

# MAFS5130 Time Series Analysis

Thompson Hu

## 1 Basic concepts

The foundation of time series analysis is stationarity.

### 1.1 Strictly stationary process

For  $n$  and  $t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n$ , if

$$(X_{t_1}, \dots, X_{t_n}) \stackrel{dist}{=} (X_{t_1+k}, \dots, X_{t_n+k}), \forall k$$

we say  $\{X_t\}$  is strictly stationary process.

### 1.2 Weakly stationary process

If  $\{X_t\}$  satisfied that

- $E(X_t^2) < \infty$ ;
- $E(X_t) = \mu$ , which is constant;
- $\text{Cov}(X_t, X_{t+k})$  is not related to  $t$  for  $k$ .

we say  $X_t$  is weakly stationary process.

### 1.3 Autocorrelation function (ACF)

Supposed  $X_t$  is weakly stationary sequence, then

$$\rho(X_{t-k}, X_t) = \frac{\text{Cov}(X_{t-k}, X_t)}{\sqrt{\text{Var}(X_{t-k}) \text{Var}(X_t)}} = \frac{\gamma_k}{\sqrt{\gamma_0 \gamma_0}} = \frac{\gamma_k}{\gamma_0}, k = 0, 1, \dots, \forall t \quad (1)$$

We say  $\{\rho_k, k = 0, 1, \dots\}$  is autocorrelation function (ACF) of  $\{X_t\}$  and  $\rho_0 = 1$ .

If weakly stationary sequence  $\{X_t\}$  satisfy that  $\rho_k = 0, k = 1, 2, \dots$ , then  $\{X_t\}$  is **white noise** sequence.

From the definition (1), we know

$$\rho_k = \frac{\text{Cov}(X_{t-k}, X_t)}{\text{Var}(X_t)} = \frac{\text{Cov}(X_t, X_{t-k})}{\text{Var}(X_t)} = \rho_{-k}$$

## 1.4 Partial ACF (PACF)

Supposed  $X_t$  is a stationary sequence, the conditional correlation

$$\text{Corr}(X_t, X_{t+k} | X_{t+1}, \dots, X_{t+k-1}) = \frac{\text{Cov}[(X_t - \hat{X}_t)(X_{t+k} - \hat{X}_{t+k})]}{\sqrt{\text{Var}(X_t - \hat{X}_t) \text{Var}(X_{t+k} - \hat{X}_{t+k})}}$$

is called the PACF of  $X_t$  and  $X_{t+k}$ , denoted by  $\phi_{kk}$ , where  $\hat{X}_t = E(X_t | X_{t+1}, \dots, X_{t+k-1})$ .

From the formula :  $\phi_{11} = \rho_1$  and

$$\phi_{kk} = \frac{\begin{vmatrix} 1 & \rho_1 & \rho_2 & \cdots & \rho_{k-2} & \rho_1 \\ \rho_1 & 1 & \rho_1 & \cdots & \rho_{k-3} & \rho_2 \\ & & \cdots & & & \\ & & \cdots & & & \\ & & \cdots & & & \\ \rho_{k-1} & \rho_{k-2} & \rho_{k-3} & \cdots & \rho_1 & \rho_k \end{vmatrix}}{\begin{vmatrix} 1 & \rho_1 & \rho_2 & \cdots & \rho_{k-2} & \rho_{k-1} \\ \rho_1 & 1 & \rho_1 & \cdots & \rho_{k-3} & \rho_{k-2} \\ & & \cdots & & & \\ & & \cdots & & & \\ & & \cdots & & & \\ \rho_{k-1} & \rho_{k-2} & \rho_{k-3} & \cdots & \rho_1 & 1 \end{vmatrix}}$$

we know the key feature is that PACF cuts off at lag  $p$  for an AR( $p$ ) model.

## 1.5 Ljung-Box test

Box and Pierce presented portmanteau statistic

$$Q_*(m) = T \sum_{j=1}^m \hat{\rho}_j^2 \quad (2)$$

in 1970 to test

$$H_0 : \rho_1 = \cdots = \rho_m = 0 \longleftrightarrow H_a : \rho_i \neq 0 \text{ for some } i$$

In 1978, Ljung and Box improved such method by changing previous statistic (2) as

$$Q(m) = T(T+2) \sum_{j=1}^m \frac{\hat{\rho}_j^2}{T-j}$$

If  $Q(m) > \chi_m^2(\alpha)$  or p-value is less than  $\alpha$ , then we reject  $H_0$ .

## 1.6 Linear time series

$X_t$  is linear if  $X_t$  can be written as

$$X_t = \mu + \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \psi_i Z_{t-i}$$

where  $\mu$  is a constant,  $\psi_0 = 1$  and  $\{Z_t\}$  is a sequence of white noises.

