

1 Introduction

Notation:

- F a totally real number field.
- $p > 3$ a prime, L/\mathbb{Q}_p finite field extension, \mathcal{O} the ring of integers of L with maximal ideal λ and residue field \mathbb{F} . L will be assumed to be "large enough". This means that we demand that L contains a primitive p -th root of unity, the images of all embeddings $F \hookrightarrow \overline{L}$ and \mathbb{F} contains certain eigenvalues (of a mod λ Galois representation).
- G_K the absolute Galois group of a field K .

In talk 3 we have seen that we can always go from regular algebraic cuspidal automorphic representations of $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{A}_F)$ to compatible systems of Galois representations. We would like to do the converse: Starting with a continuous Galois representation $\rho : G_F \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_2(L)$ we would like to find a regular algebraic cuspidal automorphic representations π of $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{A}_F)$ s.t. ρ occurs in the compatible system associated with π . It is no loss of generality to assume $\mathrm{im}(\rho) \subset \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathcal{O})$ (one can always conjugate to achieve that).

The idea of modularity lifting is the following: Suppose we are given two continuous representations, $\rho_0, \rho : G_F \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathcal{O})$ s.t. we already know that ρ_0 is modular and s.t. the reductions of ρ and ρ_0 mod λ agree. Then, under certain additional conditions, we want to conclude that also ρ is modular. The precise statement is:

Theorem 1

Let $\rho_0, \rho : G_F \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathcal{O})$ be continuous representations s.t. $(\rho \bmod \lambda) = (\rho_0 \bmod \lambda) =: \overline{\rho}$. Assume that ρ_0 is modular, that ρ is geometric and that L is sufficiently large.¹ Assume further:

- 1) p is unramified in F .
- 2) $\mathrm{im}(\overline{\rho}) \supset \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{F}_p)$.
- 3) For all embeddings $\sigma : F \hookrightarrow L$ and all places $v \mid p$ of F the following hold:
 - a) $\mathrm{HT}_\sigma(\rho) = \mathrm{HT}_\sigma(\rho_0)$ and this set contains two distinct elements.
 - b) The elements of $\mathrm{HT}_\sigma(\rho)$ differ by at most $p - 2$.
 - c) $\rho|_{G_v}$ and $\rho_0|_{G_v}$ are crystalline.

Then ρ is modular.

Recall that the condition that ρ is geometric means that ρ is unramified almost everywhere and that $\rho|_{G_{F_v}}$ is de Rham for all places $v \mid p$ of F . Condition 1) is a condition on F and condition 2) is a condition on $\overline{\rho}$. Note that 2) implies that $\overline{\rho}$ is absolutely irreducible (i.e. irreducible after $\otimes \overline{\mathbb{F}}$). The conditions in 3) are Hodge-theoretic conditions. We won't focus on them in this talk.

2 Approach

The first part of the approach of proving the Theorem can be describes as follows. We want to define the following objects

- a "relevant" universal deformation ring $R_\emptyset^{\mathrm{univ}}$,

¹Precisely, L should contain a primitive p -th root of unity and the images of all embeddings $L \hookrightarrow \overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ and additionally \mathbb{F} should contain all eigenvalues of all $\overline{\rho}(g)$, $g \in G_F$.

- a "relevant" space of modular forms S_{\emptyset} ,
- a "relevant" Hecke algebra \mathbb{T}_{\emptyset}
- a universal modular representation $\rho_{\emptyset}^{\text{mod}} : G_F \rightarrow \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{T}_{\emptyset})$

s.t. the following conditions² hold:

- D1) $\rho \in R_{\emptyset}^{\text{univ}}(\mathcal{O})$
- D2) \mathbb{T}_{\emptyset} is reduced and acts faithfully on S_{\emptyset} .
- D3) $\rho_{\emptyset}^{\text{mod}}$ induces a surjection $\theta : R_{\emptyset} \twoheadrightarrow \mathbb{T}_{\emptyset}$.
- D4) For $r \in R_{\emptyset}^{\text{univ}}(\mathcal{O})$, the existence of a factorization

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 R_{\emptyset}^{\text{univ}} & \xrightarrow{\text{induced by } r} & \mathcal{O} \\
 \searrow \theta & & \nearrow \text{dotted} \\
 & \mathbb{T}_{\emptyset} &
 \end{array}$$

implies that r is modular.

