

SHINTANI'S ZETA FUNCTION IS NOT A FINITE SUM OF EULER PRODUCTS

FRANK THORNE

ABSTRACT. We prove that the Shintani zeta function associated to the space of binary cubic forms cannot be written as a finite sum of Euler products. Our proof also extends to several closely related Dirichlet series. This answers a question of Wright [22] in the negative.

1. INTRODUCTION

In this paper, we will prove that *Shintani's zeta function is not a finite sum of Euler products*. We will also prove the same for the Dirichlet series counting cubic fields.

Our work is motivated by a beautiful paper of Wright [22]. To illustrate Wright's work, we recall a classical example, namely the Dirichlet series associated to fundamental discriminants. We have

$$(1.1) \quad \sum_{D>0} D^{-s} = \frac{1}{2} \left[(1 - 2^{-s} + 2 \cdot 4^{-s}) \frac{\zeta(s)}{\zeta(2s)} + (1 - 4^{-s}) \frac{L(s, \chi_4)}{L(2s, \chi_4)} \right],$$

as well as a similar formula for negative discriminants. These formulas may look a bit messy. However, if one combines positive and negative discriminants, one has the beautiful formulas

$$(1.2) \quad \sum |D|^{-s} = \left(1 - 2^{-s} + 2 \cdot 4^{-s} \right) \frac{\zeta(s)}{\zeta(2s)} = \prod_p \left(\frac{1}{2} \sum_{[K_v:\mathbb{Q}_p] \leq 2} |\mathrm{Disc}(K_v)|_p^s \right),$$

and

$$(1.3) \quad \sum \mathrm{sgn}(D) |D|^{-s} = \left(1 - 4^{-s} \right) \frac{L(s, \chi_4)}{L(2s, \chi_4)}.$$

These are special cases of much more general results. Wright obtains similar formulas for quadratic extensions of any global field k of characteristic not equal to 2, which are in turn special cases of formulas for Dirichlet series parameterizing the elements of k^\times/k^n . He proves his results by considering twists of the Iwasawa-Tate zeta function

$$(1.4) \quad \zeta^{(n)}(\omega, \Phi) = \int_{\mathbb{A}^\times/k^\times} \omega(t) \sum_{x \in k^\times} \Phi(t^n x) |d^\times t|_{\mathbb{A}}.$$

We will not explain Wright's notation here, but suffice it to say that the case $t = 1$ is the zeta function of Tate's thesis [17]. This zeta function may be viewed as the zeta function associated to the affine line, viewed as a prehomogeneous vector space of degree 1, on which $\mathrm{GL}(1)$ acts by $\phi(t)x = t^n x$. Wright proves that all of these zeta functions can be written as finite linear combinations of Euler products. He further remarks that “an analogue of [these

formulas] for the space of binary cubic forms is currently unknown; although, such a formula would be immensely interesting.”

Wright is referring to the *Shintani zeta function* associated to the space of binary cubic forms. This zeta function was introduced by Shintani [16] and further studied by Datskovsky and Wright [21, 6, 7] and many others. This zeta function is defined as follows: The lattice of *integral binary cubic forms* is defined by

$$(1.5) \quad V_{\mathbb{Z}} := \{au^3 + bu^2v + cuv^2 + dv^3 : a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{Z}\},$$

and the *discriminant* of such a form is given by the usual equation

$$(1.6) \quad \text{Disc}(f) = b^2c^2 - 4ac^3 - 4b^3d - 27a^2d^2 + 18abcd.$$

There is a natural action of $\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ (and also of $\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$) on $V_{\mathbb{Z}}$, given by

$$(1.7) \quad (\gamma \cdot f)(u, v) = \frac{1}{\det \gamma} f((u, v) \cdot \gamma).$$

The *Shintani zeta functions* are given by the Dirichlet series

$$(1.8) \quad \xi^{\pm}(s) := \sum_{x \in \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) \backslash V_{\mathbb{Z}}} \frac{1}{|\text{Stab}(x)|} |\text{Disc}(x)|^{-s},$$

and Shintani proved that they have analytic continuation to \mathbb{C} and satisfy a functional equation. These zeta functions are interesting for a variety of reasons; see, e.g., [18] and [7] for applications to counting cubic extensions of number fields. Also note that Datskovsky and Wright’s work yields an adelic formulation of Shintani’s zeta function, similar to (1.4).

