# The Mesh Model: A Dual-Field Framework for Emergent Geometry, Gravity, and Quantum Behavior

[Thomas Lock]

April 2, 2025

**Abstract**

This paper introduces the Mesh Model, a novel theoretical framework in which spacetime, gravity, and quantum phenomena emerge from the dynamic interaction of two continuous fields—a quantum mesh and a gravitational mesh. The quantum mesh, modeled as a Born–Infeld scalar field with a nonlinear potential, supports wave propagation, solitonic mass structures, and the coherent phase dynamics that underlie quantum behavior. The gravitational mesh, represented as a curvature strain field governed by a stiffness tensor, encodes geometric response activated only by sufficiently structured, coherent excitation in the quantum mesh.

To align with its field-theoretic structure, the model refers to these interacting components as the *tension mesh* and *curvature mesh*, respectively. Through covariant Lagrangian dynamics, the Mesh Model reproduces familiar behavior in limiting cases—recovering general relativity in the high-coherence regime and quantum field behavior in the linearized tension field—while also predicting novel phenomena such as gravitational suppression below a coherence threshold near 1 mg. This dual-field approach reframes spacetime as the entangled region between tension and curvature, offering testable predictions that challenge conventional assumptions and invite new exploration in quantum gravity, cosmology, and the structure of mass-energy.

## Contents

**1 Introduction and Motivation** **3**

**2 Conceptual Foundations** **4**
2.1 The Quantum Mesh: Tension Field . . . . . 4
2.2 The Gravitational Mesh: Curvature and Stiffness . . . . . 4
2.3 Interwoven Fields and Emergent Spacetime . . . . . 4
2.4 Physical Interpretation and Analogies . . . . . 4

**3 Mathematical Framework** **5**
3.1 Overview of Mesh Dynamics (Mathematically Enriched) . . . . . 6
3.2 Tension Mesh Field Dynamics . . . . . 7
3.3 Curvature Mesh Field Formulation . . . . . 8
3.4 Coupling Between Tension and Curvature . . . . . 9
3.5 Equations of Motion . . . . . 10
3.6 Summary . . . . . 11

**4 Quantum Behavior from Geometry** **12**
4.1 Superposition and Mesh Harmonics . . . 12
4.2 Uncertainty and Localization . . . 12
4.3 Entanglement as Phase Alignment . . . 12
4.4 The Born Rule from Phase Collapse . . . 13
4.5 Summary . . . 13

**5 Black Hole Thermodynamics** **13**
5.1 Black Hole Formation as Mesh Saturation . . . 14
5.2 Entropy and the Area Law . . . 14
5.3 Hawking-Like Radiation as Ripple Emission . . . 14
5.4 Information Retention and Field Coherence . . . 14
5.5 A Concrete Horizonless Realization . . . 15
5.6 Summary . . . 15

**6 Cosmology and Time** **16**
6.1 The Entangled Universe Hypothesis . . . 16
6.2 Inflation as Rapid Phase Locking . . . 16
6.3 Dark Energy and Ongoing Expansion . . . 16
6.4 Structure Formation and Curvature Wells . . . 17
6.5 Time's Arrow as Coherence Expansion . . . 17
6.6 Summary . . . 17

**7 Experimental Predictions** **17**
7.1 Gravitational Suppression and the Coherence Threshold . . . 18
7.2 Precision Drift Envelope . . . 18
7.3 Experimental Systems . . . 18
7.4 Distinctive Signals and Falsifiability . . . 19
7.5 Summary . . . 19

**8 Comparison with Other Theories** **19**
8.1 String Theory . . . 19
8.2 Loop Quantum Gravity (LQG) . . . 20
8.3 Emergent and Entropic Gravity . . . 20
8.4 Wheeler's Geometrodynamics and Analog Gravity . . . 20
8.5 Summary of Distinctions . . . 20

**9 Discussion and Future Work** **21**
9.1 Open Questions . . . 21
9.2 Model Extensions . . . 21
9.3 Simulation and Visualization . . . 23
9.4 Experimental Collaboration . . . 23
9.5 Philosophical Implications . . . 23
9.6 Summary . . . 24

# 1 Introduction and Motivation

The unification of quantum mechanics and general relativity remains one of the deepest and most persistent challenges in modern physics[1]. Despite the success of quantum field theory in describing the standard model of particle physics, and general relativity in modeling gravity and spacetime geometry, the two frameworks remain conceptually and mathematically incompatible in extreme regimes. This has led to a proliferation of approaches including string theory, loop quantum gravity, and emergent gravity—all offering partial answers while leaving many foundational questions unresolved[2, 3, 4].

This work introduces a new framework—the **Mesh Model**—which proposes that spacetime and matter arise from the entanglement of two continuous, interwoven fields: a fine-scale *tension mesh*, responsible for wave propagation, coherence, and solitonic structure; and a coarse-scale *curvature mesh*, which encodes gravitational response and resists deformation unless activated by sufficient tension coherence.

These are not metaphorical constructs, but physically continuous fields with distinct dynamical thresholds. The tension mesh governs quantum behavior and mass-energy structure, while the curvature mesh defines geometric resistance and encodes spacetime curvature only when tension becomes organized.

The coupling between these two meshes defines spacetime itself. Waves in the tension mesh propagate freely, while large coherent excitations generate sufficient stress to deform the curvature mesh, producing emergent gravitational curvature. In this framework, gravity is not a fundamental interaction—it is a response to geometric tension mediated by curvature stiffness.

The model reproduces familiar behavior in known limits: quantum fields emerge as small oscillations in the tension mesh, general relativity arises as the curvature mesh's response to coherent energy, and Newtonian gravity is recovered in the weak-field, high-coherence regime. Moreover, the Mesh Model offers several novel explanatory features:

- A built-in mechanism for the **suppression of gravitational curvature** below a mass-energy coherence threshold (estimated near 1 mg)[5];
- A geometric origin of **quantum probability** via phase-aligned tension collapse, offering a path to derive the Born rule[6];
- A fully covariant Lagrangian formalism unifying the tension and curvature mesh dynamics;
- The possibility of testing deviations from Newtonian gravity in upcoming precision gravity experiments;
- And a classical field-theoretic base compatible with quantization, to be explored in future work[7].

This paper develops the full classical mathematical formalism of the Mesh Model, grounded in covariant field theory, and explores its implications for cosmology, black hole thermodynamics, and quantum behavior. The goal is not to displace existing theories, but to provide a new geometric framework in which gravity and quantum mechanics emerge as natural consequences of coupled, nonlinear field dynamics. Quantization and renormalization procedures—especially for the tension mesh—are anticipated in future work, building on the Born–Infeld foundation and solitonic dynamics presented here.

In the sections that follow, we present the conceptual foundations, the mathematical structure of the tension and curvature meshes, their coupling and feedback, and the testable predictions that distinguish this model from existing paradigms.

## 2 Conceptual Foundations

The Mesh Model is grounded in the hypothesis that spacetime, mass, and quantum behavior all arise from the interplay of two continuous, interwoven fields: a fine-scale *tension mesh* and a coarse-scale *curvature mesh*. These fields are real and dynamic, each with distinct physical characteristics and activation thresholds. Their entanglement defines the observable structure of spacetime and mediates the apparent dichotomy between matter and geometry, quantum behavior and gravitation.

### 2.1 The Quantum Mesh: Tension Field

The quantum mesh encodes fine-scale tension across spacetime and acts as the substrate for quantum wave propagation and localized energy concentrations. Formally referred to as the *tension mesh*, it behaves like a relativistic nonlinear medium, capable of sustaining both low-energy wave modes (radiation) and stable solitonic excitations (particles)[8]. The geometry of this field determines how energy flows, interferes, and stabilizes—laying the groundwork for quantum phenomena.

Einstein recognized that energy must affect geometry, but never found a satisfying origin for quantum behavior within that framework. Hawking, too, sought a physical mechanism for wave-function collapse and information retention[9]. The tension mesh answers both calls: it is the field in which phase, coherence, and wave mechanics are not abstractions but geometric realities.

### 2.2 The Gravitational Mesh: Curvature and Stiffness

The gravitational mesh encodes resistance to spatial deformation and defines how spacetime curvature emerges. Referred to throughout as the *curvature mesh*, it does not respond to small, incoherent energy fluctuations. Only when sufficient phase coherence accumulates in the tension mesh does the curvature mesh deform. This introduces a natural gravitational suppression effect—explaining why gravity is weak and why small masses (e.g., individual particles) generate negligible curvature. This stiffness is captured by a high, potentially variable curvature resistance tensor[10, 11].

Hawking viewed gravity as geometric but open to reformulation. The Mesh Model proposes that gravity is not a force, but the elastic response of the curvature mesh to organized tension. This interpretation retains Einstein's geometric elegance while embedding it within a richer, dynamically coupled field framework.

### 2.3 Interwoven Fields and Emergent Spacetime

The tension and curvature meshes are not stacked or parallel—they are entangled. They co-create reality. The tension mesh propagates waves and encodes localized energy, while the curvature mesh records coherent structure as geometric deformation. Only when energy becomes sufficiently organized in space and time does curvature emerge, and only where curvature forms does wave behavior evolve differently.

