

Monad Translations for Higher-Order Logic

FSCD 2025

Thomas Traversié



Relation between Logics (1)

- Different logics:

- ▶ **Minimal logic** (ML)
- ▶ **Intuitionistic logic** (IL) = ML + principle of explosion $\perp \Rightarrow A$
- ▶ **Classical logic** (CL) = IL + principle of excluded middle $A \vee \neg A$

Relation between Logics (1)

- Different logics:

- ▶ **Minimal logic** (ML)
- ▶ **Intuitionistic logic** (IL) = ML + principle of explosion $\perp \Rightarrow A$
- ▶ **Classical logic** (CL) = IL + principle of excluded middle $A \vee \neg A$

- Glivenko's theorem [1928] for **propositional logic**

- ▶ If $\vdash_{\text{CL}} A$ then $\vdash_{\text{IL}} \neg\neg A$
- ▶ Intuition: $\neg\neg(A \vee \neg A)$ has an intuitionistic proof

Relation between Logics (1)

- Different logics:

- ▶ **Minimal logic** (ML)
- ▶ **Intuitionistic logic** (IL) = ML + principle of explosion $\perp \Rightarrow A$
- ▶ **Classical logic** (CL) = IL + principle of excluded middle $A \vee \neg A$

- Glivenko's theorem [1928] for **propositional logic**

- ▶ If $\vdash_{\text{CL}} A$ then $\vdash_{\text{IL}} \neg\neg A$
- ▶ Intuition: $\neg\neg(A \vee \neg A)$ has an intuitionistic proof

- Double-negation translations for **first-order logic**

- ▶ Insert double negations inside formulas
- ▶ Several translations: Kolmogorov [1925], Gödel-Gentzen [1933, 1936], Kuroda [1951]
- ▶ From CL to IL/ML

Relations between Logics (2)

- Kuroda's translation has been:
 - ▶ Generalized to **monad operators** [van den Berg 2019]
 - ▶ Extended to **higher-order logic** [Brown-Rizkallah 2014, T. 2024]

Relations between Logics (2)

- Kuroda's translation has been:
 - ▶ Generalized to **monad operators** [van den Berg 2019]
 - ▶ Extended to **higher-order logic** [Brown-Rizkallah 2014, T. 2024]

- **This paper:**

We define a monad translation for higher-order logic.

Relations between Logics (2)

- Kuroda's translation has been:
 - ▶ Generalized to **monad operators** [van den Berg 2019]
 - ▶ Extended to **higher-order logic** [Brown-Rizkallah 2014, T. 2024]

- **This paper:**

We define a monad translation for higher-order logic.

**We characterize different relations between
higher-order classical, intuitionistic and minimal logic.**

Outline

Double-Negation Translations

- Generalization to Monad Operators

- Extension to Higher-Order Logic

Monad Translation for Higher-Order Logic

- Translation and Embedding

- Embeddings between Higher-Order Logics

Factorizable Monad Translation

Conclusion

Double-Negation Translations

- Translations $A \mapsto A^*$ that insert double negations inside first-order formulas
 - ▶ **Soundness property**: if $\Gamma \vdash_{\text{CL}} A$ then $\Gamma^* \vdash_{\text{IL}} A^*$
 - ▶ **Characterization property**: $\vdash_{\text{CL}} A^* \Leftrightarrow A$

Double-Negation Translations

- Translations $A \mapsto A^*$ that insert double negations inside first-order formulas

- ▶ **Soundness property:** if $\Gamma \vdash_{\text{CL}} A$ then $\Gamma^* \vdash_{\text{IL}} A^*$
- ▶ **Characterization property:** $\vdash_{\text{CL}} A^* \Leftrightarrow A$

- Kuroda's translation [1951] inserts double negations:

