### From Soloist to Symphony

A 10-minute journey through the hype and reality of multi-agent systems.

Bryan Conklin, PhD

GenAl Specialist Solutions Architect at AWS

**Speaker Notes:** 

Prev

Next

### The Case for a Single Agent

Source: Cognition AI (makers of Devin)

### **Context Engineering**

The primary challenge. Splitting tasks breaks context.

### **Implicit Decisions**

Conflicting decisions from multiple agents create chaos.

Best for: Sequential, context-dependent tasks (The Master Chef).

**Speaker Notes:** 

Prev

Next

### The Case for a Multi-Agent Team

Source: Anthropic

#### **Breadth-First Exploration**

Excel at exploring many independent paths at once.

#### **The Cost**

This power is expensive—often 15x the tokens of a single agent.

Best for: Parallelizable tasks (The Detective Team).

**Speaker Notes:** 

Prev Next 3 / 10

### The 'Merge Conflict' Problem

Source: LangChain & Anthropic Insights

### The Core Challenge: Shared Writes

Multi-agent systems are great for parallel reads (research), but struggle with parallel writes (coding).

#### The Analogy

Having multiple agents writing to the same codebase is like having multiple developers committing to the same branch without version control. You inevitably get merge conflicts.

**Speaker Notes:** 

Prev

Next

# A Third Pattern: The Multi-Persona Agent

The practical middle ground: a single agent that wears different hats.

#### What is it?

A single, powerful agent that dynamically adopts different roles or personas by changing its system prompt.

### The Power of Single-Agent

It avoids the 'Context Engineering' problem because it all happens in one continuous session.

### The Feel of Multi-Agent

It provides specialized skills for different tasks, just like a team would.

#### **Speaker Notes:**

Prev

Next

### So, What's the Difference?

Multi-Persona (The Role-Player) vs. Multi-Agent (The Committee)

#### **Multi-Persona**

- Human is the bus
- Human is the orchestrator
- Simple state (chat context)
- Sequential execution

### **Multi-Agent**

- Agents talk to each other (A2A)
- Autonomous orchestration
- Complex, shared state
- Parallel execution

**Speaker Notes:** 

Prev

Next

## A Hybrid Solution: Best of Both Worlds

A Sophisticated Hybrid Workflow

### **Phase 1: Research (Multi-Agent)**

- Deploy a team of parallel agents
- Breadth-first exploration
- Goal: Generate multiple options

### **Phase 2: Development (Multi-Persona)**

- Select the best path
- Use a human-driven framework like BMAD + Windsurf
- Goal: Sequential execution with context

**Speaker Notes:** 

Prev

Next

### **Live Demo: BMAD + Windsurf**

### A Multi-Persona Powerhouse

### **Key Insight**

BMAD provides the personas; Windsurf provides the cockpit to control them.

#### **Mechanism**

Windsurf's @ mentions allow you to seamlessly switch personas within a single, shared context.

- 1. Run `@pm Write a user story for a login button.`
- 2. After the story appears, run `@dev Implement the user story above.`

#### **Speaker Notes:**

Prev

Next

### **Key Takeaways**

### **Choose Your Pattern Intentionally**

Multi-Agent (The Committee): High power, high cost. Best for parallel research. Beware the "merge conflict."

Multi-Persona (The Role-Player): The practical sweet spot, enabled by tools like Windsurf. Gives you specialized skills while keeping you in control.

The Future is Hybrid: Use parallel agents for exploration and sequential, persona-driven agents for execution.

**Speaker Notes:** 

Prev

Next

### Q & A

Thank you! Feel free to connect.



**Speaker Notes:** 

Prev

Next