Spacetime, in this model, is not a pre-existing manifold, but a dynamic region of mutual field entanglement. This resolves the problem of background dependence in classical field theories: neither field exists in isolation, and neither defines geometry alone. The geometry we observe is the interaction region where the tension and curvature meshes engage coherently[12].

### 2.4 Physical Interpretation and Analogies

To build intuition for the model's mechanics, we offer two visual thought experiments in the spirit of Einstein's elevators and Schrödinger's cat—not as literal representations, but as conceptual tools:

- **Rubber and plastic bricks:** Imagine two interpenetrating bricks occupying the same spacetime—one made of rubber (tension mesh), the other of stiff plastic (curvature mesh). The rubber deforms easily; the plastic only bends in response to highly focused, coherent stress. Curvature arises where structured tension meets resistance.

- **Blanket and paper with a pencil:** Picture a blanket beneath a sheet of stiff paper. Random ripples in the blanket do nothing. But when a pencil pushes upward from beneath in a concentrated, coherent fashion, the paper bends. This models the activation threshold: curvature only appears when tension becomes organized.

Einstein may have called this an "elastic ether," while Hawking might describe it as a boundary of entangled information[13, 14]. The Mesh Model bridges these visions. It translates philosophical intuitions into physical mechanics.

These analogies are not replacements for rigorous physics—they correspond directly to field-theoretic quantities introduced in Section 3:

- **Tension** corresponds to the gradient energy in the scalar field $\phi(x^\mu)$, governed by a Born–Infeld-type Lagrangian[15].

- **Curvature resistance** is modeled by the stiffness tensor $\mathcal{K}^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}$, which regulates the curvature mesh's deformation response[11].

- **Coherence** refers to phase-aligned spatial amplitude in $\phi$, determining the effectiveness of the coupling term $\phi^2 g^{\mu\nu} h_{\mu\nu}$[16].

These mappings ensure that the conceptual language used in this section is grounded in well-defined, covariant, and testable mathematical expressions.

This section sets the conceptual stage. In the next, we develop the precise mathematical machinery that governs each mesh, their internal dynamics, and their coupling.

# 3 Mathematical Framework

This section formalizes the dynamic structure of the Mesh Model through a covariant field-theoretic approach. We define the two interacting components—the tension mesh and the curvature mesh—as real, continuous, classical fields governed by interacting Lagrangians. These fields are not metaphors, but measurable, coupled systems whose dynamics encode the structure of spacetime itself.

The terminology of "tension," "curvature resistance," and "coherence" introduced earlier corresponds directly to specific field-theoretic quantities:

- **Tension** is encoded in the gradient energy of the scalar field $\phi(x^\mu)$, governed by a Born–Infeld Lagrangian[15, 8].

- **Curvature resistance** is expressed through a stiffness tensor $\mathcal{K}^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}$ governing the propagation of the curvature strain field $h_{\mu\nu}$[10, 11].

- **Coherence** corresponds to spatial and temporal phase alignment of $\phi$, which determines its effectiveness in sourcing curvature[16].

### 3.1 Overview of Mesh Dynamics (Mathematically Enriched)

This framework defines spacetime as the dynamically emergent zone of interaction between two continuous physical fields:

- **The Tension Mesh**, represented by a scalar field $\phi(x^\mu)$, governs wave-like behavior, localized solitonic structures, and coherent phase dynamics[8].
- **The Curvature Mesh**, represented by a symmetric strain field $h_{\mu\nu}(x^\mu)$, encodes gravitational curvature and resists deformation unless activated by large-scale coherence in $\phi$[11].

Together, their coupling gives rise to emergent spacetime geometry and matter-like behavior.

**Tension Mesh Definition (Quantum Field):**

The tension mesh is modeled as a nonlinear scalar field $\phi(x^\mu)$ governed by a Born–Infeld-type action[15, 8]:

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{tension}} = -T_0\sqrt{1 - \frac{1}{T_0} g^{\mu\nu}\partial_\mu\phi\partial_\nu\phi} - V(\phi),$$

where:

- $T_0$ sets the maximal tension density,
- $V(\phi) = \frac{\lambda}{4}(\phi^2 - v^2)^2$ defines a multi-well potential supporting stable localized solitons.

This formulation ensures finite-energy configurations and supports both propagating wave modes and particle-like structures. The Born–Infeld Lagrangian is chosen for its historical success in preventing non-physical infinities in field theories, particularly in electromagnetism[15]. Here, it regulates gradient energy to prevent singularities in the tension field at high energy densities.

In the linearized regime, this model yields the wave equation:

$$\nabla^2\phi + \frac{dV}{d\phi} \approx 0.$$

**Curvature Mesh Definition (Geometric Field):**

The curvature field $h_{\mu\nu}(x^\mu)$ resists deformation via a fourth-rank stiffness tensor $\mathcal{K}^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}$, with Lagrangian[11, 10]:

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{curvature}} = \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{K}^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}\nabla_\mu h_{\alpha\beta}\nabla_\nu h_{\alpha\beta}.$$

This structure encodes anisotropic resistance to curvature and supports a controlled, nonlinear deformation response to incoming tension stress.

**Coupling and Interaction:**

The interaction between the two fields is governed by:

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{int}} = -\frac{1}{2}\kappa^{-1}\phi^2 g^{\mu\nu}h_{\mu\nu},$$

which describes how coherent tension in the $\phi$ field sources curvature in $h_{\mu\nu}$[16].

**Coherence Threshold and Suppression:**

The gravitational response of the curvature mesh is modulated by the coherence of $\phi$. Below a threshold mass-energy (experimentally estimated near 1 mg), the response of $h_{\mu\nu}$ is suppressed, introducing a mass-dependent transition in the system's behavior[5].

**Unified Framework Preview:**

The total Lagrangian for the coupled system is:

$$\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_{\text{tension}} + \mathcal{L}_{\text{curvature}} + \mathcal{L}_{\text{int}}$$

which produces the following coupled equations of motion:

$$\nabla^2\phi - \frac{dV}{d\phi} = \kappa^{-1}\phi g^{\mu\nu}h_{\mu\nu}, \quad \mathcal{K}^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}\nabla^2 h_{\alpha\beta} = \frac{1}{2}\kappa^{-1}\phi^2 g^{\mu\nu}.$$

These equations encapsulate the mutual feedback loop between tension, coherence, and curvature—defining the emergent geometry that gives rise to the physical phenomena we observe as mass, gravity, and spacetime itself.

### 3.2 Tension Mesh Field Dynamics

The tension mesh is modeled as a nonlinear scalar field $\phi(x^\mu)$, which governs both wave propagation and the formation of stable, localized configurations. It acts as the carrier of all mass-energy and wave-like structure within the theory, extending from coherent solitonic excitations, akin to particles, to freely propagating wavefronts, comparable to photons or field quanta[8].

To ensure physical stability and finite energy density, the tension mesh is described using a Born–Infeld-type Lagrangian density[15, 8]:

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{tension}} = -T_0\sqrt{1 - \frac{1}{T_0}g^{\mu\nu}\partial_\mu\phi\partial_\nu\phi} - V(\phi),$$

where:

- $T_0$ represents the maximal local tension density,
- The square root term regulates the strain rate, suppressing infinite derivatives and ensuring smooth field variations,
- $V(\phi)$ is a nonlinear potential defined as:

  $$V(\phi) = \frac{\lambda}{4}(\phi^2 - v^2)^2,$$

  which provides a double-well structure that facilitates two stable vacuum states.

This formulation underpins several key physical behaviors:

**Wave Propagation:** For minor perturbations $\delta\phi \ll 1$, the Lagrangian simplifies to a linear wave equation:

$$\nabla^2\phi \approx \frac{dV}{d\phi},$$

allowing the propagation of waves at speeds dependent on field characteristics. These waves represent massless or low-mass quantum excitations, such as photons.

**Finite-Energy Solitons:** The combination of the nonlinear kinetic term and the symmetry-breaking potential enables the formation of localized, stable energy concentrations, known as topological solitons (e.g., domain walls, kinks)[17, 8]. These entities act as persistent, particle-like structures within the tension field.

**Maximum Strain Principle:** The Born–Infeld formulation ensures that no region within the mesh exceeds a critical strain rate, preventing the development of singularities (infinite field derivatives) and imposing an intrinsic tension limit—this principle is crucial for gravitational suppression at micro scales[15, 7].

**Bounded Stress-Energy:** The corresponding stress-energy tensor for $\phi$,

$$T^{(\phi)}_{\mu\nu} = \frac{\partial_\mu \phi \partial_\nu \phi}{\sqrt{1 - \frac{1}{T_0}\partial^\alpha \phi \partial_\alpha \phi}} - g_{\mu\nu}\mathcal{L}_{\text{tension}},$$

serves as a source of curvature in the curvature mesh field $h_{\mu\nu}$. This tensor's bounded nature ensures that even under extreme conditions, the tension field contributes a finite, smooth source of gravitational curvature.

The tension mesh functions as both a wave medium and a structural lattice. Minor excitations generate familiar quantum wave behaviors, while coherent nonlinear configurations give rise to matter-like structures. These tension dynamics underlie all field evolution within the model and form the foundation for emergent mass-energy phenomena.