- ▶ after universal quantifiers:

$$\begin{array}{lll} (A \Rightarrow B)_{Ku} := A_{Ku} \Rightarrow B_{Ku} & (\neg A)_{Ku} := \neg A_{Ku} & P_{Ku} := P \text{ if } P \text{ atomic} \\ (A \wedge B)_{Ku} := A_{Ku} \wedge B_{Ku} & \top_{Ku} := \top & (\forall x.A)_{Ku} := \forall x. \neg\neg A_{Ku} \\ (A \vee B)_{Ku} := A_{Ku} \vee B_{Ku} & \perp_{Ku} := \perp & (\exists x.A)_{Ku} := \exists x.A_{Ku} \end{array}$$

- ▶ in front of formulas: $A^{Ku} := \neg\neg A_{Ku}$

Outline

Double-Negation Translations

- Generalization to Monad Operators

- Extension to Higher-Order Logic

Monad Translation for Higher-Order Logic

- Translation and Embedding

- Embeddings between Higher-Order Logics

Factorizable Monad Translation

Conclusion

Monad Operators

- Connectives T that satisfy:
 - ▶ **Unit**: $A \Rightarrow TA$
 - ▶ **Bind**: $(A \Rightarrow TB) \Rightarrow (TA \Rightarrow TB)$

Monad Operators

- Connectives T that satisfy:
 - ▶ **Unit**: $A \Rightarrow TA$
 - ▶ **Bind**: $(A \Rightarrow TB) \Rightarrow (TA \Rightarrow TB)$
- Also known as lax modalities, nuclei or strong monads

Monad Operators

- Connectives T that satisfy:
 - ▶ **Unit**: $A \Rightarrow TA$
 - ▶ **Bind**: $(A \Rightarrow TB) \Rightarrow (TA \Rightarrow TB)$
- Also known as lax modalities, nuclei or strong monads
- **Examples**:
 - ▶ Continuation monad: $TA := (A \Rightarrow R) \Rightarrow R$
 - ▶ Double negation: $TA := \neg\neg A$
 - ▶ Peirce monad: $TA := (A \Rightarrow R) \Rightarrow A$
 - ▶ $TA := A \vee \perp$

Monad Translations

- Translations $A \mapsto A^*$ that insert **monad operators** inside first-order formulas
 - ▶ Different translations [Aczel 2001, Escardó-Oliva 2012, van den Berg 2019]

Monad Translations

- Translations $A \mapsto A^*$ that insert **monad operators** inside first-order formulas
 - ▶ Different translations [Aczel 2001, Escardó-Oliva 2012, van den Berg 2019]

- Kuroda's monad translation [van den Berg 2019] inserts monad operators:
 - ▶ after universal quantifiers and **implications**:

$$\begin{array}{lll} (A \Rightarrow B)_T := A_T \Rightarrow \textcolor{brown}{T} B_T & (\neg A)_T := \neg A_T & P_T := P \text{ if } P \text{ atomic} \\ (A \wedge B)_T := A_T \wedge B_T & \top_T := \top & (\forall x. A)_T := \forall x. \textcolor{brown}{T} A_T \\ (A \vee B)_T := A_T \vee B_T & \perp_T := \perp & (\exists x. A)_T := \exists x. A_T \end{array}$$

- ▶ in front of formulas: $A^T := \textcolor{brown}{T} A_T$

Monad Embedding

- Monad translations remove the **T-elimination** $TA \Rightarrow A$
 - ▶ Just like double-negation translations remove the double-negation elimination $\neg\neg A \Rightarrow A$
 - ▶ Depending on the monad, they embed CL into IL or IL into ML
 - ▶ We write $L + T$ for the logic L along with

$$\frac{}{\Gamma \vdash TA \Rightarrow A} \text{T-ELIM}$$

Monad Embedding

- Monad translations remove the **T-elimination** $TA \Rightarrow A$
 - ▶ Just like double-negation translations remove the double-negation elimination $\neg\neg A \Rightarrow A$
 - ▶ Depending on the monad, they embed CL into IL or IL into ML
 - ▶ We write $L + T$ for the logic L along with

$$\frac{}{\Gamma \vdash TA \Rightarrow A} \text{T-ELIM}$$

- **Soundness property**: if $\Gamma \vdash_{L+T} A$ then $\Gamma^* \vdash_L A^*$

