

Can an Unknowing Participant distinguish between Procedurally Generated and Human Designed Interiors?

Thomas O’Leary

Abstract—What’s the problem? What am I looking at? How does that help solve the problem?

Opening, Challenge, Action, Resolution

Attempt to see if procedural generated interiors can be perceived as human designed. Comparing the two together and see if participants prefer the procedurally generated designs.

different implementations of Procedural Interior Generation (PCIG) then explores ways in which Artificial Intelligence (AI) is compared to Humans.

I. INTRODUCTION

Urban open world games such as Grand Theft Auto V [1], The Division [2] and Batman: Arkham Knight [3] have such large built-up areas for players to venture in. However, only a few handpicked buildings in these large cities are accessible and have modelled interiors leaving the others to be blocked off for decorative purposes. This could be resolved by modelling and designing each room in these cities, but this would become incredibly impractical. Other issues with this can lean towards rendering and the storage of such heavily dense areas.

Procedural Generation

Procedural Generation (PCG) refers to automatically creating content using algorithms [4]. PCG has many applications in video games, some notorious examples being the world/cave generation in Minecraft [5], the texture [6] and world generation [7] in Spore [8] and the procedural texture and music generation in .kkrieger [9].

Using PCG, this largely time-consuming task of designing room interiors can be automated. And can possibly help maintain a player’s immersion within the game. An issue with this however is that PCG tool’s can be seen as boring and repetitive [10].

Through my literature review though I have found many implementations and techniques of Procedural Interior Generation (PCIG), none of these get compared to Human designed interiors.

This study looks to see if a participant is able to tell the difference between Human designed and AI generated interiors.

II. LITERATURE REVIEW

My literature review consists of two parts that I believe to be important to my research question. It first describes

A. Implementations of Procedural Interior Generation

Although PCG has a lot to show and offer in game development - being used for characters, terrain, weapons and textures - the use of Procedural Interior Generation (PCIG) in games however is scarcely come by.

A game that does use PCIG is Catlateral Damage [11], a small indie game developed by Manekoware where you play as a cat on a destructive rampage in its own house. In 2017, Chris Chung (the indie developer behind the game) wrote a case study about the level design in his game [4]. When developing Catlateral Damage, Chung was undecided on how to design the levels and ultimately went for PCIG [10]. Before the interior decoration can take place, a Squarified Treemap algorithm is used to generate the room layouts and floor plans within the level [12]. Each room generated from this algorithm has an associated data file, this file contains the type of furniture available, maximum type of each furniture, spawn probabilities, if the furniture is placeable on walls and if the player will spawn there. The furniture objects that can be placed have physics components attached, to allow them to be accurately placed within the level - for this, a Rectangle Packing algorithm is used to place these objects within allocated surface areas on the floor and other furniture objects. Concluding the case study, Chung states that most players could not notice that the levels were procedurally generated - although this is a promising statement, Chung has not shown any evidence to back this claim.

On 29th April 2021, Sony Interactive Entertainment published patent US20210121781, titled "AI-Generated Internal Environments Based On External Geometry" [13]. The patents’ description goes onto explain a Machine Learning (ML) tool that takes in data from the external structure of a virtual building and generates an interior environment just from this data. Although this is just a patent for an ML tool, this could be the start of PCIG being used in AAA Titles.

Despite there not being many implementations of PCIG in games, there are however a handful of published papers that have used their own techniques to emulate room interiors.

Multi-Agent System: In 2009, T. Germer and M. Schwarz sought out to procedurally arrange a rooms' furniture in real-time. [14]. A demonstration of this system can be found on YouTube, uploaded by T. Germer himself [15]. This system involves a Multi-Agent based solution where each furniture object, in a given room, is seen as an individual agent that seeks a suitable parent furniture object. These agents have custom semantic descriptions to allow them to create different object layouts, an example listed by the authors is a chair - a chair can either be set next to a table/desk but can also be isolated in its own surroundings leading it to have many possible parent objects [14]. Each agent has 3 states:

1) Search

- All agents start in this state, they begin by searching for possible parent objects - if a parent is found that suits its semantics the agents' state changes to *Arrange*, if a parent can't be found at all the agent is deleted. Different parts of the room are set as the root parent for agents - floor, walls, windows and doors.