In subsequent sections, we will explore how this field directly interacts with the curvature mesh, eliciting a gravitational response only when coherence or energy density surpasses a predefined threshold.

### 3.3 Curvature Mesh Field Formulation

The curvature mesh represents the coarse-scale, gravitational response layer of the dual-field system. It encodes spacetime curvature and responds to the tension mesh only when a sufficient degree of spatial coherence or energy density is present. Unlike the tension mesh, which responds to even minor fluctuations, the curvature mesh is characterized by its stiffness: it remains dynamically inert to localized or incoherent excitation, resisting deformation unless the input surpasses a coherence threshold[10, 11].

Mathematically, the curvature mesh is represented by a symmetric curvature strain field $h_{\mu\nu}(x^\mu)$, governed by a stiffness-controlled kinetic term:

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{curvature}} = \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{K}^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}\nabla_\mu h_{\alpha\beta}\nabla_\nu h_{\alpha\beta}. \tag{1}$$

Here, $\mathcal{K}^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}$ is a fourth-rank stiffness tensor that determines the directional and anisotropic resistance of the curvature mesh. In its most general form, $\mathcal{K}$ may vary in both magnitude and symmetry based on surrounding field dynamics. For isotropic configurations, it simplifies to:

$$\mathcal{K}^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} = \kappa \cdot g^{\mu\nu}g^{\alpha\beta}, \tag{2}$$

where $\kappa$ is the gravitational stiffness coefficient.

This Lagrangian formulation allows curvature to propagate as a wave within the geometric field, facilitating elastic behavior analogous to deformations observed in mechanical media[11]. In the high-coherence, weak-field limit where energy density is smoothly distributed, the equations of motion approach those of linearized Einstein gravity:

$$\nabla^\rho \nabla_\rho h_{\mu\nu} \approx \frac{1}{\kappa}T^{(\phi)}_{\mu\nu}. \tag{3}$$

Here, $h_{\mu\nu}$ functions effectively as a perturbative geometric field sourced by localized tension.

The curvature mesh becomes active only when the tension field $\phi$ forms sufficiently coherent structures, such as solitons or phase-locked wavefronts. In such conditions, the geometric response evolves according to:

$$\mathcal{K}^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}\nabla^\rho \nabla_\rho h_{\alpha\beta} = \frac{1}{2}\kappa^{-1}\phi^2 g^{\mu\nu}, \tag{4}$$

as derived from the coupled equations of motion of the full Lagrangian.

This configuration naturally enables gravitational suppression at low mass or energy scales, aligning with a core prediction of the Mesh Model: curvature responses diminish smoothly below the coherence threshold ( 1 mg), in agreement with the experimental drift observed in small-mass gravity measurements[5].

Thus, the curvature mesh completes the dual-field framework: a high-stiffness, coherence-activated field that defines emergent spacetime geometry in response to the accumulated structure of the tension mesh. Its dynamics are conservative, stable, and compatible with general relativity in the appropriate limits, while distinctively resisting deformation under incoherent or sub-threshold excitation.

### 3.4 Coupling Between Tension and Curvature

The coupling between the tension and curvature meshes forms a dynamic bridge through which coherent tension structures induce spacetime curvature. This interaction is central to the Mesh Model, as it not only contributes to but fundamentally defines the emergent geometry of spacetime. The interaction dynamics determine how tension sources activate curvature, and how curvature in turn feeds back to influence the evolution of the tension field.

To encode this mechanism, we introduce an interaction term in the Lagrangian:

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{int}} = -\frac{1}{2}\kappa^{-1}\phi^2 g^{\mu\nu} h_{\mu\nu}, \tag{5}$$

where $\kappa$ is the gravitational stiffness coefficient. This term captures how coherent excitations in the tension mesh source curvature in the corresponding geometric field[16].

The structure of the interaction term $\phi^2 g^{\mu\nu} h_{\mu\nu}$ implies several key behaviors:

- The interaction is quadratic in $\phi$, ensuring that both positive and negative phases contribute constructively to curvature.
- Gravitational response becomes significant only when $\phi$ achieves spatial and temporal coherence—effectively imposing a mass-energy threshold.
- The response strength scales with $\kappa^{-1}$, meaning that curvature is activated only under concentrated, phase-aligned excitation.

This formulation naturally generates a backreaction loop: as curvature develops, it modifies the tension field's local structure, which in turn alters the curvature. The action's variation yields the following coupled equations of motion:

$$\nabla^\mu \nabla_\mu \phi - \frac{dV}{d\phi} = \kappa^{-1}\phi g^{\mu\nu} h_{\mu\nu}, \tag{6}$$

$$\mathcal{K}^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}\nabla^\rho \nabla_\rho h_{\alpha\beta} = \frac{1}{2}\kappa^{-1}\phi^2 g^{\mu\nu}, \tag{7}$$

illustrating how curvature evolves in response to coherent energy densities, while the evolution of the tension field is shaped by the resulting geometry.

The presence of $\phi^2$ in the coupling term ensures that only coherent, non-random tension excitations activate curvature—reflecting the model's core principle: gravity is not a universal reaction to energy, but a selective response to coherence. This mechanism also underlies the model's prediction of gravitational suppression below a threshold, requiring sufficient structure to influence the curvature mesh.

A concrete realization of this coupling appears in the context of black hole formation, as developed in a companion model [18]. There, a highly coherent configuration of the tension mesh stabilizes into a supercooled quantum core, generating persistent curvature in the curvature mesh without forming a singularity. The resulting structure—a phase-locked region of maximal tension–curvature entanglement—demonstrates how the coupling governs gravitational behavior even in extreme regimes, including horizonless evaporation and bounded internal geometry.

### 3.5 Equations of Motion

The total action for the dual-field mesh system integrates the dynamics of the tension mesh, the curvature mesh, and their interaction:

$$S = \int d^4x\sqrt{-g}\left(\mathcal{L}_{\text{tension}} + \mathcal{L}_{\text{curvature}} + \mathcal{L}_{\text{int}}\right), \tag{8}$$

where the Lagrangians are constructed to be covariant and suitable for variational principles in curved spacetime[16].

To derive the coupled dynamics, we perform functional variation of the action with respect to $\phi$ and $h_{\mu\nu}$ independently, yielding a set of mutually interdependent field equations.

**Tension Mesh Equation:**

$$\nabla^\mu\nabla_\mu\phi - \frac{dV}{d\phi} = \kappa^{-1}\phi g^{\mu\nu}h_{\mu\nu}, \tag{9}$$

where $\nabla^\mu\nabla_\mu$ is the covariant d'Alembertian operator acting on the scalar field[19]. This equation governs the internal evolution of the tension field—including wave propagation and soliton formation—while incorporating feedback from curvature in the geometric field.

**Curvature Mesh Equation:**

$$\mathcal{K}^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}\nabla^\rho\nabla_\rho h_{\alpha\beta} = \frac{1}{2}\kappa^{-1}\phi^2 g^{\mu\nu}, \tag{10}$$

where $\mathcal{K}^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}$ is the stiffness tensor, and $\nabla^\rho\nabla_\rho h_{\alpha\beta}$ is the covariant wave operator acting on the curvature field.

These equations illustrate the dynamic feedback loop between the two meshes:

- Localized, stable concentrations of tension in $\phi$ induce curvature in $h_{\mu\nu}$, but only when these excitations surpass the stiffness threshold defined by $\mathcal{K}$.
- As curvature accumulates, it feeds back into the tension mesh, altering the effective potential and guiding subsequent evolution of $\phi$.

This coupled interaction characterizes the emergent geometry of spacetime: the tension mesh directs the formation of quantum excitations, while the curvature mesh encodes gravitational structure in response to coherence. In doing so, the system crafts a background-independent, dynamically self-consistent field geometry—one capable of recovering both gravitational and quantum behavior in their appropriate limits. This integral relationship lies at the heart of the Mesh Model's capacity to unify quantum mechanics and gravity in a shared, continuous framework.

### 3.6 Summary

This section has laid the mathematical groundwork for the Mesh Model using a covariant dual-field formalism. The two interacting fields—the tension mesh and the curvature mesh—are real, continuous, and dynamically interconnected. Their fundamental roles and behaviors are rigorously defined within Lagrangian dynamics and articulated using well-established field-theoretic concepts:

- **The tension mesh** is modeled as a Born–Infeld scalar field $\phi(x^\mu)$, endowed with a nonlinear potential that supports both wave-like propagation and solitonic mass structures[8]. This field acts as the primary substrate for quantum behavior and matter phenomena.
- **The curvature mesh** is characterized as a strain field $h_{\mu\nu}(x^\mu)$, regulated by a stiffness tensor $\mathcal{K}^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}$. It encodes gravitational response, activated exclusively under conditions of significant spatial and temporal coherence in the tension mesh[11].
- **Their interaction** occurs through a coupling term $\phi^2 g^{\mu\nu} h_{\mu\nu}$, which allows coherent tension forces to induce deformations in the curvature mesh. Conversely, this interaction enables the curvature field to influence the evolution of the tension mesh[16].

