# Multiple Linear Regression Part B: Ordinary Least Squares

THOMAS WIEMANN

University of Chicago

Econometrics Econ 21020

Updated: May 18, 2022

# Summary

In Part A, we introduced BLP(Y|X) as approximation to E[Y|X].

- $\triangleright$  BLP-coefficients are well-defined when  $E\left[XX^{\top}\right]^{-1}$  exists;
- ▶ Used the Frisch-Waugh Theorem for subvector analysis;
- Discussed interpretation using a generalized Yitzhaki's Theorem;

The BLP and its coefficients  $\beta$  are theoretical concepts.

In Part B, we bridge the gap between BLP and real data using statistics.

- ▷ Develop the ordinary least squares estimator;
- ▶ Analyze its statistical properties under an iid sample;
- ▶ Use matrix calculus for implementation.

- 1. Ordinary Least Squares
- 2. Estimator Properties
  - ▷ Bias
- 3. Implementation

## 1. Ordinary Least Squares

- 2. Estimator Properties
  - ▷ Bias
  - ▷ Consistency
- 3. Implementation

## **Ordinary Least Squares**

Let Y be a random variable and  $X = (1, X_1, \dots, X_k)^{\top}$  be a random vector. Consider a random sample  $(Y^1, X^1), \dots, (Y^n, X^n) \stackrel{iid}{\sim} (Y, X)$ .

From Lecture 8A, we know that the BLP-coefficients are given by

$$\beta = E[XX^{\top}]^{-1}E[XY],\tag{1}$$

whenever  $E[XX^{\top}]^{-1}$  exists.

This suggests the sample analogue estimator

$$\hat{\beta}_n = \left(\frac{1}{n} \, \widehat{\mathcal{Z}} \, X^i X^i^\mathsf{T}\right)^{-1} \left(\frac{1}{n} \, \widehat{\mathcal{L}} \, X^i Y^i\right) \tag{2}$$

**Notation**: Superscripts – i.e.,  $X^1$ , ...  $X^n$  – are used as sample indices throughout.

# Ordinary Least Squares (Contd.)

The estimator  $\hat{\beta}_n$  is known as *ordinary least squares* (OLS). This is because it can also be motivated as solutions to the least-squares sample criterion:

$$\hat{\beta}_n = \underset{\beta \in \mathbb{R}^{k+1}}{\min} \ \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \left( Y^i - X^{i\top} \beta \right)^2, \tag{3}$$

whenever  $E[\sum_{i=1}^{n} X^{i} X^{i\top}]^{-1}$  exists. In particular, we have:

$$R_{n}(\beta) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i} (\gamma^{i} - x^{iT} \beta)^{2} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i} (\gamma^{i2} - 2\gamma^{i} x^{iT} \beta + \beta^{T} X^{i} X^{iT} \beta)$$

$$= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i} \gamma^{i2} - 2 \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i} \gamma^{i} X^{iT} \beta + \beta^{T} (\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i} x^{i} x^{iT}) \beta$$

$$Foc: \frac{\partial R_{n}(\beta)}{\partial \beta} = -2 \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i} \gamma^{i} X^{iT} + 2 \beta^{T} (\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i} X^{i} X^{iT}) = 0^{T}$$

$$= \sum_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}} \left( \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{N} X_{i}^{i} X_{i}^{i} \right) \mathcal{C}_{i} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{N} X_{i}^{i} X_{i}^{i}$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \sum_$$

For our analysis, it's useful to rewrite  $\hat{\beta}_n$  using  $\varepsilon^i \equiv Y^i - BLP(Y^i|X^i)$ :

$$\hat{\beta}_{n} = \left( \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i} \chi^{i} \chi^{i} \right)^{-1} \left( \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i} \chi^{i} \gamma^{i} \right)$$

$$= \left( \sum_{i} \chi^{i} \chi^{i} \right)^{-1} \left( \sum_{i} \chi^{i} \gamma^{i} \right)$$

$$= \left( \sum_{i} \chi^{i} \chi^{i} \right)^{-1} \left( \sum_{i} \chi^{i} \gamma^{i} \beta + \varepsilon^{i} \right)$$

$$= \left( \sum_{i} \chi^{i} \chi^{i} \right)^{-1} \left( \sum_{i} \chi^{i} \chi^{i} \gamma^{i} \beta + \varepsilon^{i} \right)$$