## 1.7 Information criterion

- Akaike information criterion

$$\text{AIC}(k) = \ln(\hat{\sigma}_k^2) + \frac{2k}{T}$$

for an AR( $k$ ) model, where  $\hat{\sigma}_k^2$  is the MLE of residual variance.

- BIC criterion

$$\text{BIC}(k) = \ln(\hat{\sigma}_k^2) + \frac{k \ln(T)}{T}$$

## 2 ARMA model

### 2.1 Auto Regression (AR) model

#### 2.1.1 AR(1) model

The form of AR(1) can be written as:

$$X_t = \phi_0 + \phi_1 X_{t-1} + Z_t \quad (3)$$

where  $\phi_0$  and  $\phi_1$  are real numbers, which are referred to as parameters.  $Z_t$  is white noise with mean zero and variance  $\sigma^2$ .

**Conditional expectation:**

$$E(X_t | X_{t-1}) = E(\phi_0 + \phi_1 X_{t-1} + Z_t | X_{t-1}) = \phi_0 + \phi_1 X_{t-1}$$

Under the stationarity<sup>1</sup> condition, we can get

$$\mu = \phi_0 + \phi_1 \mu \longrightarrow E(X_t) = \mu = \frac{\phi_0}{1 - \phi_1}$$

**Conditional variance:**

$$\text{Var}(X_t | X_{t-1}) = \sigma^2$$

Under the stationarity condition, we can get

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Var}(X_t) &= \text{Var}(\phi_0 + \phi_1 X_{t-1} + Z_t) \\ &= \phi_1^2 \text{Var}(X_{t-1}) + \text{Var}(Z_t) \\ &= \phi_1^2 \text{Var}(X_{t-1}) + \sigma^2 \end{aligned}$$

so we finally get<sup>2</sup>

$$\text{Var}(X_t) = \frac{\sigma^2}{1 - \phi_1^2}$$

**The ACF of AR(1):**

We can rewrite the formula (3) as:

$$X_t - \mu = \phi_1 (X_{t-1} - \mu) + Z_t$$

If we times  $X_t$  in two sides and take expectation, we know  $Z_t$  is independent to sequence  $\{X_t\}$ , then

$$E[X_{t-k}(X_t - \mu)] = \phi_1 E[X_{t-k}(X_{t-1} - \mu)] + E[Z_t X_{t-k}] = \phi_1 E[X_{t-k}(X_{t-1} - \mu)]$$

We know that

$$\gamma_k = \text{Cov}(X_{t-k}, (X_t - \mu)) = E[X_{t-k}(X_t - \mu)]$$

so we can get

$$\gamma_k = \phi_1 \gamma_{k-1}, \forall k > 0$$

so

$$\gamma_k = \phi_1 \gamma_{k-1} = \phi_1^2 \gamma_{k-2} = \cdots = \phi_1^k \gamma_0$$

so

$$\rho_k = \frac{\gamma_k}{\gamma_0} = \phi_1^k$$

In general,  $\rho_k = \phi_1^k$  and ACF  $\rho_k$  decays exponentially as  $k$  increases.

**Forecast:**

---

<sup>1</sup>necessary and sufficient condition  $|\phi| < 1$

<sup>2</sup>if  $\text{Var}(X_t) > 0$ , then  $1 - \phi_1^2 > 0$ , so  $\phi_1^2 < 1$ .

- 1-step ahead forecast at time  $n$ , the forecast origin:

$$\hat{X}_n(1) = E(X_{n+1}|\mathcal{F}_n) = E(\phi_0 + \phi_1 X_n + Z_{n+1}|\mathcal{F}_n) = \phi_0 + \phi_1 X_n$$

then 1-step ahead forecast error:

$$e_n(1) = X_{n+1} - \hat{X}_n(1) = Z_{n+1}$$

Variance of 1-step ahead forecast error:

$$\text{Var}[e_n(1)] = \text{Var}(Z_{n+1}) = \sigma^2$$

- 2-step ahead forecast:

$$\hat{X}_n(2) = E(X_{n+2}|\mathcal{F}_n) = E(\phi_0 + \phi_1 X_{n+1} + Z_{n+2}|\mathcal{F}_n) = \phi_0 + \phi_1 \hat{X}_n(1)$$

then 1-step ahead forecast error:

$$\begin{aligned} e_n(2) &= X_{n+2} - \hat{X}_n(2) \\ &= \phi_0 + \phi_1 X_{n+1} + Z_{n+2} - \phi_0 - \phi_1 \hat{X}_n(1) \\ &= \phi_1 X_{n+1} + Z_{n+2} - \phi_1 \hat{X}_n(1) \\ &= \phi_1(\phi_0 + \phi_1 X_n + Z_{n+1}) + Z_{n+2} - \phi_1(\phi_0 + \phi_1 X_n) \\ &= Z_{n+2} + \phi_1 Z_{n+1} \end{aligned}$$

Variance of 1-step ahead forecast error:

$$\text{Var}[e_n(2)] = \text{Var}(Z_{n+2} + \phi_1 Z_{n+1}) = (1 + \phi_1^2)\sigma^2$$

which is greater than or equal to  $\text{Var}[e_n(1)]$ , implying that uncertainty in forecasts increases as the number of step increases.

