Ln VAT import  $tax_{k,t-1}$ 

lag\_foreign\_export\_share\_ckr

Ln VAT export  $tax_{k,t-1} \times Eligible^R$ 

Ln VAT import  $tax_{k,t-1} \times Eligible^R$ 

lag\_soe\_export\_share\_ckr

City-product fixed effects

product-year fixed effects

Observations

 $R^2$ 

City-sector-year fixed effects

Product-destination fixed effect

City-product-regime fixed effects

City-sector-regime-year fixed effects

growth\_export\_ckt\_1

Ln VAT export tax	k,t-1	

Eligible (1)-0.268\*\*\*(0.048)0.012

(0.043)

0.000

0.024\*\*\*

(0.009)

0.064\*\*\*

(0.010)

Yes

Yes

Yes

No

No

No

4.906.923

0.440

icance at the 10%, \*\* Significance at the 5%, \*\*\* Significance at the 1%.

This table estimates eq(3). Note that 'Eligible' refers to the regime entitle to VAT refund, our treatment group. Our control group is processing trade with supplied input, 'Non-Eligible' to VAT refund. Sectors are defined following the Chinese 4digit GB/T industryclassification and regroup several products. Heteroskedasticityrobust standard errorsclustered at the product level appear inparentheses.\* Signif-

(0.00000)

Table 1: VAT export tax and product's quality upgrading, baseline regression

-0.088(0.064)-0.113(0.092)0.000\*\*\*0.055\*\*\* (0.017)-0.060\*\*\*(0.018)

Dependent variable: Product quality (city/product/trade regime/year)

All

(3)

-0.117\*

(0.062)

-0.073

0.000

0.084

Yes

Yes

Yes

No

No

No

5,816,438

0.452

Non-Eligible

(2)

Yes

Yes

Yes

No

No

No

909.515

0.639

(0.082)0.033\*\*\* (0.008)0.044\*\*\*(0.009)-0.153\*\*(0.072)(0.086)

All benchmark

(4)

-0.000

0.037\*\*\*

(0.007)

0.045\*\*\*

(0.008)

-0.151\*

(0.085)

0.056

(0.105)

No

No

No

Yes

Yes

Yes

5,816,438

0.320