

# Notes on Galois Representations

Thomas Manopulo

## Contents

1	Generalities	1
2	Local representations for $\ell \neq p$	3
3	Local representations with $p = \ell$ and $p$ -adic Hodge theory	7
4	Global Galois Representations and The Fontaine-Mazur Conjecture	9
5	The Global Langlands Correspondence for characters	10

In this pdf I gather some notes written while studying for a course on the Arithmetic of the Langlands programme taught by [Ana Caraiani](#) in the winter term 2022/2023. I mainly follow Toby Gee's lecture notes [\[Gee\]](#) from the Arizona Winter School and Fargues' writing [\[Fa\]](#), but most of the content is from Professor Caraiani's lectures which I haven't quite matched up with any particular reference. These notes are quite lacking in proofs and proper arguments, and their purpose (while mostly being my personal learning and to create a sort-of birds eye view of the topic) is purely expository. I'm extremely happy if you can make any use of them and please contact me<sup>1</sup> if you're interested in sharing your remarks, typos, corrections or any suggestions to improve my writing.

## 1 Generalities

**Definition 1.1.** Let  $K'/K$  be a normal separable extension of fields. The Galois group  $\text{Gal}(K'/K)$  is endowed with a profinite topology by endowing each its finite quotients

$$\text{Gal}(K'/K) \twoheadrightarrow \text{Gal}(K''/K), K' \supseteq K''/K < \infty$$

with the discrete topology:

$$\text{Gal}(K'/K) = \varprojlim_{K''/K < \infty} \text{Gal}(K''/K).$$

If  $\overline{K}$  is a fixed separable closure of  $K$ , we denote by  $G_K$  the Galois group  $\text{Gal}(\overline{K}/K)$ .

**Definition 1.2.** A *Galois representation* is a continuous group homomorphism

$$\rho : G_K \rightarrow \text{GL}_n(L)$$

where  $L$  is a topological field and  $\text{GL}_n(K) \subseteq L^{n^2}$  is given the subspace topology. If  $L = \mathbf{C}$  then  $\rho$  is called an *Artin representation*.

---

<sup>1</sup>My email: [s6thmano@uni-bonn.de](mailto:s6thmano@uni-bonn.de)

**Example 1.3.** Let  $K/\mathbf{Q}$  be the splitting field of the polynomial  $x^3 - x - 1$ . We wonder under what assumptions on the prime  $p$  the polynomial  $x^3 - x - 1$  splits completely in  $\mathbf{F}_p[x]$ . The Galois group  $\text{Gal}(K/\mathbf{Q})$  is isomorphic to the symmetric group  $S_3$ , since its order is 6 and is non-abelian. By considering the action of  $S_3$  on the three roots of  $x^3 - x - 1$  we get a continuous representation

$$G_{\mathbf{Q}} \twoheadrightarrow \text{Gal}(K/\mathbf{Q}) \hookrightarrow \text{GL}_3(\mathbf{C})$$

with finite image and, being a permutation action, we get a decomposition of  $\mathbf{Q}^3$  into irreducible subrepresentations

$$\mathbf{C}^3 = \{(x_1, x_2, x_3) \mid x_1 + x_2 + x_3 = 0\} \oplus \{(x_1, x_2, x_3) \mid x_1 = x_2 = x_3\}$$

where  $G_{\mathbf{Q}}$  acts trivially on the second. Restricting to the first we get a 2-dimensional representation

$$\rho : G_{\mathbf{Q}} \rightarrow \text{GL}_2(\mathbf{C}).$$

If we fix an isomorphism between  $\overline{\mathbf{Q}}_p$  and the complex numbers  $\mathbf{C}$  we obtain a map

$$G_{\mathbf{Q}_p} \rightarrow G_{\mathbf{Q}}$$

and thus the representation  $\rho$  can be pulled back to a Galois representation of  $G_{\mathbf{Q}_p}$ . We have a short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow I_{\mathbf{Q}_p} \rightarrow G_{\mathbf{Q}_p} \rightarrow G_{\mathbf{F}_p} \cong \widehat{\mathbf{Z}} \rightarrow 0$$

where the right-most map simply restricts a  $\mathbf{Q}_p$ -automorphism  $\sigma : \overline{\mathbf{Q}}_p \xrightarrow{\cong} \overline{\mathbf{Q}}_p$  to the integers  $\overline{\mathbf{Z}}_p \subseteq \overline{\mathbf{Q}}_p$  and then reduces mod  $p$  to get an automorphism  $\overline{\mathbf{F}}_p \rightarrow \overline{\mathbf{F}}_p$ . We denote by  $\text{Frob}_p \in G_{\mathbf{F}_p}$  the Frobenius element

$$\text{Frob}_p : x \mapsto x^p$$

which is a topological generator for  $G_{\mathbf{F}_p}$ . If we let  $F$  be any lift of  $\text{Frob}_p$  along  $G_{\mathbf{Q}_p} \rightarrow G_{\mathbf{F}_p}$  then we have the equivalence

$$x^3 - x - 1 \text{ splits completely mod } p \iff \rho(F) = \text{id}$$

because all roots of  $x^3 - x - 1$  in  $\overline{\mathbf{Q}}_p$  are integers and the image of  $\mathcal{O}_K \subseteq \overline{\mathbf{Q}}_p$  in  $\mathbf{F}_p$  is the splitting field of  $x^3 - x - 1 \in \mathbf{F}_p[x]$ . Since  $\rho$ 's image is finite,  $F$  acting trivially on  $\mathbf{C}^2$  via  $\rho$  is equivalent to requiring  $\text{tr}(\rho(F)) = \dim \rho = 2$  (because the eigenvalues of the operator  $\rho(F)$  are necessarily roots of unity by the existence of an equivariant non-degenerate form on  $\mathbf{C}^2$ , from Maschke's theorem [RepSerre]). Note that  $\text{tr}(\rho(F))$  doesn't depend on the lift  $F$ , since all such lifts differ by elements in  $I_{\mathbf{Q}_p}$ , which acts trivially on  $\mathbf{C}^2$  via  $\rho$ , and all isomorphisms  $\overline{\mathbf{Q}}_p \cong \mathbf{C}$  yield conjugate images of  $F$  in  $G_{\mathbf{Q}}$ . We've thus translated the number-theoretic problem on determining properties on the roots of a polynomial into representation-theoretic ones concerning traces of Frobenius elements.

