Food

period \times policy mandate, \times asset tangil

period \times policy mandate_c \times current ratio_{ci}

period \times policy mandate_c \times cash assets_{ci}

period \times policy mandate, \times liabilities assets_{ci}

period \times policy mandate_c \times return on asset_{ci}

period \times policy mandate, \times sales assets

the 5%, *** Significance at the 1%.

period ×current ratio_{ci}

period \times cash assets_{ci}

period ×liabilities assets_{ci}

period \times return on asset_{ci}

period \times sales assets_{ci}

City

Time

 \mathbb{R}^2

Observations

	Dependent variable: SO2 emission							
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	
$\operatorname{output}_{cit}$	0.010 (0.039)	0.023 (0.042)	0.004 (0.040)	-0.005 (0.039)	0.007 (0.039)	0.003 (0.039)	0.004 (0.039)	
$\mathrm{employment}_{cit}$	0.026 (0.030)	(0.021	0.028 (0.030)	(0.029	0.027 (0.030)	0.027 (0.030)	0.027 (0.030)	
$\operatorname{capital}_{cit}$	-0.251^{*}	-0.241^*	-0.276^{**}	-0.263^*	-0.267^*	-0.255^{*}	-0.255^*	
$\texttt{period} \times \texttt{policy mandate}_c$	(0.138)	(0.134)	(0.138)	(0.137)	(0.139) -0.708	(0.138) -0.492	(0.137) -0.039	
period ×working capital $_{ci}$	(0.605) -0.137	(0.614)	(1.896)	(1.256)	(1.929)	(0.850)	(0.471)	
$\text{period} \times \text{policy mandate}_c \times \text{working capital}_{ci}$	(0.389) -0.022							
period ×asset tangibility $_{ci}$	(0.343)	-0.233 (0.174)						

0.062

(0.202)

Yes

Yes

1,583

0.690

This table estimates eq(3). Heteroskedasticity-robust standard errors clustered at the city level appear in arentheses. * Significance at the 10%, ** Significance at

Yes

Yes

1.619

0.694

-0.019***(0.004)

> -1.729(1.531)

> > Yes

Yes

1.626

0.696

-1.337(1.269)

3.896 (4.542)

Yes

Yes

1.610

0.694

0.142(0.376)

1.178 (3.800)

Yes

Yes

1.610

0.693

-0.024(0.049)0.167

(0.307)

Yes

Yes

1.619

0.694

0.002***(0.0005)

-0.006***(0.002)

Yes

Yes

1.623

0.695

Table 1: Baseline estimate, SO2 emission reduction, policy mandate, individual

oital_{ci}	
ility_{ci}	