$$= \left( \sum_{i} \chi^{i} \chi^{i} \right)^{-1} \left( \sum_{i} \chi^{i} \chi^{i} \gamma^{i} \beta + \left( \sum_{i} \chi^{i} \chi^{i} \gamma^{i} \right)^{-1} \left( \sum_{i} \chi^{i} \xi^{i} \right) \right)$$

$$= \left( \sum_{i} \chi^{i} \chi^{i} \right)^{-1} \left( \sum_{i} \chi^{i} \chi^{i} \gamma^{i} \beta + \left( \sum_{i} \chi^{i} \chi^{i} \gamma^{i} \beta + \left( \sum_{i} \chi^{i} \chi^{i} \gamma^{i} \gamma^{i} \beta + \left( \sum_{i} \chi^{i} \gamma^{i} \gamma^{i} \gamma^{i} \beta + \left( \sum_{i} \chi^{i} \gamma^{i} \gamma^{i} \gamma^{i} \gamma^{i} \beta + \left( \sum_{i} \chi^{i} \gamma^{i} \gamma^{i}$$

- 1. Ordinary Least Squares
- 2. Estimator Properties
  - ▶ Bias
  - ▷ Consistency
- 3. Implementation

Our analysis of the OLS estimator begins with its bias.

We assume here that X is continuous to ensure existence of  $E[\sum_{i=1}^{n} X^{i} X^{i\top}]^{-1}$  (for n > k+1) when  $E[XX^{\top}]^{-1}$  exists.

The bias of  $\hat{\beta}_n$  when X is continuous and  $E[XX^\top]^{-1}$  exists is given by

$$Bias(\hat{\beta}_{n}) = E[\hat{\beta}_{n}] - \beta = E[fs + (\overline{\chi}_{i}\chi_{i}^{i})^{-1}(\overline{\chi}_{i}^{i}\varepsilon_{i})] - fs$$

$$= E[(\overline{\chi}_{i}\chi_{i}^{i})^{-1}(\overline{\chi}_{i}^{i}\varepsilon_{i})](\chi_{i}^{i})_{i=1}^{n}]$$

$$= E[(\overline{\chi}_{i}\chi_{i}^{i})^{-1}(\overline{\chi}_{i}^{i}\varepsilon_{i})](\chi_{i}^{i})_{i=1}^{n}]$$

$$= E[(\overline{\chi}_{i}\chi_{i}^{i})^{-1}(\overline{\chi}_{i}^{i}\varepsilon_{i})](\chi_{i}^{i})_{i=1}^{n}]$$

$$\stackrel{iid}{=} E[(\overline{\chi}_{i}\chi_{i}^{i})^{-1}(\overline{\chi}_{i}^{i}\varepsilon_{i})] \neq 0 \text{ in several!}$$

$$\neq 0 \text{ in several!}$$

Hence, if  $E[\varepsilon^i|X^i]=0$ , then  $\mathrm{Bias}(\hat{\beta}_n)=0$ .

- $\triangleright$  Does  $E[\varepsilon^i|X^i]=0$  hold generally? No:  $E[\varepsilon^iX^i]=0 \not\Rightarrow E[\varepsilon^i|X^i]=0$ .
- $\triangleright$  When do we know that  $E[\varepsilon^i|X^i]=0$ ? Special case: Linear E[Y|X].

Many textbooks state that the OLS estimator  $\hat{\beta}_n$  is unbiased for  $\beta$ .

- ▶ Importantly: Strong assumption are made along the way!
- $\triangleright$  We only showed Bias $(\hat{\beta}_n) = 0$  if E[Y|X] linear and X is continuous.

Generally, little reason to believe  $Bias(\hat{\beta}_n) = 0$  in economic applications:

- $\triangleright$  Economic theory rarely implies linear E[Y|X] with continuous X.
- ▶ Horrible news? No: Most estimators are biased in practice...

- 1. Ordinary Least Squares
- 2. Estimator Properties
  - ▷ Bias
  - **▷** Consistency
  - ▷ Asymptotic Distribution
- 3. Implementation

## Consistency

Theorem 1 ensures OLS satisfies the minimum requirement: Consistency.

#### Theorem 1

Let Y be a random variable and  $X = (1, X_1, ..., X_k)^{\top}$  be a random vector such that  $E[XX^{\top}]^{-1}$  exists, and let  $\beta$  denote the BLP(Y|X)-coefficient. If  $\hat{\beta}_n$  are the OLS estimators constructed using  $(Y^1, X^1), ..., (Y^n, X^n) \stackrel{iid}{\sim} (Y, X)$ , then

$$\hat{\beta}_n \stackrel{p}{\to} \beta. \tag{6}$$

Since the OLS estimators are continuous functions of moments of (Y, X), we can prove this straightforwardly using the WLLN and CMT.