**Remark 1.4.** The preimage  $W_{\mathbf{Q}_p}$  of the subgroup  $\mathbf{Z} \subseteq \widehat{\mathbf{Z}} \cong G_{\mathbf{F}_p}$  generated by the Frobenius element is called the Weil group, and is endowed with the topological-group structure induced by requiring  $I_{\mathbf{Q}_p} \subseteq W_{\mathbf{Q}_p}$  to be an open subgroup - in particular, it does not carry the subspace topology when viewed as a subgroup of  $G_{\mathbf{Q}_p}$ ; it will turn out to be particularly important when studying Galois representations of  $G_{\mathbf{Q}_p}$ . The Weil group  $W_K$  of an arbitrary non-archimedean field  $K$  fits into the picture painted by class field theory which describes an isomorphism of topological groups

$$\text{Art}_K : W_K^{\text{ab}} \xrightarrow{\cong} K^\times$$

such that  $\text{Art}_{K'} = \text{Art}_K \circ \text{Nm}_{K'/K}$  for all finite extensions  $K'/K$  and the projection

$$W_K^{\text{ab}} \rightarrow \mathbf{Z} \cdot \text{Frob}_K \subseteq G_K$$

identifies with the valuation  $\text{val}_K : K^\times \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}$  under this isomorphism.

Artin representations, such as the one in Example 1.3, are particularly well-behaved, because of the huge differences between the Euclidean (locally connected) topology on  $\mathbf{C}$  and the profinite (totally disconnected) one on Galois groups.

**Proposition 1.5.** *An Artin representation  $\rho : G_K \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbf{C})$  always has finite image.*

*Proof.* Using the exponential map  $\exp : U \subseteq \mathfrak{gl}_n(\mathbf{C}) \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbf{C})$  defined on a neighbourhood  $U \subseteq \mathfrak{gl}_n(\mathbf{C})$  of the identity, which is a diffeomorphism onto an open subset of the identity  $\mathrm{id} \in \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbf{C})$ , one can produce an open neighbourhood  $V \subseteq \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbf{C})$  of the identity which contains no subgroups of  $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbf{C})$  aside from  $\{\mathrm{id}\}$ . Thus  $\rho^{-1}(V)$  contains no subgroups of  $G_K$  and is open; hence  $\rho^{-1}(V) = \ker \rho$  is open and  $\implies \mathrm{im} \rho$  is finite since  $\rho$  factors through one of the projections  $G_K \twoheadrightarrow \mathrm{Gal}(K'/K)$  where  $K'/K < \infty$ . ■

**Example 1.6.** In contrast, a Galois representation with values in  $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbf{Q}_p)$  might well have infinite image. For instance, suppose  $\{\zeta_n\}_{n \geq 1}$  is a set of compatible  $p^n$ -th roots of unity in  $\overline{\mathbf{Q}}$  (i.e.  $\zeta_n^p = \zeta_{n-1}$ ). Then for every  $\sigma \in G_{\mathbf{Q}}$  we have

$$\sigma(\zeta_n) = \zeta_n^{a_{\sigma,n}}, \quad (p, a_{\sigma,n}) = 1$$

and the elements  $(\bar{a}_{\sigma,n} \in \mathbf{Z}/p^n\mathbf{Z})_n$  assemble to a  $p$ -adic unit  $a_{\sigma} \in \mathbf{Z}_p^{\times}$  by compatibility of the family  $(\zeta_n)_n$  of roots of unity. We thus get a surjective continuous group homomorphism

$$\epsilon_p : G_{\mathbf{Q}} \twoheadrightarrow \mathbf{Z}_p^{\times} \subseteq \mathrm{GL}_1(\mathbf{Q}_p)$$

- the continuity follows from the fact that  $\epsilon_p$  is a limit of the maps

$$G_{\mathbf{Q}} \twoheadrightarrow \mathrm{Gal}(\mathbf{Q}(\zeta_n)/\mathbf{Q}) \xrightarrow{\cong} (\mathbf{Z}/p^n\mathbf{Z})^{\times}$$

which are all continuous.

**Remark 1.7.** Possibly the most fruitful and interesting source of Galois representations is from the  $\ell$ -adic étale cohomology of an algebraic variety: if  $X/K$  is a variety where  $K/\mathbf{Q}$  is a number field, one can define the  $\ell$ -adic étale cohomology groups  $H_{\mathrm{ét}}^i(X_{\overline{K}}, \mathbf{Q}_{\ell})$  which naturally carry an action of the Galois group  $G_K$ , since these groups are defined on the base change of  $X$  to  $K$ 's separable closure  $\overline{K}$ . These  $\mathbf{Q}_p$  vector spaces will turn out to be our source of Galois representations when we'll be interested in constructing them from modular forms: the Deligne-Serre theorem addresses the problem on whether there exist Galois representations  $\rho$  as in Example 1.3 such that the traces of Frobenius elements are the prescribed elements  $a_p \in \mathbf{Z}$  for varying  $p$ ; above we had  $a_p = 2$  if and only if  $x^3 - x - 1$  splits completely modulo  $p$ .

## 2 Local representations for $\ell \neq p$

In this section we discuss representations of Galois groups  $G_K$  for  $K/\mathbf{Q}_{\ell}$  a finite extension with values in  $\mathrm{GL}_n(L)$  for a fixed algebraic extension  $L/\mathbf{Q}_p$  with  $p \neq \ell$ .

A central tool in this setting is the wild ramification subgroup  $P \subseteq \mathrm{Gal}(\overline{K}/K)$ .

**Definition 2.1.** For every finite extension  $K'/K$  we define the inertia subgroup

$$I_{K'/K} = \{\sigma \in \mathrm{Gal}(K'/K) \mid \sigma|_{\mathcal{O}_{K'}/\mathfrak{m}_{K'}} = \mathrm{id}\}$$

and its wild ramification subgroup

$$P_{K'/K} = \left\{ \sigma \in \mathrm{Gal}(K'/K) \mid \sigma|_{\mathcal{O}_{K'}/\mathfrak{m}_{K'}^2} = \mathrm{id} \right\} \subseteq I_{K'/K}.$$

The following lemma provides a description of  $P_{K'/K}$ ; the real take-away is that it's a *normal*  $\ell$ -Sylow of the inertia group  $I_{K'/K}$  - evidently this will play a role when considering *p-adic* representations of  $\mathrm{Gal}(K'/K)$ , because of the natural contrast between of pro- $p$  and pro- $\ell$  topologies.

**Lemma 2.2.** *Let  $K'/K$  be a finite Galois extension of degree  $e$  which is totally ramified (i.e.  $K'$  and  $K$  have equal residue fields).*

1.  $P_{K'/K} \subseteq I_{K'/K}$  is a normal subgroup,
2.  $P_{K'/K}$  is an  $\ell$ -group,
3.  $I_{K'/K}^t = I_{K'/K}/P_{K'/K}$  has order equal to the prime-to- $\ell$  part of  $e$  (called the tame inertia).
4. There exists a canonical embedding

$$\theta : I_{K'/K}^t \hookrightarrow \mu_e(k)$$

where  $\mu_e(k)$  is the group of  $e$ -th power roots of unity in  $\bar{k}$  and  $k$  is  $K$ 's residue field, whose image is the subgroup of elements of order less than or equal to the prime-to- $\ell$  part of  $e$ .