Lemma 2 (Reduction to Commutative Algebra Statement)

In this situation ρ_0 is modular if

$$\text{Supp}_{R_{\emptyset}^{\text{univ}}}(S_{\emptyset}) = \text{Spec}(R_{\emptyset}^{\text{univ}}).$$

Here, S_{\emptyset} is a \mathbb{T}_{\emptyset} -module and becomes an $R_{\emptyset}^{\text{univ}}$ -modules via θ .

Proof.

Let $(R_{\emptyset}^{\text{univ}})_{\text{red}}$ denote the quotient of $R_{\emptyset}^{\text{univ}}$ by its nilradical and consider the following enlarged version of the diagram in D4):

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 (R_{\emptyset}^{\text{univ}})_{\text{red}} & & \mathcal{O} \\
 \nwarrow & \xrightarrow{\text{induced by } r} & \nearrow \\
 R_{\emptyset}^{\text{univ}} & & \mathbb{T}_{\emptyset} \\
 \searrow \theta & & \nearrow \text{dotted}
 \end{array}$$

By D2), \mathbb{T}_{\emptyset} is reduced so θ factors through $R_{\emptyset}^{\text{univ}} \twoheadrightarrow (R_{\emptyset}^{\text{univ}})_{\text{red}}$ inducing $\bar{\theta} : (R_{\emptyset}^{\text{univ}})_{\text{red}} \twoheadrightarrow \mathbb{T}_{\emptyset}$. Now D1) and D4) clearly imply that it suffices to show that $\bar{\theta}$ is an isomorphism. Take $x \in \ker(\theta)$, so x acts as 0 on S_{\emptyset} . Then x must be contained in any $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(R_{\emptyset}^{\text{univ}})$, since otherwise $S_{\emptyset, \mathfrak{p}} = 0$, contradicting $\text{Supp}_{R_{\emptyset}^{\text{univ}}}(S_{\emptyset}) = \text{Spec}(R_{\emptyset}^{\text{univ}})$. Thus, x is nilpotent. This shows that $\ker(\theta)$ is contained in the nilradical of $R_{\emptyset}^{\text{univ}}$, which means $\ker(\bar{\theta}) = 0$. \square

Aim from heron: Define the objects listed above (and some more that will be used later) and check properties D1)-D4).

²Our notation is $C(B) := \text{Hom}_A(C, B)$ for two A -algebras B, C .

3 Base Change Reduction Step

One can use base change to replace F by a solvable totally real extension. Doing this, one may assume that the following assumptions are satisfied (plus the assumptions of the Theorem of course):

- 1) $[F : \mathbb{Q}]$ is even.
- 2) For all places $v \nmid p$: $\bar{\rho}|_{G_{F_v}}$ is unramified.
- 3) For all places $v \nmid p$: $\rho(G_{F_v})$ and $\rho_0(G_{F_v})$ are unipotent.
- 4) For all places $v \nmid p$ s.t. ρ or ρ_0 is ramified: $\bar{\rho}|_{G_{F_v}} = 1$.
- 5) $\det(\rho) = \det(\rho_0)$.

4 Deformation Ring Machine

Recall the general machine: Given $T \subset S$ finite sets of finite places of F , $\bar{\rho} : G_F \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{F})$ absolutely irreducible.

Input: $(\mathcal{D}_v)_{v \in S}$, $\chi : G_F \rightarrow \mathcal{O}^\times$, where

- \mathcal{D}_v is a deformation problem, i.e. a certain subfunctor of $R_{\bar{\rho}|_{G_{F_v}}}^{\square T}$.
- χ is a continuous group homomorphism.