# Consistency (Contd.)

Proof. 
$$\beta_n = \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i} \chi^i \chi^{ir}\right)^{-1} \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i} \chi^i \gamma^i\right)$$

2. 
$$g(a,b) = a^{-1}b$$

4. By CMT,  

$$g(A_n, B_n) \stackrel{c}{\rightarrow} E[XX^T]^{-1}E[XY] = \beta$$

whenever F[XXT] - exists.

- 1. Ordinary Least Squares
- 2. Estimator Properties
  - ▷ Bias
  - ▷ Consistency
  - > Asymptotic Distribution
- 3. Implementation

## Asymptotic Distribution

Theorem 2 shows that OLS is asymptotically normal.

#### Theorem 2

Let Y be a random variable and  $X = (1, X_1, ..., X_k)^{\top}$  be a random vector such that  $E[XX^{\top}]^{-1}$  exists, and let  $\beta$  denote the BLP(Y|X)-coefficient. If  $\hat{\beta}_n$  are the OLS estimators constructed using  $(Y^1, X^1), ..., (Y^n, X^n) \stackrel{iid}{\sim} (Y, X)$ , then

$$\sqrt{n}\left(\hat{\beta}_{n}-\beta\right)\stackrel{d}{\to}N\left(0,\;\Sigma\right),$$
 (7)

where

$$\Sigma = E \left[ XX^{\top} \right]^{-1} E \left[ XX^{\top} \varepsilon^{2} \right] E \left[ XX^{\top} \right]^{-1}, \tag{8}$$

with  $\varepsilon \equiv Y - BLP(Y|X)$ .

# Asymptotic Distribution (Contd.)

$$\operatorname{Fr}(\widehat{\beta}_{n}-\widehat{\beta}) = \operatorname{Fr}(\widehat{\beta}_{n} \Sigma X^{i} X^{iT})^{-1}(\widehat{\beta}_{n} \Sigma X^{i} \varepsilon^{i})$$

$$= (\widehat{\beta}_{n} \Sigma X^{i} X^{iT})^{-1} \operatorname{Fr}(\widehat{\beta}_{n} \Sigma X^{i} \varepsilon^{i})$$

By CLT, 
$$M(\frac{1}{n} \mathbb{Z} \times i\epsilon^{i} - \mathbb{E}[x\epsilon]) \xrightarrow{d} M(0, Var(x\epsilon)) = \mathbb{E}[\epsilon \times x)$$

$$=E[XX^TE]$$

$$\overline{G}(\overline{G}_{n}-\overline{G}) \stackrel{d}{\to} E[XX^{T}]^{-1} \mathcal{N}(O, E[XX^{T}\varepsilon^{2}])$$

$$\stackrel{d}{=} \mathcal{N}(O, E[XX^{T}]E[XX^{T}\varepsilon^{2}]E[XX^{T}])$$

#### **OLS** Covariance Estimation

Theorem 2 is of no practical use unless we can replace the expression for the asymptotic variance by a consistent estimator. Fortunately, we can.

#### Theorem 3

Let Y be a random variable and  $X = (1, X_1, ..., X_k)^{\top}$  be a random vector such that  $E[XX^{\top}]^{-1}$  exists, and let  $\beta$  denote the BLP(Y|X)-coefficient. If  $\hat{\beta}_n$  is the OLS estimator constructed using  $(Y^1, X^1), ..., (Y^n, X^n) \stackrel{iid}{\sim} (Y, X)$ , then

$$\sqrt{n}\widehat{\Sigma}_{n}^{-\frac{1}{2}}\left(\widehat{\beta}_{n}-\beta\right) \stackrel{d}{\to} N\left(0,\mathbf{I}_{k+1}\right),\tag{9}$$

where

$$\widehat{\Sigma}_n = \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X^i X^{i\top}\right)^{-1} \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X^i X^{i\top} \widehat{\varepsilon}^{i2}\right) \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X^i X^{i\top}\right)^{-1} \tag{10}$$

and  $\hat{\varepsilon}^i = Y^i - X^{i \top} \hat{\beta}_n$ .

# OLS Covariance Estimation (Contd.)

Proof. Need to slow: 
$$\frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{1$$

Similar for An -> 0.

Ordinary Least Squares

# OLS Covariance Estimation (Contd.)

Theorem 2 and 3 give inference for the vector  $\hat{\beta}_n$ .