*Proof.* We first define  $\theta : I_{K'/K} \rightarrow \mu_e(k)$  and then check all the due properties by generalities on the group  $\mu_e(k)$  and its prime-part-to- $\ell$  torsion subgroup. If  $\varpi_{K'} \in K'$ ,  $\varpi_K \in K$  are uniformisers and by hypothesis on the extension  $K'/K$  we have the relation

$$\varpi_K = u\varpi_{K'}^e$$

for some unit  $u \in \mathcal{O}_{K'}^\times$ . For every element  $\sigma \in I_{K'/K}$  we can apply  $\sigma$  to the above equation and thus

$$\varpi_K = \sigma(u)\sigma(\varpi_{K'})^e;$$

expressing  $\sigma(\varpi_{K'}) = \theta_\sigma \varpi_{K'}$  it follows that

$$\frac{\sigma(u)}{u} \theta_\sigma^e = 1 \implies \bar{\theta}_\sigma \in \mu_e(\bar{k})$$

since  $\sigma(u) \equiv u$  because  $\sigma \in I_{K'/K}$ . A simple check shows that

$$\begin{aligned} \theta : I_{K'/K} &\rightarrow \mu_e(\bar{k}) \\ \theta &\mapsto \theta_\sigma \end{aligned}$$

is a group homomorphism independent on our choices of uniformisers. The kernel

$$\ker \theta = \{\sigma \in I_{K'/K} \mid \sigma \varpi_{K'} = \varpi_{K'}\}$$

evidently just equals  $P_{K'/K}$ .

As for the second point, suppose  $\sigma \in P_{K'/K}$  is an element of order  $m$  where  $m$  and  $\ell$  are coprime and assume for the sake of contradiction that  $\sigma(x) \neq x$  for some  $x \in \mathcal{O}_{K'}$ ; this implies there exists  $i \geq 0$  such that

$$\sigma(x) - x \in \mathfrak{m}_{K'}^i, \text{ and } \sigma(x) - x \notin \mathfrak{m}_{K'}^{i+1}.$$

Then  $\sigma^{j+1}(x) - \sigma^j(x) \equiv \sigma(x) - x \pmod{\mathfrak{m}_{K'}^{i+1}}$  since  $\sigma \in I_{K'/K}$  and thus

$$\sigma^m(x) - x = \sigma(x)^m - \sigma^{m-1}(x) + \dots + (-1)^m(\sigma(x) - x) \equiv m(\sigma(x) - x) \pmod{\mathfrak{m}_{K'}^{i+1}}.$$

But since  $\sigma^m(x) = x$  and  $m$  is invertible in  $k$  we get a contradiction by our hypothesis on  $i$ . ■

**Remark 2.3.** The groups  $I_{K'/K}, \mu_e(\bar{k})$  both naturally carry an action of the full Galois group  $\text{Gal}(K'/K)$  since  $I_{K'/K} \subseteq \text{Gal}(K'/K)$  is normal. It thus makes sense to ask if  $\theta$  respects these actions.

**Lemma 2.4.** *The map  $\theta : I_{K'/K} \xrightarrow{\cong} \mu_e(k)$  is a morphism of  $\text{Gal}(K'/K)$ -groups, in the sense that*

$$\theta(\tau\sigma\tau^{-1}) = \tau(\theta(\sigma))$$

*for all  $\tau \in \text{Gal}(K'/K)$  and  $\sigma \in I_{K'/K}$ .*

*Proof.* This is a pretty straightforward computation by the explicit description in the proof of Lemma 2.2. ■

**Remark 2.5.** The previous lemma shows that in fact the subfield  $K^{\text{tame}}/K$  is not an abelian extension, if it's non-trivial.

**Remark 2.6.** Having constructed and described  $P_{K'}/K$  for all finite totally ramified extensions  $K'/K$  we can set  $P_K \subseteq I_K$  as the projective limit of all the finite wild ramification subgroups.

**Remark 2.7.** If we choose a compatible system of roots of unity  $\zeta = (\zeta_m)_{(m,\ell)=1}$  then we have an isomorphism

$$t_\zeta : I_K/P_K \xrightarrow{\cong} \prod_{\ell \neq p} \mathbf{Z}_p = \varprojlim_{(m,\ell)=1} \mathbf{Z}/(m)$$

defined by

$$\frac{\sigma(\varpi_K^{\frac{1}{m}})}{\varpi_K^{\frac{1}{m}}} = \zeta_m^{t_\zeta(\sigma)}.$$

We denote by  $t_{\zeta,p}$  the composition of  $t_\zeta$  with the projection onto  $\mathbf{Z}_p$ . These *characters* will turn out to be useful in describing  $p$ -adic Galois representations.

**Definition 2.8.** Let  $L$  be a field of characteristic 0. A *Weil-Deligne representation* of  $W_K$  on a finite dimensional  $L$ -vector space  $V$  is a pair  $(r, N)$  where  $r$  is an open-kernel representation of  $W_K$  on  $V$  and  $N \in \text{End}(V)$  satisfies the following equality

$$r(\sigma)Nr(\sigma)^{-1} = (\#k)^{-\text{val}_K(\sigma)}N$$

**Definition 2.9.** 1. An element  $A \in \text{GL}_n(L)$  is *bounded* if  $\det A \in \mathcal{O}_L^\times$  and  $A$ 's characteristic polynomial has coefficients in  $\mathcal{O}_L$ .

2. A Weil-Deligne representation is *bounded* if  $r(\sigma)$  is bounded for all  $\sigma \in W_K$ .

**Remark 2.10.** The operator  $A \in \text{GL}_n(L)$  is bounded if and only if  $A$  stabilises an  $\mathcal{O}_L$ -lattice in  $L^n$  - note that this does not imply that any Weil-Deligne representation stabilises a lattice - this is true however if we know  $r$ 's image is *finite*.

**Theorem 2.11** (Grothendieck's Monodromy Theorem). *Suppose  $\ell \neq p$  are two primes,  $K/\mathbf{Q}_\ell$  is a finite field extension and  $L/\mathbf{Q}_p$  is an algebraic extension.*

1. *Given a Galois representation  $\rho : G_K \rightarrow \text{GL}(V)$  where  $V$  is a finite-dimensional  $L$ -vector space, there exists a finite extension  $K'/K$  and a unique endomorphism  $N \in \text{End}(V)$  such that the equation*

$$\rho(\sigma) = \exp(N \cdot t_{\zeta,p}(\sigma))$$

*for all  $\sigma \in I_{K'}$ .*

2. *There is an equivalence of categories between finite-dimensional continuous representations of  $G_K$  with values in  $L$  and the category of bounded Weil-Deligne representations with values in  $L$ ; the equivalence maps the representation  $\rho : G_K \rightarrow \text{GL}_n(L)$  to the Weil-Deligne representation  $(r, N)$  where  $N$  is as above and  $r$  is defined by*

$$r(\sigma) := \rho(\sigma) \exp(-t_{\zeta,p}(\phi^{-\text{val}_K(\sigma)})N)$$

*where  $\phi \in W_K$  is a fixed lift of  $\text{Frob}_K \in G_k$*

**Remark 2.12.** The important consequence of the above theorem is that, up to passing to a finite field extension of  $K$ , the restriction to the Weil group  $W_K$  of a Galois representation  $\rho : G_K \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_n(L)$  is completely determined by the nilpotent operator  $N \in \mathrm{Mat}_{n \times n}(L)$  and the image of a Frobenius lift  $F \in W_K$  - furthermore, the restriction of  $\rho$  to the inertia subgroup  $I_K \subseteq W_K$  *only depends on the image under the  $p$ -adic character  $t_{\zeta,p} : I_K \rightarrow I_K^t \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}_p$*  described in Remark 2.7.