Output:

- $R_{S, \bar{\rho}, \chi}^{\square T}$ represents T -framed deformations of $\bar{\rho}$ unramified outside S having determinant χ .
- $R_{S, \bar{\rho}, \chi}^{\mathrm{univ}}$ represents deformations of $\bar{\rho}$ unramified outside S having determinant χ .
- $R_{S, \bar{\rho}, \chi}^{\mathrm{loc}} := \hat{\otimes}_{v \in T} R_{\bar{\rho}|_{G_{F_v}}, \chi|_{G_{F_v}}}^{\square}$

We want to apply this to the situation of the Theorem. We take $\bar{\rho}$ as in the theorem and $T := T_p \sqcup T_r$, where $T_p := \{v \mid p\}$ and $T_r := \{v \mid \rho \text{ or } \rho_0 \text{ ramified at } v\}$. We take $\chi := \det \rho = \det \rho_0$.

Let Q be a finite set of finite places of F s.t. $Q \cap T = \emptyset$. Set $S := T \sqcup Q$. We also need to fix some choices:

- a primitive p -th root of unity $\zeta \in L$
- for each $v \in T_r$ some $\sigma_v \in I_v$ that maps to a topological generator in I_v/P_v

Then we define two families $(D_v)_{v \in S}$ and $(D'_v)_{v \in S}$ of local deformation problems (we refer to $(D_v)_{v \in S}$ as the "standard situation" and to $(D'_v)_{v \in S}$ as the "prime situation"; the standard situation is the situation we are interested in; the prime situation is nicely behaved; in the end of the proof we compare the standard situation with the prime situation to transfer information available in the prime situation to the standard situation; the relationship is that both situations agree mod λ):

	D_v	D'_v
$v \in T_p$	"crystalline lifts of correct HT weights"	"crystalline lifts of correct HT weights"
$v \in T_r$	lifts $\tilde{\rho}$ with $\mathrm{char}_{\bar{\rho}(\sigma_v)} = (X-1)^2$	lifts $\tilde{\rho}$ with $\mathrm{char}_{\bar{\rho}(\sigma_v)} = (X-\zeta)(X-\zeta^{-1})$
$v \in Q$	all lifts	all lifts

Output: R_Q^{univ} , R_Q^{\square} , R_Q^{loc}

Check: D1) holds for $Q = \emptyset$. The only thing to note is that by the reduction step $\rho(I_v)$ is unipotent for $v \in T_r$, so the characteristic polynomial has the correct form.

5 Quaternionic Automorphic Representations

Idea: By the reduction step, $[F : \mathbb{Q}]$ is even. Since F is totally real, this means $\#\{\nu \mid \infty\}$ is even so we find a unique quaternion algebra D over F that is ramified precisely at $\{\nu \mid \infty\}$. By Jacquet-Langlands, every regular algebraic cuspidal automorphic representation of $\text{Res}_{F/\mathbb{Q}}\text{GL}_2$ comes from a unique infinite-dimensional regular algebraic automorphic representation of $\text{Res}_{F/\mathbb{Q}}D$ (of the same weight; note that such a representation of $\text{Res}_{F/\mathbb{Q}}D$ is automatic cuspidal, since D is anisotropic modulo center). This leads to the

Aim:

- Construct an explicit space of modular forms (for D) that serves as a model for automorphic representations.
- Go further and define an integral version of this space (plus corresponding Hecke algebra) that is defined over \mathcal{O} . This then allows us to compare with $R_{\mathcal{O}}^{\text{univ}}$, which is also defined over \mathcal{O} .

The general construction goes as follows: Fix $\iota : \bar{L} \cong \mathbb{C}$.

Input:

- weights $(K_\tau, \eta_\tau)_{\tau \mid \infty}$ with $k_\tau \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 2}$ and $\eta_\nu \in \mathbb{Z}$ (think of k_τ as indexing discrete series representation and η_ν as indexing (twists with) algebraic characters of the center of the universal enveloping algebra of the complexification of the Lie algebra of GL_2),
- S a finite set of finite places disjoint from $\{\nu \mid p\}$,
- $U = \left(\prod_{\nu \text{ finite}} U_\nu = U_S \prod_{\nu \notin S, \nu \text{ finite}} \text{GL}_2(\mathcal{O}_\nu)\right)$ an open compact subgroup.
- $\psi : U_S \rightarrow \mathcal{O}^\times$ a continuous homomorphism,
- $\chi_0 : \mathbb{A}_F^\times / (\overline{F_\infty^\times})^\circ F^\times \rightarrow \bar{L}$,

plus some compatibility conditions.