Some further motivation for Wright’s question is provided by work of Ibukiyama and Saito [10]. They consider the zeta functions associated to the prehomogeneous vector spaces of $n \times n$ symmetric matrices, for $n > 3$ odd. In particular they prove, among many other interesting results, explicit formulas for these zeta functions as sums of two products of the Riemann zeta function.

With these results in mind, one might hope to prove similar such formulas for the zeta functions (1.8). In this note we answer Wright’s question in the negative, and prove that no such formulas exist.

Theorem 1.1. *Neither of the Shintani zeta functions $\xi^{\pm}(s)$ defined in (1.8) admits a representation as a finite sum of Euler products.*

In other words, if we write $\xi^{\pm}(s) = \sum_n a(n)n^{-s}$, we cannot write $a(n) = \sum_{i=1}^k c_i b_i(n)$ for real numbers c_i and multiplicative functions $b_i(n)$.

It is interesting to note that these zeta functions do have representations as infinite sums of Euler products. Of course, there is a tautological such representation, writing $n^{-s} = (1+n^{-s}) - 1$ and thus regarding each term in (1.8) as a difference of Euler products. However, Datskovsky and Wright [6] proved the much more interesting formula

$$(1.9) \quad \xi^{\pm}(s) = \zeta(4s)\zeta(6s-1) \sum_k \frac{2}{o(k)} |\text{Disc}(k)|^{-s} \frac{R_k(2s)}{R_k(4s)},$$

where the sum is over all number fields of degree 1, 2, or 3 (up to isomorphism) of the correct sign; $o(k)$ is equal to 6, 2, 1, or 3 when k is trivial, quadratic, cubic and non-Galois, or cubic and cyclic respectively; and $R_k(s)$ is equal to $\zeta(s)^3, \zeta(s)\zeta_k(s), \zeta_k(s)$ respectively.

The proof of Theorem 1.1 is not difficult, and it illustrates an application of recent work of Cohen and Morra ([5]; see also Morra's thesis [11] for a longer version with more examples). For a fundamental discriminant D , they prove explicit formula for the Dirichlet series

$$(1.10) \quad \Phi_D(s) := \sum_n a(Dn^2)n^{-s},$$

where $a(Dn^2)$ is the number of cubic fields of discriminant Dn^2 . More generally, they prove a formula for the Dirichlet series counting cubic extensions of number fields K/k , such that the normal closure of K contains a fixed quadratic subextension K_2/k . The formula is rather complicated, and it involves sums over characters of the 3-part of ray class groups associated to $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{D}, \sqrt{-3})$ (or more generally to $K_2(\sqrt{-3})$). However, these formulas take much simpler forms when we assume $k = \mathbb{Q}$, and when we further restrict to D for which we can control these class groups.

We will apply the following special case of their result:

Theorem 1.2 (Cohen-Morra [5]). *If $D < 0$, $D \equiv 3 \pmod{9}$, and $3 \nmid h(D)$, then we have*

$$(1.11) \quad \sum_n a(Dn^2)n^{-s} = -\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \left(1 + \frac{2}{3^s}\right) \prod_{\left(\frac{-3D}{p}\right)=1} \left(1 + \frac{2}{p^s}\right).$$

Furthermore, if $D > 0$, $D \equiv 3 \pmod{9}$, and $3 \nmid h(-D/3)$ then we have

$$(1.12) \quad \sum_n a(Dn^2)n^{-s} = -\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{6} \left(1 + \frac{2}{3^s}\right) \prod_{\left(\frac{-3D}{p}\right)=1} \left(1 + \frac{2}{p^s}\right) \\ + \frac{1}{3} \left(1 - \frac{1}{3^s}\right) \prod_{\left(\frac{-3D}{p}\right)=1} \left(1 + \frac{\omega_D(p)}{p^s}\right),$$

where there exists a cubic field F of discriminant $-27D$ such that $\omega_D(p) = 2$ if p splits completely in F , and $\omega_D(p) = -1$ otherwise.

