Ln VAT export  $tax_{k,t-1}$ 

Ln VAT import  $tax_{k,t-1}$ 

lag\_soe\_export\_share\_ckjr

City-product fixed effects

product-year fixed effects

Observations

City-sector-year fixed effects

Product-destination fixed effect

City-product-regime fixed effects

City-sector-regime-year fixed effects

lag\_foreign\_export\_share\_ckjr

Ln VAT export  $tax_{k,t-1} \times Eligible^R$ 

Ln VAT import  $tax_{k,t-1} \times Eligible^R$ 

Eligible (1)-0.266\*\*\*(0.048)0.009

(0.043) $0.101^{***}$ 

Yes

Yes

Yes

No

No

No

4,906,923

0.442

icance at the 10%, \*\* Significance at the 5%, \*\*\* Significance at the 1%.

This table estimates eq(3). Note that 'Eligible' refers to the regime entitle to VAT refund, our treatment group. Our control group is processing trade with supplied input, 'Non-Eligible' to VAT refund. Sectors are defined following the Chinese 4digit GB/T industryclassification and regroup several products. Heteroskedasticityrobust standard errorsclustered at the product level appear inparentheses.\* Signif-

(0.008)0.349\*\*\* (0.015)

Table 1: VAT export tax and product's quality upgrading, baseline regression

Non-Eligible (2)-0.088(0.064)-0.111(0.092)0.021(0.014)0.188\*\*\*(0.013)Yes

Yes

Yes

No

No

No

909,515

0.639

Dependent variable: Product quality (city/product/trade regime/year)

All

(3)

-0.117\*

(0.062)

-0.075

(0.082)

0.093\*\*\*

(0.008)

0.340\*\*\*

(0.014)

-0.150\*\*

(0.072)

0.083

(0.087)

Yes

Yes

Yes

No

No

No

5,816,438

0.453

All benchmark

(4)

0.310\*\*\*

(0.023)

0.468\*\*\*

(0.019)

-0.150\*

(0.084)

0.060

(0.104)

No

No

No

Yes

Yes

Yes

5,816,438

0.323