ECON 21020: Econometrics

The University of Chicago, Spring 2022

Instructor: Thomas Wiemann

Problem Set # 2: Review of Statistics

Due: 11:59am on April 20, 2022

Problem 1 10 Points

The following are "True or false?"-questions. If the statement is true, provide a brief proof (≈ 3 lines). If the statement is false, provide a counter example. There are no points awarded for answers without a proof or counter example.

a)

True or false? Let U and X be random variables. If E[UX] = 0, then E[U|X] = 0.

b)

True or false? Let X be a random variable. Then $E\left[\frac{1}{X}\right] = \frac{1}{E[X]}$.

Problem 2 5 Points

Consider the following statement: "80% of drivers think they are better than average."

Can the drivers be correct? Think carefully and explain briefly.

Problem 3 10 Points

Consider a random sample $X_1, \ldots, X_n \stackrel{iid}{\sim} X$, and use the sample average $E_n[X]$ as an estimator for E[X].

a)

Suppose that $X \sim N(\mu, \sigma^2)$. What is the distribution of $E_n[X]$ for n = 10?

b)

Suppose now instead that $X \sim \text{Bernoulli}(p)$. Would the sampling distribution derived in part a) still apply for $E_n[X]$ when n = 10?

c)

Derive the asymptotic distribution of $E_n[X]$. Does your conclusion depend on whether $X \sim N(\mu, \sigma^2)$ or $X \sim \text{Bernoulli}(p)$?

Problem 4 60 points

Let Y and X be random variables such that $X \sim \text{Bernoulli}(p)$ for $p \in (0,1)$. Consider a random sample $(Y_1, X_1), \ldots, (Y_n, X_n) \stackrel{iid}{\sim} (Y, X)$.

This exercise derives and studies the sample analogue estimator for E[Y|X=1].

a)

Show that

$$E[Y|X=1] = \frac{E[XY]}{E[X]}. (1)$$

b)

Use the sample analogue principle to develop an estimator $\hat{\mu}_{Y|1}$ for E[Y|X=1].

c)

Show that

$$XE[Y|X] = XE[Y|X=1]. (2)$$

(Hint: For $X : \text{supp } X = \{0,1\}$ it holds that E[Y|X] = E[Y|X = 1]X + E[Y|X = 0](1-X).)

d)

Show that your estimator is unbiased conditional on $\sum_{i} X_{i} > 0$. That is, show that

$$E\left[\hat{\mu}_{Y|1} \middle| \sum_{i=1} X_i > 0\right] = E[Y|X=1]. \tag{3}$$

¹We first encountered a conditional expectation estimator in Lecture 1: Now we're equipped to study its statistical properties!

²Note that without conditioning on $\sum_i X_i > 0$, we may end up attempting to compute a group average of a group from which we don't yet have any observations – that's very difficult!

e)

Show that $\hat{\mu}_{Y|1}$ is consistent for E[Y|X=1]. That is, show that

$$\hat{\mu}_{Y|1} \stackrel{p}{\to} E[Y|X=1]. \tag{4}$$

(Hint: Your answer should include four steps similar to those from Examples 13 and 14 in Lecture 3A.)

f)

Show that

$$\sqrt{n} \left(\hat{\mu}_{Y|1} - E[Y|X=1] \right) = \sqrt{n} \left(\frac{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1} U_i X_i}{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1} X_i} \right), \tag{5}$$

where $U_i \equiv Y_i - E[Y_i|X_i]$.

 $\mathbf{g})$

Use part f) to show that

$$\sqrt{n}\left(\hat{\mu}_{Y|1} - E[Y|X=1]\right) \stackrel{d}{\to} N\left(0, \frac{Var\left(Y|X=1\right)}{P(X=1)}\right). \tag{6}$$

(Hint: Use Slutsky's Theorem.)

h)

Develop a sample analogue estimator $\hat{\sigma}_{Y|1}^2$ for $\sigma_{Y|1}^2 \equiv Var\left(Y|X=1\right)$ and a sample analogue estimator \hat{p}_X for P(X=1).

