

## Bibliography of Common Fate Model

Thomas Ledermann and David Kenny

We are no longer adding papers past 2016. Email Thomas Ledermann (thomas.ledermann@usu.edu) to add paper to this biblio prior to 2017.

ME: Methodological or Quantitative Paper

SU: Substantive Paper

Arcuri, A. (2013). *Dyadic perfectionism, communication patterns and relationship quality in couples*. Electronic Theses and Dissertations. Paper 4940. (SU)

Asano, R. (2015). The integration of developmental and social psychological perspectives on research on close relationships. *Japanese Journal of Developmental Psychology*, 26, 267-278. (ME)

Ayalon, L. (2016). A triadic perspective on elder neglect within the home care arrangement. *Ageing and Society*, 36, 811-836. (SU)

Bonito, J. A., Ervin, J. N., & Staggs, S. M. (2016). Estimation and application of the latent group model. *Group Dynamics: Theory, Research, and Practice*, 20, 126-143. (ME)

Bowen, J., & Huang, M.-H. (1990). A comparison of maximum likelihood with method of moment procedures for separating individual and group effects. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 58, 90-94. (ME)

Braithwaite, S. R., Steele, E., Spjut, K., Dowdle, K. K., & Harper, J. (2015). Parent-child connectedness mediates the association between marital conflict and children's internalizing/externalizing outcomes. *Journal of Child and Family Studies*, 24, 3690-3699. (SU)

Brown, C. C. (2014). Me, you, and porn: A common-fate analysis of pornography use and sexual satisfaction among married couples. Electronic Theses and Dissertations.

Brown, C. C., Carroll, J. S., Yorgason, J. B., Busby, D. M., Willoughby, B. J., & Larson, J. H. (2017). A common-fate analysis of pornography acceptance, use, and sexual satisfaction among heterosexual married couples. *Archives of Sexual Behavior*, 46, 575-584. (SU)

Burk, W. J., & Laursen, B. (2010). Mother and adolescent reports of associations between child behavior problems and mother-child relationship qualities: Separating shared variance from individual variance. *Journal of Abnormal Child Psychology*, 38, 657-667. (SU)

Campbell, D. T. (1958). Common fate, similarity, and other indices of the status of aggregates of persons as social entities. *Behavioral Science*, 3, 14-25. (ME)

Cook, W. L. (1998). Integrating models of interdependence with treatment evaluations in marital therapy research. *Journal of Family Psychology*, 12, 529-542. (ME)

- Cook, W. L., & Kenny, D. A. (2006). Examining the validity of self-report assessments of family functioning: A question of the level of analysis. *Journal of Family Psychology*, 20, 209-216. (ME)
- Conger, R. D., Rueter, M. A., & Elder, G. H., Jr. (1999). Couple resilience to economic pressure. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 76, 54-71. (SU)
- Cornelius, T. (2017). *Dyadic Processes in Weight Management*. Electronic Theses and Dissertations. (SU)
- Cronbach, L. J., & Webb, N. (1975). Between-class and within-class effects in a reported aptitude x treatment interaction: Reanalysis of a study by G. L. Anderson. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 67, 717-724. (ME)
- Cropley, C. J., & Reid, S. A. (2008). A latent variable analysis of couple closeness, attributions, and relational satisfaction. *The Family Journal*, 16, 364-374. (SU)
- Dominguez, M. M. (2017). *The moderating role of problem solving in Black-White marriages: A common fate model*. Electronic Theses and Dissertations. (SU)
- Erol, R. Y., & Orth, U. (2014). Development of self-esteem and relationship satisfaction in couples: Two longitudinal studies. *Developmental Psychology*, 50, 2291-2303. (SU)
- Foran, H. M., & Kliem, S. (2015). Longitudinal analysis of dyads using latent variable models: Current practices and constraints *Dependent Data in Social Sciences Research* (pp. 203-229): Springer.
- Galovan, A. M., Holmes, E. K., & Proulx, C. M. (2017). Theoretical and methodological issues in relationship research: Considering the common fate model. *Journal of Social and Personal Relationships*, 34, 44-68. (ME)
- Gere, J., & Schimmack, U. (2013). When romantic partners' goals conflict: Effects on relationship quality and subjective well-being. *Journal of Happiness Studies*, 14, 37-49. (SU)
- Goldberg, A. E., & Garcia, R. L. (2016). Gender-typed behavior over time in children with lesbian, gay, and heterosexual parents. *Journal of Family Psychology*, 30, 854-865. (SU)
- Gollob, H. F. (1991). Methods for estimating individual- and group-level correlations. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 60, 376-381. (ME)
- Gonzalez, R., & Griffin, D. (1997). On the statistics of interdependence: Treating dyadic data with respect. In S. Duck (Ed.), *Handbook of personal relationships: Theory, research, and interventions* (2nd ed., pp. 271-302). New York: Wiley. (ME)
- Gonzalez, R., & Griffin, D. (1999). The correlational analysis of dyadic-level data in the distinguishable case. *Personal Relationships*, 6, 449-469. (ME)
- Gonzalez, R., & Griffin, D. (2000). The statistics of interdependence: Treating dyadic data with respect. In W. Ickes & S. W. Duck (Eds.), *The social psychology of personal relationships* (pp. 271-302). Chichester, UK: Wiley. (ME)