### Compact form:

Formula (3) also can be written as:

$$(1 - \phi_1 B) X_t = \phi_0 + Z_t$$

where  $B$  is lag operator.

### 2.1.2 AR(2) model

The form of AR(2) can be written as:

$$X_t = \phi_0 + \phi_1 X_{t-1} + \phi_2 X_{t-2} + Z_t \quad (4)$$

or

$$(1 - \phi_1 B - \phi_2 B^2) X_t = \phi_0 + Z_t$$

**Stationarity condition:** all roots of  $(1 - \phi_1 z - \phi_2 z^2) = 0$  lie outside the unit circle.

If we consider reciprocal of root  $z$ , we can rewrite the characteristic equation  $(1 - \phi_1 z - \phi_2 z^2) = 0$  as

$$(\pi^2 - \phi_1 \pi - \phi_2) = 0 \quad (5)$$

where the  $\pi$  is the reciprocal of  $z$ . Since  $|z| > 1$ , we know that  $|\pi| < 1$ .

Supposed  $\pi_1$  and  $\pi_2$  are the roots of (5), then we can get

$$\begin{cases} \pi_1 + \pi_2 &= \phi_1 \\ \pi_1 \pi_2 &= -\phi_2 \end{cases}$$

While

$$\begin{aligned}\phi_1 + \phi_2 - 1 &= \pi_1 + \pi_2 - \pi_1\pi_2 - 1 \\ &= (\pi_1 - 1)(1 - \pi_2) < 0\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\phi_1 - \phi_2 - 1 &= -\pi_1\pi_2 - \pi_1 - \pi_2 - 1 \\ &= -(\pi_1 + 1)(\pi_2 + 1) < 0\end{aligned}$$

so we get

$$\begin{cases} \phi_1 + \phi_2 - 1 < 0 \\ \phi_1 - \phi_2 - 1 < 0 \end{cases} \longrightarrow \phi_2 < 1 \pm \phi_1$$

Since  $|\pi_1| < 1, |\pi_2| < 1$ , we can get  $|\phi_2| = |\pi_1\pi_2| < 1$ , thus

$$\begin{cases} |\phi_2| < 1 \\ \phi_2 < 1 \pm \phi_1 \end{cases}$$

**Stochastic business cycle:** As the characteristic equation is

$$1 - \phi_1 z - \phi_2 z^2 = 0,$$

the discriminant of root is  $\Delta = \phi_1^2 + 4\phi_2$ .

If  $\phi_1^2 + 4\phi_2 < 0$ , the root is complex. The roots can be written as:

$$z_1, z_2 = \frac{\phi_1}{-2\phi_2} \pm i \frac{\sqrt{|\phi_1^2 + 4\phi_2|}}{-2\phi_2}$$

then the modulus is

$$|z_i| = \frac{1}{\sqrt{-\phi_2}}$$

Since  $x = |x|(\cos \theta + i \sin \theta)$ , then radian is

$$\theta = \cos^{-1} \frac{\phi_1}{2\sqrt{-\phi_2}}$$

so the cycle of this radian is

$$k = \frac{2\pi}{\cos^{-1} \phi_1 / (2\sqrt{-\phi_2})}$$

If we denote the solutions of the polynomial as  $a \pm bi$ , where  $i = \sqrt{-1}$ , then

$$x = a \pm bi = \sqrt{a^2 + b^2}(\cos \theta + i \sin \theta)$$

so that

$$k = \frac{2\pi}{\cos^{-1} (a/\sqrt{a^2 + b^2})}$$

**The ACF of AR(2):**

The (4) can be rewritten as

$$X_t - \mu = \phi_1(X_{t-1} - \mu) + \phi_2(X_{t-2} - \mu) + Z_t$$

If we times  $X_{t-k}$  in two sides and take expectation, then

$$\begin{aligned}E[X_{t-k}(X_t - \mu)] &= \phi_1 E[X_{t-k}(X_{t-1} - \mu)] + \phi_2 E[X_{t-k}(X_{t-2} - \mu)] + E[Z_t X_{t-k}] \\ &= \phi_1 E[X_{t-k}(X_{t-1} - \mu)] + \phi_2 E[X_{t-k}(X_{t-2} - \mu)] \\ &= \phi_1 \gamma_{k-1} + \phi_2 \gamma_{k-2}\end{aligned}$$

