Dependent variable: Product quality
(city/product/trade regime/year)

Eligible Non-Eligible

(0.101)

-0.090

(0.078)

0.028**

(0.012)

0.065***

(0.011)

0.436

(0.314)

0.577

(0.365)

Yes

Yes

Yes

No

3.998.921

0.408

This table estimates eq(3). Note that 'Eligible' refers to the regime entitle to VAT refund, our treatment group. Our control group is processing trade with supplied input, 'Non-Eligible' to VAT refund. Sectors are defined following the Chinese 4-digit GB/T industry classification and regroup several products. Heteroskedasticity-robust standard errors clustered at the product level appear inparentheses. * Significance at the 10%, ** Significance at the 5%, *** Significance

(3)

-0.051

(0.162)

0.200

(0.260)

0.040**

(0.020)

0.002

(0.024)

-0.056

(0.816)

-1.710

(1.625)

Yes

Yes

Yes

No

745.297

0.607

0.020*

(0.012)

0.049***

(0.012)

0.229

(0.269)

0.259

(0.319)

Yes

Yes

No

Yes

3.998.921

0.260

(4)

0.003

(0.023)

-0.028

(0.026)

0.895

(0.696)

-0.027

(1.260)

Yes

Yes No

Yes

745,297

0.359

Table 1: VAT export tax and firm's quality upgrading, Effect of density

		Eligible	
	Eligi		
	(1)	(2)	
Ln VAT export tax	-0.357***		

Ln VAT import $tax_{k,t-1}$

lag foreign export share $_{abm}^{R}$

lag SOE export share $_{ckr}^R$

City-product fixed effects

product-year fixed effects

Observations

at the 1%.

 \mathbb{R}^2

City-sector-year fixed effects

Product-destination fixed effect

Ln VAT export $tax_{k,t-1} \times Density_{ck}$

Density_{ck} × Ln VAT import $tax_{k,t-1}$