2) Arrange

- In the *Arrange* state, the agent attempts to place and orient itself with the parent accordingly. Whilst doing so, it has to check for collisions with other agents in which the Separating Axis Theorem is used [16] - if no collisions are found the agents' state changes to *Rest*.

3) Rest

- In the *Rest* state, potential child agents are now able to seek this object as a parent. If the resting agents parent moves, the resting agent will move along with it - however if this move results in a collision, its parent is lost and the agents state is changed back to *Search*.

Before using this system, it requires a certain degree of user input [14]. Firstly, each room would need to have specific data such as labelled parts of the room (windows, floor, doors), how many objects, what type of objects and how many of these types can be used in this room. A user is also required to write the semantic description of each type of object - this includes object clearance distance, possible orientation values and potential parents (and what sides to correspond with). Due to the parents of each object being manually set by the user in their semantic descriptions, a hierarchy is not explicitly defined - yet handled at run-time by the system just before the agents are initialised [14].

Although a large proportion of the rooms furnishing is handled by the agents themselves, a big drawback is that each agent must have a manually defined semantic description. This could cause a lot of issues especially if many types of objects are to be used in a room.

Rule-Based Layout: Paper [17]

Statistical Relationships: Paper [18]

Constraints: In 2017 P. Henderson, K. Subr and V. Ferrari presented a data driven model that learns from the SUNCG [19] database to generate furniture layouts [20]. This database

contains over 45000 apartment layouts that are designed by humans, from this 45000 - 2500 CAD models are categorised into furniture, these models are then assigned an object class of which there is 170 of (television, bed, table). Their model is presented in such a way that it can be left to be fully automated [20], but does allow flexibility with the user allowing them to change constraints within the layout.

These constraints include:

- Room size, shape & type
- Exclusion of Object classes
- Furniture clearance
- Locations of specified furniture
- Locations of doors & windows

Upon generating layouts, the results varied dependent on the set constraints applied to the room. Layouts that had no set constraints were found to be generating in 0.04 seconds on average [20]. Whereas layouts that did have constraints applied, generated anywhere between 0.04-112 seconds on average [20]. This large difference in generation times drastically varies solely on what constraints applied - room size, object exclusion and clearance constraints all generated in 0.04 seconds on average, whereas the combination of room size and the locations of doors & windows took around 112 seconds to generate.

A user study was carried out in their paper, where 1400 pairs of layouts are presented to 8 non-experts in an image format [20]. These participants are asked to identify the layout that has a more realistic/natural setting. In each pair one layout is from their model, the other being human designed - the order in which these images are shown are randomised per pair. In this study, both constrained and unconstrained layouts are put to the test. For unconstrained layouts, they were presented in 2 different styles; 1st person and an overhead view. Layouts that were presented in 1st person, were seen to be slightly preferred over the human designs and those presented overhead were seen to be indistinguishable from human designs. Constrained layouts were only presented in an overhead format, but two sets of constraints were used:

- Fixed room size & fixed placement of a singular object
- Fixed room size & fixed door/window locations

With the constrained layouts of (i), the model layouts were seen to be indistinguishable from those of human design. Whereas the layouts of (ii), human designs were preferred over the models.

Something good. Something user study. Something bad.

B. Artificial Intelligence Compared to Humans

This is going to be a little more difficult to write about, as I haven't read a paper on this so far. And I have only managed to find 3 papers that talk about this, but I am not sure that they could be entirely relevant.

III. RESEARCH QUESTION

From the above sources, I have formed **actual research question that's totally not wip anymore**

A. hypothesis & null hypothesis

Hypothesis stuff...

IV. ARTEFACT

A. What will be made

AI that procedurally generates interior at runtime in a pre-defined room size and access to pre-made furniture assets. Will be later compared with human designed interiors (being given the same room size and assets)

B. How will I ensure Quality

Quality control. Roadmap? Unit Testing? Integration testing?

C. How will I create it

The AI will be made in the Unity game engine (Version 2020.3.12f1) **cite unity engine**

D. Why will this answer the questions

The artefact itself will not contribute towards answering the research question but will contribute towards the research that will help with answering the question. Long sentence that needs to be fixed LMAO

V. RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

A. Experimental Design

A/B test. Paper uses something similar [20].