so that

$$\rho_k = \phi_1 \rho_{k-1} + \phi_2 \rho_{k-2}, \quad k \geq 2$$

**Forecast:** Similar to AR(1) model.

## 2.2 Moving Average (MA) model

### 2.2.1 MA(1) model

The form of MA(1) model is:

$$X_t = \mu + Z_t + \theta_1 Z_{t-1} \quad (6)$$

**Expectation:**

$$E(X_t) = \mu$$

**Variance:**

$$\text{Var}(r_t) = (1 + \theta_1^2) \sigma^2$$

**Covariance:**

$$\gamma_1 = \text{Cov}(X_t, X_{t-1}) = E[(X_t - \mu)(X_{t-1} - \mu)] = E[(Z_t + \theta_1 Z_{t-1})(Z_{t-1} + \theta_1 Z_{t-2})] = \theta_1 E(Z_{t-1}^2) = \sigma^2 \theta_1$$

As for  $\forall k > 1$ ,

$$\gamma_k = E[(Z_t + \theta_1 Z_{t-1})(Z_{t-k} + \theta_1 Z_{t-k-1})] = 0$$

so that

$$\gamma_k = \begin{cases} \sigma^2 (1 + \theta_1^2), & k = 0 \\ \sigma^2 \theta_1, & k = 1 \\ 0, & k > 1 \end{cases}$$

so the ACF of MA(1) model is

$$\rho_k = \begin{cases} 1, & k = 0 \\ \frac{\theta_1}{1 + \theta_1^2}, & k = 1 \\ 0, & k > 1 \end{cases}$$

**Forecast:**

- 1-step ahead: (at origin  $t = n$ )

$$\hat{X}_n(1) = E(X_{n+1} | X_1, \dots, X_n) = \mu + \theta_1 Z_n$$

1-step ahead forecast error:

$$e_n(1) = Z_{n+1}$$

with variance  $\sigma^2$ .

- multi-step ahead:

$$\hat{X}_n(k) = \mu$$

for  $k > 1$ .

**Invertibility:**

- Concept:  $X_t$  is a proper linear combination of  $Z_t$  and the past observations  $\{X_{t-1}, X_{t-2}, \dots\}$ .
- For an invertible model, the dependence of  $X_t$  on  $X_{t-k}$  converges to zero as  $k$  increases.
- Condition:  $|\theta| < 1$
- Invertibility of MA models is the dual property of stationarity for AR models.

### 2.2.2 MA(2) model

The form of MA(2) can be written as:

$$X_t = \mu + Z_t + \theta_1 Z_{t-1} + \theta_2 Z_{t-2}$$

or

$$X_t - \mu = (1 + \theta_1 B + \theta_2 B^2) Z_t$$

**Invertibility:** all the roots of  $\theta(z) = 1 + \theta_1 z + \theta_2 z^2 = 0$  lie outside the unit circle.

## 2.3 ARMA(p,q)

The ARMA(p,q) model can be represented as:

$$X_t = \phi_0 + \phi_1 X_{t-1} + \cdots + \phi_p X_{t-p} + Z_t + \theta_1 Z_{t-1} + \cdots + \theta_q Z_{t-q} \quad (7)$$

where  $Z_t \sim N(0, \sigma^2)$ .

## 3 Seasonal time series

### 3.1 Multiplicative model

The form can be represented as:

$$X_t - X_{t-1} - X_{t-4} + X_{t-5} = Z_t - \theta_1 Z_{t-1} - \theta_4 Z_{t-4} + \theta_1 \theta_4 Z_{t-5}$$

or

$$(1 - B)(1 - B^4)X_t = (1 - \theta_1 B)(1 - \theta_4 B^4)Z_t$$

Supposed that

$$Y_t = (1 - \theta_1 B)(1 - \theta_4 B^4)Z_t = Z_t - \theta_1 Z_{t-1} - \theta_4 Z_{t-4} + \theta_1 \theta_4 Z_{t-5}$$

then

- $E(Y_t) = 0$
- $\gamma_0 = \text{Var}(Y_t) = (1 + \theta_1^2)(1 + \theta_4^2)\sigma^2$
- $\gamma_1 = -\theta_1(1 + \theta_4^2)\sigma^2$
- $\gamma_3 = \theta_1 \theta_4 \sigma^2$
- $\gamma_4 = -\theta_4(1 + \theta_1^2)\sigma^2$
- $\gamma_5 = \theta_1 \theta_4 \sigma^2$
- $\gamma_k = 0, k \neq 0, 1, 3, 4, 5$

so the ACF of  $\{Y_t\}$  is

- $\rho_1 = \frac{-\theta_1}{1 + \theta_1^2}$
- $\rho_4 = \frac{-\theta_4}{1 + \theta_4^2}$
- $\rho_3 = \rho_5 = \rho_1 \rho_4 = \frac{\theta_1 \theta_4}{(1 + \theta_1^2)(1 + \theta_4^2)}$
- $\rho_k = 0$ , for other  $k$