(Hint: Recall that $Var(Y|X=1) = E[Y^2|X=1] - (E[Y|X=1])^2$ and use part a).)

i)

Show that

$$\hat{\sigma}_{Y|1}^2 \stackrel{p}{\to} \sigma_{Y|1}^2,\tag{7}$$

and that

$$\hat{p}_X \stackrel{p}{\to} P(X=1). \tag{8}$$

 \mathbf{j}

Show that

$$\sqrt{\frac{\hat{\sigma}_{Y|1}^2}{\hat{p}_X}} \xrightarrow{p} \sqrt{\frac{\sigma_{Y|1}^2}{P(X=1)}}.$$
 (9)

k)

Show that

$$\frac{\sqrt{n}\left(\hat{\mu}_{Y|1} - \mu_{Y|1}\right)}{\sqrt{\frac{\hat{\sigma}_{Y|1}^2}{\hat{p}_X}}} \stackrel{d}{\to} N(0,1). \tag{10}$$

1)

Part k) shows that $se(\hat{\mu}_{Y|1}) \equiv \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sqrt{\frac{\hat{\sigma}_{Y|1}^2}{\hat{p}_X}}$. Use this to construct a symmetric two-sided confidence interval for E[Y|X=1] with significance level $\alpha=0.05$.

m)

Suppose now that $\hat{\mu}_{Y|1} = 10$ and $se(\hat{\mu}_{Y|1}) = 3$. Consider testing $H_0: E[Y|X=1] = 4$ against $H_1: E[Y|X=1] \neq 4$. Do you reject H_0 at a 5% significance level?

Problem 5 15 Points

This exercise uses the data of Angrist and Krueger (1991) to put our analysis of Problem 4 to practice.³

A cleaned version of the data is posted to Canvas (see the file ak91.csv). It contains 329,509 observations of American men born between 1930 and 1939. The variables we focus on in this problem set are:

³Angrist and Krueger (1991) is one of the most highly cited studies on the returns to education with more than 3,400 citations on Google Scholar.

- YRS_EDUC \equiv years of education;
- WKLY_WAGE \equiv the weekly wage.

Once downloaded, you can load the data into R using the following code:

```
# Load the ak91.csv data
df <- read.csv("data/ak91.csv")

# Store years of education and the weekly wage in separate variables
yrs_educ <- df$YRS_EDUC
wkly_wage <- df$WKLY_WAGE
```

We focus on the individuals in the sample who have completed 16 years of education, which we view as synonymous with having obtained a college degree. We may find the observations associated with 16 of education via the following code:

```
# Find college graduates
has_college_degree <- yrs_educ == 16
```

Note: This exercise must be completed in base R. That is, don't load any dependencies.

If you upload your solutions to a GitHub repository and share the link in your homework solutions, you earn an extra credit of 5 percentage points on this problem set.

a)

Let Y and W be two random variables, denoting the weekly wage and the years of education, respectively. Consider a sample $(Y_1, W_1), \ldots, (Y_n, W_n) \stackrel{iid}{\sim} (Y, W)$, and suppose that the data of Angrist and Krueger (1991) is a realization of this sample for n = 329, 509.

Define $X \equiv \mathbb{1}\{W = 16\}$. Hence, X_i is 1 if the *i*th individual has obtained a college degree, and 0 otherwise.

Compute your sample analogue estimator \hat{p}_X for P(X=1) from Problem 4 part h). Report the estimate in your solutions.

b)

Compute your sample analogue estimator $\hat{\mu}_{Y|1}$ for E[Y|X=1] from Problem 4 part b). Store the value in a variable called mu_college and report it in your solutions.

c)

Compute the associated standard errors $se(\hat{\mu}_{Y|1})$ from Problem 4 part k). Store the value in a variable called se_college and report it in your solutions.

d)

Compute a symmetric two-sided confidence interval at a 5% significance level using your solution to Problem 4 part 1). Report the confidence interval in your solutions.

e)

Consider testing $H_0: E[Y|X=1]=600$ against $H_1: E[Y|X=1]\neq 600$. Do you reject H_0 at a 5% significance level? Give an economic interpretation of your result.

f)

Consider testing $H_0: E[Y|X=1]=595$ against $H_1: E[Y|X=1]\neq 600$. Do you reject H_0 at a 5% significance level? Give an economic interpretation of your result.