*Proof.* Since  $G_K$  is a compact subgroup, its image in  $\mathrm{GL}_n(L)$  can be conjugated to a subgroup of  $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathcal{O}_L)$  and thus there exists some  $\mathcal{O}_L$ -lattice  $\Lambda \subset V$  which is  $G_K$ -stable; composing with the projection map to  $\Lambda/p\Lambda$  we get a group homomorphism

$$G_K \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathcal{O}_L/\varpi_L),$$

into a *finite group* of matrices; denote by  $G_{K'}$  the kernel, which is the absolute Galois group of a finite extension  $K'/K$ .

Note that the kernel

$$\ker(\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathcal{O}_L) \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathcal{O}_L/\varpi_L)) = \{g \in \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathcal{O}_L) \mid g - \mathrm{id}_n \equiv 0 \pmod{\varpi_L}\}$$

is pro- $p$ , since we have an explicit system of neighbourhoods of the identity given by the congruence subgroups

$$U_m = \{g \in \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathcal{O}_L) \mid g - \mathrm{id}_n \equiv 0 \pmod{\varpi_L^m}\}$$

which are such that  $U_m/U_{m+1}$  has order a prime power of  $p$  (I'm just too lazy to figure out which :p). Since the wild inertia subgroup  $P_{K'} \subseteq I_{K'}$  is pro- $\ell$  and  $\ell \neq p$ , we get that  $\rho|_{I_{K'}}$  factors through tame inertia  $I_{K'}/P_{K'} \cong \prod_{l \neq q} \mathbf{Z}_q$  and since  $\mathbf{Z}_q$  is pro- $q$  for every  $q$ , we also get that it factors through the projection  $t_{\zeta,p}$ .

Fix  $\sigma \in I_{K'}$  a lift of  $1 \in \mathbf{Z}_p$  via  $t_{\zeta,p}$  and consider the action of  $\rho(\sigma)$  on  $V$ ; we study  $\rho(\sigma)$ 's eigenvalues. Suppose  $v \in V \otimes_L \bar{L}$  is such that  $(\rho \otimes_L \bar{L})(\sigma)(v) = \lambda v$  for some  $\lambda \in \bar{L}$ . By the equation discussed in the previous lemma

$$\theta(\tau\sigma\tau^{-1}) = \tau(\theta(\sigma))$$

where  $\tau$  acts on  $\theta(\sigma)$  by  $G_K$ 's action on the roots of unity in the residue field  $\bar{k}$  (and then taking the *projective limit*<sup>2</sup> of all of these) we can specialise  $\tau$  to be the Frobenius, which thus yields

$$\theta(\mathrm{Frob}_K \sigma \mathrm{Frob}_K^{-1}) = \sigma^p$$

and thus  $\lambda^p$  is also an eigenvalue of  $\rho(\sigma)$ , because  $\rho(\sigma)$  has the same eigenvalues as any endomorphism conjugate to it, and  $\lambda^p$  is an eigenvalue of  $\rho(\sigma)$  conjugated by  $\rho(\mathrm{Frob}_K)$ .

Since the set of eigenvalues of  $\rho(\sigma)$  is finite, we get that these must be  $p$ -power roots of unity. Suppose they're all  $p^m$ -th roots of unity for  $m \geq 1$ . If we thus substitute  $K'$  with  $K''$  so that  $t_{\zeta,p}(I_{K''}^t)$  is given by the subgroup

$$p^m \cdot \mathbf{Z}_p$$

we get that  $\rho(\sigma^{p^m})$  (i.e.  $\rho(\sigma)$  for our newly replaced finite extension  $K''$ ) only has 1 as its eigenvalues  $\implies \rho(\sigma)$  is a unipotent matrix  $\implies \rho(I_K)$  is unipotent (since  $\rho$  is continuous and unipotent matrices are a closed subgroup of  $\mathrm{GL}_n(L)$ ). Since, as discussed  $\rho|_{I_{K''}}$  factors through  $t_{\zeta,p}$ , we get that  $\rho|_{I_{K''}}$  is in fact a 'one-parameter subgroup' of  $\mathrm{GL}_n(L)$  whose image lies in the subgroup of unipotent matrices. If we call  $\bar{\rho} : \mathbf{Z}_p \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_n(L)$  the map induced by  $\rho|_{I_{K''}}$ . This means we have a logarithm map

$$\log(\bar{\rho}(-) - \mathrm{id}_n) : \mathbf{Z}_p \rightarrow \mathrm{Mat}_{n \times n}(L)$$

which is well-defined since  $\bar{\rho}(-) - \mathrm{id}_n$  is a nilpotent endomorphism by our construction. Setting  $N = \log(\bar{\rho}(1) - \mathrm{id}_n) = \log(\sigma - \mathrm{id}_n)$  yields the desired matrix. ■

<sup>2</sup>Note the colimit... as I struggled to realise for a while :p

### 3 Local representations with $p = \ell$ and $p$ -adic Hodge theory

The theory of  $p$ -adic representations of  $G_{\mathbf{Q}_p}$  is far more rich and involved than that of Artin and  $\ell$ -adic representations: the freedom which comes from a compatibility of the topologies on  $G_{\mathbf{Q}_p}$  and  $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbf{Q}_p)$  gives rise to an abundance of very different looking representations - in the past century many efforts were put into trying to tune the conditions on these representations to force them to arise *from geometry*, which is the notion encompassing the links between modular forms (the automorphic side), Galois representations (coming from geometry) and the  $\ell$ -adic cohomology of smooth varieties; we'll discuss more details on this in the next section.