As for

$$(1 - B)(1 - B^s)X_t = (1 - \theta B)(1 - \Theta B^s)Z_t$$

we can get covariance as

- $E(Y_t) = 0$
- $\gamma_0 = \text{Var}(Y_t) = (1 + \theta^2)(1 + \Theta^2)\sigma^2$
- $\gamma_1 = -\theta(1 + \Theta^2)\sigma^2$
- $\gamma_{s-1} = \theta\Theta\sigma^2$
- $\gamma_s = -\Theta(1 + \theta^2)\sigma^2$
- $\gamma_{s+1} = \theta\Theta\sigma^2$

- $\gamma_k = 0, k \neq 0, 1, s-1, s, s+1$

so the ACF of  $\{Y_t\}$  becomes

- $\rho_1 = \frac{-\theta}{1+\theta^2}$
- $\rho_s = \frac{-\Theta}{1+\Theta^2}$
- $\rho_{s-1} = \rho_{s+1} = \rho_1 \rho_s = \frac{\theta\Theta}{(1+\theta^2)(1+\Theta^2)}$
- $\rho_k = 0$ , for other  $k$

## 4 GARCH models - Conditional heteroscedastic models

### 4.1 ARCH effect

Let  $\xi_t = Z_t^2 - EZ_t^2$ . If there is not ARCH effect, then the ACF of  $\xi_t$  are all zero.

In 1983, McLeod and Li use Ljung-Box to test the null  $H_0$ : the ACF  $\rho_k$  of  $\xi_t$  are all zero, i.e,

$$H_0 : \rho_1 = \dots = \rho_m = 0$$

Let  $\hat{\rho}_k$  be the sample ACF of  $\{\xi_t\}$ . We use the Ljung-Box:

$$Q(m) = n(n-1) \sum_{k=1}^m \frac{\hat{\rho}_k^2}{n-k} \sim \chi^2(m)$$

If test statistics is significant, then reject  $H_0$  and there exists ARCH effect; otherwise, we can not reject the null hypothesis, which means there do not exist ARCH effect.

In 1982, Engle use (Lagrange multiplier) LM test to test ARCH effect: Assumed that

$$\xi_t = \alpha_1 \xi_{t-1} + \dots + \alpha_m \xi_{t-m} + e_t = \beta' U_t + e_t$$

where  $\beta = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m)'$  and  $X_t = (\xi_{t-1}, \dots, \xi_{t-m})$  and use LM test for the null:

$$H_0 : \alpha_1 = \dots = \alpha_m = 0$$

The LM test statistics:

$$LM = \left( \sum_{t=1}^n X_t' \right) \left[ \sum_{t=1}^n X_t X_t' \right]^{-1} \left( \sum_{t=1}^n X_t \right) \sim \chi^2(m)$$

### 4.2 ARCH model

$$Z_t = \sigma_t \epsilon_t, \quad \sigma_t^2 = \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 Z_{t-1}^2 + \dots + \alpha_m Z_{t-m}^2$$

where  $\{\epsilon_t\}$  is a sequence of iid r.v. with mean 0 and variance 1, also  $\alpha_0 > 0$  and  $\alpha_i \geq 0$  for  $i > 0$ .

### 4.3 GARCH model

$$Z_t = \sigma_t \epsilon_t, \quad \sigma_t^2 = \alpha_0 + \sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i Z_{t-i}^2 + \sum_{i=1}^s \beta_i \sigma_{t-i}^2$$

where  $\{\epsilon_t\}$  is defined above, also  $\alpha_0 > 0, \alpha_i \geq 0$  and  $\beta_j \geq 0$ .

Focus on a GARCH(1,1) model:

$$Z_t = \sigma_t \epsilon_t, \quad \sigma_t^2 = \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 Z_{t-1}^2 + \beta_1 \sigma_{t-1}^2$$



In order to calculate expectation  $E(Z_t)$ , then firstly calculate conditional expectation:

$$\begin{aligned} E(Z_t|F_{t-1}) &= E(\sigma_t \epsilon_t | F_{t-1}) = E\left(\sqrt{\alpha_0 + \alpha_1 Z_{t-1}^2 + \beta_1 \sigma_{t-1}^2} \cdot \epsilon_t | F_{t-1}\right) \\ &= \sqrt{\alpha_0 + \alpha_1 Z_{t-1}^2 + \beta_1 \sigma_{t-1}^2} \cdot E(\epsilon_t | F_{t-1}) \\ &= \sigma_t E(\epsilon_t | F_{t-1}) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

so that

$$E(Z_t) = E[E(Z_t|F_{t-1})] = 0$$

Next, we try to calculate the variance of  $Z_t$ . Supposed that sequence  $\{Z_t\}$  exists strictly stationary solution, then