Gonzalez, R., & Griffin, D. (2001). A statistical framework for modeling homogeneity and interdependence in groups. In M. Clark & G. Fletcher (Eds.), *Handbook of social psychology: Interpersonal processes* (Vol. 2, pp. 505-534). Malden, MA: Blackwell. (ME)

Gonzalez, R., & Griffin, D. (2001). Testing parameters in structural equation modeling: Every 'one' matters. *Psychological Methods*, 6, 258-269. (ME)

Gonzalez, R., & Griffin, D. W. (2002). Modeling the personality of dyads and groups. *Journal of Personality*, 70, 901-924. (ME)

Gonzalez, R., & Griffin, D. (2012). Deriving estimators and their standard errors in dyadic data analysis: Examples using a symbolic computation program. In M. Edwards & R. MacCallum (Eds.), *Current Topics in the Theory and Application of Latent Variable Models*, Routledge, 24-41. (ME)

Griffin, D. W., & Gonzalez, R. (1995). Correlational analysis of dyad-level data in the exchangeable case. *Psychological Bulletin*, 118, 430-445. (ME)

Griffin, D. W., & Gonzalez, R. (2003). Models of dyadic social interaction. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London, Series B, Biological Sciences*, 358, 573-581. (ME)

Gustavson, K., Røysamb, E., von Soest, T., Helland, M. J., Karevold, E., & Mathiesen, K. S. (2012). Reciprocal longitudinal associations between depressive symptoms and romantic partners' synchronized view of relationship quality. *Journal of Social and Personal Relationships*, 29, 776-794. (SU)

Johnson, M. D., & Horne, R. M. (2016). Temporal ordering of supportive dyadic coping, commitment, and willingness to sacrifice. *Family Relations*, 65, 314-326. (SU)

Johnson, M. D., & Anderson, J. R. (2015). Temporal ordering of intimate relationship efficacy and conflict. *Journal of Marriage and Family*, 77, 968-981. (SU)

Johnson, M. D., Anderson, J. R., Walker, A., Wilcox, A., Lewis, V. L., & Robbins, D. C. (2013). Common dyadic coping is indirectly related to dietary and exercise adherence via patient and partner diabetes efficacy. *Journal of Family Psychology*, 27, 722-730. (SU)

Johnson, M. D., Galambos, N. L., & Anderson, J. R. (2016). Skip the dishes? Not so fast! Sex and housework revisited. *Journal of Family Psychology*, 30, 203-213. (SU)