Monad Embedding

- Monad translations remove the **T-elimination** $TA \Rightarrow A$
 - ▶ Just like double-negation translations remove the double-negation elimination $\neg\neg A \Rightarrow A$
 - ▶ Depending on the monad, they embed CL into IL or IL into ML
 - ▶ We write $L + T$ for the logic L along with

$$\frac{}{\Gamma \vdash TA \Rightarrow A} \text{T-ELIM}$$

- **Soundness property**: if $\Gamma \vdash_{L+T} A$ then $\Gamma^* \vdash_L A^*$
- **Characterization property**: $\vdash_{L+T} A^* \Leftrightarrow A$

Outline

Double-Negation Translations

Generalization to Monad Operators

Extension to Higher-Order Logic

Monad Translation for Higher-Order Logic

Translation and Embedding

Embeddings between Higher-Order Logics

Factorizable Monad Translation

Conclusion

Higher-Order Logic

■ Simple type theory:

- ▶ Types $\tau, \sigma ::= \iota \mid o \mid \tau \rightarrow \sigma$
- ▶ Terms $t, u ::= x \mid c \mid \lambda x. t \mid t u$
- ▶ β -conversion \equiv_β generated by $(\lambda x. t) u \hookrightarrow t[x \leftarrow u]$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash A \quad A \equiv_\beta B}{\Gamma \vdash B} \text{ CONV}$$

Higher-Order Logic

■ Simple type theory:

- ▶ Types $\tau, \sigma ::= \iota \mid o \mid \tau \rightarrow \sigma$
- ▶ Terms $t, u ::= x \mid c \mid \lambda x. t \mid t u$
- ▶ β -conversion \equiv_β generated by $(\lambda x. t) u \hookrightarrow t[x \leftarrow u]$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash A \quad A \equiv_\beta B}{\Gamma \vdash B} \text{CONV}$$

■ Functional extensionality and propositional extensionality

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash f \ x = g \ x \quad x \notin FV(\Gamma, f, g)}{\Gamma \vdash f = g} \text{FUNEXT} \qquad \frac{\Gamma \vdash A \Rightarrow B \quad \Gamma \vdash B \Rightarrow A}{\Gamma \vdash A = B} \text{PROPEXT}$$

Extension to Higher-Order Logic

- Kolmogorov's translation and the Gödel-Gentzen translation **cannot** be naturally extended as they do not preserve β -conversion [Brown-Rizkallah 2014]
 - ▶ $(\lambda x. x \wedge Q) P \hookrightarrow P \wedge Q$
 - ▶ $((\lambda x. x \wedge Q) P)^{GG} = (\lambda x. \neg\neg x \wedge \neg\neg Q) \neg\neg P \hookrightarrow \neg\neg\neg\neg P \wedge \neg\neg Q$
 - ▶ $(P \wedge Q)^{GG} = \neg\neg P \wedge \neg\neg Q$

Extension to Higher-Order Logic

- Kolmogorov's translation and the Gödel-Gentzen translation **cannot** be naturally extended as they do not preserve β -conversion [Brown-Rizkallah 2014]
 - ▶ $(\lambda x. x \wedge Q) P \hookrightarrow P \wedge Q$
 - ▶ $((\lambda x. x \wedge Q) P)^{GG} = (\lambda x. \neg\neg x \wedge \neg\neg Q) \neg\neg P \hookrightarrow \neg\neg\neg\neg P \wedge \neg\neg Q$
 - ▶ $(P \wedge Q)^{GG} = \neg\neg P \wedge \neg\neg Q$
- Kuroda's translation **can** be extended to higher-order logic

Kuroda's Translation for Higher-Order Logic

- **Soundness property:** if $\Gamma \vdash_{\text{CL}} A$ then $\Gamma_{Ku} \vdash_{\text{IL}} A^{Ku}$
 - ▶ Holds in the general case [Brown-Rizkallah 2014]
 - ▶ Does not hold with FUNEXT [Brown-Rizkallah 2014]
 - ▶ Holds with FUNEXT assuming $\neg\neg(x = y) \Rightarrow x = y$ [T. 2024]