- ▷ Often interested only in a subvector;
- $\triangleright$  E.g., the estimator  $\hat{\beta}_{jn}$  of  $\beta_j$ .

Corollary 1 and 2 give inference for individual components of  $\hat{\beta}_n$ .

- Corollary 1 combines Theorem 2 + Slutsky's Theorem;

# Subvector Asymptotic Distribution

## Corollary 1

Let Y be a random variable and  $X = (1, X_1, ..., X_k)^{\top}$  be a random vector such that  $E[XX^{\top}]^{-1}$  exists, and let  $\beta = (\beta_0, \beta_1, ..., \beta_k)$  denote the BLP(Y|X)-coefficient. If  $\hat{\beta}_n = (\hat{\beta}_{0n}, \hat{\beta}_{1n}, ..., \hat{\beta}_{kn})$  is the OLS estimator constructed using  $(Y^1, X^1), ..., (Y^n, X^n) \stackrel{iid}{\sim} (Y, X)$ , then

$$\sqrt{n} \left( \hat{\beta}_{jn} - \beta_j \right) \stackrel{d}{\to} N \left( 0, \ e_j^{\top} \Sigma e_j \right), \quad \forall j = 0, 1, \dots, k,$$
 (11)

where  $\Sigma$  is defined by Equation (8) and  $e_j$  is the jth unit vector.

Proof. 
$$M(\beta_{in} - \beta_{i}) = M(e_{i}^{T}\beta_{in} - e_{j}^{T}\beta_{i}) = e_{j}^{T}M(\beta_{in} - \beta_{i})$$

$$\stackrel{d}{\to} e_{j}^{T}M(o_{i}, T) \stackrel{d}{=} M(o_{i}^{T}e_{j}^{T}E_{i})$$

$$e_{j}^{T}Meorem 2 + Slubly's.$$

**Note**:  $e_j^{\top} \Sigma e_j$  simply selects the jth diagonal entry of  $\Sigma$  Wiemann Ordinary Least Squares

# Corollary 2

Let Y be a random variable and  $X = (1, X_1, ..., X_k)^{\top}$  be a random vector such that  $E[XX^{\top}]^{-1}$  exists, and let  $\beta = (\beta_0, \beta_1, ..., \beta_k)$  denote the BLP(Y|X)-coefficient. If  $\hat{\beta}_n = (\hat{\beta}_{0n}, \hat{\beta}_{1n}, ..., \hat{\beta}_{kn})$  is the OLS estimator constructed using  $(Y^1, X^1), ..., (Y^n, X^n) \stackrel{iid}{\sim} (Y, X)$ , then

$$\frac{\hat{\beta}_{jn} - \beta_{j}}{\operatorname{se}\left(\hat{\beta}_{jn}\right)} \stackrel{d}{\to} N\left(0, 1\right), \quad \forall j = 0, 1, \dots, k, \tag{12}$$

where

$$se\left(\hat{\beta}_{jn}\right) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sqrt{e_j^{\top} \widehat{\Sigma}_n e_j} \tag{13}$$

with  $\widehat{\Sigma}_n$  is defined by Equation (3) and  $e_i$  is the jth unit vector.

**Note**:  $e_j^{\top} \widehat{\Sigma}_n e_j$  simply selects the jth diagonal entry of  $\widehat{\Sigma}_n$ 

# Standard Error (Contd.)

Proof.

1. 
$$A_n = \frac{2}{2}$$
,  
2.  $g(\alpha) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{e_i^T \alpha e_i^T}}$ 

4. Bg CMT,
$$g(\mathcal{A}_n) \stackrel{\mathcal{C}}{\hookrightarrow} \frac{1}{\sqrt{e_i^* \bar{\Sigma} e_j^*}} \quad \text{whenever } e_j^* \bar{\Sigma} e_j > 0.$$

Then, combining w/ Corollary 1, we have by Slubby's

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{e_{j}^{T} \hat{\Sigma}_{n} e_{j}}} \Omega(\vec{\beta}_{jn} - \vec{\beta}_{j}) \stackrel{d}{\to} \frac{1}{\sqrt{e_{j}^{T} \hat{\Sigma} e_{j}}} \mathcal{N}(0, e_{j}^{T} \bar{\Sigma} e_{j}) \stackrel{d}{=} \mathcal{N}(0, \frac{e_{j}^{T} \bar{\Sigma} e_{j}}{e_{j}^{T} \bar{\Sigma} e_{j}})$$

Wiemann

## 1. Ordinary Least Squares

## 2. Estimator Properties

- ▷ Bias
- ▷ Consistency

### 3. Implementation

## **OLS Implementation**

Implementing OLS by brute force  $(e.g., \sum_{i=1}^{n} X^{i}X^{i\top})$  is difficult.