As motivation, we recall the classic Hodge decomposition for smooth proper varieties over  $\mathbf{Q}$ :

**Theorem 3.1** (Hodge Decomposition). *Let  $X/\mathbf{Q}$  be a smooth proper variety. Then there exists a natural isomorphism*

$$H^n(X(\mathbf{C}), \mathbf{C}) \cong \bigoplus_{i+j=n} H^i(X, \Omega_{X/\mathbf{C}}^j)$$

**Remark 3.2.** An important remark to make is that, although both left and right hand sides both descend to vector spaces which are well-defined over  $\mathbf{Q}$  - one could consider *rational* singular cohomology of the complex manifold  $X(\mathbf{C})$  and the sheaves of *rational* differential forms  $\Omega_{X/\mathbf{Q}}^j$  - the isomorphism in Theorem 3.1 is of *transcendental nature*, and requires an extension of scalars to what is called a *period ring*, chosen to contain all integrals of differential forms along paths in  $X(\mathbf{C})$  (in this case our period ring is  $\mathbf{C}$ ). This observation forces to question whether, in a  $p$ -adic setting, one should expect an analogue of the Hodge-Tate decomposition to carry a statement about the action of the absolute Galois group  $G_{\mathbf{Q}_p}$ , since the base change to  $\mathbf{C}$  from  $\mathbf{Q}$  is unavoidable in this setting. The Tate twists are important to present this analogue.

**Definition 3.3.** Let  $V$  be a  $G_K$ -representation over  $\mathbf{Q}_p$ . The  $j$ -th Tate twist  $V(j)$  of  $V$  is the tensor product of  $V$  with the the  $j$ -power of the cyclotomic character

$$\epsilon_p^{\otimes j} : G_K \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}_p^\times.$$

We can now state the mentioned  $p$ -adic analogue of Theorem 3.1.

**Theorem 3.4.** *Let  $X/K$  be a smooth proper variety, where  $K/\mathbf{Q}_p$  is a finite field extension. There exists a  $G_K$ -equivariant isomorphism*

$$H_{\text{ét}}^n(X_{\overline{K}}, \mathbf{Q}_p)_{\mathbf{C}_p} \cong \bigoplus_{i+j=n} H^i(X, \Omega_{X/K}^j) \otimes_K \mathbf{C}_p(-j).$$

An analysis of the Galois cohomology of  $\mathbf{C}_p$  will allow us to understand what restrictions Theorem 3.4 forces on the associated Galois representation.

**Theorem 3.5** (Tate). *For  $i \neq 0$  we have*

$$H^0(G_K, \mathbf{C}_p(i)) = H^1(G_K, \mathbf{C}_p(i)) = 0$$

*and for the zero-th Tate twist*

$$H^0(G_K, \mathbf{C}_p) = H^1(G_K, \mathbf{C}_p) = K.$$

In particular, we see that there are no non-zero continuous  $G_K$ -homomorphisms  $\mathbf{C}_p(i) \rightarrow \mathbf{C}_p(j)$  for distinct integers  $i$  and  $j$ .

**Definition 3.6.** The integers  $j$  appearing in the decomposition of Theorem 3.4 are called the Hodge-Tate weights.

**Remark 3.7.** By Theorem 3.5, we see that the Hodge Tate weights can be directly computed by taking invariants:

$$H^i(X, \Omega_{X/K}^j) \cong (H_{\text{ét}}^{i+j}(X_{\overline{K}}, \mathbf{Q}_p) \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}_p} \mathbf{C}_p(j))^{G_K}.$$

Define the *Hodge-Tate period ring* as the  $\mathbf{C}_p$ -algebra

$$B_{\text{HT}} = \mathbf{C}_p[t, t^{-1}]$$

which carries the  $G_K$  action by acting on the one-dimensional subspace  $\mathbf{C}_p \cdot t^j$  as the  $j$ -th Tate twist of  $\mathbf{C}_p$ ; then we have the equality

$$(H_{\text{ét}}^n(X_{\overline{K}}, \mathbf{Q}_p) \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}_p} B_{\text{HT}})^{G_K} = \bigoplus_{i+j=n} H^i(X, \Omega_{X/K}^j).$$

and the right-hand side is *essentially* equal to the étale cohomology group  $H_{\text{ét}}^n(X_{\overline{K}}, \mathbf{Q}_p)$  - the only difference being the missing Tate-twists (i.e. the Galois action doesn't match); more precisely, we see that it *is* isomorphic to  $H_{\text{ét}}^n(X_{\overline{K}}, \mathbf{Q}_p)$  as a  $\mathbf{Q}_p$ -vector space. These observations yield the equality

$$\dim_K (H_{\text{ét}}^n(X_{\overline{K}}, \mathbf{Q}_p) \otimes B_{\text{HT}})^{G_K} = \dim_K H_{\text{ét}}^n(X_{\overline{K}}, \mathbf{Q}_p).$$

**Definition 3.8.** A Galois representation  $V$  of  $G_K$  over  $\mathbf{Q}_p$  is called *Hodge-Tate* or  *$B_{\text{HT}}$ -admissible* if the equality

$$\dim_K (V \otimes_K B_{\text{HT}})^{G_K} = \dim_{\mathbf{Q}_p} V$$

holds.

We have another stringent condition on Galois representations arising from the étale cohomology of varieties, under additional regularity assumptions. If  $X/K$  is a proper smooth variety with good reduction - i.e.  $X$  admits a proper *smooth* integral model  $\mathfrak{X}/\mathcal{O}_K$  - then we have an isomorphism of Galois representations

$$H_{\text{ét}}^i(X_{\overline{K}}, \mathbf{Q}_p) \cong H_{\text{ét}}^i(X_{\overline{k}}, \mathbf{Q}_p)$$

which follows from the smooth and proper base change theorems, cfr. Part 1 section 20 in Milne's notes [Mil]. This shows that the action of  $G_K$  on  $H_{\text{ét}}^i(X_{\overline{K}}, \mathbf{Q}_p)$  factors through the quotient  $G_K \twoheadrightarrow G_k \cong \widehat{Z}$  by the inertia subgroup  $I_K \subseteq G_K$ .

**Definition 3.9.** A Galois representation  $\rho$  of  $G_K$  for  $K$  a local non-archimedean field is called *unramified* if it factors through the quotient  $G_K \rightarrow G_k$  by its inertia subgroup.  $\rho$  is called *potentially unramified* if there exists a finite field extension  $K'/K$  such that the restriction  $\rho|_{G_{K'}}$  is unramified.

**Exercise 3.10** (Hodge-Tate characters). We have a description of one-dimensional Hodge-Tate representations, following Exercise 6.4.3 in [ConBr].