**Coherence**, in this framework, refers to the spatial and temporal phase alignment of the tension mesh field $\phi$. A region is considered coherent when the field exhibits long-range phase correlation, low spectral entropy, or solitonic structure with well-defined frequency modes. This coherence governs the activation of curvature in the gravitational field and serves as the central criterion for gravitational interaction in the Mesh Model.

The resulting equations of motion delineate a mutual feedback system:

- Coherent tension within the tension mesh sources curvature in the curvature mesh through a suppressed, nonlinear coupling mechanism,
- The resulting curvature alters the local propagation and energy distribution within the tension field,
- The entire system operates independently of any fixed background, ensuring dynamic self-consistency and coherence.

Although the present model is formulated classically, it is structured to support future quantization. In particular, the Born–Infeld-type kinetic term offers a natural avenue for developing a quantum field theory that avoids singularities and maintains finite energy density[15, 7]. A Hamiltonian formulation compatible with canonical quantization can be constructed from the covariant action and will be explored in subsequent studies.

This theoretical framework effectively bridges general relativity and quantum field theory by recovering general relativity's predictions in regimes of high coherence and reproducing wave-based quantum behavior in the linearized tension field. At smaller mass scales, the response of the curvature mesh diminishes, leading to the model's pivotal prediction: a coherence threshold ( 1 mg) below which gravitational effects are progressively suppressed[5].

Collectively, these dynamic interactions and foundational principles define a comprehensive geometric framework. In this model, gravity, mass, and quantum phenomena emerge organically from the entangled dynamics of tension and curvature, setting a robust foundation for exploring further implications in the following sections.

# 4 Quantum Behavior from Geometry

This section develops how quantum phenomena—typically described abstractly in Hilbert space—emerge as concrete geometric behaviors in the tension field of the Mesh Model. In this framework, superposition, entanglement, uncertainty, and even wavefunction collapse are not postulated axioms, but manifestations of field coherence, wave interaction, and energetic phase alignment within the tension mesh.

These effects arise directly from the nonlinear dynamics and phase-structured geometry of the tension field. Quantum behavior is thus reinterpreted as a real, physical phenomenon grounded in continuous field dynamics. This section also addresses Einstein's discomfort with quantum randomness and Hawking's challenge to derive the Born rule from deeper principles[9, 6].

## 4.1 Superposition and Mesh Harmonics

Superposition arises naturally in the tension mesh because it supports a continuum of standing and traveling wave modes. A general field configuration $\phi(x)$ is expressible as a sum of harmonic components:

$$\phi(x) = \sum_n a_n \phi_n(x), \tag{11}$$

where each $\phi_n$ is an eigenmode of the tension field, and $a_n$ encodes its amplitude and phase. This is the geometric counterpart to quantum superposition: it represents overlapping tension patterns within a shared physical substrate.

Unlike Hilbert space abstractions, these superpositions are real field configurations. They carry energy, generate stress, and may interact with the curvature mesh. When the tension field is sufficiently linear and unconstrained, the modes evolve independently. When nonlinearities become significant (e.g., near solitons), interference becomes dynamic and structure-dependent[17].

## 4.2 Uncertainty and Localization

The uncertainty principle is encoded in the Fourier duality of configurations within the tension mesh. To localize a soliton or tension knot in space requires a broad distribution of momentum modes:

$$\Delta x \cdot \Delta p \gtrsim \frac{1}{2}, \tag{12}$$

where $\Delta x$ reflects spatial confinement, and $\Delta p$ reflects the spectral bandwidth of local strain rates in $\phi$. This relation arises not from a postulated operator algebra, but from the geometric structure of field localization on a bounded, continuous tension mesh[15, 8].

The Born–Infeld tension limit further regulates this uncertainty by preventing arbitrarily steep gradients in $\phi$. This ensures smooth transitions, finite energy density, and intrinsic lower bounds on uncertainty[7].

## 4.3 Entanglement as Phase Alignment

Entanglement in the Mesh Model is not mysterious—it is phase-locked coherence between distant regions of the tension field. Two solitons formed from a shared region of initial excitation carry synchronized phase structures, even as they separate. These structures remain connected through the underlying geometry of the tension mesh.

In this view, entanglement is not a nonlocal transmission of information, but a persistence of geometric phase relationships. Any measurement or disturbance that alters one region affects the global field coherence, breaking phase alignment in a detectable way. This provides a physical basis for observed nonlocal correlations without violating relativistic causality[20, 16].

### 4.4 The Born Rule from Phase Collapse

One of the model's key innovations is to provide a geometric mechanism for quantum probabilities. When a superposed configuration of the tension mesh is perturbed—e.g., by environmental noise or detector coupling—it must collapse into a stable mode (such as a soliton). This collapse is modeled as an energy minimization across all available eigenstates:

$$P_i \propto |\langle \phi_i | \phi \rangle|^2, \tag{13}$$

where $\phi_i$ is a stable basis mode, and the inner product reflects spatial tension-phase overlap. This selection rule is not statistical in origin—it emerges from geometric resonance[6].

In effect, measurement forces the tension mesh into a minimal-energy configuration consistent with the imposed boundary conditions. The closer a mode $\phi_i$ is to the current field structure, the less energy is required for collapse into that state, and the more likely it is to be selected.

*While this collapse behavior arises from deterministic field dynamics, it remains compatible with a statistical interpretation. The geometric resonance framework reproduces the Born rule's probabilistic outcomes without negating the intrinsic randomness expected in quantum measurements.*

A macroscopic realization of this mechanism appears in the context of black hole evaporation [18], where coherent tension structures at the curvature boundary tunnel outward as quantized emissions. There, the selection of radiative modes is governed by geometric overlap and energetic favorability—offering a large-scale realization of phase-driven collapse consistent with the Born rule framework described here.

### 4.5 Summary

Quantum behavior in the Mesh Model arises not from abstract rules but from the physical dynamics of the tension mesh. Wave interference is reinterpreted as superposition of real tension harmonics. Uncertainty emerges from the need to balance spatial localization with smooth gradient energy. Entanglement reflects extended phase coherence across the field. And the Born rule is not assumed—it is derived from energetic favorability of field collapse via geometric resonance.

Einstein's desire for a deterministic underpinning of quantum mechanics, and Hawking's challenge to derive measurement probabilities from first principles, are both addressed in this geometric framework. Quantum physics, in this model, is not an overlay on spacetime—it is woven directly into the structure of the mesh itself.

## 5 Black Hole Thermodynamics

Black holes are among the most extreme and informative systems for testing any theory of gravity, geometry, and quantum behavior. In this section, we analyze how the Mesh Model reproduces and extends key features of black hole physics—particularly entropy, radiation, and information retention—through its geometric tension–curvature framework.

The model not only recovers the expected thermodynamic behavior in the classical limit but also provides new, physically grounded insights into why these properties emerge. Rather than treating black hole thermodynamics as abstract or emergent from semi-classical approximations, the Mesh Model offers a continuous, field-based mechanism rooted in the interaction of the tension and curvature meshes.

### 5.1 Black Hole Formation as Mesh Saturation

In the Mesh Model, a black hole is not a singularity in spacetime, but a region where the tension mesh becomes phase-locked with the curvature mesh. As energy concentrates beyond a critical threshold, the curvature mesh can no longer elastically resist the accumulated strain and collapses into a phase-saturated configuration. This geometric locking forms a stable curvature basin—a structure functionally equivalent to an event horizon.

This perspective resolves the singularity problem by introducing nonlinear tension suppression in both fields. The Born–Infeld structure of the tension field ensures finite energy density even under extreme gradients[15], while the curvature mesh's stiffness tensor provides increasing resistance to further deformation under high-curvature conditions[11]. Rather than infinite compression, the result is a curvature-saturated zone beyond which additional tension no longer produces additional curvature.

### 5.2 Entropy and the Area Law

Black hole entropy, traditionally given by the Bekenstein–Hawking formula $S = kA/4\ell_p^2$[21, 22], arises naturally from mesh geometry. In the Mesh Model, entropy reflects the number of distinct tension configurations that can maintain equilibrium with a fixed surface phase at the curvature boundary. The tension mesh supports a rich internal structure, but only a limited subset of phase states are compatible with the locked curvature at the boundary.

As a result, the number of allowable microstates is proportional to the horizon's area—not its volume—because only surface-adjacent modes couple strongly enough to remain entangled with the external field. This reproduces the thermodynamic scaling of general relativity, but here it emerges from the field-level coherence of the two interacting meshes.

### 5.3 Hawking-Like Radiation as Ripple Emission

Radiation from black holes in the Mesh Model arises from residual tension fluctuations at the curvature boundary. These phase-misaligned oscillations escape the locked region as coherent ripple packets—quantized tension waves propagating within the tension mesh.

This produces a thermal-like radiation spectrum when coarse-grained, yet the underlying emission process is deterministic and arises from boundary-level phase instability. The result is functionally equivalent to Hawking radiation[22], but emerges as a geometric consequence of the interaction between tension and curvature fields—rather than from field quantization over a fixed classical background.

