Ln VAT import $tax_{k,t-1}$

lag_foreign_export_share_ckjr

Ln VAT export $tax_{k,t-1} \times Eligible^R$

Ln VAT import $tax_{k,t-1} \times Eligible^R$

lag_soe_export_share_ckjr

City-product fixed effects

product-year fixed effects

Observations

 R^2

City-sector-year fixed effects

Product-destination fixed effect

City-product-regime fixed effects

City-sector-regime-year fixed effects

growth_export_ckjt_1

Ln VAT export $tax_{k,t-1}$	

Table 1: VAT export tax and product's quality upgrading, baseline regression

Eligible

(1)

-0.265***

(0.048)

0.009

(0.043)

0.00000

(0.00000)

0.094***

(0.008)

0.362***

(0.015)

Yes

Yes

Yes

No

No

No

4,906,923

0.442

icance at the 10%, ** Significance at the 5%, *** Significance at the 1%.

This table estimates eq(3). Note that 'Eligible' refers to the regime entitle to VAT refund, our treatment group. Our control group is processing trade with supplied input, 'Non-Eligible' to VAT refund. Sectors are defined following the Chinese 4-digit GB/T industry classification and regroup several products. Heterosked asticity-robust standard errors clustered at the product level appear inparentheses.* Signif-

Dependent variable: Product quality (city/product/trade regime/year)

All

(3)

-0.117*

(0.062)

-0.075

(0.082)

0.00000

(0.00000)

0.084***

(0.008)

0.352***

(0.014)

-0.150**

(0.072)

0.083

(0.087)

Yes

Yes

Yes

No

No

No

5,816,438

0.453

All benchmark

(4)

0.00000**

(0.00000)

0.306***

(0.024)

0.481***

(0.019)

-0.148*

(0.084)

0.061

(0.104)

No

No

No

Yes

 $\mathop{\rm Yes}_{\mathop{\rm Yes}}$

5,816,438

0.323

Non-Eligible

(2)

-0.088

(0.064)

-0.112

(0.092)

0.00000

(0.00000)

0.024*

(0.014)

0.190***

(0.013)

Yes

Yes

Yes

No

No

No

909,515

0.639