Remark. In fact, p splits completely in F if and only if it does so in its Galois closure $F(\sqrt{-3D})$, and this version of the condition will be more convenient in our proof.

The equation (1.11) is Corollary 7.9 of [5]. The analogue (1.12) does not appear in [5, 11], so in Section 3 we describe how this formula follows from Cohen and Morra's work.

Closing remarks. Although we cheerfully admit that a positive result would be more interesting than the present paper, we submit that our negative result is interesting as well.

One motivation for our work comes from our previous paper [20], where we studied the Shintani zeta functions analytically, obtaining (limited) results on the location of the zeroes. These zeta functions essentially fit into the Selberg class, which naturally leads to a host of questions. For example, do most of the zeroes of the Shintani zeta functions lie on the critical

line? Work of Bombieri and Hejhal [3] establishes that this is true for certain finite sums of L -functions, conditional on standard hypotheses for these L -functions (including GRH). As Shintani's zeta functions lie outside the scope of [3], even a good conjecture would be extremely interesting.

Our work was also motivated by the desire to incorporate recent developments in the study of cubic fields into the study of the Shintani zeta function. In addition to the work of Cohen and Morra, we point out the work of Bhargava, Shankar, and Tsimerman [2], Hough [9], and Zhao [24] for further approaches to related questions. We write this paper in optimism that further connections will be found among the various approaches to the subject.

2. ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

I would like to thank Takashi Taniguchi for useful comments, and Yasuo Ohno for pointing out the reference [10] to me, which indirectly motivated this paper. Finally, I would like to thank Henri Cohen and Anna Morra for answering my many questions about their interesting work.

3. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.2

In this section, we briefly describe the work of Cohen and Morra [5, 11], and discuss how (1.12) follows from their more general results.¹ Their work is much more general than what we discuss here.

Suppose that K/\mathbb{Q} is a cubic field of discriminant Dn^2 , where $D \notin \{1, -3\}$ is a fundamental discriminant, and let N be the Galois closure of K . Then $N(\sqrt{-3})$ is a cyclic cubic extension of $L := \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{D}, \sqrt{-3})$, and Kummer theory implies that $N(\sqrt{-3}) = L(\alpha^{1/3})$ for some $\alpha \in L$. We write (following [5, Remark 2.2])

$$(3.1) \quad \text{Gal}(L/\mathbb{Q}) = \{1, \tau, \tau_2, \tau\tau_2\},$$

where $\tau, \tau_2, \tau\tau_2$ fix $\sqrt{D}, \sqrt{-3}, \sqrt{-3D}$ respectively.

The starting point of [5] is a correspondence between such fields K and such elements α . In particular, isomorphism classes of such K are in bijection with equivalence classes of elements $1 \neq \bar{\alpha} \in L^\times/(L^\times)^3$, with α identified with its inverse, such that $\alpha\tau'(\alpha) \in (L^\times)^3$ for $\tau \in \{\tau, \tau_2\}$. We say (as in [5, Definition 2.3]) that $\bar{\alpha} \in (L^\times/(L^\times)^3)[T]$, where $T \subseteq \mathbb{F}_3[\text{Gal}(L/\mathbb{Q})]$ is defined by $T = \{\tau + 1, \tau_2 + 1\}$, and the notation $[T]$ means that $\bar{\alpha}$ is annihilated by T . This bijection opens the door to Cohen-Morra's further study of such $\bar{\alpha}$ in terms of the ideals of L .