While this ripple-based mechanism reproduces the expected thermodynamic behavior of black holes, the emitted spectrum may deviate slightly from a perfect thermal profile. Coherent structure at the curvature boundary can introduce correlations or subtle deviations, potentially encoding information in ways distinguishable from ideal blackbody emission. These deviations, if present, would arise naturally from the detailed phase structure of the tension mesh and the geometry of the curvature interface.

### 5.4 Information Retention and Field Coherence

The Mesh Model avoids the information loss paradox by allowing subtle phase information to be encoded in the tension mesh's outgoing ripples. While radiation appears thermal in aggregate, each individual wave packet is shaped by the full pre-collapse field history.

Because the tension mesh is continuous and supports global coherence, information is not destroyed but redistributed across the evolving field[23, 24]. The curvature mesh acts as a modulator—not an absorber—shaping the release of tension into coherent, information-bearing waves that retain imprints of the initial state.

### 5.5 A Concrete Horizonless Realization

The abstract principles laid out in this section—namely, the formation of a black hole as a phase-saturated region of mesh entanglement, and the emergence of Hawking-like radiation from coherent field boundary dynamics—are realized concretely in a companion model developed by the author [18].

In that framework, the black hole is modeled as a supercooled, quantum-coherent core enclosed by a finite-width vacuum shell. This structure entirely avoids singularities and classical event horizons, arising instead from the interplay of coherence saturation in the tension mesh and curvature regulation at the boundary.

The core behaves analogously to a gravitational Bose–Einstein condensate, while the surrounding shell acts as a semipermeable quantum interface—regulating energy release via tunneling without causally disconnecting the interior from the external universe.

This construction maps directly onto the Mesh Model:

- The core corresponds to a highly phase-locked excitation of the tension mesh, producing maximal curvature response in the curvature mesh without forming infinite strain or divergence.
- The shell embodies the transition zone of curvature saturation, where the coupling between coherent tension and curvature response remains strong, but localized fluctuations may escape as ripple-like emissions.
- Radiation arises from quantum tunneling across this curvature gradient—functionally equivalent to the ripple emission discussed in Section 5.3—and maintains information-carrying capacity through coherent structure.

Crucially, this model satisfies the entropy–area law, produces a Hawking-like evaporation profile (scaling as $dM/dt \sim -1/M^2$)[25], and exhibits full unitary information recovery, in agreement with the Mesh Model's thermodynamic expectations. As the black hole shrinks, the vacuum shell thins and becomes more transmissive, eventually dissolving the curvature boundary altogether—allowing smooth, complete evaporation without the formation of remnants or the violation of unitarity.

This model serves not only as a proof of concept for mesh-regulated black hole structure, but also as a bridge between classical thermodynamic behavior and deeper field-theoretic coherence dynamics. A full derivation and thermodynamic analysis can be found in [18].

### 5.6 Summary

Black holes in the Mesh Model are not singularities, but bounded regions of maximal field entanglement. Entropy scaling, radiation, and information conservation all follow from the dynamics of the two coupled meshes:

- Entropy arises from the limited set of coherent field microstates at the horizon surface.
- Radiation is ripple-based, driven by geometric instability and coherent phase escape.
- Information is preserved via phase-structured tension waves, not lost to singularities or disconnected regions.

By recovering black hole thermodynamics while resolving the singularity and information loss problems, the Mesh Model offers a unified geometric picture of gravity, tension, and quantum coherence in extreme regimes.

# 6 Cosmology and Time

The Mesh Model provides a novel geometric framework for understanding large-scale cosmological behavior and the emergence of temporal directionality. In this section, we explore how the dual-field structure gives rise to expansion, structure formation, and the arrow of time. The dynamics of entanglement between the tension and curvature meshes lead to a unified interpretation of cosmic evolution, inflation, dark energy, and irreversible time[26, 27].

## 6.1 The Entangled Universe Hypothesis

In the Mesh Model, the observable universe exists as an expanding region of mutual field entanglement between two pre-existing domains: the tension mesh (quantum field of coherence and structure) and the curvature mesh (gravitational response field). Initially distinct and uncoupled, these meshes began to interact—either through spontaneous alignment or a symmetry-breaking event—creating a dynamic boundary region in which coherent tension and curvature began to exchange energy.

This expanding "entanglement zone" is what we perceive as spacetime. As coherence spreads, the interactive domain grows outward, producing the illusion of a cosmological expansion. This perspective reframes the Big Bang not as a singular point, but as a phase-coupling frontier between two incompatible but interpenetrating fields[28, 12]. Spacetime, in this view, emerges dynamically wherever field entanglement becomes stable.

## 6.2 Inflation as Rapid Phase Locking

The initial rapid growth of the entangled region—cosmic inflation—is interpreted in the Mesh Model as a sharp alignment of phase structures between the tension and curvature meshes. Once regions of the tension field became sufficiently coherent to engage the curvature mesh, that coherence spread rapidly, unlocking geometric response over vast volumes in a short time.

The result is a smooth, nearly flat spacetime geometry with uniform background amplitudes and a rapid drop in internal tension gradients. This reproduces the predictions of inflationary cosmology, but arises here not from a scalar inflaton field, but from tension–curvature synchronization across the emerging entanglement zone[29, 30].

## 6.3 Dark Energy and Ongoing Expansion

After inflation, the continued expansion of the entangled zone is driven by residual phase misalignment and gradient tension along the interaction frontier. Unlike the rapid synchronization of inflation, this phase unfolds slowly, governed by the gradual release of residual tension stored in incompatible curvature states.

This process manifests observationally as dark energy—a diffuse, large-scale "pressure" emerging from the tension mesh, which propagates outward along the boundary of entanglement. The curvature mesh responds by expanding its geometric domain, relaxing curvature over ever-larger regions of space[31].

### 6.4 Structure Formation and Curvature Wells

As the tension mesh propagates localized concentrations—such as solitons, knots, or phase ripples—these serve as seeds for curvature response in the curvature mesh. Where spatial coherence accumulates, curvature wells deepen, allowing matter-like structures to form. This feedback loop enables the universe to generate galaxies, filaments, and voids without requiring external seed fluctuations.

Spacetime geometry thus emerges from internal dynamics, rather than being imposed by boundary conditions or classical energy distributions. The resulting large-scale structure is an imprint of early-phase field configurations and the history of their entanglement evolution.

### 6.5 Time's Arrow as Coherence Expansion

The directionality of time in the Mesh Model arises from the irreversible growth of field entanglement. As the tension and curvature meshes lock together across increasing volumes, local coherence increases, tension gradients smooth, and global curvature becomes progressively defined.

This process is asymmetric: de-coherence is not dynamically favored. The entanglement zone expands outward, leaving behind a growing region of stabilized geometry and phase history. This defines a natural thermodynamic arrow of time without requiring entropy as a fundamental driver—it emerges from the underlying alignment dynamics of the coupled fields[32, 33].

### 6.6 Summary

Cosmological behavior in the Mesh Model arises from the dynamic entanglement of two initially uncoupled fields—the tension mesh and the curvature mesh. Inflation, dark energy, structure formation, and time all emerge as consequences of:

- Tension–curvature phase locking and expansion,
- Irreversible growth of the coherent interaction zone,
- Feedback between solitonic tension structures and curvature wells.

This perspective reframes spacetime not as a static arena, but as a dynamically growing frontier—defined by the expanding region of coherent interaction. It opens new interpretive and predictive possibilities for understanding early-universe physics, large-scale structure, and the thermodynamic origin of time.

## 7 Experimental Predictions

The Mesh Model presents a rich set of physical predictions that diverge from both general relativity and standard quantum mechanics—specifically in the regimes where experimental sensitivity is now advancing. This section summarizes key measurable effects predicted by the model and outlines how existing or near-future experiments can test them.

The central prediction is that gravitational curvature only emerges above a coherence threshold in the tension mesh, leading to a soft suppression of gravitational response below a critical mass scale.

### 7.1 Gravitational Suppression and the Coherence Threshold

The model predicts that the gravitational response of the curvature mesh is suppressed for sources below a coherence threshold—estimated to be approximately 1 mg in mass. This is not a hard cutoff, but a smooth deviation: the curvature field resists deformation when tension is incoherent, diffuse, or insufficiently structured. Below the threshold, gravitational attraction weakens relative to Newtonian predictions[5].

This gravitational "fade" is captured by a suppression function:

$$F_{\text{mesh}}(m) = G \cdot \frac{m}{1 + (m_c/m)^{1-\alpha}}, \tag{14}$$

where $m_c$ is the coherence threshold, $\alpha < 1$ defines the suppression slope, and $G$ is Newton's constant. For $m \gg m_c$, the model reduces to Newtonian gravity. For $m \ll m_c$, the curvature response diminishes continuously.

### 7.2 Precision Drift Envelope

This predicted gravitational fade is consistent with existing experimental data, which shows that sub-milligram gravity measurements hover near the edge of statistical uncertainty. The Mesh Model accounts for this ambiguity by predicting a bounded but measurable suppression envelope. Experiments that measure gravitational force between test masses below approximately 100 µg should begin to observe deviations from strict $1/r^2$ scaling, within the existing error margins[34, 35].

