

ECON0057: Advanced Macroeconomic Theory

Thomas Lazarowicz

Tutorial 2

January 22, 2025

Introduction

- The McCall Search Model helps us understand decision-making under uncertainty in labour markets.
- Key concepts:
 - Wage offer distribution.
 - Reservation wage.
 - Optimal decision rules.
- Today's focus:
 - Solve exercises based on Bellman equations.
 - Analyse reservation wages under different scenarios.

Brief Review

- Basic premise:
 - An unemployed worker maximises discounted lifetime income
 - Receive (for now) one offer each period with wage drawn from $w \sim F(W)$
 - accept/reject - no recall!

Brief Review

- Formally, The objective function is

$$V(w) = \max \mathbb{E}_0 \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta^t y_t, \quad \beta \in (0, 1), \quad y = \begin{cases} b & \text{if unemployed} \\ w & \text{if employed} \end{cases}$$

- The value of accepting an offer with wage w is

$$V^A(w) = \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta^t w = w \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta^t = \frac{w}{1 - \beta}$$

- The value of rejecting is

$$V^R(w) = b + \beta \mathbb{E} V(w') = b + \beta \int V(w') f(w') dw' = b + \beta \int V(w') dF(w')$$

Exercise 6.1

Chance of an Offer

- Each period:
 - With probability ϕ , no wage offer is received.
 - With probability $1 - \phi$, a wage w is offered, drawn from a CDF $F(w)$.
- The worker's goal is to maximize:

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta^t y_t \right],$$

where $y_t = w$ if employed and $y_t = c$ if unemployed.

- Write the Bellman equation for the worker's problem.

Exercise 6.1

- Let $V(w)$ be the expected value of $\sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta^t y_t$ for an unemployed worker who has offer w in hand and who behaves optimally.
- Let V_n be the expected value of an unemployed worker without an offer in hand. The value of not receiving an offer can be written as:

$$V_n = c + \beta (\phi V_n + (1 - \phi) \mathbb{E} V(w)) = \frac{c}{(1 - \beta\phi)} + \frac{\beta(1 - \phi)}{(1 - \beta\phi)} \mathbb{E} V(w)$$

The value of receiving an offer w can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} V(w) &= \max \left\{ \frac{w}{1 - \beta}, V_n \right\} \\ &= \max \left\{ \frac{w}{1 - \beta}, \frac{c}{(1 - \beta\phi)} + \frac{\beta(1 - \phi)}{(1 - \beta\phi)} \mathbb{E} V(w) \right\} \\ &= \max \left\{ \frac{w}{1 - \beta}, \frac{c}{(1 - \beta\phi)} + \frac{\beta(1 - \phi)}{(1 - \beta\phi)} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} V(w) dF(w) \right\} \end{aligned}$$

Exercise 6.2

Two Offers

- Consider an unemployed worker who each period can draw TWO iid wage offers from the cdf $F(w)$.
- The worker will work forever at the same wage after having accepted an offer. In the event of unemployment during a period, the worker receives unemployment compensation c .
- The worker derives a decision rule to maximize $\mathbb{E} \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta^t y_t$ where $y_t = w$ or $y_t = c$, depending on whether she is employed or unemployed.
- (a) Formulate the Bellman equation for the worker's problem
- (b) Prove that the worker's reservation wage is higher than it would be had the worker faced the same c and been drawing only ONE offer from the same distribution $F(w)$ each period.

Exercise 6.2

- She would not accept a job if both wage offers are too low.
- Having two draws each period is equivalent to drawing from a “better” wage offer distribution. If the two offered random wages are W_1 and W_2 , we can define the equivalent problem of an agent receiving only one offer $W_m = \max \{ W_1, W_2 \}$.

$$\begin{aligned} G(w) &= \Pr (W_m < w) \\ &= \Pr (\max \{ W_1, W_2 \} < w) \\ &= \Pr (W_1 < w) \Pr (W_2 < w) \\ &= F(w)^2 = F^2(w) \end{aligned}$$

- The Bellman equation is therefore

$$V(w) = \max \left\{ \frac{w}{1-\beta}, c + \beta \int_0^B V(w') dF^2(w') \right\}$$

- where w is the best offer in hand ($w = \max \{ w_1, w_2 \}$).