Assume that $D \equiv 3 \pmod{9}$, placing us in case (5) of [5, p. 464]. The formula (1.11) is Corollary 7.9 of [5], and the conditions in (1.12) are discussed in Remark 7.8. Define $G_{\mathfrak{b}}$, as in [5, Theorem 6.1], to be $(\text{Cl}_{\mathfrak{b}}(L)/\text{Cl}_{\mathfrak{b}}(L)^3)[T]$, where $\text{Cl}_{\mathfrak{b}}(L)$ is the ray class group of an ideal \mathfrak{b} . By Remark 7.8, $G_{\mathfrak{b}}$ is of order 1 (for all \mathfrak{b} considered in Theorem 6.1), except when $\mathfrak{b} = 3\sqrt{-3}$, one case where it has order 3. It is the order 3 case that contributes to the product involving $\omega_D(p)$ to (1.12), and we consider this case from now on.

¹Our specific references are to the published paper [5], but we recommend [11] for its enlightening additional explanation and examples.

Define² $G'_b := \text{Cl}_b(L)/\text{Cl}_b(L)^3$, so that G'_b is a 3-torsion group containing G_b , and we choose (non-canonically) an isomorphism

$$(3.2) \quad G'_b \simeq G_b \times G''_b$$

for some G''_b , and thereby write $G_b \simeq \text{Cl}_b(L)/H$ for some H of index 3. By class field theory, there is a unique abelian extension E/L for which the Artin map induces an isomorphism $G_b \simeq \text{Gal}(E/L)$. It must be cyclic cubic, as G_b is, and the uniqueness forces E/L to be Galois over \mathbb{Q} , as the group G_b is preserved by τ and τ_2 and hence all of $\text{Gal}(L/\mathbb{Q})$. We have $\text{Gal}(E/\mathbb{Q}) \simeq S_3 \times \mathbb{Z}/2$: τ and $\tau_2 \in \text{Gal}(L/E)$ both act nontrivially on G_b , and under the Artin map this implies that τ, τ_2 both act nontrivially on $\text{Gal}(E/L)$ by conjugation. This forces $\tau\tau_2$ to commute with $\text{Gal}(E/L)$. As $\tau\tau_2$ fixes $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-3D})$, this implies that E contains a cubic extension E_1/\mathbb{Q} with quadratic resolvent $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-3D})$, which is unique up to isomorphism. Any prime p which splits in $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-3D})$ must either be inert or totally split in E_1 .

For each prime p with $\left(\frac{-3D}{p}\right) = 1$, write $p\mathcal{O}_L = \mathfrak{c}\tau'(\mathfrak{c})$, where τ' is the element τ or τ_2 of $\text{Gal}(L/\mathbb{Q})$ described previously. Here \mathfrak{c} is either prime or a product of two primes $\mathfrak{p}\tau_2(\mathfrak{p})$ depending on whether $\left(\frac{D}{p}\right)$ is -1 or 1 . By Theorem 6.1 of [5], for each such p , $\omega_D(p) = 2$ if $\chi(\mathfrak{c}) = \chi(\tau'(\mathfrak{c}))$, and $\omega_D(p) = -1$ if $\chi(\mathfrak{c}) \neq \chi(\tau'(\mathfrak{c}))$. As $\chi(\mathfrak{c})\chi(\tau'(\mathfrak{c})) = \chi((p)) = 1$, $\chi(\mathfrak{c})$ and $\chi(\tau'(\mathfrak{c}))$ are complex conjugates, and therefore $\omega_D(p)$ is 2 or -1 depending on whether $\chi(\mathfrak{c}) = 1$ or not.

We claim that $\chi(\mathfrak{c}) = 1$ if and only if p splits completely in E_1 . Suppose first that \mathfrak{c} is prime in \mathcal{O}_L . Then $\chi(\mathfrak{c}) = 1$ if and only if \mathfrak{c} splits completely in E/L , in which case (p) splits into six ideals in E , which happens if and only if p splits completely in E_1 .