Kuroda's Translation for Higher-Order Logic

- **Soundness property:** if $\Gamma \vdash_{\text{CL}} A$ then $\Gamma_{Ku} \vdash_{\text{IL}} A^{Ku}$
 - ▶ Holds in the general case [Brown-Rizkallah 2014]
 - ▶ Does not hold with FUNEXT [Brown-Rizkallah 2014]
 - ▶ Holds with FUNEXT assuming $\neg\neg(x = y) \Rightarrow x = y$ [T. 2024]
- **Characterization property:** $\vdash_{\text{CL}} A^{Ku} \Leftrightarrow A$
 - ▶ Does not generally hold, but does under FUNEXT and PROEXT [T. 2024]

Outline

Double-Negation Translations

Generalization to Monad Operators

Extension to Higher-Order Logic

Monad Translation for Higher-Order Logic

Translation and Embedding

Embeddings between Higher-Order Logics

Factorizable Monad Translation

Conclusion

Outline

Double-Negation Translations

Generalization to Monad Operators

Extension to Higher-Order Logic

Monad Translation for Higher-Order Logic

Translation and Embedding

Embeddings between Higher-Order Logics

Factorizable Monad Translation

Conclusion

Monad Translation for Higher-Order Logic

- The translation inserts monad operators:
 - ▶ after universal quantifiers and implications:

$$\begin{aligned}x_T &:= x \\c_T &:= \begin{cases} \lambda p. \forall x. T(p\ x) & \text{if } c = \forall \\ \lambda p. \lambda q. p \Rightarrow Tq & \text{if } c = \Rightarrow \\ c & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \\(\lambda x. t)_T &:= \lambda x. t_T \\(t\ u)_T &:= t_T\ u_T\end{aligned}$$

- ▶ in front of formulas: $A^T := TA_T$

Monad Translation for Higher-Order Logic

- The translation inserts monad operators:
 - ▶ after universal quantifiers and implications:

$$\begin{aligned}x_T &:= x \\c_T &:= \begin{cases} \lambda p. \forall x. T(p\ x) & \text{if } c = \forall \\ \lambda p. \lambda q. p \Rightarrow Tq & \text{if } c = \Rightarrow \\ c & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \\(\lambda x. t)_T &:= \lambda x. t_T \\(t\ u)_T &:= t_T\ u_T\end{aligned}$$

- ▶ in front of formulas: $A^T := TA_T$

- Substitution: $(A[z \leftarrow w])^T = A^T[z \leftarrow w_T]$

Monad Translation for Higher-Order Logic

- The translation inserts monad operators:
 - ▶ after universal quantifiers and implications:

$$\begin{aligned}x_T &:= x \\c_T &:= \begin{cases} \lambda p. \forall x. T(p\ x) & \text{if } c = \forall \\ \lambda p. \lambda q. p \Rightarrow Tq & \text{if } c = \Rightarrow \\ c & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \\(\lambda x. t)_T &:= \lambda x. t_T \\(t\ u)_T &:= t_T\ u_T\end{aligned}$$

- ▶ in front of formulas: $A^T := TA_T$

- Substitution: $(A[z \leftarrow w])^T = A^T[z \leftarrow w_T]$

- **Conversion is preserved:** if $A \equiv_\beta B$ then $A^T \equiv_\beta B^T$

Monad Embedding

- **Soundness property:** if $\Gamma \vdash_{L+T} A$ then $\Gamma_T \vdash_L A^T$
 - ▶ Holds in the general case
 - ▶ With FUNEXT, we assume $T(x = y) \Rightarrow x = y$
 - ▶ With PROPEXT, we assume $(Tx = Ty) \Rightarrow x = y$

Monad Embedding

- **Soundness property:** if $\Gamma \vdash_{L+T} A$ then $\Gamma_T \vdash_L A^T$
 - ▶ Holds in the general case
 - ▶ With FUNEXT, we assume $T(x = y) \Rightarrow x = y$
 - ▶ With PROPEXT, we assume $(Tx = Ty) \Rightarrow x = y$
- **Characterization property:** $\vdash_{L+T} A^T \Leftrightarrow A$
 - ▶ Holds under FUNEXT and PROPEXT

