

## COMPREHENSIVE EXAM IN ANALYTIC NUMBER THEORY (FALL 2012)

Answer everything in the first part and as much of the second part as you can.

### 1. THE FIRST PART

1. For a primitive Dirichlet character  $\chi \pmod{q}$ , define a character sum  $S_\chi(t) := \sum_{n \leq t} \chi(n)$ . The **Polya-Vinogradov** inequality is the statement that  $|S_\chi(t)| \ll \sqrt{q} \log q$ .

A paper of Goldmakher [1] offers the following nice proof that  $\max_{t \leq q} |S_\chi(t)| \gg \sqrt{q}$ :

“A slick proof of this is to apply partial summation to the Gauss sum  $\tau(q) := \sum_{n \leq q} \chi(n) e(n/q)$  and use the classical result that for primitive  $\chi \pmod{q}$ ,  $|\tau(\chi)| = \sqrt{q}$ .”

- (a.) Explain what the word “primitive” means, and show that it is necessary in the discussion above.
- (b.) Prove that for primitive  $\chi$ ,  $|\tau(x)| = \sqrt{q}$ . (Hint: evaluate  $\tau(\chi) \overline{\tau(\chi)}$ .)
- (c.) Spell out the details of Goldmakher’s argument.
2. (a.) Define the *convolution*  $f * g$  of two arithmetic functions  $f$  and  $g$ .
- (b.) If  $f$  and  $g$  are multiplicative, prove that  $f * g$  is as well.
- (c.) Let  $\mu(n)$  be the Möbius function, and let 1 be the constant function, equal to 1 for every  $n$ .
- Evaluate  $\mu * 1$ , and thus obtain a simple identity for the Dirichlet series  $\sum_n \mu(n) n^{-s}$ .

3. Assume that  $x$  is a real number  $> 1$ , not an integer. Then the **explicit formula** reads

$$\psi(x) = x - \sum_{\rho} \frac{x^{\rho}}{\rho} - \frac{\zeta'(0)}{\zeta(0)} - \frac{1}{2} \log(1 - x^{-2}).$$

- (a.) Explain what the above means. Your answer should define the function  $\psi(x)$  and say what the sum over  $\rho$  is.
- (b.) Give a sketch of how this formula is proved.
- (c.) Suppose that you have proved that the above terms on the right, except for  $x$ , are all  $o(x)$ , so that  $\psi(x) \sim x$ . Deduce an asymptotic formula (e.g. *the prime number theorem*) for the number of primes  $\leq x$ .
- (d.) Given the above formula, prove that the zeta function has at least one nontrivial zero. (What does “nontrivial” mean?)

### 2. THE FUN PART

Answer as many questions as you can.

1. The following appears in a still unpublished preprint of Bhargava and Shnidman.

By Theorem 14 and Lemma 16, it now suffices to count pairs  $(b, c) \in L$ , up to  $SO_Q(\mathbb{Z})$ -equivalence, subject to the condition  $Q'(b, c)^2 = (b^2 - bc + c^2)^2 < X$ . The number of integral points inside the elliptic region cut out by the latter inequality is approximately equal to its area  $(2\pi/\sqrt{3})X^{1/2}$ , with an error of at most  $O(X^{1/4})$ . Meanwhile, being the (orientation-preserving) symmetry group of the triangular lattice,  $SO_Q(\mathbb{Z})$  is isomorphic to  $C_6$ , the cyclic group of order 6. Since this is the cubic action, the cyclic subgroup  $C_3 \subseteq SO_Q(\mathbb{Z})$  acts trivially. Up to equivalence, we thus obtain

$$\frac{2\pi}{2\sqrt{3}}X^{1/2} + O(X^{1/4})$$

points inside the ellipse.

Evaluate the infinite sum

$$1 - \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{4} - \frac{1}{5} + \frac{1}{7} - \frac{1}{8} + \cdots$$

and explain the relation to the Bhargava-Shnidman excerpt.

2. In his 1859 memoir, Riemann conjectured that the nontrivial zeroes  $\rho = \beta + i\gamma$  of the zeta function satisfy  $\beta = 1/2$ . (If you somehow manage to prove this, you will definitely pass the exam.) Riemann guessed this based on some numerical computations. He found that the first few zeroes are  $\rho = \frac{1}{2} + 14.134 \cdots i$ ,  $\rho = \frac{1}{2} + 21.012 \cdots i$ ,  $\rho = \frac{1}{2} + 25.010 \cdots i$ , ....

How might he have found these zeroes? Describe a method of proving this, subject to numerical computations that could reasonably be done by hand.

3. Let  $f(n)$  be the characteristic function of integers  $p_1^{e_1} p_2^{e_2} \cdots p_k^{e_k}$  such that, when written as shown as a product of distinct primes, all the  $e_i$  are odd.

Prove a formula for  $\sum_{n \leq x} f(n)$  which is as explicit, and has as good of an error term, as possible.

4. Explain something interesting about character sums which you have read in Iwaniec-Kowalski or elsewhere.

## REFERENCES

- [1] L. Goldmakher, <http://arxiv.org/pdf/0911.5547v2.pdf>.