Suppose now that $\mathfrak{c} = \mathfrak{p}\tau_2(\mathfrak{p})$ in L . We claim that $\chi(\mathfrak{c}) = 1$ if and only if $\chi(\mathfrak{p}) = 1$; this follows as \mathfrak{p} and $\tau_2(\mathfrak{p})$ have the same Frobenius element in E/L (which in turn is true because they represent the same element of G_b), and $\chi(\mathfrak{c}) = \chi(\mathfrak{p}\tau_2(\mathfrak{p})) = 1$. Now $\chi(\mathfrak{p}) = 1$ if and only if \mathfrak{p} splits completely in E/L , in which case (p) splits into twelve ideals in E ; for this it is necessary and sufficient that p split completely in E_1 .

This is the desired claim, and it remains only to determine the discriminant of E_1 . As its quadratic resolvent is $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-3D})$, we observe that $\text{Disc}(E_1) = r^2(-D/3)$ for some integer r divisible only by 3 and prime divisors of D . No prime $\ell > 3$ can divide r , because ℓ^3 cannot divide the discriminant of any cubic field. Similarly 2 cannot divide r , as if $2|D$ then $4|D$, but 16 cannot divide the discriminant of a cubic field. Therefore r must be a power of 3. We cannot have $r = 1$, as $E_1(\sqrt{-3D})/\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-3D})$ would be an unramified cubic extension, but $h(-D/3) = 1$. r cannot be 3, nor can we have $27|r$, as the 3-adic valuation of a cubic field discriminant is never 2 or larger than 5. By process of elimination, $r = 9$.

Remark. Belabas [1] has computed a table of all cubic fields K with $|\text{Disc}(K)| < 10^6$, and we used his table and PARI/GP [15] to double-check (1.12).

It is certainly possible to prove additional explicit formulas along the lines of (1.11), (1.12), and H. Cohen [4] has suggested several other such formulas to the author without complete proofs.

²We have followed the notation of [5] where practical, but the notations G'_b , G''_b , E , E_1 , $\omega_D(p)$ are used for the first time here and do not appear in [5].

4. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1

We will require the following lemma, which we extract from a generalization of the Davenport-Heilbronn theorem [8].

Lemma 4.1. *Given any residue class $a \pmod{36m}$ with $a \equiv 21 \pmod{36}$ or $a \equiv 5 \pmod{12}$, and $(6a, m) = 1$, there exist infinitely many negative fundamental discriminants $n \equiv a \pmod{36m}$ which are not discriminants of cubic fields.*

Proof. This follows from quantitative versions of the Davenport-Heilbronn theorem in arithmetic progressions. See [18] for sharp quantitative results; alternatively, the methods of [13] may be adapted to give an easier proof.

In particular, the results stated in [18] imply that the average number of cubic fields K per negative fundamental discriminant is equal to $\frac{1}{2}$, and that this average is the same when restricted to any arithmetic progression containing fundamental discriminants. The lemma follows from the fact that this average is less than 1. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.1. For simplicity we begin by proving the theorem for the negative discriminant zeta function $\xi^-(s)$. The basic idea is the same for all cases.

For reasons that will become apparent later, we begin by subtracting the Riemann zeta function $\zeta(s)$. Suppose that the function $\xi^-(s) - \zeta(s)$ has a representation

$$(4.1) \quad \xi^-(s) - \zeta(s) = \sum_n a(n)n^{-s} = \sum_n \left(\sum_{i=1}^k c_i b_i(n) \right) n^{-s},$$

where the c_i are nonzero real numbers and the $b_i(n)$ are multiplicative functions. We will obtain a contradiction, implying Theorem 1.1.

Begin by using Lemma 4.1 to choose k odd negative fundamental discriminants $n_i \equiv 21 \pmod{36}$, coprime to each other apart from the common factor of 3, for which there are no cubic fields of discriminant n_i .