1. A continuous character  $\eta : G_K \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}_p^\times$  is Hodge-Tate if and only if  $\eta(n) := \eta \otimes \epsilon_p(n)$  is potentially unramified for some  $n \in \mathbf{Z}$ .
2. The characters  $(\epsilon_p^{p-1})^a$  are never Hodge-Tate if  $a \in \mathbf{Z}_p \setminus \mathbf{Z}$ .
3. A Galois character  $\eta : G_K \rightarrow \overline{\mathbf{Q}_p}^\times$  is Hodge-Tate if and only if there exists an open subset  $U \subseteq K^\times$  such that for all  $a \in U$  we have

$$\eta(a) = \prod_{\tau \in I} \tau(a)^{n_\tau}$$

where  $I$  is some collection of embeddings  $K \hookrightarrow \overline{\mathbf{Q}_p}$ ,  $n_\tau$  are integers and we identify  $W_K^{\text{ab}}$  with  $K^\times$  via the Artin map described in Remark 1.4 - note that  $\eta|_{W_K}$  factors through  $W_K \twoheadrightarrow W_K^{\text{ab}} \cong K^\times$  since  $\overline{\mathbf{Q}_p}^\times$  is abelian.



**Remark 3.11.** While the previous exercise shows that for one-dimensional  $p$ -adic representations of  $G_K$ , Hodge-Tate admissibility completely characterises *geometric* representations. For higher dimensions this is no longer true and one has to replace the ring  $B_{\text{HT}}$  with a different period ring, refining this condition. We refrain from describing any further theory on this matter since the construction of  $B_{\text{dR}}$  is rather involved and of similar spirit to  $B_{\text{HT}}$ ; we mention however that  $B_{\text{dR}}$  is a filtered  $G_K$ -ring so that its associated graded ring is  $B_{\text{HT}}$ , and that there exists a  $G_K$ -equivariant isomorphism mimicking Theorem 3.4

$$H_{\text{ét}}^n(X_{\overline{K}}, \mathbf{Q}_p) \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}_p} B_{\text{dR}} \cong H_{\text{dR}}^n(X/K) \otimes_K B_{\text{dR}}.$$

This yields an equation analogous to the one described in Remark 3.7. The condition

$$\dim_K(V \otimes_K B_{\text{dR}})^{G_K} = \dim_{\mathbf{Q}_p} V$$

is analogously called *de Rham admissibility*.

## 4 Global Galois Representations and The Fontaine-Mazur Conjecture

We now focus on Galois representations of finite field extensions  $F/\mathbf{Q}$  of the rational numbers, in an attempt to globalise results discussed in the previous two sections.

For each finite place  $v$  of  $F$  we denote by  $F_v$  the completion at  $v$ . If  $F'/F$  is a finite Galois extension, the Galois group  $\text{Gal}(F'/F)$  permutes transitively places of  $F'$  above  $v$ ; we denote by  $\text{Gal}(F'/F)_w$  the stabiliser of the place  $w$  above  $v$ , often called the *decomposition group*. There is a natural isomorphism of Galois groups

$$\text{Gal}(F'/F)_w \xrightarrow{\cong} \text{Gal}(F'_w/F_v)$$

and thus an embedding  $\text{Gal}(F'_w/F_v) \hookrightarrow \text{Gal}(F'/F)$  which is well-defined up to  $\text{Gal}(F'/F)$ -conjugacy. If the extension  $F'/F$  is unramified at  $v$ , then  $\text{Frob}_{F_v} \in \text{Gal}(F'_w/F_v)$  is a well-defined element and we obtain a conjugacy class  $[\text{Frob}_v]$  of Frobenius elements in  $\text{Gal}(F'/F)$  via the distinct conjugate embeddings  $\text{Gal}(F'_w/F_v) \hookrightarrow \text{Gal}(F'/F)$ . The following theorem illustrates why these many conjugacy classes are useful tools in our study.

**Theorem 4.1** (Chebotarev Density Theorem). *If  $F'/F$  is a Galois extension of number fields, unramified outside a finite set  $S$  of places of  $F$ , then the union of Frobenius-conjugacy classes*

$$\{[\text{Frob}_v]\}_{v \notin S} \subseteq \text{Gal}(F'/F)$$

*is dense in  $\text{Gal}(F'/F)$ .*

A proof can be found in the online notes [Tri].

**Remark 4.2.** As described in [Tri], Theorem 4.1 is a generalisation of Dirichlet's theorem on primes in arithmetic progression, and is proven using similar analytic tools.

**Remark 4.3.** Paired with the Brauer-Nesbitt theorem, Theorem 4.1 can be used to show that completely reducible (continuous) Galois representations

$$\rho : G_F \rightarrow \text{GL}_n(L)$$

are determined by the set of characteristic polynomials

$$\{\text{char}(\rho(\text{Frob}_v))\}_{v \notin S}$$

and, if  $L$ 's characteristic is 0, even just their traces

$$\{\text{tr}(\rho(\text{Frob}_v))\}_{v \notin S}.$$

**Definition 4.4.** A Galois representation  $\rho : G_F \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_n(L)$  with  $L/\mathbf{Q}_p$  a finite extension is called *geometric* if it is unramified outside a finite set  $S$  of places of  $F$  and if for all places  $v$  over  $p$  the representation  $\rho|_{G_{F_v}}$  is de Rham.

We're now at the point where we can state the crux of these notes:

**Conjecture 4.5** (Fontaine-Mazur). *Any irreducible geometric representation*

$$\rho : G_F \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_n(\overline{\mathbf{Q}}_p)$$

*is a subquotient of a representation of the form*

$$H_{\acute{e}t}^i(X_{\overline{F}}, \mathbf{Q}_p)(j)$$

*for some proper smooth variety  $X$  over  $F$ .*

The case where  $n = 1$  can be boiled down to a statement about global class field theory, and requires an interpretation of one-dimensional Galois representations as automorphic forms; this is at the heart of the Langlands Correspondence.

## 5 The Global Langlands Correspondence for characters

For the rest of these notes, we reference Fargues' article [Fa] and discuss the Global Langlands Correspondence for characters.

We fix a number field  $F/\mathbf{Q}$  and consider the induced torus

$$T = \mathrm{Res}_{F/\mathbf{Q}} \mathbf{G}_{m,F}$$

so that the base change to  $\overline{\mathbf{Q}}$  splits

$$T_{\overline{\mathbf{Q}}} = \prod_{\tau: F \hookrightarrow \overline{\mathbf{Q}}} \mathbf{G}_{m,\overline{\mathbf{Q}}}.$$

Thus the groups of characters  $X^*(T) = \mathrm{Hom}_{\overline{\mathbf{Q}}} (T_{\overline{\mathbf{Q}}}, \mathbf{G}_m)$  can be described as the lattice

$$X^*(T) = \left\{ \sum_{\tau: F \hookrightarrow \overline{\mathbf{Q}}} a_{\tau}[\tau] \mid a_{\tau} \in \mathbf{Z} \right\}.$$

Since  $T$  splits over  $F$ , we see that by considering the group of  $F$ -rational points and precomposing with the unit of the adjunction  $- \times_{\mathbf{Q}} F \dashv \mathrm{Res}_{F/\mathbf{Q}}(-)$ , any character gives rise to a group homomorphism

$$\begin{aligned} F^{\times} &\longrightarrow \overline{\mathbf{Q}}^{\times} \\ x &\longmapsto \prod_{\tau} \tau(x)^{a_{\tau}}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $G_{\mathbf{Q}}$  acts on  $X^*(T)$  via post-composing each of the embeddings  $\tau$  with a field automorphism  $\sigma : \overline{\mathbf{Q}} \rightarrow \overline{\mathbf{Q}}$ . The use of  $T$  becomes apparent once we consider its  $\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{Q}}$ -rational points, where  $\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{Q}}$  is the ring of  $\mathbf{Q}$ -adeles:

$$T(\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{Q}}) = \mathbf{A}_F^{\times}.$$

**Definition 5.1.** A Hecke character of  $F$  is a continuous character

$$\chi : \mathbf{A}_F^{\times}/F^{\times} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^{\times}$$

from the idèle class group of  $F$  to the complex numbers.

