taking as given the results of Section 1.4, we obtain the following result (supposing that X is irreducible to simplify the statement):

Corollary 3. If X is an irreducible algebraic variety of dimension r , the analytic space X^h has analytic dimension r at all of its points.

2.3 Relations between the usual and Zariski topologies on an algebraic variety

Proposition 5. Let X be an algebraic variety, and U a subset of X . If U is Z-open and Z-dense in X , U is dense in X .

Let $Y = X \setminus U$, which is a Z-closed subset of X . If there is a neighbourhood of x disjoint from U (ie contained in Y), then $\mathcal{A}_x(Y) = 0$, with the notations of Section 2.2. Since $\theta(\mathcal{J}_x(Y)) \subset \mathcal{A}_x(Y)$, and θ is injective (Proposition 3), we have that $\mathcal{J}_x(Y) = 0$. This indicates that $Y = X$ in a Z-neighbourhood of x , which contradicts the hypothesis that U is Z-dense in X .

Remark. One sees easily that Proposition 5 is equivalent to the fact that $\theta : \mathcal{O}_x \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_x$ is injective, a far more elementary fact than Proposition 3. One could demonstrate this fact, for example, by reduction to the case of a curve.

¹²Called the *Hilbert-Rückert Nullstellensatz* in [GLS07, Theorem 1.72].

We now give two simple applications of Proposition 5.

Proposition 6. For an algebraic variety X to be complete, it is necessary and sufficient that it be compact.

We appeal first to a result of Chow (see [Cho56] or [Ser55a, 4]): for every algebraic variety X , there is a projective variety Y , a \mathbb{Z} -open and \mathbb{Z} -dense subset U of Y , and a surjective regular map $f : U \rightarrow X$ whose graph T is \mathbb{Z} -closed in $X \times Y$. We have $U = Y$ if and only if X is complete.

Suppose first that X is complete, so that $X = f(Y)$; since every projective variety is compact in the usual topology, we conclude that X is compact. Conversely, if X is compact, the same is true of $T \subset X \times Y$ ¹³. As U is the projection of T onto Y , U is closed in Y . By Proposition 5, $U = Y$, as required.

The following lemma is essentially due to Chevalley.

Lemma 2. Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a regular mapping between algebraic varieties, and suppose that $f(X)$ is \mathbb{Z} -dense in Y . Then, there exists $U \subset f(X)$ \mathbb{Z} -open and \mathbb{Z} -dense in Y .

In the case where X and Y are irreducible, the result is well-known: see [Car, 1955-6, exp. 3] or [Sam55, p.15], for example. We will reduce the general case to this situation. Let X_i , $i \in I$, be the irreducible components of X , and Y_i the \mathbb{Z} -closure of $f(X_i)$ in Y ; the Y_i are irreducible, and $Y = \cup Y_i$. As such, there is a subset $J \subset I$ so that Y_j , $j \in J$ are the irreducible components of Y . By the result mentioned, for each $j \in J$, there is a subset $U_j \subset f(X_j)$ which \mathbb{Z} -open and \mathbb{Z} -dense in Y_j . Shrinking U_j , we may assume that U_j does not meet Y_k for $j \neq k \in J$. Setting $U = \bigcup_{j \in J} U_j$, we find a subset of Y with the required properties.

Proposition 7. If $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is a regular mapping between algebraic varieties, the closure and the \mathbb{Z} -closure of $f(X)$ in Y coincide.

Let T be the \mathbb{Z} -closure of $f(X)$ in Y . Applying Lemma 2 to $f : X \rightarrow T$, we can find $U \subset f(X)$ which is \mathbb{Z} -open and \mathbb{Z} -dense in T . By Proposition 5, U is dense in T , so *a fortiori* the same is true of $f(X)$. This shows that T contains the closure of $f(X)$, and the opposite inclusion is evident, so the statement is proven.

2.4 An analytic criterion for regularity

We know that every regular function is holomorphic. The following proposition (which we will extend in Section 4) indicates when the converse is true.

Proposition 8. Let X and Y be algebraic varieties, and $f : X \rightarrow Y$ a holomorphic mapping. If the graph T of f is a \mathbb{Z} -locally closed subset (ie an algebraic subvariety) of $X \times Y$, the function f is regular.

Let $p = \text{pr}_X$ be the canonical projection of T onto the first factor X in $X \times Y$. The function p is regular, bijective, and its inverse function $x \mapsto (x, f(x))$ is holomorphic by hypothesis. Therefore, p is an analytic isomorphism, so it suffices to show that p is a biregular isomorphism (so that $f = \text{pr}_Y \circ p^{-1}$). This follows from the following proposition.