Outline

Double-Negation Translations

Generalization to Monad Operators

Extension to Higher-Order Logic

Monad Translation for Higher-Order Logic

Translation and Embedding

Embeddings between Higher-Order Logics

Factorizable Monad Translation

Conclusion

Embeddings between Higher-Order Logics

Monad operator	T -elimination $TA \Rightarrow A$	Translation
$TA := \neg\neg A$ $TA := (A \Rightarrow \perp) \Rightarrow A$ $T_RA := (A \Rightarrow R) \Rightarrow A$	double-negation elimination $\neg\neg A \Rightarrow A$ Clavius's law $((A \Rightarrow \perp) \Rightarrow A) \Rightarrow A$ instance of Peirce's law $((A \Rightarrow R) \Rightarrow A) \Rightarrow A$	CL \rightarrow IL
$TA := A \vee \perp$	principle of explosion $\perp \Rightarrow A$	IL \rightarrow ML

Fragment of Higher-Order Coherent Formulas

- **Coherent formulas** are built using \wedge , \vee and \exists
 - ▶ If A is coherent then $A_T = A$
 - ▶ We use this trick to adapt two results to higher-order logic

Fragment of Higher-Order Coherent Formulas

- **Coherent formulas** are built using \wedge , \vee and \exists
 - ▶ If A is coherent then $A_T = A$
 - ▶ We use this trick to adapt two results to higher-order logic
- **Intuitionistic provability entails minimal provability**: if $\vdash_{\text{IL}} A$ then $\vdash_{\text{ML}} A$
 - ▶ Using $TA := A \vee \perp$, we have $\vdash_{\text{ML}} TA_T$
 - ▶ We get $\vdash_{\text{ML}} A \vee \perp$
 - ▶ ML has the disjunction property and we cannot prove \perp

Fragment of Higher-Order Coherent Formulas

- **Coherent formulas** are built using \wedge , \vee and \exists
 - ▶ If A is coherent then $A_T = A$
 - ▶ We use this trick to adapt two results to higher-order logic
- **Intuitionistic provability entails minimal provability**: if $\vdash_{\text{IL}} A$ then $\vdash_{\text{ML}} A$
 - ▶ Using $TA := A \vee \perp$, we have $\vdash_{\text{ML}} TA_T$
 - ▶ We get $\vdash_{\text{ML}} A \vee \perp$
 - ▶ ML has the disjunction property and we cannot prove \perp
- **Classical provability entails intuitionistic provability**: if $\Gamma \vdash_{\text{CL}} A$ then $\Gamma \vdash_{\text{IL}} A$
 - ▶ Using Friedman's trick, that is $T_RA := (A \Rightarrow R) \Rightarrow R$, we have $\Gamma_T \vdash_{\text{IL}} TA_T$
 - ▶ We get $\Gamma \vdash_{\text{IL}} (A \Rightarrow R) \Rightarrow R$
 - ▶ Choosing $R := A$, we get $\Gamma \vdash_{\text{IL}} A$

Outline

Double-Negation Translations

- Generalization to Monad Operators

- Extension to Higher-Order Logic

Monad Translation for Higher-Order Logic

- Translation and Embedding

- Embeddings between Higher-Order Logics

Factorizable Monad Translation

Conclusion

Factorizable Monad Operators

- Factorizable monad operators additionally satisfy

$$(TA \Rightarrow TB) \Rightarrow T(A \Rightarrow B)$$

Factorizable Monad Operators

- Factorizable monad operators additionally satisfy

$$(TA \Rightarrow TB) \Rightarrow T(A \Rightarrow B)$$

- **Examples:**

- ▶ $TA := (A \Rightarrow R) \Rightarrow A$ in minimal logic
- ▶ $TA := \neg\neg A$ in intuitionistic logic