Hecke characters form the *automorphic side* of the Global Langlands Correspondence for one-dimensional representations.

**Remark 5.2.** Any  $\chi$  as in Definition 5.1 decomposes as a **restricted product**

$$\chi = \bigotimes_v^I \chi_v = \chi_f \otimes \chi_\infty$$

where  $\chi_\infty : F_\infty^\times / F^\times \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$  is continuous and  $\chi_f : \mathbf{A}_{F,f}^\times / F^\times \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$  is trivial on an open compact subgroup of the finite adeles  $\mathbf{A}_{F,f}^\times$ . Thus  $\chi_v$  is unramified for all but finitely many finite places  $v$  - i.e. is trivial on the inertia subgroup  $\mathcal{O}_{F_v}^\times \subseteq F_v^\times \cong G_{K_v}^{\text{ab}}$  (by Artin Reciprocity 1.4).

**Definition 5.3.** Suppose  $\rho \in X^\times(T)$  is a character of  $T$ . A Hecke character  $\chi$  of  $F$  is *algebraic of weight*  $\rho$  is

$$\chi|_{(F_\infty^\times)^\circ} = \rho^{-1} : T(\mathbf{R})^\circ \hookrightarrow T(\mathbf{R}) \hookrightarrow T(\mathbf{C}) \xrightarrow{\rho^{-1}} \mathbf{C}^\times.$$

**Remark 5.4.** Since all infinite places  $v \mid \infty$  are so that  $F_v$  is either the real or complex numbers, we can characterise algebraic Hecke characters as follows:

- if  $v$  is real, then

$$\chi_v(x) = \text{sign}(x)^{\pm 1} \cdot |x|^n$$

for some  $n \in \mathbf{Z}$ .

- if  $v$  is complex, then

$$\chi_v(z) = z^p \bar{z}^q$$

for some integers  $p, q \in \mathbf{Z}$ .

The first of the following examples should motivate this notion: algebraic Hecke characters are a generalisation of Artin representations for the abelianised (global) Galois group  $G_F$ .

**Example 5.5.** 1. If  $\chi$  is algebraic of weight  $\rho = 0$ , then by definition

$$\chi : \mathbf{A}_F^\times / \overline{(F_\infty^\times)^\circ F^\times} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^\times$$

and by global Artin reciprocity the isomorphism

$$\text{Art}_F : \mathbf{A}_F^\times / \overline{(F_\infty^\times)^\circ F^\times} \xrightarrow{\cong} G_F^{\text{ab}}$$

identifies  $\chi$  as a one-dimensional Artin representation for  $F$ .

2. The idelic norm

$$\| - \| : \mathbf{A}_F^\times / F^\times \rightarrow \mathbf{R}_+^\times$$

is algebraic of weight

$$\rho = \text{Nm}_{F/\mathbf{Q}}^{-1} = - \sum_{\tau: F \hookrightarrow \overline{\mathbf{Q}}} [\tau]$$

since we have

$$T(\mathbf{R})^\circ = \prod_{\tau: F \hookrightarrow \mathbf{R}} \mathbf{R}_+ \times \prod_{\tau, \bar{\tau}: F \hookrightarrow \mathbf{C}} \mathbf{C}$$

and the adelic norm computed on  $(x_\tau)_\tau \in T(\mathbf{R})^\circ$  equals

$$\|(x_\tau)\| := \prod_\tau |x_\tau| = \prod_\tau x_\tau = \text{Nm}_{F/\mathbf{Q}}((x_\tau)_\tau)$$

since all real numbers appearing in the above product are already positive, and for each complex number the conjugate also appears.

**Lemma 5.6.** *Let  $\chi : \mathbf{A}_F^\times / F^\times \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^\times$  be an algebraic Hecke character of weight  $\rho \in X^*(T)$ . Then there exists a number field  $E/\mathbf{Q}$  such that the image of  $\chi_f$  is contained in  $E^\times$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose  $U_f \subset \mathbf{A}_{F,f}^\times$  is a compact open subgroup contained in the kernel of  $\chi_f$ . By finiteness of the class group, which is isomorphic to the double quotient group

$$F^\times \backslash \mathbf{A}_{F,f}^\times / \prod_v \mathcal{O}_{F,f}^\times$$

we see that the group

$$F^\times \backslash \mathbf{A}_{F,f}^\times / U_f$$

is finite. Since  $\chi_f(x) = \pm \rho(x)$  for all  $x \in F^\times$  (because  $\chi_f(x^{(\infty)})\chi_\infty(x_\infty) = 1$  whenever  $x \in F^\times$  and  $F^\times$ ) it follows that  $\chi_f$  takes values in the number field generated by  $\rho(u)$  where  $u$  varies among the finitely many elements in the group  $F^\times \backslash \mathbf{A}_{F,f}^\times / U_f$ . ■

Now that we've introduced the automorphic side, starting from an algebraic Hecke character we may construct a Galois representation.

**Definition 5.7.** Let  $\chi = \chi_f \otimes \chi_\infty$  be an algebraic Hecke character of weight  $\rho$  and  $E/\mathbf{Q}$  a number field which contains the image of  $\chi_f$ . We then have a map

$$\rho^E : T = \text{Res}_{F/\mathbf{Q}} \rightarrow \text{Res}_{E/\mathbf{Q}} \mathbf{G}_m$$

which descends  $\rho : T_{\overline{\mathbf{Q}}} \rightarrow \mathbf{G}_{m,\overline{\mathbf{Q}}}$  since for all  $x \in F^\times = T(\mathbf{Q})$  we have

$$\rho(x) = \chi_f(x) \in E^\times = (\text{Res}_{E/\mathbf{Q}} \mathbf{G}_{m,E})(\mathbf{Q})$$

and the  $\mathbf{Q}$ -rational points are Zariski-dense in both induced tori.