Proposition 9. Let T and X be algebraic varieties, and $p : T \rightarrow X$ a regular bijective map. If p is an analytic isomorphism of T onto X , it is also a biregular isomorphism.

We show first that p is a homeomorphism for the Zariski topologies on T and X . Let F be a \mathbb{Z} -closed subset of T ; since p is an analytic isomorphism, it is *a fortiori* a homeomorphism, so $p(F)$ is closed in X . Applying Proposition 7 to $p : F \rightarrow X$, we conclude that $p(F)$ is \mathbb{Z} -closed in X , which demonstrates our assertion.

¹³As it is closed and $X \times Y$ is compact.

We now show that p transforms the sheaf \mathcal{O}_X to \mathcal{O}_T . More precisely, if $t \in T$ is a point, and $x = p(t)$, p defines a homomorphism

$$p^* : \mathcal{O}_{x,X} \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{t,T},$$

and we need to show that p^* is bijective¹⁴.

Since p is a \mathbb{Z} -homeomorphism, p^* is injective, which permits us to identify $\mathcal{O}_{x,X}$ with a subring of $\mathcal{O}_{t,T}$. To simplify notation, write $A = \mathcal{O}_{x,X}$ and $A' = \mathcal{O}_{t,T}$, so that $A \subset A'$. Similarly, write B (resp. B') for the ring $\mathcal{H}_{x,X}$ (resp. $\mathcal{H}_{t,T}$), and we consider A and A' as embedded in B and B' , respectively, by Proposition 3. The hypothesis that p is an analytic isomorphism indicates that $B = B'$.

Let X_i be the irreducible components of X at x ; each X_i determines a prime ideal $\mathfrak{p}_i = \mathcal{I}_x(X_i)$ of A , and the (local) quotient ring $A_i = A/\mathfrak{p}_i$ is exactly the local ring at x of X_i . Each field of fractions K_i of A_i is the field of rational functions on the irreducible variety X_i . The ideals \mathfrak{p}_i are evidently the minimal prime ideals of A , and we have that $0 = \bigcap \mathfrak{p}_i$. The set S of elements of A not contained in any \mathfrak{p}_i is multiplicatively stable (it is easy to see this is the set of regular elements of A). The localisation A_S ¹⁵ is equal to the direct product of the K_i (see Lemma 3 following).

Let $T_i = p^{-1}(X_i)$; since p is a \mathbb{Z} -homeomorphism, the T_i are the irreducible components of T at t , and define prime ideals \mathfrak{p}'_i of A' . We write again $A'_i = A'/\mathfrak{p}'_i$, and K'_i for the field of fractions of A'_i ; again the ring $A'_{S'}$ is the direct product of the K'_i . Note that $\mathfrak{p}'_i \cap A = \mathfrak{p}_i$, where $A_i \subset A'_i$, $K_i \subset K'_i$ and $A_S \subset A'_{S'}$.

We first show that $K_i = K'_i$, so that p defines a birational correspondence between T_i and X_i . Since $p : T_i \rightarrow X_i$ is a \mathbb{Z} -homeomorphism, T_i and X_i have the same dimension, so the fields K_i and K'_i have the same transcendence degree over \mathbb{C} . If we set $n_i = [K'_i : K_i]$, we know¹⁶ that there exists some non-empty \mathbb{Z} -open subset U_i of X_i , so that the inverse image of each point of U_i consists of exactly n_i points of T_i . Since p is bijective $n_i = 1$ and $K_i = K'_i$.

Since A_S (resp. $A'_{S'}$) is the direct product of the K_i (resp. K'_i), we have that $A_S = A'_{S'}$. Now let $f' \in A'$; by the previous, we have $f' \in A_S$ — in other words, there exist $g \in A$ and $s \in S$ so that $g = sf'$. Then $g \in sA$, so $g \in sB' = sB$. But by Corollary 1, the pair (A, B) is flat, so we have $sB \cap A = sA$ by Proposition 10. This demonstrates that $g \in sA$, so there is $f \in A$ so that $g = sf$, ie $s(f - f') = 0$. Since s is a non-zero-divisor in A , we have $f = f'$ so $A = A'$.

We used the following lemma, which we demonstrate now.

Lemma 3. Let A be a commutative ring, in which the zero ideal is the intersection of a finite number of minimal prime ideals \mathfrak{p}_i . Let K_i be the field of fractions of A/\mathfrak{p}_i , and S the set of elements not belonging to any \mathfrak{p}_i . The ring of fractions A_S is isomorphic to the direct product of the K_i .