Factorizable Monad Operators

- Factorizable monad operators additionally satisfy

$$(TA \Rightarrow TB) \Rightarrow T(A \Rightarrow B)$$

- **Examples:**

- ▶ $TA := (A \Rightarrow R) \Rightarrow A$ in minimal logic
- ▶ $TA := \neg\neg A$ in intuitionistic logic

- **Counter-examples:**

- ▶ $TA := A \vee \perp$
- ▶ $TA := (A \Rightarrow R) \Rightarrow R$

Factorizable Monad Translation

- Refinement of the translation:
 - ▶ **No need** for monad operators after implications [van den Berg 2019]
 - ▶ Direct abstraction of Kuroda's translation

Factorizable Monad Translation

- Refinement of the translation:
 - ▶ **No need** for monad operators after implications [van den Berg 2019]
 - ▶ Direct abstraction of Kuroda's translation
- The soundness property still holds
 - ▶ With `PROPEXT`, we **no longer** assume $(Tx = Ty) \Rightarrow x = y$

Factorizable Monad Translation

- Refinement of the translation:
 - ▶ **No need** for monad operators after implications [van den Berg 2019]
 - ▶ Direct abstraction of Kuroda's translation
- The soundness property still holds
 - ▶ With `PROPEXT`, we **no longer** assume $(Tx = Ty) \Rightarrow x = y$
- The characterization property still holds

Factorizable Monad Translation

- Refinement of the translation:
 - ▶ **No need** for monad operators after implications [van den Berg 2019]
 - ▶ Direct abstraction of Kuroda's translation
- The soundness property still holds
 - ▶ With `PROPEXT`, we **no longer** assume $(Tx = Ty) \Rightarrow x = y$
- The characterization property still holds
- The embeddings of CL into IL are still valid
 - ▶ Introduce less monad operators

Outline

Double-Negation Translations

- Generalization to Monad Operators

- Extension to Higher-Order Logic

Monad Translation for Higher-Order Logic

- Translation and Embedding

- Embeddings between Higher-Order Logics

Factorizable Monad Translation

Conclusion

Takeaway message

- **Monad** translation and **factorizable monad** translation for **higher-order logic**
 - ▶ Based on Kuroda's translation,
 - ▶ its generalization to monad operators [van den Berg 2019],
 - ▶ and its extension to higher-order logic [Brown-Rizkallah 2014, T. 2024]

Takeaway message

- **Monad** translation and **factorizable monad** translation for **higher-order logic**
 - ▶ Based on Kuroda's translation,
 - ▶ its generalization to monad operators [van den Berg 2019],
 - ▶ and its extension to higher-order logic [Brown-Rizkallah 2014, T. 2024]
- Relation between higher-order logics:
 - ▶ Embeddings of classical logic into intuitionistic logic
 - ▶ Embedding of intuitionistic logic into minimal logic
 - ▶ Fragment of coherent formulas

Future work

- Geometric formulas = coherent formulas with **infinitary disjunction**

Future work

- Geometric formulas = coherent formulas with **infinitary disjunction**
- **Barr's theorem**: in first-order geometric logic, if $\Gamma \vdash_{\text{CL}} A$ then $\Gamma \vdash_{\text{IL}} A$

Future work

- Geometric formulas = coherent formulas with **infinitary disjunction**
- **Barr's theorem**: in first-order geometric logic, if $\Gamma \vdash_{\text{CL}} A$ then $\Gamma \vdash_{\text{IL}} A$
- We showed this for higher-order coherent logic

Future work

- Geometric formulas = coherent formulas with **infinitary disjunction**
- **Barr's theorem**: in first-order geometric logic, if $\Gamma \vdash_{\text{CL}} A$ then $\Gamma \vdash_{\text{IL}} A$
- We showed this for higher-order coherent logic
- We would like to show Barr's theorem for **higher-order geometric logic**

Future work

- Geometric formulas = coherent formulas with **infinitary disjunction**
- **Barr's theorem**: in first-order geometric logic, if $\Gamma \vdash_{\text{CL}} A$ then $\Gamma \vdash_{\text{IL}} A$
- We showed this for higher-order coherent logic
- We would like to show Barr's theorem for **higher-order geometric logic**

Thank you!