Output: $S_U := S_{k, \eta, \psi, \chi_0}(U, \mathcal{O})$

The precise definition is:

$$S_U := \{\Phi : D^\times \backslash \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{A}_F) \rightarrow \Lambda \mid \Phi(zgu) = \chi_0(z)\tilde{\psi}^{-1}(u)\Phi(g)\},$$

where

- $\Lambda := \otimes_{\tau: F \rightarrow \mathbb{C}} \text{Sym}^{k_\tau - 1}(\mathcal{O}^2) \otimes (\wedge^2 \mathcal{O}^2)^{\eta_\tau}$
- $\tilde{\psi} : U \rightarrow \text{GL}(\Lambda)$ the representation induced by $U \ni u_S u_p u_{\text{rest}} \mapsto \psi(u_S)u_p \in \text{GL}(\mathcal{O}^2)$

Fact: S_U is a finite free \mathcal{O} -module (since $D^\times \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{A}_F^\infty)/U(\mathbb{A}_F^\infty)^\times$ is finite).

Now we want to choose the input data in a way that S_U is related to ρ and ρ_0 . We take

- the HT weights of ρ (which are also the HT weights for ρ_0) as weights
- $S := T_r \sqcup Q$ (note that we don't need T_p now, the information at p is contained in Λ)
- $U_S = \prod_{\nu \in S} U_\nu$ with

$$U_\nu := \begin{cases} \left\{ A \mid A \equiv \begin{pmatrix} * & * \\ 0 & * \end{pmatrix} \pmod{\nu} \right\}, & \nu \in T_r \\ \left\{ A = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \mid A \equiv \begin{pmatrix} * & * \\ 0 & * \end{pmatrix} \pmod{\nu}, k(\nu)^\times \ni (a/d \pmod{\nu}) \mapsto 1 \in \Delta_\nu \right\}, & \nu \in Q \end{cases}$$

where Δ_ν is the maximal p -power quotient of $k(\nu)^\times$.

- $\psi = 1$
- $\chi_0 := \epsilon_p \det \rho$

In this way we obtain for each Q as above a space S^Q of modular forms. Again, there is a prime construction of spaces S'_Q . The only difference is that ψ gets replaced by ψ' which is trivial at $v \in Q$ and sends A to $(a/d \bmod v)$ and then σ_v to ζ .

Remark 3

The definition of U is not random, but corresponds to the conductor of ρ (which is also the conductor of ρ_0).

Next, we associate a Hecke algebra with the above data:

$$\mathbb{T}^Q := \text{im}(\mathcal{O}[T_v, S_v, \tilde{U}_\omega \mid v, \omega] \rightarrow \text{End}(S^Q)),$$

where

- v runs over all finite places of F not contained in $T \sqcup Q$
- ω runs over all places in Q
- the map is given by

$$\begin{aligned} S_v &\mapsto [U_v \text{diag}(\phi_v, 1) U_v] \\ T_v &\mapsto [U_v \text{diag}(\phi_v, \phi_v) U_v] \\ \tilde{U}_\omega &\mapsto [U_\omega \text{diag}(\phi_v, 1) U_\omega]. \end{aligned}$$

Here the brackets on the right hand side denote the usual double coset operator.

Similar one obtains $\mathbb{T}^{Q'}$. Clearly, \mathbb{T}^Q is a commutative \mathcal{O} -algebra under which S^Q is a module (same for prime situation).