B. Limitations

Time, resources

C. Sampling Plan

Sample size, sampling method

D. Data management plan

Managing, collecting, & storing data

E. Data Analysis

Something to do with R

F. Ethical Considerations

I plan to not commit war crimes I promise

VI. APPENDIX

Data analysis code, supporting screenshots, system development life-cycle, list of unit tests & testing plan

REFERENCES

- [1] Rockstar North, "Grand Theft Auto V," [PC Game] Rockstar Games, Steam, 2013.
- [2] Massive Entertainment, "The Division," [PC Game] Ubisoft, Steam, 2016.
- [3] Rocksteady Studios, "Batman: Arkham Knight," [PC Game] Warner Bros. Interactive Entertainment, Steam, 2015.
- [4] J. Togelius, E. Kastbjerg, D. Schedl, and G. N. Yannakakis, "What is procedural content generation? mario on the borderline," in *Proceedings of the 2nd International Workshop on Procedural Content Generation in Games*, ser. PCGames '11. New York, NY, USA: Association for Computing Machinery, 2011. [Online]. Available: <https://doi.org/10.1145/2000919.2000922>
- [5] Mojang, "Minecraft," [PC], 2011.
- [6] D. g. DeBry, H. Goffin, C. Hecker, O. Quigley, S. Shodhan, and A. Willmott, "Player-driven procedural texturing," in *ACM SIGGRAPH 2007 Sketches*, ser. SIGGRAPH '07. New York, NY, USA: Association for Computing Machinery, 2007, p. 81–es. [Online]. Available: <https://doi.org/10.1145/1278780.1278878>
- [7] K. Compton, J. Grieve, E. Goldman, O. Quigley, C. Stratton, E. Todd, and A. Willmott, "Creating spherical worlds," in *ACM SIGGRAPH 2007 Sketches*, ser. SIGGRAPH '07. New York, NY, USA: Association for Computing Machinery, 2007, p. 82–es. [Online]. Available: <https://doi.org/10.1145/1278780.1278879>
- [8] Maxis, "Spore," [PC Game] Electronic Arts, Steam, 2008.
- [9] Farbrausch, "kkreiger," [PC Game] Farbrausch, 2004.
- [10] T. Short and T. Adams, *Procedural Generation in Game Design*. CRC Press, 2017.
- [11] C. Chung, "Catlateral Damage," [PC Game] Manekoware, 2015.
- [12] F. Marson and S. Musse, "Automatic real-time generation of floor plans based on squarified treemaps algorithm," *International Journal of Computer Games Technology*, vol. 2010, 09 2010.
- [13] W. Benedetto, Sony Interactive Entertainment Inc., "AI-Generated Internal Environments Based On External Geometry," Patent Number: US20210121781, April 29 2021. [Online]. Available: https://patentscope.wipo.int/search/en/detail.jsf?docId=US322909393&_fid=WO2021081415
- [14] T. Germer and M. Schwarz, "Procedural Arrangement of Furniture for Real-Time Walkthroughs," *Computer Graphics Forum*, vol. 28, no. 8, pp. 2068 – 2078, 2009.
- [15] T. Germer, "Procedural Arrangement of Furniture for Real-Time Walkthroughs," [Online] Youtube, June 2009. [Online]. Available: <https://youtu.be/xwVUknGeycQ>
- [16] Gottschalk, S. and Lin, Ming and Manocha, Dinesh, "OBBTree: A Hierarchical Structure for Rapid Interference Detection," *Computer Graphics*, vol. 30, 10 1997.
- [17] T. Tutenel, R. Bidarra, R. Smelik, and K. J. de Kraker, "Rule-based layout solving and its application to procedural interior generation," in *Proceedings of the CASA Workshop on 3D Advanced Media in Gaming and Simulation (3AMIGAS)*, 01 2009.
- [18] L.-F. Yu, S.-K. Yeung, C.-K. Tang, D. Terzopoulos, T. F. Chan, and S. J. Osher, "Make it home: Automatic optimization of furniture arrangement," in *ACM SIGGRAPH 2011 Papers*, ser. SIGGRAPH '11. New York, NY, USA: Association for Computing Machinery, 2011. [Online]. Available: <https://doi.org/10.1145/1964921.1964981>
- [19] S. Song, F. Yu, A. Zeng, A. X. Chang, M. Savva, and T. Funkhouser, "Semantic Scene Completion from a Single Depth Image," in *2017 IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR)*, 2017, pp. 190–198.
- [20] Paul Henderson and Kartic Subr and Vittorio Ferrari, "Automatic Generation of Constrained Furniture Layouts," *Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, 2017.