We define a testable suppression envelope as:

$$F_{\text{env}}(m) = Gm \left( \frac{m}{m_c} \right)^{\alpha-1}, \quad \text{for } m < m_c, \tag{15}$$

and expect experimental results to reside within this band. A statistically significant deviation from Newtonian scaling that falls within this envelope—particularly below $m_c$—would be strong evidence in favor of the Mesh Model's coherence-dependent curvature mechanism.

### 7.3 Experimental Systems

Several experimental platforms are rapidly approaching the precision needed to test the Mesh Model's predictions:

- **Torsion balances**: Eöt-Wash experiments have verified Newtonian gravity down to ∼55 µm separations, but with milligram-scale sources[36, 37].
- **Microcantilevers**: Systems with ∼10 µg test masses show forces consistent with Newtonian predictions, but occasionally trend slightly below expectations within the experimental noise[38].
- **Levitated microspheres**: Optically trapped dielectric spheres near nanostructured attractors (1–10 µg) offer a promising path to probing gravitational interactions well below the 1 mg regime[39, 5].

The Mesh Model predicts that any mass below the coherence threshold should exhibit a measurable reduction in gravitational coupling—especially in experiments operating in the 1 µg to 100 µg range, where phase coherence may fall below activation for the curvature mesh.

### 7.4 Distinctive Signals and Falsifiability

The Mesh Model can be falsified if gravity continues to follow Newtonian predictions across all scales down to single-particle masses. It makes three specific, testable claims:

1. **Sublinear scaling of force with mass** below the coherence threshold.
2. **Smooth deviation from Newtonian predictions** in the $10^{-6}$ to $10^{-3}$ g mass range.
3. **Experimental data points falling within or below the predicted suppression envelope**.

Experiments that improve force sensitivity, isolate test masses in the microgram regime, or employ precision levitation techniques will directly probe these predictions.

In addition to laboratory-scale gravitational suppression, the Mesh Model may produce distinctive signatures at astrophysical scales. If black holes are realized as phase-saturated, horizonless configurations [18], the curvature boundary could act as a semi-reflective surface. This would lead to post-merger gravitational wave echoes—delayed, low-amplitude signals following the primary ringdown—which could serve as indirect evidence of the mesh-regulated vacuum structure near compact object cores[40, 41].

### 7.5 Summary

The Mesh Model's prediction of gravitational suppression is both measurable and distinctive. It anticipates:

- Smooth, nonzero deviations from Newtonian gravity for incoherent low-mass systems;
- A coherence scale near 1 mg as the gravitational activation threshold;
- Experimental observables already approaching this regime.

Continued refinement of low-mass gravitational experiments—especially those probing below 100 µg—offers a direct path to either falsify or validate the core predictions of this geometric framework.

## 8 Comparison with Other Theories

The Mesh Model enters the quantum gravity landscape alongside several well-established frameworks, each seeking to reconcile quantum mechanics with general relativity. While it draws inspiration from past work, the Mesh Model proposes a novel mechanism: spacetime geometry and quantum behavior emerge from the interaction of two continuous, physically grounded fields—tension and curvature—operating at different scales. In this section, we compare the Mesh Model to leading theories in terms of structure, explanatory power, and testability.

### 8.1 String Theory

String theory models all particles as different vibrational modes of one-dimensional strings in a higher-dimensional spacetime[42]. It unifies quantum field theory and gravity by embedding both into a supersymmetric framework, but requires unobserved extra dimensions, branes, and compactification schemes.

**Contrast:** The Mesh Model does not rely on extra dimensions or supersymmetry. Instead of string vibrations giving rise to mass and gravity, it derives these phenomena from field coherence (tension) and geometric resistance (curvature). It offers a more direct and mechanically intuitive picture of emergence while maintaining compatibility with relativistic and quantum principles. Moreover, the Mesh Model makes concrete, low-energy experimental predictions—something string theory has historically struggled to produce[2].

## 8.2 Loop Quantum Gravity (LQG)

LQG attempts to quantize spacetime itself using spin networks and spin foams, yielding a granular structure of space at the Planck scale[3, 43]. It successfully maintains background independence, but has limited engagement with the matter sector and lacks a complete dynamical theory for cosmology or particle behavior.

**Contrast:** The Mesh Model does not quantize geometry. Instead, geometry emerges from a continuous coupling between tension and curvature fields. It retains background independence by defining spacetime only where these fields interact. While LQG describes quantum geometry at the Planck scale, the Mesh Model emphasizes coherence thresholds and low-energy deviations—making it more readily testable in laboratory and astrophysical settings.

## 8.3 Emergent and Entropic Gravity

Frameworks such as Sakharov's induced gravity[10, 11] and Verlinde's entropic gravity[14] suggest that gravity is not fundamental, but arises from thermodynamic or information-theoretic principles. These models explain gravitational attraction as an elastic or statistical response of spacetime or entanglement entropy.

**Contrast:** The Mesh Model shares their spirit—gravity as emergent—not as a force, but as a response to coherent tension. However, it goes further by modeling both tension and curvature fields as physical, continuous, and dynamically coupled systems. It retains the predictive structure of field theory and derives entropy, suppression, and thermal-like radiation as consequences of nonlinear field geometry.

## 8.4 Wheeler's Geometrodynamics and Analog Gravity

Wheeler's geons and analog models propose that particles and gravity may emerge from curvature alone or from fluid-like analog systems[44, 45]. These approaches are often illustrative, serving as conceptual tools rather than predictive frameworks.

**Contrast:** The Mesh Model incorporates this vision but realizes it through a rigorous field-theoretic construction. It assigns specific roles to tension and curvature and provides predictive modeling via covariant equations of motion and boundary-sensitive dynamics.

## 8.5 Summary of Distinctions

- **Two-field structure:** No other theory explicitly posits a continuous, dual-field system consisting of tension and curvature meshes.
- **Coherence threshold:** Only the Mesh Model predicts gravity suppression below a testable mass scale.
- **Born rule derivation:** Quantum probabilities arise from phase geometry, not from axiomatic assumptions[6].

- **Emergent spacetime:** Geometry forms only where tension and curvature entangle through local coherence.
- **Testability:** Predicts low-mass deviations in gravity already within reach of existing and near-future experiments.
- **Einstein equivalence:** In the high-coherence limit, Mesh Model dynamics reduce to a linearized form of Einstein's equations, recovering general relativity as a limiting case.

While inspired by the successes of previous frameworks, the Mesh Model introduces a fundamentally new explanatory mechanism—one that unites quantum and gravitational behavior within a geometric, predictive, and falsifiable field theory.

# 9 Discussion and Future Work

The Mesh Model presents a conceptually novel and mathematically rigorous approach to reconciling quantum mechanics and gravity. By treating spacetime as the region of entanglement between two continuous, dynamically responsive fields—the tension mesh and the curvature mesh—it reframes familiar concepts in physically transparent and experimentally testable ways.

This section reflects on open challenges, theoretical extensions, and next steps toward a more complete unification framework.

## 9.1 Open Questions

- **Fermionic and gauge field extensions:** While the model currently describes bosonic (scalar) fields and curvature, an outstanding question is how to incorporate spinor fields and gauge symmetries into the tension mesh framework.
- **Microstructure of the mesh:** Are the tension and curvature meshes fundamentally continuous, or are they effective field descriptions of a deeper microstructure—such as a quantum fluid, a spin network, or an informational substrate?
- **Quantization and UV behavior:** Can the tension mesh be quantized in a way that respects its Born–Infeld structure and supports a renormalizable or effective QFT? Is the curvature mesh strictly classical, or does it admit quantized excitations (e.g., curvature quanta or geometric modes) in some limit?
- **Nonlinear stability and singularity behavior:** How robust is the model under dynamical gravitational collapse? Can black hole cores be fully resolved into smooth, coherent structures without forming singularities?

## 9.2 Model Extensions

Several promising directions could enrich the current formalism:

- **Multi-field generalization:** A three-component tension field could allow phase vector dynamics, enabling analogs of charge, spin, or color.
- **Tensorial curvature mesh:** Beyond a scalar curvature response, a full tensor-based formulation (e.g., generalizing $h_{\mu\nu}$) may yield Einstein-like behavior in the high-coherence limit.

- **Topological features:** Stable field knots or linked solitons in the tension mesh could model fermions or topological quantum numbers.

- **Non-curving mass structures in high-acceleration regimes:** Observations of the inner regions of spiral galaxy NGC 4321 reveal that over 60% of the enclosed mass within 0.7 kiloparsecs appears gravitationally inert despite experiencing accelerations well above the MOND threshold [46]. This challenges both modified gravity models and the expectation that mass always curves spacetime. In the Mesh Model, this behavior is naturally explained: if the mass-energy density within this region lacks sufficient phase coherence, it will fail to activate the curvature mesh and will not generate gravitational curvature. Such configurations—tension-rich but incoherent—are precisely the types of hidden mass structures the Mesh Model predicts in galactic cores and dark matter-dominated regions.