Facts:

- S^Q is a finite free \mathbb{T}^Q -module (same for prime)
- \mathbb{T}^Q acts faithfully on S^Q (same for prime)
- ($Q = \emptyset$) Let Π be the set of cuspidal automorphic representations of $D^\times(\mathbb{A}_F)$ unramified outside $S = T_r \sqcup T_p$ s.t. $\text{Hom}_{U_S}(\mathbb{C}, \pi_S) \neq 0$ (trivial U_S -action on \mathbb{C} ; this means $\pi^U \neq 0$; think of this as a condition that comes from a condition on the conductor \mathcal{C} of ρ (of the form "something $\subset \mathcal{C}$ "); it is satisfied by the reduction step!).

In particular:

- \mathbb{T}^\emptyset is reduced
- $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}\text{-alg}}(\mathbb{T}^\emptyset, \mathbb{C}) \leftrightarrow \Pi$, where \mathbb{C} becomes an \mathcal{O} -algebra via ι .
- $\mathbb{T}^\emptyset \otimes_{\mathcal{O}, \iota} \mathbb{C} \cong \prod_{\pi \in \Pi} \mathbb{C}$

Desideratum: Universal Galois deformation $\rho : G_F \rightarrow \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{T}^\emptyset)$ so that we get $R_\emptyset^{\text{univ}} \rightarrow \mathbb{T}^\emptyset$ by universal property.

First guess: With every $\pi \in \Pi$ we can associate a Galois representation (talk 4) $\rho_\pi : G_F \rightarrow \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{C})$. Take the product

$$G_F \rightarrow \prod_{\pi \in \Pi} \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{C}) \cong \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{T}^\emptyset \otimes_{\mathcal{O}, \iota} \mathbb{C}).$$

Problems:

- 1) We want an integral version, i.e. we don't like $\otimes \mathbb{C}$.
- 2) \mathbb{T}^\varnothing is not local (so the universal property of $R_\varnothing^{\text{univ}}$, which is defined on the category of local complete Noetherian algebras, does not apply).

Solution: Make it local!

\mathbb{T}^\varnothing is semilocal and \mathcal{O} is henselian, so we can write

$$\mathbb{T}^\varnothing \cong \prod_{\mathfrak{m}} \mathbb{T}_{\mathfrak{m}},$$

where \mathfrak{m} runs through the finitely many maximal ideals of \mathbb{T}^\varnothing .

Fact: One can associate with every maximal ideal a representation $\overline{\rho_{\mathfrak{m}}^{\text{mod}}} : G_F \rightarrow \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{T}^\varnothing/\mathfrak{m})$. If this representation is absolutely irreducible, one can associate further a representation

$$\rho_{\mathfrak{m}}^{\text{mod}} : G_F \rightarrow \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{T}_{\mathfrak{m}}^\varnothing)$$

in a way s.t.

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}\text{-alg}}(T_{\mathfrak{m}}^\varnothing, \overline{L}) \leftrightarrow \{\pi \in \Pi \mid \text{GaloisRep}(\pi) \text{ reduces to } \overline{\rho_{\mathfrak{m}}^{\text{mod}}}\}$$

Remark 4

$SL_2(\mathbb{F}_p) \subset \text{im } \overline{\rho}$ implies that ρ is absolutely irreducible.

Now we need to pick the correct maximal ideal \mathfrak{m} of \mathbb{T}^\varnothing s.t. $\overline{\rho_{\mathfrak{m}}^{\text{mod}}} = \overline{\rho}$ (because precisely then the automorphic representation associated with ρ_0 occurs in $T_{\mathfrak{m}}^\varnothing \otimes \overline{L}$). **Idea:** Modularity of ρ_0 gives a homomorphism $\mathbb{T}^\varnothing \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ that actually has values in \mathcal{O} . Take

$$\mathfrak{m} := \ker(\mathbb{T}^\varnothing \rightarrow \mathcal{O} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}).$$

Definition 5

$$\mathbb{T}_\varnothing := (\mathbb{T}^\varnothing)_{\mathfrak{m}}, \quad S_\varnothing := (S^\varnothing)_{\mathfrak{m}}, \quad \rho_\varnothing^{\text{mod}} := \rho_{\mathfrak{m}}^\varnothing.$$