Problem 6 15 Points (Extra credit)

This is an optional extra credit exercise.

This exercise must be completed in base R. That is, don't load any dependencies.

a)

Write a function $my_confint$ that takes three scalars: 1) an estimate $mu_hat \in \mathbb{R}$, 2) the associated standard error $se \geq 0$, and 3) a significance level $alpha \in (0,1)$, and that returns a bivariate vector $confint \in \mathbb{R}^2$ that gives the bounds of a symmetric two-sided confidence interval with significance level alpha.

Confirm your function matches the confidence interval values reported in the code below.

```
# Define a custom function that returns a two-sided confidence interval
my_confint <- function(mu_hat, se, alpha) {
    # Compute and return the confidence interval
    confint <- # [INSERT YOUR CODE HERE]
    return(confint)
}#MY_CONFINT

# Test the function</pre>
```

```
9 my_confint(mu_college, se_college, 0.01) # [1] 588.6420 600.3312
```

b)

Write a function $my_{testrejects}$ that takes bivariate vector $confint \in \mathbb{R}^2$ and a scalar $mu_{0} \in \mathbb{R}$, and returns TRUE if $mu_{0} \notin confint$ and FALSE otherwise.

Confirm your function matches the results reported in the code below.

```
# Define a custom function that returns TRUE if mu_O is not in confint
  my_testrejects <- function(confint, mu_0) {</pre>
     # Check whether mu_0 is in confint
3
     is in confint <- # [INSERT YOUR CODE HERE]
4
     # If mu_0 is in confit, don't reject. Else, reject.
5
     is_rejected <- # [INSERT YOUR CODE HERE]</pre>
6
     # Return boolean
     return(is_rejected)
   } #MY_TESTREJECTS
9
10
   # Check whether the test rejects on 1\% significance level
11
   confint_01 <- my_confint(mu_college, se_college, 0.01)</pre>
   my_testrejects(confint_01, 600) # [1] FALSE
13
14
  # Check whether the test rejects on 10\% significance level
15
   confint_10 <- my_confint(mu_college, se_college, 0.1)</pre>
  my_testrejects(confint_10, 600) # [1] TRUE
```

c)

Write a function my_twosidedtest that takes the same arguments as your function my_confint (i.e., mu_hat, se, and alpha) as well as a scalar mu_0. The function should print a message informing the user of whether the test of $H_0: \mu = \mu_0$ against $H_1: \mu \neq \mu_0$ rejects at a alpha% significance level or not.

Your function my_twosidedtest must call both my_confint and my_testrejects. (Don't copy paste code you wrote for part a) or b) for your solution to part c)!).

```
# Define a custom function for a two-sided test
my_twosidedtest <- function(mu_hat, se, alpha, mu_0) {
# Compute the confidence interval w/ significance level alpha
# [INSERT YOUR CODE HERE]
# Check whether mu_0 is in the confidence interval
is_rejected <- # [INSERT YOUR CODE HERE]</pre>
```

```
# Construct test message
     if (is_rejected) {
8
       message <- # [INSERT YOUR CODE HERE]</pre>
9
     } else {
10
       message <- # [INSERT YOUR CODE HERE]</pre>
11
     }#IF
12
     # Print the message
13
     print(message)
14
   }#MY_TWOSIDEDTEST
15
16
# Check whether the test rejects on 1\% significance level
18 my_twosidedtest(mu_college, se_college, 0.01, 600) # Should not reject
19
20 # Check whether the test rejects on 10\% significance level
21 | my_twosidedtest(mu_college, se_college, 0.10, 600) # Should reject
```

References

Angrist, J. D. and Krueger, A. B. (1991). Does compulsory school attendance affect schooling and earnings? *Quarterly Journal of Economics*, 106(4):979–1014.