Johnson, M. D., & Horne, R. M. (2016). Temporal ordering of supportive dyadic coping, commitment, and willingness to sacrifice. *Family Relations: An Interdisciplinary Journal of Applied Family Studies*, 65, 314-326. (SU)

Jorgensen, B. L., Mancini, J. A., Yorgason, J., & Day, R. (2016). Religious beliefs, practices, and family strengths: A comparison of husbands and wives. *Psychology of Religion and Spirituality*, 8, 164-174. (SU)

Kenny, D. A. (1996). Models of non-independence in dyadic research. *Journal of Social and Personal Relationships*, 13, 279-294. (ME)

Kenny, D. A., & Cook, W. L. (1999). Partner effects in relationship research: Conceptual issues, analytic difficulties, and illustrations. *Personal Relationships*, 6, 433-448. (ME)

Kenny, D. A., Kashy, D. A., & Cook, W. L. (2006). *Dyadic data analysis*. New York: Guilford. (ME)

Kenny, D. A., Kashy, D. A., & Bolger, N. (1998). Data analysis in social psychology. In D. T. Gilbert, S. T. Fiske & G. Lindzey (Eds.), *Handbook of social psychology* (4th ed., Vol. 1, pp. 233-265). New York: McGraw-Hill. (ME)

Kenny, D. A., & La Voie, L. (1985). Separating individual and group effects. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 48, 339-348. (ME)

Kivlighan, D. M., Jr. (2007). Where is the relationship in research on the alliance? Two methods for analyzing dyadic data. *Journal of Counseling Psychology*, 54, 423-433. (ME)

Kivlighan, D. M., Hill, C. E., Ross, K., Kline, K., Furhmann, A., & Sauber, E. (2018). Testing a mediation model of psychotherapy process and outcome in psychodynamic psychotherapy: Previous client distress, psychodynamic techniques, dyadic working alliance, and current client distress. *Psychotherapy Research*, 1-13. (SU)

Landis, M., Bodenmann, G., Bradbury, T. N., Brandstätter, V., Peter-Wight, M., Backes, Sutter-Stickel, & Nussbeck, F. W. (2014). Commitment and dyadic coping in long-term relationships. *GeroPsych: The Journal of Gerontopsychology and Geriatric Psychiatry*, 27, 139-149. (SU)

LeBlanc, A. J., Frost, D. M., & Wight, R. G. (2015). Minority stress and stress proliferation among same-sex and other marginalized couples. *Journal of Marriage and Family*, 77, 40-59. (SU)

Ledermann, T., Bodenmann, G., Rudaz, M., & Bradbury, T. N. (2010). Stress, communication, and marital quality in couples. *Family Relations*, 59, 195-206. (SU)

Ledermann, T., & Kenny, D. A. (2012). The common fate model for dyadic data: Variations of a theoretically important but underutilized model. *Journal of Family Psychology*, 26, 140-148. (ME)

Ledermann, T., & Kenny, D. A. (2015). A toolbox with programs to restructure and describe dyadic data. *Journal of Social and Personal Relationships*, 32, 997-1011. (ME)

Ledermann, T., & Kenny, D. A. (2017). Analyzing dyadic data with multilevel modeling versus structural equation modeling: A tale of two methods. *Journal of Family Psychology*, 31, 442-452. (ME)

Ledermann, T., & Macho, S. (2009). Mediation in dyadic data at the level of the dyads: A Structural Equation Modeling approach. *Journal of Family Psychology*, 23, 661-670. (ME)

Ledermann, T., & Macho, S. (2014). Analyzing change at the dyadic level. The Common Fate Growth Model. *Journal of Family Psychology*, 28, 204-213. (ME)

Lyness, K. P., & Koehler, A. N. (2016). Effect of coping on substance use in adolescent girls: A dyadic analysis of parent and adolescent perceptions. *International Journal of Adolescence and Youth*, 21, 449-461. (SU)