Exercise 6.2

- Bellman Equations:

- two draws:

$$V_2(w) = \max \left\{ \frac{w}{1-\beta}, c + \beta \int_0^B V_2(w') dF^2(w') \right\}$$

- one draw:

$$V_1(w) = \max \left\{ \frac{w}{1-\beta}, c + \beta \int_0^B V_1(w') dF(w') \right\}$$

Exercise 6.2

- Recall that the reservation wage w solves the following equation

$$w - c = \frac{\beta}{1 - \beta} \int_{\bar{w}}^B (w' - w) dF(w')$$

- We therefore need to show that

$$w_1 = c + \frac{\beta}{1 - \beta} \int_{\bar{w}_1}^B (w' - w_1) dF(w') <$$
$$w_2 = c + \frac{\beta}{1 - \beta} \int_{\bar{w}_1}^B (w' - w_2) dF^2(w')$$

- An equivalent question is asking what is the behaviour of the difference between the LHS and the RHS of this equation.

Exercise 6.2

- Define a function h_i :

$$h_i(w) = \frac{\beta}{1-\beta} \int_w^B (w' - w) d\left(F^i(w')\right) + c - w$$

- where i denotes the exponent on the CDF and $h_i(\hat{w}_i) = 0$.
- The good thing about h_i is that we can easily check its properties.
- Compute the derivative using Leibniz's rule for differentiating under an integral

Leibniz's Rule

- If $f(x, z)$ is a function of x and z , and the limits of integration $a(z)$ and $b(z)$ depend on z , then the derivative of the integral with respect to z is given by:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial z} \int_{a(z)}^{b(z)} f(x, z) dx = f(b(z), z)b'(z) - f(a(z), z)a'(z) + \int_{a(z)}^{b(z)} \frac{\partial f(x, z)}{\partial z} dx.$$

- where:
- $f(b(z), z)b'(z)$: Contribution from the upper limit of integration.
- $-f(a(z), z)a'(z)$: Contribution from the lower limit of integration.
- $\int_{a(z)}^{b(z)} \frac{\partial f(x, z)}{\partial z} dx$: Change due to the variation of $f(x, z)$ with z inside the integral.

Exercise 6.2

Function:

$$h_i(w) = \frac{\beta}{1-\beta} \int_w^B (w' - w) d(F^i(w')) + c - w.$$

- Differentiate with respect to w :

$$\frac{\partial h_i(w)}{\partial w} = \frac{\beta}{1-\beta} \frac{\partial}{\partial w} \int_w^B (w' - w) d(F^i(w')) - 1.$$

- Applying Leibniz's Rule:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial w} \int_w^B (w' - w) d(F^i(w')) = (w' - w)|_{w'=w} \cdot (-1) = 0.$$

- Final derivative:

$$\frac{\partial h_i(w)}{\partial w} = \frac{\beta}{1-\beta} (F^i(w) - 1) - 1.$$

- $h_i(w)$ is strictly decreasing because $\frac{\partial h_i(w)}{\partial w} < 0$.
- The difference reaches 0 at $B - F(B) = 1$

Exercise 6.2

- Thus it follows that, for the same w

$$h_2(w) > h_1(w)$$

- So in the end we can write

$$h_1(\hat{w}_1) = 0 = h_2(\hat{w}_2) > h_1(\hat{w}_2)$$

- so looking at the first and last element above

$$h_1(\hat{w}_1) > h_1(\hat{w}_2)$$

- and since h_1 is decreasing, that gives us that $\hat{w}_1 < \hat{w}_2$.