We know that the prime ideals of A_S are in bijection with those prime ideals of A which are disjoint from S (see [Sam53b, Chapter 4, §3]). As such, writing $\mathfrak{m}_i = \mathfrak{p}_i A_S$, the \mathfrak{m}_i are the only prime ideals of A_S . In particular, they are minimal, and evidently distinct, since $\mathfrak{m}_i \cap A = \mathfrak{p}_i$ ([Sam53b, *loc. cit.*]). In addition, the field A_S/\mathfrak{m}_i is generated by A/\mathfrak{p}_i , so coincides with K_i . It remains to show that the canonical homomorphism

$$\varphi : A_S \longrightarrow \prod A_S/\mathfrak{m}_i = \prod K_i$$

is bijective.

Firstly, since $\bigcap \mathfrak{p}_i = 0$, we have that $\bigcap \mathfrak{m}_i = 0$, which shows that φ is injective. Denote by \mathfrak{b}_i the product (in the ring A_S) of the ideals \mathfrak{m}_j , $j \neq i$, and write $\mathfrak{b} = \sum \mathfrak{b}_i$. The ideal \mathfrak{b} is the whole ring, as it is contained in none of the \mathfrak{m}_i . Therefore, there exist elements $x_i \in \mathfrak{b}_i$ so that $\sum x_i = 1$. We have:

$$x_i \equiv 1 \pmod{\mathfrak{m}_i} \quad \text{and} \quad x_i \equiv 0 \pmod{\mathfrak{m}_j, j \neq i},$$

which shows that $\varphi(A_S)$ contains the elements $(1, 0, \dots, 0), \dots, (0, \dots, 0, 1)$ of $\prod K_i$. Since these elements generate the A_S -module $\prod K_i$, this shows that φ is bijective, which finishes the proof.

¹⁴Serre credits the following proof to Samuel.

¹⁵Notation for $S^{-1}A$.

¹⁶Serre: this is a classical result, and easy to demonstrate, on birational maps. [Sam55, p. 16] has a slightly weaker result, which suffices for our purposes.

3 GAGA theorems

3.1 Projective Varieties, statements of the Theorems

4 Applications

A Results on local rings

A.1 Flat pairs

Proposition 10. For a pair (A, B) of rings to be flat, it is necessary and sufficient that B is A -flat, and that one of the following properties are satisfied:

- a) (resp. a') For every A -module (resp. every finite-type A -module) E , the homomorphism $E \rightarrow E \otimes_A B$ is injective.
- a'') For every ideal \mathfrak{a} of A , $\mathfrak{a}B \cap A = \mathfrak{a}$.

A.2 Flatness for local rings

Proposition 11. Suppose that $\hat{\theta} : \hat{A} \rightarrow \hat{B}$ is bijective, and identify A with a subring of B via θ . Then (A, B) is a flat pair.

Proposition 12. Let A and B be local rings, and \mathfrak{a} an ideal of A , and let $\theta : A \rightarrow B$ be a homomorphism. If θ satisfies the hypotheses of Proposition 11, the same is true of the induced map $\theta : A/\mathfrak{a} \rightarrow B/\theta(\mathfrak{a})B$.

References

- [Car] H. Cartan. *Séminaire ENS*. Available at <http://www.numdam.org/actas/SHC/>.
- [Car50] H. Cartan. Idéaux et modules de fonctions analytiques de variables complexes. *Bull. Soc. Math. France*, 78:29–64, 1950.
- [Cho56] W.-L. Chow. On the projective embedding of homogenous varieties. *Lefschetz's volume*, 1956.
- [GLS07] G. Greuel, C. Lossen, and E. Shustin. *Introduction to Singularities and Deformations*. Springer Monographs in Mathematics. Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 2007.
- [Har00] R. Hartshorne. *Algebraic Geometry*. Springer, 2000.
- [Rü33] W. Rückert. Zum eliminationsproblem der potenzreihenideale. *Math. Ann.*, 107:259–281, 1933.
- [Sam53a] P. Samuel. *Algèbre locale*. Mém. Sci. Math., 1953.
- [Sam53b] P. Samuel. *Commutative Algebra (Notes by D. Herzig)*. Cornell Univ., 1953.
- [Sam55] P. Samuel. Méthodes d'algèbre abstraite en géométrie algébrique. *Ergebn. der Math.*, 1955.
- [Ser55a] J.-P. Serre. Sur la cohomologie des variétés algébriques. *Ann. of Maths.*, 61:197–278, 1955.
- [Ser55b] J.-P. Serre. Faisceaux algébriques cohérents. *Annals of Mathematics*, 61(2):197–278, 1955.
- [Ser56] J.-P. Serre. Géométrie algébrique et géométrie analytique. *Annales de l'Institut Fourier*, 6:1–42, 1956. Available at <http://www.numdam.org/articles/10.5802/aif.59/>.