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Var}(Z_t) &= E(Z_t^2) \\ &= E[E(Z_t^2|F_{t-1})] \\ &= E[E(\sigma_t^2 \epsilon_t^2 | F_{t-1})] \\ &= E[\sigma_t^2 E(\epsilon_t^2 | F_{t-1})] \\ &= E[\sigma_t^2 E(\epsilon_t^2)] \\ &= E[\sigma_t^2] \\ &= E[\alpha_0 + \alpha_1 Z_{t-1}^2 + \beta_1 \sigma_{t-1}^2] \\ &= \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 E(Z_{t-1}^2) + \beta_1 E(\sigma_{t-1}^2) \\ &= \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 E(Z_{t-1}^2) + \beta_1 E[E(Z_{t-1}^2 | F_{t-2})] \\ &= \alpha_0 + (\alpha_1 + \beta_1) E(Z_{t-1}^2) \end{aligned}$$

Let  $E(Z_t^2) = E(Z_{t-1}^2)$ , then we get

$$\text{Var}(Z_t) = E(Z_t^2) = \frac{\alpha_0}{1 - \alpha_1 - \beta_1}$$

#### 4.3.1 Forecast

Since

$$\sigma_{t+1}^2 = \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 Z_t^2 + \beta_1 \sigma_t^2 \in F_t$$

then 1-step ahead forecast:

$$\sigma_t^2(1) = E(\sigma_{t+1}^2 | F_t) = \sigma_{t+1}^2 = \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 Z_t^2 + \beta_1 \sigma_t^2$$

As for 2-step ahead forecast, since

$$Z_t^2 = \sigma_t^2 \epsilon_t^2$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_{t+2}^2 &= \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 Z_{t+1}^2 + \beta_1 \sigma_{t+1}^2 \\ &= \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 \sigma_{t+1}^2 \epsilon_{t+1}^2 + \beta_1 \sigma_{t+1}^2 \\ &= \alpha_0 + (\alpha_1 \epsilon_{t+1}^2 + \beta_1) \sigma_{t+1}^2 \end{aligned}$$

then

$$\sigma_t^2(2) = E(\sigma_{t+2}^2 | F_t) = \alpha_0 + E(\alpha_1 \epsilon_{t+1}^2 + \beta_1 | F_t) \sigma_{t+1}^2 = \alpha_0 + (\alpha_1 + \beta_1) \sigma_t^2(1)$$

In general, since

$$\sigma_{t+\ell}^2 = \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 \epsilon_{t+\ell-1}^2 \sigma_{t+\ell-1}^2 + \beta_1 \sigma_{t+\ell-1}^2 = \alpha_0 + (\alpha_1 \epsilon_{t+\ell-1}^2 + \beta_1) \sigma_{t+\ell-1}^2$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_t^2(\ell) &= E\{\sigma_{t+\ell}^2 | F_t\} = \alpha_0 + E\{(\alpha_1 \epsilon_{t+\ell-1}^2 + \beta_1) \sigma_{t+\ell-1}^2 | F_t\} \\ &= \alpha_0 + E\{E[(\alpha_1 \epsilon_{t+\ell-1}^2 + \beta_1) \sigma_{t+\ell-1}^2 | F_{t+\ell-2}] | F_t\} \\ &= \alpha_0 + E\{\sigma_{t+\ell-1}^2 E[\alpha_1 \epsilon_{t+\ell-1}^2 + \beta_1 | F_{t+\ell-2}] | F_t\} \\ &= \alpha_0 + \{\sigma_{t+\ell-1}^2 (\alpha_1 + \beta_1) | F_t\} \\ &= \alpha_0 + (\alpha_1 + \beta_1) \sigma_t^2(\ell - 1) \end{aligned}$$

#### 4.4 IGARCH model

An IGARCH model is as follows:

$$Z_t = \sigma_t \epsilon_t, \sigma_t^2 = \alpha_0 + \beta_1 \sigma_{t-1}^2 + (1 - \beta_1) Z_{t-1}^2$$

In this case,

$$\sigma_t^2(\ell) = \sigma_t^2(1) + (\ell - 1)\alpha_0$$

where  $h$  is the forecast origin. The effect of  $\sigma_t^2(\ell)$  on future is persistent, and the volatility forecasts form a straight line with slope  $\alpha_0$ .

#### 4.5 GARCH-M model

$$r_t = \mu + c\sigma_t^2 + Z_t \\ Z_t = \sigma_t \epsilon_t, \sigma_t^2 = \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 Z_{t-1}^2 + \beta_1 \sigma_{t-1}^2$$

where  $c$  is referred to as **risk premium**, which is expected to be positive.

