Dependent variable: Product quality city/product/trade regime/year) Eligible All All benchmark

(1)

-0.269\*\*\*

(0.048)

0.012

(0.043)

Yes

Yes

Yes

No

No

No

4.906.923

0.440

icance at the 10%, \*\* Significance at the 5%, \*\*\* Significance at the 1%.

This table estimates eq(3). Note that 'Eligible' refers to the regime entitle to VAT refund, our treatment group. Our control group is processing trade with supplied input, 'Non-Eligible' to VAT refund. Sectors are defined following the Chinese 4digit GB/T industryclassification and regroup several products. Heteroskedasticityrobust standard errorsclustered at the product level appear inparentheses.\* Signif-

Non-Eligible

(2)

-0.088

(0.064)

-0.112

(0.092)

Yes

Yes

Yes

No

No

No

909.515

0.639

(3)

-0.117\*

(0.061)

-0.073

(0.082)

-0.154\*\*

(0.072)

0.085

(0.087)

Yes

Yes

Yes

No

No

No

5,816,438

0.452

(4)

-0.152\*

(0.085)

0.056

(0.105)

No

No

No

Yes

Yes

Yes

5,816,438

0.320

Table 1: VAT export tax and product's quality upgrading, baseline regression

n VAT	export	$tax_{k,t-}$	1	

Ln VAT export  $tax_{k,t-1} \times Eligible^R$ 

Ln VAT import  $tax_{k,t-1} \times Eligible^R$ 

Ln VAT import  $tax_{k,t-1}$ 

City-product fixed effects

product-year fixed effects

Observations

 $R^2$ 

City-sector-year fixed effects

Product-destination fixed effect

City-product-regime fixed effects

City-sector-regime-year fixed effects