For a fixed prime  $p$  and a prime  $\lambda \mid p$  lying over  $p$  in  $E$ , we define the continuous map

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_{\chi,\lambda} : \mathbf{A}_F^\times = F_\infty^\times \times (\mathbf{A}_{F,f}^p)^\times \times (F \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} \mathbf{Q}_p)^\times &\longrightarrow E_\lambda^\times \\ (x_\infty, x_f^p, x_p) &\longmapsto \underbrace{\frac{\chi_\infty}{\rho^{-1}}(x_\infty)}_{=\pm 1} \cdot \underbrace{\chi_f(x_p x_f^p)}_{\in E^\times} \cdot \underbrace{\rho_\lambda^{-1}(x_p)}_{\in E_\lambda^\times} \end{aligned}$$

It now follows that  $\rho_{\chi,\lambda}$  factors through  $F^\times$  and  $(F_\infty^\times)^\circ$ , is continuous for the  $\ell$ -adic topology and thus defines a continuous Galois character

$$\psi_{\chi,\lambda} : G_F^{\text{ab}} \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathbf{A}_F^\times / \overline{F^\times (F_\infty^\times)^\circ} \rightarrow E_\lambda^\times.$$

We have that  $\psi_{\chi,\lambda}$  satisfies the following properties.

**Proposition 5.8.** *Let  $S$  be a finite set of finite place of  $F$  such that  $\chi$  is unramified away from  $S$ . Then*

1. *the Galois representation  $\psi_{\chi,\lambda}$  is unramified outside  $S \cup \{v \mid p\}$  and the element*

$$\psi_{\chi,\lambda}(\text{Frob}_v) \in E^\times$$

*is independent of  $\lambda \mid p$  and of  $p$ .*

2. *for all places  $v \mid p$  dividing  $p$ , the representation*

$$\psi_{\chi,\lambda} \mid_{G_{F_v}}$$

*is potentially crystalline and is crystalline if and only if  $\chi$  is unramified at  $v$ .*

This defines for us one of the maps in the following result.

**Theorem 5.9** (Global Langlands for  $n = 1$ ). *Let  $E$  be the normal closure of the number field  $F/\mathbf{Q}$  and fix embeddings  $\iota_\infty : \overline{E} \hookrightarrow \mathbf{C}, \iota_p : \overline{E} \hookrightarrow \overline{\mathbf{Q}}_p$ . Then there exists a natural bijection between algebraic Hecke characters  $\chi : \mathbf{A}_F^\times / F^\times \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^\times$  and continuous characters  $G_F^{ab} \rightarrow \overline{\mathbf{Q}}_p^\times$  which are de Rham at all places lying over  $p$ .*

We end these notes by computing the Galois representation associated to the adelic norm

$$\chi := || - || : \mathbf{A}_F^\times \rightarrow \mathbf{R}_{>0} \subseteq \mathbf{C}$$

which, as mentioned, is an algebraic Hecke character of weight  $\rho = \text{Nm}_{F/\mathbf{Q}}^{-1} : T = \text{Res}_{F/\mathbf{Q}} \mathbf{G}_m \rightarrow \mathbf{G}_m$ . The  $\ell$ -adic norm of any  $\ell$ -adic number is rational by construction, so we may choose  $E = \mathbf{Q}$ , thus  $\rho^E$  coincides with  $\rho$ . If we fix a prime  $p$ , then the formula in Definition 5.7 yields

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_{\chi,p} : \mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{Q}}^\times &\longrightarrow \mathbf{Q}_p^\times \\ (x_\infty, x_f^p, x_p) &\longmapsto \frac{|x_\infty|}{x_\infty} \cdot ||x_f||_f \cdot x_p = \text{sign}(x_\infty) ||x_f||_f \cdot x_p. \end{aligned}$$

where  $|| - ||_f$  denotes the adélic norm on the finite places. By the Chebotarev Density Theorem 4.1 we see that identifying the induced representation

$$\psi_{||-||,p} : \text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbf{Q}}/\mathbf{Q})^{\text{ab}} \rightarrow \mathbf{Q}_p^\times$$

amounts to understanding what the values of  $\psi_{||-||,p}$  on the conjugacy classes of Frobenius elements are. Under the global Artin reciprocity map, any lift of the Frobenius element  $\text{Frob}_q \in G_{\mathbf{F}_q}$  will map to the element  $\text{Art}(\text{Frob}_q) \in \mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{Q}}^\times$  where

$$\text{Art}(\text{Frob}_q)_f^{(q)} = \text{Art}(\text{Frob}_q)_\infty = 1, \quad \text{Art}(\text{Frob}_q)_q = q.$$

So on one hand we see that

$$\psi_{||-||,p}(\text{Frob}_q) = q \in \mathbf{Q}_p^\times.$$

On the other, if  $(\zeta_{p^n})_{n \geq 1} \subset \overline{\mathbf{Q}}^\times$  is a compatible system of primitive  $p^n$ -th roots of unity and

$$\phi \in \text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbf{Q}}/\mathbf{Q})$$

is a lift of  $\text{Frob}_q \in G_{\mathbf{F}_q}$  (where we implicitly fix an isomorphism  $\overline{\mathbf{Q}}_q \cong \mathbf{C}$ ), we see that

$$\phi(\zeta_{p^n}) = \zeta_{p^n}^q$$

- indeed, by definition we have that  $\phi(\zeta_{p^n}) = \zeta_{p^n}^q + qy$  for some integer  $y \in \mathbf{Z}_q$ , but

$$|\zeta_{p^n}^q - \zeta_{p^n}^k|_q = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } q \equiv k \pmod{p^n} \\ 1 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

and thus can't be strictly less than 1 unless  $k = q$ . This shows us that

$$\epsilon_p(\phi) = \epsilon_p(\text{Frob}_q) = q$$

where  $\epsilon_p : G_{\mathbf{Q}} \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}_p^\times$  is the cyclotomic character. Since  $\epsilon_p$  and  $\psi_{||-||,p}$  agree on a dense subset of  $\text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbf{Q}}/\mathbf{Q})$  by Theorem 4.1, we can conclude that indeed the  $p$ -adic Galois representation attached to the adélic norm on the rationals corresponds to the  $p$ -adic cyclotomic character, which indeed is unramified outside  $p$  and de Rham at  $p$ .

## References

- [Gee] Toby Gee, *Modularity Lifting Theorems*, [online notes](#).
- [Fa] Laurent Fargues, *Motives and Automorphic Forms: The (Potentially) Abelian Case*, [online pdf](#).
- [Fre] Gerard Freixas i Montplet, *An Introduction to Hodge-Tate Decompositions*, [online notes](#).
- [Mil] James S. Milne, *Lectures on Étale Cohomology*, [online notes](#).
- [ConBr] Olivier Brinon, Brian Conrad, *CMI Summer School notes on  $p$ -adic Hodge Theory*, [online notes](#).
- [Tri] Nicholas George Triantafyllou, *The Chebotarev Density theorem* [online notes](#).
- [RepSerre] Jean Pierre Serre, *Linear Representations of Finite Groups*.