Mackinnon, S. P., Sherry, S. B., Antony, M. M., Stewart, S. H., Sherry, D. L., & Hartling, N. (2012). Caught in a bad romance: Perfectionism, conflict, and depression in romantic relationships. *Journal of Family Psychology*, 26, 215-225. (SU)

Mejía, S. T., & Gonzalez, R. (2017). Couples' shared beliefs about aging and implications for future functional limitations. *The Gerontologist*, 57, 149-159. (SU)

Matthews, L. S., Conger, R. D., & Wickrama, K. A. S. (1996). Work-family conflict and marital quality: Mediating processes. *Social Psychology Quarterly*, 59, 62-79. (SU)

Miller, C. N. (2015). *A longitudinal study of older couples coping with chronic conditions*. Retrieved from Deakin Univeristy. (SU)

Mitchell, A. M., Owen, J., Adelson, J. L., France, T., Inch, L. J., Bergen, C., & Lindel, A. (2015). The influence of dyadic coping in relationship education for low-income racial and ethnic minority couples. *Journal of Family Therapy*, 37, 492-508. (SU)

Muise, A., Maxwell, J. A., & Impett, E. A. (2018). What theories and methods from relationship research can contribute to sex research. *The Journal of Sex Research*, 1-23. (SU)

Neyer, F. J. (1998). Zum Umgang mit dyadischen Daten: Neue Methoden für die Sozialpsychologie. *Zeitschrift für Sozialpsychologie*, 29, 291-306. (ME)

Peugh, J. L., DiLillo, D., & Panuzio, J. (2013). Analyzing mixed-dyadic data using structural equation models. *Structural Equation Modeling*, 20, 314-337. (ME)

Ponnet, K., Wouters, E., Mortelmans, D., Pasteels, I., De Backer, C., Van Leeuwen, K., & Van Hiel, A. (2013). The influence of mothers' and fathers' parenting stress and depressive symptoms on own and partner's parent-child communication. *Family Process*, 52, 312-324. (SU)

Preotu, I.-D., & Turliuc, M. N. (2013). The Common Fate Mediation Model: Does Communication of Ideals Mediate the Relationship between Ideal Similarity and Relationship Satisfaction? *Analele Științifice ale Universității „Al. I. Cuza” din Iași. Psihologie*, 1, 103-118. (SU)

Rusu, P. P., Hilpert, P., Beach, S. R. H., Turliuc, M. N., & Bodenmann, G. (2015). Dyadic coping mediates the association of sanctification with marital satisfaction and well-being. *Journal of Family Psychology*, 29, 843-849. (SU)

Schaffhuser, K., Wagner, J., Lüdtke, O., & Allemand, M. (2014). Dyadic longitudinal interplay between personality and relationship satisfaction: A focus on neuroticism and self-esteem. *Journal of Research in Personality*, 53, 124-133. (SU)

Teachman, J. D., Carver, K., & Day, R. (1995). A model for the analysis of paired data. *Journal of Marriage & the Family*, 57, 1011-1024. (ME)

Turliuc, M.-N., & Preotu, I.-D. (2013). The common fate mediation model: Does communication of ideals mediate the relationship between ideal similarity and relationship satisfaction? *Analele Științifice ale Universității «Alexandru Ioan Cuza» din Iași. Psihologie*, 103-118. (SU)

Valdes, O. M., Laursen, B., Guimond, F. A., Hartl, A. C., & Denner, J. (2016). Maternal psychological control and its association with mother and child perceptions of adolescent adjustment: More evidence on the strength of shared perspectives. *Journal of Youth and Adolescence*, 45, 2151-2163. (SU)

Wood, A. W. (2015). *The Influence of Stigma on Quality of Life and Relationship Satisfaction for Prostate Cancer Survivors and Their Partners*. University of Central Florida Orlando, Florida. (SU)

Woody, E., & Sadler, P. (2005). Structural equation models for interchangeable dyads: Being the same makes a difference. *Psychological Methods*, 10, 139-158. (ME)