Dependent variable: Product quality (city/product/trade regime/year) 171: ..:1.1. NI . . . T21: ..:1.1.

(0.092)

Yes

Yes

Yes

No

No

No

910.958

0.639

(0.043)

Yes

Yes

Yes

No

No

No

4,921,987

0.441

icance at the 10%, ** Significance at the 5%, *** Significance at the 1%.

This table estimates eq(3). Note that 'Eligible' refers to the regime entitle to VAT refund, our treatment group. Our control group is processing trade with supplied input, 'Non-Eligible' to VAT refund. Sectors are defined following the Chinese 4digit GB/T industryclassification and regroup several products. Heteroskedasticityrobust standard errorsclustered at the product level appear inparentheses.* Signif-

All

(3)

-0.117*(0.061)

-0.073

(0.082)

-0.154**

(0.072)

0.085

(0.087)

No

No

Yes

Yes

Yes

No

5,832,945

0.453

All benchmark

(4)

-0.152*

(0.085)

0.056

(0.105)

No

No

No

Yes

Yes

Yes

5,832,945

0.321

Table 1: VAT export tax and product's quality upgrading, baseline regression

	Eligible	Non-Eligible	
	(1)	(2)	
Ln VAT export $tax_{k,t-1}$	-0.270***	-0.087	
	(0.048)	(0.064)	
Ln VAT import $tax_{k,t-1}$	0.012	-0.112	

Ln VAT export $tax_{k,t-1} \times Eligible^R$

Ln VAT import $tax_{k,t-1} \times Eligible^R$

City-product fixed effects

product-year fixed effects

Observations

 R^2

City-sector-year fixed effects

Product-destination fixed effect

City-product-regime fixed effects

City-sector-regime-year fixed effects