It remains to check D2)-D4):

- D2) is clear.
- The existence of the map in D3) follows, since \mathbb{T}_\varnothing is local, Noetherian and complete. An explicit description of $\rho_{\mathfrak{m}}^{\text{mod}}$ shows that all Hecke operators are in the image of $R_\varnothing^{\text{univ}} \rightarrow \mathbb{T}_\varnothing$, so that this map is surjective.
- D4) follows from the definition of $\rho_\varnothing^{\text{mod}}$, the definition of modularity and (matching Hecke eigenvalues and Frobenius eigenvalues in the unramified situation) and Chebotarev density.

Similarly we define \mathbb{T}'_Q etc.

6 The second part of the proof

Aim: Prove $\text{Supp}_{R_\varnothing^{\text{univ}}}(S_\varnothing) = \text{Spec}(R_\varnothing^{\text{univ}})$.

Idea: Use the "Q-machine" to construct nice "resolution" $R_\infty \curvearrowright S_\infty$ of $R_\varnothing^{\text{univ}} \curvearrowright S_\varnothing$ which give enough information to reach the Aim.

7 Back to Deformation Rings

For $v_0 \in T$ fixed set

$$\mathcal{J} := \mathcal{O}[X_{v,i,j} \mid v \in T, i, j \in \{1, 2\}] / (X_{v_0,1,1}).$$

This is a complete local finite type \mathcal{O} -algebra with $\dim(\mathcal{J}) = 4\#T$.

Facts:

- ρ_Q^{univ} induces $R_Q^\square \cong R_Q^{\text{univ}} \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{J}$.
- There is an ideal $\mathfrak{a}_Q \subset R_Q^\square$ s.t. $R_Q^\square / \mathfrak{a}_Q \cong R_Q^{\text{univ}}$.
- All situations agree modulo λ .

8 Precise Idea of Proof

We want to construct the following:

- \mathcal{O} -algebra morphisms $\mathcal{J}_\infty \rightarrow R_\infty \twoheadrightarrow R_\emptyset^{\text{univ}}$, where \mathcal{J}_∞ and R_∞ are local complete Noetherian, together with an R_∞ -module S_∞ and a surjection $S_\infty \twoheadrightarrow S_\emptyset$ of R_∞ -modules,
- a local complete Noetherian \mathcal{O} -algebra R_∞ together with a diagram $\mathcal{J}_\infty \rightarrow R'_\infty \twoheadrightarrow R_\emptyset^{\text{univ},'}$ of \mathcal{O} -algebra morphisms³, a module S'_∞ and a surjection $S'_\infty \twoheadrightarrow S'_\emptyset$ of R'_∞ -modules,
- some $r \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$

s.t.

- P1) $\dim(R_\infty) = \dim(R'_\infty) = \dim(\mathcal{J}_\infty) = 4\#T + r$,
- P2) \mathcal{J}_∞ is regular and S_∞ and S'_∞ are finite free over \mathcal{J}_∞ ,
- P3) both situations (standard and prime) agree mod λ ,
- P4) reduction mod λ yields a bijection between the irreducible components of $\text{Spec}(R_\infty)$ and $\text{Spec}(R_\infty/\lambda)$,
- P5) $\text{Spec}(R'_\infty)$ is irreducible,
- P6) there is an ideal $\mathfrak{a}_\infty \subset R_\infty$ s.t. $R_\infty / \mathfrak{a}_\infty \cong R_\emptyset^{\text{univ}}$ and $S_\infty / \mathfrak{a}_\infty \cong S_\emptyset$.

Remark 6

We will construct R_∞ and R'_∞ as certain inverse limits of quotients of certain deformation rings $R_{Q_N}^\square$ for some sequence of finite sets of finite places $(Q_N)_N$. Then from the properties of these rings discussed before, one can see that achieving P3)-P5) seems feasible. The hard part is to achieve P1) and P2) simultaneously.