- **Avoidance of WIMP–PBH annihilation halos:** Simulations of WIMP accumulation around primordial black holes have shown that even a small population of PBHs would rapidly form ultra-dense dark matter halos, triggering annihilation rates that contradict gamma-ray background observations [47]. The Mesh Model sidesteps this constraint entirely: incoherent WIMP-like matter does not activate the curvature mesh and thus cannot gravitationally collapse around black holes. This eliminates the conditions for halo formation and annihilation, resolving the tension without modifying WIMP physics or suppressing PBH abundance.

- **Neutron star stability with asymmetric dark matter:** Observational studies show that asymmetric dark matter (ADM) accumulating in neutron stars should, under classical gravity, form bound cores that collapse into black holes [48]. Yet old neutron stars persist in dark matter-rich regions. The Mesh Model provides a natural explanation: incoherent ADM-like structures can accumulate energetically without activating the curvature mesh. As long as coherence thresholds are not met, the geometry remains unperturbed, preserving neutron star stability.

- **Gravitational constraints from S2 star orbits and graviton mass bounds:** High-precision observations of the S2 star orbiting the Milky Way's central black hole have confirmed general relativistic predictions while placing strict bounds on the graviton mass [49, 50]. The Mesh Model accounts for both results: in regions of strong field coherence—such as near Sgr A*—curvature behaves as in general relativity. Meanwhile, the absence of gravitational wave dispersion or extra polarization modes supports the Mesh Model's graviton-free stance. Gravity is not a quantized force—it is an emergent response to coherent structure in the tension mesh.

- **Gravitationally inert composite dark matter analogs:** Theoretical models of composite dark matter—such as OHe atoms formed from stable charged particles and primordial helium—predict neutral, non-annihilating structures that evade gravitational detection [51]. In the Mesh Model, such behavior is not exotic but expected. Incoherent field configurations can carry energy and persist structurally, yet fail to activate the curvature mesh and produce gravitational curvature. This offers a geometric explanation for why some dark matter candidates remain gravitationally elusive.

A concrete realization of mesh saturation and boundary-mediated radiation has already been explored in the context of horizonless black holes [18]. There, a phase-locked core structure interacts with a finite-width curvature boundary to reproduce Hawking-like behavior, entropy–area scaling, and information-preserving evaporation. This model exemplifies how coherent solitonic structures and nonlinear coupling can give rise to gravitational thermodynamics without invoking singularities or event horizons.

**A Foundational Test: Higgs Decay and the Nature of Mass.** The Mesh Model draws a clear and principled line: if it is correct, then mass and gravity arise from coherent field structure—not from a graviton or force-mediated interaction. The Higgs boson offers a natural proving ground for this claim[52, 53]. As a massive, spin-0, uncharged particle, its decay into pure electromagnetic gamma rays presents a puzzling feature: the absence of gravitational radiation. If gravity were mediated by a spin-2 quantum particle, the Higgs would be among the most direct and efficient sources of its emission. Yet no such signal is observed.

Within the Mesh Model, this is not a failure—it is expected. Mass originates from phase-stabilized configurations of the tension mesh, and gravity emerges from curvature resistance. There is no graviton, and no need for one. The Higgs decay channel confirms that mass is not a charge of a quantum gravity field—it is a resonance within structured tension.

This is not a critique of other models, but a statement of integrity: *this is the Mesh Model's line in the sand.* If it cannot account for this behavior—if its interpretation of Higgs decay fails under scrutiny—then it must fail entirely. But if it succeeds—if it explains what others cannot—then it may not only stand, but reshape our understanding of mass, gravity, and the quantum structure of reality.

## 9.3 Simulation and Visualization

Numerical simulations of Mesh Model dynamics—particularly soliton formation, curvature response, and suppression behavior near the coherence threshold—can further strengthen theoretical predictions and visualize emergent structure[54]. Simulating:

- The evolution of interacting solitons and curvature wells,
- The emergence of horizon-like behavior in mesh-saturated zones,
- The gravitational suppression curve in low-mass configurations,

would provide concrete support for the model's physical validity and clarify nonlinear feedback between the tension and curvature meshes.

## 9.4 Experimental Collaboration

To test the gravitational suppression curve and other predictions, the Mesh Model invites collaboration with:

- Precision gravity laboratories (torsion balances, optical levitation),
- Cold atom interferometry and inertial sensing platforms,
- Quantum optomechanics groups exploring coherence and wavefunction collapse dynamics.

## 9.5 Philosophical Implications

The Mesh Model supports a geometric unification of physical reality: matter is organized tension, gravity is coherent curvature, and quantum behavior emerges from the structure of field phase. This reframes longstanding questions not as metaphysical mysteries, but as geometric facts—suggesting that information, probability, and time all arise from field relationships within a shared, dynamic spacetime.

### 9.6 Summary

The Mesh Model opens multiple paths for deepening theoretical insight and enabling near-term empirical testing. It encourages:

- Expanded field structures for particle physics,
- Coupled nonlinear simulations of curvature dynamics,
- Experimental falsifiability in tabletop setups and particle decay channels,
- And a conceptual unification of physics through emergent geometry.

Quantization of the tension mesh—and the development of effective field theories based on its soliton and wave dynamics—are natural next steps. These extensions will enable exploration of renormalization, UV completion, and quantum coherence at the foundation of spacetime behavior.

Moreover, the model's unique predictions—such as gravitational suppression below the coherence threshold, ripple-based radiation signatures, and the absence of a fundamental graviton—are increasingly supported by observational anomalies. These include mass discrepancies in high-acceleration galactic cores, the persistence of neutron stars in DM-rich regions, non-gravitating composite matter candidates, and the structure of Higgs boson decay.

As new data emerges, the Mesh Model offers a geometric lens through which unexplained phenomena may be unified and reinterpreted without contradiction.

## Conclusion

The Mesh Model provides a unified, geometric theory in which mass, curvature, quantum behavior, and spacetime itself emerge from the entangled dynamics of two continuous fields. These fields—the tension mesh and the curvature mesh—represent a fundamental duality: one responsive to all fluctuations, the other activated only by coherent stress. Their interplay recasts the foundations of physics as manifestations of geometric strain.

This framework addresses several longstanding questions:

- **Why is gravity so weak?** Because curvature is resisted by a stiff geometric mesh, and incoherent tension cannot deform it.
- **What is mass?** Stable, phase-locked solitons in the tension mesh.
- **Why does the Born rule work?** Because field collapse is an energy-minimizing phase alignment.
- **Why does spacetime curve?** Because organized tension perturbs the curvature field.

By recovering general relativity and quantum behavior in their appropriate limits—while offering testable deviations at low mass scales—the Mesh Model grounds unification in a physically and mathematically coherent field theory. Unlike string theory or loop quantum gravity, this model introduces no extra dimensions or exotic quantization structures; instead, it focuses on structure, coherence, and nonlinear feedback between tension and geometry.

From black holes to cosmology, from the arrow of time to low-energy gravitation, the Mesh Model provides a geometric lens through which quantum and gravitational phenomena are not merely compatible—but inseparable.

In summary: mass is a knot in the tension field, gravity is the sag of the curvature field, and reality is the rhythm between the two.

Recent observational anomalies—from central galactic mass discrepancies and neutron star stability in dark matter-rich environments, to the gravitational silence of Higgs boson decay—underscore the urgency of a new framework. These phenomena strain the assumptions of classical gravity and particle physics alike. The Mesh Model offers an alternative: a geometry activated not by energy alone, but by coherence. It proposes that spacetime curvature is not a reflex to mass, but a response to structure.

The Mesh Model is not offered as a complete theory, but as a geometric and physical framework from which deeper insights may emerge. Its strength lies not only in what it unifies, but in what it reframes: gravity without a graviton, quantum collapse without mysticism, and mass without charge. It opens paths to conserved quantities, microstate entropy, and quantized geometry—not by invention, but by alignment between tension and resistance.

If the model endures, it will not be because it answered everything, but because it asked something worth answering: Whether the universe is curved not by force, but by coherence.

## Appendix A: Symmetries, Covariance, and Physical Invariants

This appendix provides a brief structural analysis of the Mesh Model, focusing on its internal symmetry properties, vacuum structure, and conserved quantities implied by its dual-field dynamics. While the model is primarily developed in physical and geometric terms, its underlying field content exhibits a coherent symmetry structure that warrants formal examination.

**Covariance:** The full action is constructed using generally covariant terms. The tension mesh field $\phi(x^\mu)$ transforms as a scalar under diffeomorphisms, and the curvature mesh field $h_{\mu\nu}(x^\mu)$ as a symmetric rank-2 tensor. The interaction term $\phi^2 g^{\mu\nu} h_{\mu\nu}$ is a scalar under coordinate transformations, ensuring local Lorentz invariance and background independence at the level of field transformation.

**Conditional Symmetry Activation:** Although the Lagrangian itself preserves full spacetime symmetries, the dynamical activation of curvature in the curvature mesh depends on the local coherence of the tension field. This introduces a novel mechanism in which symmetry is not explicitly broken, but becomes physically manifest only when a coherence threshold is surpassed—effectively implementing a form of coherence-gated symmetry realization.