#### 4.6 EGARCH model

Asymmetry in responses to + and - returns:

$$g(\epsilon_t) = \theta \epsilon_t + [|\epsilon_t| - E(|\epsilon_t|)]$$

with  $E[g(\epsilon_t)] = 0$ . To see asymmetry of  $g(\epsilon_t)$ , rewrite it as

$$g(\epsilon_t) = \begin{cases} (\theta + 1)\epsilon_t - E(|\epsilon_t|) & \text{if } \epsilon_t \geq 0 \\ (\theta - 1)\epsilon_t - E(|\epsilon_t|) & \text{if } \epsilon_t < 0 \end{cases}$$

An EGARCH(m,s) model is formulated as:

$$Z_t = \sigma_t \epsilon_t, \ln(\sigma_t^2) = \alpha_0 + \sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i g(\epsilon_{t-i}) + \sum_{i=1}^s \beta_i \ln(\sigma_{t-i}^2)$$

Consider an EGARCH(1,1) model

$$Z_t = \sigma_t \epsilon_t, \quad (1 - \beta B) \ln(\sigma_t^2) = \alpha_0 + \alpha g(\epsilon_{t-1})$$

Under normality,  $E(|\epsilon_t|) = \sqrt{2/\pi}$  and the model becomes

$$(1 - \beta B) \ln(\sigma_t^2) = \begin{cases} \alpha_* + \alpha(\theta + 1)\epsilon_{t-1} & \text{if } \epsilon_{t-1} \geq 0 \\ \alpha_* + \alpha(\theta - 1)\epsilon_{t-1} & \text{if } \epsilon_{t-1} < 0 \end{cases}$$

where  $\alpha_* = \alpha_0 - \alpha\sqrt{2/\pi}$ .

#### 4.7 TGARCH model

The Threshold GARCH (TGARCH) or GJR Model A TGARCH(s,m) or GJR(s,m) model is defined as

$$r_t = \mu_t + Z_t, \quad Z_t = \sigma_t \epsilon_t \\ \sigma_t^2 = \alpha_0 + \sum_{i=1}^s (\alpha_i + \gamma_i N_{t-i}) Z_{t-i}^2 + \sum_{j=1}^m \beta_j \sigma_{t-j}^2$$

where  $N_{t-i}$  is an indicator variable such that

$$N_{t-i} = 1 \text{ if } Z_{t-i} < 0, \text{ and } = 0 \text{ otherwise}$$

## 5 Multivariate time series models

The real data  $Z_t$  is a vector:

$$Z_t = \begin{bmatrix} Z_{1t} \\ Z_{2t} \\ \vdots \\ Z_{mt} \end{bmatrix}$$

$Z_t$  is called an  $m$ -dimensional vector time series.

- Mean

$$EZ_t = \begin{bmatrix} EZ_{1t} \\ EZ_{2t} \\ \vdots \\ EZ_{mt} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \mu_{1t} \\ \mu_{2t} \\ \vdots \\ \mu_{mt} \end{bmatrix} \equiv \mu$$

- Covariance

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma(k) &= \text{Cov}(Z_t, Z_{t+k}) = E[(Z_t - \mu)(Z_{t+k} - \mu)'] \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} \gamma_{11}(k) & \gamma_{12}(k) & \cdots & \gamma_{1m}(k) \\ \gamma_{21}(k) & \gamma_{22}(k) & \cdots & \gamma_{2m}(k) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \gamma_{m1}(k) & \gamma_{m2}(k) & \cdots & \gamma_{mm}(k) \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

- Correlation matrix

$$\rho_0 = (\rho_{ij}(0))_{k \times k} = D^{-1} \Gamma_0 D^{-1}$$

where  $D = \text{diag}(\sqrt{\Gamma_{11}(0)}, \dots, \sqrt{\Gamma_{kk}(0)})$

As for  $k$ -dimension time series,

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_{ij}(l) &= \text{Cov}(r_{it}, r_{j,t-l}) = \text{Cov}(r_{j,t-l}, r_{i,t}) = \text{Cov}(r_{j,t}, r_{i,t+l}) \\ &= \text{Cov}(r_{j,t}, r_{i,t-(-l)}) = \Gamma_{ji}(-l) \end{aligned}$$

so that

$$\Gamma_{-l} = \Gamma_l^T$$

and also we can get

$$\rho_{-l} = \rho_l^T$$

### 5.1 The vector AR(1) model

- Model

$$(I - \Phi_1 B) \dot{X}_t = Z_t, \quad \text{or } \dot{X}_t = \Phi_1 \dot{X}_{t-1} + Z_t$$

when  $m = 2$ ,

$$\begin{bmatrix} \dot{X}_{1t} \\ \dot{X}_{2t} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \phi_{11} & \phi_{12} \\ \phi_{21} & \phi_{22} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \dot{X}_{1,t-1} \\ \dot{X}_{2,t-1} \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} Z_{1t} \\ Z_{2t} \end{bmatrix}$$

- Stationarity conditions

All the roots of the determinant  $|I - \Phi_1 z| = 0$  lie outside the unit circle.