Lemma 7

In the situation described above,

$$\text{Supp}_{R_\emptyset^{\text{univ}}}(S_\emptyset) = \text{Spec}(R_\emptyset^{\text{univ}})$$

holds true.

³Note that J_∞ does not have a prime: This is not a typo!

Proof.

First recall from commutative algebra: For a local Noetherian ring (A, \mathfrak{m}) and a finite A -module M one has:

- $\text{Depth}_A(M) \leq \dim(A/\mathfrak{p})$ for all minimal prime ideals \mathfrak{p} of A that are minimal in $\text{Supp}_A(M)$.
- If A is regular, then $\text{Depth}_A(A) = \dim(A)$.

Now, since \mathcal{J}_∞ is regular and S_∞ is finite free over \mathcal{J}_∞ , we have

$$\text{Depth}_{\mathcal{J}_\infty}(S_\infty) = \text{Depth}_{\mathcal{J}_\infty}(\mathcal{J}_\infty) = \dim(\mathcal{J}_\infty) = 4\#T + r.$$

Let $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(R_\infty)$ be a prime ideal which contained and minimal in $\text{Supp}_{R_\infty}(S_\infty)$. Then we have:

$$4\#T + r = \dim(R_\infty) \geq \dim(R_\infty/\mathfrak{p}) \geq \text{Depth}_{R_\infty}(S_\infty) \geq \text{Depth}_{\mathcal{J}_\infty}(S_\infty) = 4\#T + r$$

Hence, all these inequalities are equalities and as $\dim(R_\infty) = 4\#T + r$, we see that \mathfrak{p} is a minimal prime ideal of R_∞ . Thus, $\text{Supp}_{R_\infty}(S_\infty)$ is a union of irreducible components of $\text{Spec}(R_\infty)$. The same statement is true in the prime world.

Now $\text{Spec}(R'_\infty)$ is irreducible, so $\text{Supp}_{R'_\infty}(S'_\infty) = \text{Spec}(R'_\infty)$. By P3) we get $\text{Supp}_{R_\infty/\lambda}(S_\infty/\lambda)$. Using P4), we see $\text{Supp}_{R_\infty}(S_\infty) = \text{Spec}(R_\infty)$ and finally, by P6), $\text{Supp}_{R_\emptyset^{\text{univ}}}(S_\emptyset) = \text{Spec}(R_\emptyset^{\text{univ}})$. \square

Now how does one find r s.t. P1) and P2) both hold? We have the following facts:

- S_Q is finite free over $\mathcal{O}[\Delta_Q]$ (here using quaternion algebra makes life easier, since one only has to analyze functions on a finite set).
- One can find a sequence $(Q_N)_N$ of finite sets of finite places and a number r s.t.
 - $\#Q_N = r$ for all N ,
 - $\forall v \in Q_N : \#k(v) \equiv 1 \pmod{p^N}$,
 - $R_{Q_N}^\square$ is topologically generated over R^{loc} by $\#T - 1 - [F : \mathbb{Q}] + r$ elements,
 - $\bar{\rho}(\text{Frob}_v)$ has two distinct eigenvalues for all $v \in Q_N$.

Comments:

- For $N \rightarrow \infty$, S_{Q_N} is free over $\mathcal{O}[\Delta_{Q_N}]$ with Δ_{Q_N} a larger and larger p -power group. So, the first guess is to put

$$S_\infty = \varprojlim_N S_{Q_N}$$

(or maybe replace the S_{Q_N} by some quotients). This does not make sense! We don't even have the maps between the different S_{Q_N} to build a projective system.

- The number of elements needed to present $R_{Q_N}^\square$ topologically over R^{loc} is measured by some cohomology group (depending on Q). There is a natural "global version" of this group, whose rank gives a candidate for the number r .
- Trick to build a projective system: Take quotients of S_{Q_N} that have finite cardinality and construct artificially a projective system which maps that exist for cardinality reasons.
- \mathcal{J}_∞ is just a power series ring over \mathcal{J} in the correct number of variables (namely r , so that $\dim(\mathcal{J}_\infty) = \dim(R_\infty)$ works out).