**Stress-Energy and Conservation:** The tension mesh admits a bounded and well-defined stress-energy tensor derived from the Born–Infeld-type Lagrangian. In uncoupled regimes or in regions of sufficient coherence, energy–momentum conservation follows from the variational structure. The mutual backreaction between the tension and curvature fields implies ongoing energy exchange. Further analysis—e.g., via Noether's theorem—is anticipated in future work to fully characterize the conserved quantities of the coupled system.

**Vacuum and Topological Structure:** The potential $V(\phi) = \frac{\lambda}{4}(\phi^2 - v^2)^2$ defines a degenerate vacuum manifold, enabling domain wall configurations and localized solitons. These field structures may be topologically classified in extensions where the field manifold becomes nontrivial (e.g., $\pi_1(M) \neq 0$). In particular, nontrivial topology may arise not only from the scalar field itself, but from the joint configuration space of the coupled tension and curvature meshes.

**Future Development:** While a full classification of symmetries and conserved currents lies beyond the scope of this foundational work, the theoretical structure is intentionally constructed to support such analyses. The Mesh Model is designed not to evade symmetry constraints, but to encourage their formal exploration and generalization as the theory matures.

## References


[1] Abhay Ashtekar. Loop quantum gravity: Four recent advances and a dozen frequently asked questions. *arXiv preprint*, 2007.

[2] Sabine Hossenfelder. *Lost in Math: How Beauty Leads Physics Astray.* Basic Books, 2018.

[3] Carlo Rovelli. Loop quantum gravity. *Living Reviews in Relativity*, 1:1, 1998.

[4] Ted Jacobson. Thermodynamics of spacetime: The einstein equation of state. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 75:1260–1263, 1995.

[5] T. Westphal et al. Measurement of gravitational coupling between millimeter-sized masses. *Nature*, 591:225–228, 2021.

[6] William K. Wootters. The born rule and wheeler's 'it from bit'. In W. H. Zurek, editor, *Complexity, Entropy and the Physics of Information.* Addison-Wesley, 1990.

[7] Luis J. Garay. Quantum gravity and minimum length. *International Journal of Modern Physics A*, 10:145–166, 1995.

[8] Elisama E. M. Lima and Francisco A. Brito. Scalar field models driven by dirac–born–infeld dynamics and their relatives. *Annals of Physics*, 434:168780, 2021.

[9] Stephen Hawking. The unpredictability of quantum gravity. *Communications in Mathematical Physics*, 87:395–415, 1982.

[10] Andrei D. Sakharov. Vacuum quantum fluctuations in curved space and the theory of gravitation. *Sov. Phys. Dokl.*, 12:1040–1041, 1968.

[11] Matt Visser. Sakharov's induced gravity: A modern perspective. *Modern Physics Letters A*, 17:977–992, 2002.

[12] Tomasz Konopka, Fotini Markopoulou, and Simone Severini. Quantum graphity: A model of emergent locality. *Physical Review D*, 77:104029, 2008.

[13] Albert Einstein. Ether and the theory of relativity. *University of Leiden Lecture*, 1920.

[14] Erik P. Verlinde. On the origin of gravity and the laws of newton. *Journal of High Energy Physics*, 2011(4):29, 2011.

[15] Max Born and Leopold Infeld. Foundations of the new field theory. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London A*, 144:425–451, 1934.

[16] Steven Weinberg. *The Quantum Theory of Fields, Vol. 2: Modern Applications.* Cambridge University Press, 1996.

[17] R. Rajaraman. *Solitons and Instantons: An Introduction to Solitons and Instantons in Quantum Field Theory.* North-Holland Publishing Company, 1982.

[18] Thomas Lock. *Hearts of Giants: A Horizonless Model of Black Holes as Supercooled Quantum Cores with Vacuum-Regulated Radiation.* 2025. `https://github.com/thomasrunner/research/blob/main/papers/physics/A_Horizonless_Model_of_Black_Holes_as_Supercooled_Quantum_Cores_with_Vacuum_Regulated_Radiation.pdf`.

[19] Sean M. Carroll. *Spacetime and Geometry: An Introduction to General Relativity.* Addison-Wesley, 2004.

[20] Albert Einstein, Boris Podolsky, and Nathan Rosen. Can quantum-mechanical description of physical reality be considered complete? *Physical Review*, 47(10):777–780, 1935.

[21] Jacob D. Bekenstein. Black holes and entropy. *Physical Review D*, 7(8):2333–2346, 1973.

[22] Stephen W. Hawking. Particle creation by black holes. *Communications in Mathematical Physics*, 43(3):199–220, 1975.

[23] Samir D. Mathur. The information paradox: A pedagogical introduction. *Classical and Quantum Gravity*, 26(22):224001, 2009.

[24] John Preskill. Do black holes destroy information?, 1992. Lecture notes (Caltech).

[25] Don N. Page. Particle emission rates from a black hole: Massless particles from an uncharged, nonrotating hole. *Physical Review D*, 13(2):198–206, 1976.

[26] Julian Barbour. *The discovery of dynamics.* Oxford University Press, 1994.

[27] Rong-Gen Cai, Li-Ming Cao, and Nobuyoshi Ohta. Friedmann equations from entropic force. *Physical Review D*, 81(6):061501, 2010.

[28] Fotini Markopoulou. New directions in background independent quantum gravity. *arXiv preprint*, 2006.

[29] Alan H. Guth. Inflationary universe: A possible solution to the horizon and flatness problems. *Physical Review D*, 23(2):347–356, 1981.

[30] Andrei D. Linde. A new inflationary universe scenario: A possible solution of the horizon, flatness, homogeneity, isotropy and primordial monopole problems. *Physics Letters B*, 108(6):389–393, 1982.

[31] T. Padmanabhan. Dark energy: mystery of the millennium. *AIP Conference Proceedings*, 861:179–196, 2005.

[32] Julian Barbour. *The End of Time.* Oxford University Press, 2009.

[33] Sean M. Carroll. *From Eternity to Here: The Quest for the Ultimate Theory of Time.* Dutton, 2010.

[34] Andrew A. Geraci and David C. Moore. Searching for new physics using optically levitated sensors. *Physics Today*, 74(7):32–38, 2021.

[35] W. C. Tan and et al. New test of short-range forces at the micrometer scale. *Physical Review Letters*, 116:131101, 2016.

[36] E. G. Adelberger et al. Tests of the gravitational inverse-square law below the dark-energy length scale. *Physical Review Letters*, 98:131104, 2003.

[37] D. J. Kapner and et al. Tests of the gravitational inverse-square law below the dark-energy length scale. *Physical Review Letters*, 98:021101, 2007.

[38] Andrew A. Geraci and et al. Improved constraints on non-newtonian forces at 10 microns. *Physical Review D*, 78:022002, 2008.

[39] Fernando Monteiro, David C. Moore, and et al. Force sensing with optically levitated microspheres in the quantum regime. *Physical Review A*, 101(5):053835, 2020.

[40] Vitor Cardoso, Edgardo Franzin, and Paolo Pani. Is the gravitational-wave ringdown a probe of the event horizon? *Physical Review Letters*, 116(17):171101, 2016.

[41] Jahed Abedi, Harvey Dykaar, and Niayesh Afshordi. Echoes from the abyss: Tentative evidence for planck-scale structure at black hole horizons. *Physical Review D*, 96(8):082004, 2017.

[42] Michael B. Green, John H. Schwarz, and Edward Witten. *Superstring Theory*, volume 1 and 2. Cambridge University Press, 1987.

[43] Abhay Ashtekar and Jerzy Lewandowski. Background independent quantum gravity: A status report. *Classical and Quantum Gravity*, 21(15):R53–R152, 2004.

[44] John A. Wheeler. Geons. *Physical Review*, 97:511–536, 1955.

[45] Carlos Barceló, Stefano Liberati, and Matt Visser. Analogue gravity. *Living Reviews in Relativity*, 14(3), 2011.

[46] SK Ali, CH Hwang, and Z Abidin. Dark matter in the central region of the spiral galaxy ngc 4321. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1812.05918*, 2018.

[47] Julian Adamek, Christian T Byrnes, Mateja Gosenca, and Shaun Hotchkiss. Wimps and stellar-mass primordial black holes are incompatible. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1901.08528*, 2019.

[48] Roberto Garani, Yoann Genolini, Thomas Hambye, and Laura Lopez-Honorez. New analysis of neutron star constraints on asymmetric dark matter. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1812.08773*, 2018.

[49] Alexander F Zakharov. Tests of gravity theories with galactic center observations. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1901.08343*, 2019.

[50] Vitor Cardoso and Paolo Pani. Testing the nature of dark compact objects: a status report. *Living Reviews in Relativity*, 22(1):4, 2019.

[51] Maxim Yu Khlopov. Beyond the standard models of particle physics and cosmology. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1811.09222*, 2018.

[52] José R. Espinosa. Implications of the higgs discovery for gravity and cosmology. *Modern Physics Letters A*, 28(34):1330069, 2013.

[53] Hyun Min Lee and Toshifumi Yamashita. Gravitational waves from higgs sector. *International Journal of Modern Physics D*, 28(13):1944015, 2019.

[54] Tanmay Vachaspati. *Kinks and Domain Walls: An Introduction to Classical and Quantum Solitons*. Cambridge University Press, 2006.