covariates

Ln VAT export $tax_{k,t-1}$	

Ln VAT import $tax_{k,t-1}$

lag foreign export share $_{ckr}^R$

lag SOE export share $_{ckr}^R$

City-product fixed effects

product-year fixed effects

Observations

 \mathbb{R}^2

City-sector-year fixed effects

Product-destination fixed effect

City-product-regime fixed effects

City-sector-regime-year fixed effects

Ln VAT export $tax_{k,t-1} \times Eligible^R$

Ln VAT import $tax_{k,t-1} \times Eligible^R$

Eligible (1)-0.269***(0.048)

0.011

(0.043)

0.023**

(0.011)

0.056***

(0.010)

Yes

Yes

Yes

No

No

No

4,921,987

0.441

icance at the 10%, ** Significance at the 5%, *** Significance at the 1%.

This table estimates eq(3). Note that 'Eligible' refers to the regime entitle to VAT refund, our treatment group. Our control group is processing trade with supplied input, 'Non-Eligible' to VAT refund. Sectors are defined following the Chinese 4digit GB/T industryclassification and regroup several products. Heteroskedasticityrobust standard errorsclustered at the product level appear inparentheses.* Signif-

Table 1: VAT export tax and product's quality upgrading, baseline regression -

(2)-0.087(0.064)-0.112(0.092)0.027(0.020)-0.024(0.022)

Yes

Yes

Yes

No

No

No

910,958

0.639

Non-Eligible

Dependent variable: Product quality (city/product/trade regime/year)

All

(3)

-0.118*

(0.061)

-0.074

(0.082)

0.025**

(0.010)

0.041***

(0.009)

-0.153**

(0.072)

0.085

(0.087)

No

No

Yes

Yes

Yes

No

5,832,945

0.453

0.038*** (0.009)-0.151*(0.085)0.057 (0.105)No No No Yes Yes Yes 5,832,945 0.321

All benchmark

(4)

0.020**

(0.009)