▷ Instead: Use matrix operations for straightforward computation.

Define the stacked sample matrices  $\mathbb{X}_n$  and  $\mathbb{Y}_n$ :

$$\mathbb{X}_{n} \equiv \begin{bmatrix} X^{1\top} \\ X^{2\top} \\ \vdots \\ X^{n\top} \end{bmatrix}, \qquad \mathbb{Y}_{n} \equiv \begin{bmatrix} Y^{1} \\ Y^{2} \\ \vdots \\ Y^{n} \end{bmatrix}. \tag{14}$$

Then, matrix calculus shows that we have

$$\mathbb{X}_n^{\top} \mathbb{X}_n = \sum_{i=1}^n X^i X^{i \top}, \qquad \mathbb{X}_n^{\top} \mathbb{Y}_n = \sum_{i=1}^n X^i Y^i.$$
 (15)

The OLS estimator can then equivalently be stated as

$$\hat{\beta}_n = \left( \mathbb{X}_n^{\top} \mathbb{X}_n \right)^{-1} \left( \mathbb{X}_n^{\top} \mathbb{Y}_n \right). \tag{16}$$

Wiemann Ordinary Least Squares 24 / 27

# OLS Implementation (Contd.)

For the OLS covariance estimator  $\widehat{\Sigma}_n$ , we define stacked residual vector:

$$\epsilon_{n} \equiv \mathbb{Y}_{n} - \mathbb{X}_{n} \hat{\beta}_{n} = \begin{bmatrix} Y^{1} \\ Y^{2} \\ \vdots \\ Y^{n} \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} X^{1\top} \hat{\beta}_{n} \\ X^{2\top} \hat{\beta}_{n} \\ \vdots \\ X^{n\top} \hat{\beta}_{n} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \hat{\varepsilon}_{1} \\ \hat{\varepsilon}_{2} \\ \vdots \\ \hat{\varepsilon}_{n} \end{bmatrix}. \tag{17}$$

By the same matrix calculus as before, we have

$$(\mathbb{X}_n \odot \epsilon_n)^{\top} (\mathbb{X}_n \odot \epsilon_n) = \sum_{i=1}^n X^i X^{i \top} \hat{\varepsilon}^{i2}, \qquad (18)$$

where  $\odot$  denotes element-wise multiplication (*Hadamard product*). Then

$$\widehat{\Sigma}_{n} = \frac{1}{n} \left( \mathbb{X}_{n}^{\top} \mathbb{X}_{n} \right)^{-1} \left[ \left( \mathbb{X}_{n} \odot \epsilon_{n} \right)^{\top} \left( \mathbb{X}_{n} \odot \epsilon_{n} \right) \right] \left( \mathbb{X}_{n}^{\top} \mathbb{X}_{n} \right)^{-1}. \tag{19}$$

**Notation**: Strictly speaking,  $\odot$  is defined only for matrices of equal dimension. We abuse the notation here to denote multiplication between each row of the matrix  $\mathbb{X}_n$  with the corresponding component of the vector  $\epsilon_n$ .

#### OLS Estimation in R

```
# Compute OLS estimates
XX inv <- solve(t(X) %*% X)
XY < - t(X) % * % Y
beta <- XX inv %*% XY
# Compute BLP estimates
blp_yx <- X %*% beta
# Compute standard error for beta
epsilon \leftarrow c(Y - blp yx)
XX_{eps2} \leftarrow t(X * epsilon) %*% (X * epsilon)
Sigma <- XX_inv %*% XX_eps2 %*% XX_inv / n
se <- sqrt(diag(Sigma)) / sqrt(n)</pre>
```

**Note**: There exists an OLS implementation in R – the lm-command. But importantly: Base-R does not implement the standard error of Corollary 2! So have some faith in your abilities and implement OLS yourself. See Problem 7 of Problem Set 4.

# Summary

Today, we introduced OLS as an estimator for the BLP(Y|X).

- ▷ Showed that it is consistent and asymptotically normal;
- Derived standard errors for subvector inference.

We're now well-equipped for causal analysis under selection on observables & common support:

- Defined interesting causal parameters using the all causes model;
- ▷ Showed identification of the CATE, ATT, ATU, and ATE;
- $\triangleright$  Concluded that if (W, X) is discrete, may use the binning estimator;
- $\triangleright$  If (W, X) is continuous/mixed, we can leverage OLS to obtain approximate results.