Exercise 6.2

Alternative

- Formula: $\int u \, dv = uv - \int v \, du$
- For our case:

$$\begin{aligned}u &= w' - \bar{w} & dv &= f(w') dw' \\ du &= dw' & v &= F(w')\end{aligned}$$

- This transforms our integral from:

$$\int_{\bar{w}}^B (w' - \bar{w}) f(w') dw'$$

to:

$$\begin{aligned}& [(w' - \bar{w}) F(w')]_{\bar{w}}^B - \int_{\bar{w}}^B F(w') dw' \\&= (B - \bar{w}) F(B) - (\bar{w} - \bar{w}) F(\bar{w}) - \int_{\bar{w}}^B F(w') dw' \\&= (B - \bar{w}) \cdot 1 - 0 - \int_{\bar{w}}^B F(w') dw'\end{aligned}$$

Two Offers per Period

Alternative

- Consider an unemployed worker who each period can draw two iid wage offers from the cdf $F(w)$
- Having two draws is equivalent to drawing from $F^2(w)$
- We want to show that $\hat{w}_2 \geq \hat{w}_1$ (reservation wage is higher with two offers)

Proof Using Integration by Parts

Alternative

- We can rewrite the value difference using integration by parts:

$$\begin{aligned}h(\bar{w}) &= \int_{\bar{w}}^B (w' - \bar{w}) f(w') dw' \\&= [(w' - \bar{w}) F(w')]_{\bar{w}}^B - \int_{\bar{w}}^B F(w') dw' \\&= (B - \bar{w})1 - (0) - \int_{\bar{w}}^B F(w') dw' \\&= B - \bar{w} - \int_{\bar{w}}^B F(w') dw'\end{aligned}$$

- Similarly for two offers:

$$g(\bar{w}) = B - \bar{w} - \int_{\bar{w}}^B F^2(w') dw'$$

Comparing One vs Two Offers

Alternative

- Since $F(w') \leq 1$ for all w' :
- $F(w') \geq F^2(w')$ for all w'
- Therefore $-F(w') \leq -F^2(w')$
- This implies $\int_{\bar{w}}^B F(w') dw' \geq \int_{\bar{w}}^B F^2(w') dw'$
- Thus $g(\bar{w}) \geq h(\bar{w})$ for any \bar{w}

Since both functions are increasing in \bar{w} and we're looking for where they equal zero:

$$g(\hat{w}_2) = 0 = h(\hat{w}_1) > h(\hat{w}_2)$$

Therefore $\hat{w}_2 \geq \hat{w}_1$

Exercise 6.9

Finite Horizon

- Consider a worker who lives two periods. In each period the worker, if unemployed, receives an offer of lifetime work at wage w , where w is drawn from a distribution F . Wage offers are iid over time. The worker's objective is to maximize $Ey_1 + \beta y_2$, where $y_t = w$ if employed and c otherwise.
- Analyse the worker's optimal decision rule. In particular establish that the optimal strategy is to choose a reservation wage. Show that the reservation wage decreases over time.

Exercise 6.9

Second Period

- Backwards induction from $t = T$
- The value is given by

$$V_2(w) = \max\{w, c\}$$

- so the optimal strategy is to accept all offers $w \geq c$ and reject all other offers. The second period reservation wage is c .

Exercise 6.9

First Period

- Accepting an offer w in the first period implies a lifetime utility of $w + \beta w = (1 + \beta)w$.
- The expected value of rejecting an offer is $c + \beta \int_0^B V_2(w') dF(w')$. The optimal value of the objective function for a worker in period one with offer w in hand is

$$V_1(w) = \max \left\{ w(1 + \beta), c + \beta \int_0^B V_2(w') dF(w') \right\}$$

- The second term (reject) is constant while the first term (accept) is increasing in w .
- Therefore there will be a reservation wage below which the worker rejects all offers and above which she accepts all offers.

Exercise 6.9

- The reservation wage solves:

$$\hat{w}_1(1 + \beta) = c + \beta \int_0^B V_2(w') dF(w')$$

- Remembering that $V_2(w) = \max\{w, c\}$. Thus

$$\hat{w}_1(1 + \beta) = c + \beta c F(c) + \beta \int_c^B w' dF(w')$$

$$\hat{w}_1(1 + \beta) = c + \beta c + \beta c(F(c) - 1) + \beta \int_c^B w' dF(w')$$

$$\hat{w}_1(1 + \beta) = c + \beta c - \beta \int_c^B c dF(w') + \beta \int_c^B w' dF(w')$$

$$\hat{w}_1 = c + \frac{\beta}{1 + \beta} \int_c^B (w' - c) dF(w') > c = \hat{w}_2$$

Therefore $\hat{w}_1 > \hat{w}_2$; the reservation wage is decreasing with time,