In this case,

$$(I - \Phi_1 B)^{-1} = I + \Phi_1 B + \Phi_1^2 B^2 + \cdots$$

and

$$\dot{X}_t = Z_t + \Phi_1 Z_{t-1} + \Phi_1^2 Z_{t-2} + \cdots$$

- Covariance matrix function

$$\Gamma(k) = \begin{cases} \Gamma(-1)\Phi_1' + \Sigma, & \text{if } k = 0 \\ \Gamma(k-1)\Phi_1' = \Gamma(0)(\Phi_1')^k, & \text{if } k \geq 1 \end{cases}$$

In general,  $\Gamma(0) \neq I$

$$\Phi_1 = \Gamma'(1)\Gamma^{-1}(0) \quad \text{and} \quad \Sigma = \Gamma(0) - \Gamma'(1)\Phi_1'$$

Thus,

$$\Sigma = \Gamma(0) - \Phi_1\Gamma(0)\Phi_1'$$

We can find  $\Gamma(0)$  by solving the above equation.

## 5.2 The vector MA(1) model

- Model

$$\dot{Z}_t = (I - \Theta_1 B) a_t, \quad \text{or} \quad \dot{Z}_t = a_t - \Theta_1 a_{t-1}$$

when  $m = 2$ ,

$$\begin{bmatrix} \dot{Z}_{1t} \\ \dot{Z}_{2t} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} a_{1t} \\ a_{2t} \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} \Theta_{11} & \Theta_{12} \\ \Theta_{21} & \Theta_{22} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} a_{1,t-1} \\ a_{2,t-1} \end{bmatrix}$$

- Invertibility conditions

All the roots of the determinant  $|I - \Theta_1 z| = 0$  lie outside the unit circle.

In this case,

$$(I - \Theta_1 B)^{-1} = I + \Theta_1 B + \Theta_1^2 B^2 + \dots$$

and

$$Z_t = \dot{X} + \Theta_1 \dot{X}_{t-1} + \Theta_1^2 \dot{X}_{t-2} + \dots$$

- Covariance matrix function

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma(0) &= \Sigma + \Theta_1 \Sigma \Theta_1'; \\ \Gamma(k) &= \begin{cases} -\Sigma \Theta_1', & \text{if } k = 1 \\ -\Theta_1 \Sigma, & \text{if } k = -1 \\ 0, & \text{if } |k| > 1 \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

## 6 Partially non-stationary vector time series

### 6.1 Cointegration

**Definition 1.** (Engle and Granger 1987): For a series  $y_t$  with no deterministic components, let

$$(1 - B)^d y_t = z_t$$

If  $z_t$  is a stationary ARMA process, we said that  $y_t \sim I(d)$ .

**Definition 1.** (Engle and Granger 1987): If all elements of the vector  $\mathbf{y}_t$  are  $I(d)$  and there exists a vector  $\beta \neq 0$  such that

$$\beta' \mathbf{y}_t \sim I(d - b)$$

for some  $b > 0$ , the vector process is said to be cointegrated  $CI(d, b)$  and  $\beta$  is called cointegrating vector.

**Example.** The bivariate system:

$$\begin{aligned} y_{1t} &= \gamma y_{2t} + \varepsilon_{1t} \\ y_{2t} &= y_{2\{t-1\}} + \varepsilon_{2t} \end{aligned}$$

where  $\gamma \neq 0$ ,  $\varepsilon_{1t}$  and  $\varepsilon_{2t}$  being uncorrelated white noise processes.

$\Delta y_{2t} = \varepsilon_{2t}$ , where  $\Delta \equiv 1 - B$ .

$$\Delta y_{1t} = \gamma \Delta y_{2t} + \Delta \varepsilon_{1t} = \gamma \varepsilon_{2t} + \varepsilon_{1t} - \varepsilon_{1,t-1}$$

Thus, both  $y_{1t}$  and  $y_{2t}$  are  $I(1)$  processes, but the linear combination  $y_{1t} - \gamma y_{2t}$  is stationary.

Hence  $\mathbf{y}_t = (y_{1t}, y_{2t})'$  is cointegrated with a cointegrating vector  $\beta = (1, -\gamma)'$ .

In general, if the vector process  $\mathbf{y}_t$  has  $m$  components, then there can be more than one cointegrating vector  $\beta'$ .