Now, for $1 \leq i \leq k$, choose primes $p_i \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$ for which $\left(\frac{-3n_i}{p_j}\right) = \left(\frac{n_i}{p_j}\right) = -1$ for $i \neq j$, and $\left(\frac{-3n_i}{p_i}\right) = \left(\frac{n_i}{p_i}\right) = 1$ for each i . (These conditions amount to arithmetic progressions mod $\prod n_i$.) We will count the number of cubic rings with discriminant n_i , $n_i p_i^2$, and $n_i p_j^2$, for each i and j .

For each n_i , there is exactly one quadratic field of discriminant n_i . There is exactly one nonmaximal reducible ring of discriminant $n_i p_j^2$ for $j \neq i$, and three such rings of discriminant $n_i p_i^2$; these nonmaximal rings are counted by (1.9).

By hypothesis, there are no cubic fields of discriminant n_i . By (1.11), there are no cubic fields (or nonmaximal rings) of discriminant $n_i p_j^2$ for $j \neq i$, and there is one cubic field of discriminant $n_i p_i^2$.

As the Shintani zeta function counts noncyclic cubic fields with weight 2, and quadratic rings with weight 1, we conclude that $a(n_i p_j^2) - a(n_i)$ is equal to zero if $i \neq j$, and is positive if $i = j$. This fact is enough to contradict (4.1). To see this, let B be the $n \times n$ matrix with (r, s) -entry $c_s b_s(n_r)$, where c_s and $b_s(n)$ are as in (4.1), and let C_i be the vector (e.g., the $n \times 1$ matrix) whose r th row is equal to $b_r(p_i^2) - b_r(1)$. Then BC_i is the vector whose r th

row is equal to

$$(4.2) \quad \sum_{\ell=1}^k c_{\ell} b_{\ell}(n_r) (b_{\ell}(p_i^2) - b_{\ell}(1)) = \sum_{\ell=1}^k (c_{\ell} b_{\ell}(n_r p_i^2) - c_{\ell} b_{\ell}(n_r)) = a(n_r p_i^2) - a(n_r).$$

This is equal to zero if and only if $i \neq r$, and hence the column matrices BC_i are linearly independent over \mathbb{R} , and so B is invertible.

Now write C' for the vector consisting of k ones, so that the r th row of BC' is equal to $a(n_r)$. We chose n_r so that there are no cubic fields of discriminant n_r for any r , so that the Shintani zeta function counts only the quadratic field of discriminant n_r . By (4.1), $a(n_r) = 0$ for each n_r , and hence $BC' = 0$. But this contradicts the invertibility of B ; therefore the representation (4.1) cannot exist.

Positive discriminants. To prove our result for the positive Shintani zeta function, we again define $a(n)$ as in (4.1), again subtracting $\zeta(s)$. We choose positive fundamental discriminants $n_i \equiv 21 \pmod{36}$ as before, with no other prime factors in common, and such that there are no cubic fields of discriminant $-n_i/3$. It follows (by (1.12), or more simply by the Scholz reflection principle) that there are also no cubic fields of discriminant n_i . We choose primes p_i as before, only this time we require that $\omega_{n_i}(p_i) = 1$ so that there will again be a cubic field of discriminant $n_i p_i^2$. This condition holds if p_i splits completely in $F(\sqrt{-3n_i})$, where F is as in (1.12). Roughly speaking, we can find such a p_i because this condition is non-abelian.

More precisely, we want to choose p_i so that $\left(\frac{-3n_i}{p_i}\right) = 1$ (implied if p_i splits completely in $F(\sqrt{-3n_i})$), that $\left(\frac{n_i}{p_i}\right) = 1$ (implied by the previous statement when $p \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$ and therefore $\left(\frac{-3}{p_i}\right) = 1$), and that $\left(\frac{n_j}{p_i}\right) = -1$ for $j \neq i$. As the extensions $F(\sqrt{-3n_i})$, $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-3})$, and $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{n_j})$ ($j \neq i$) are all Galois and disjoint over \mathbb{Q} , the Galois group of their compositum is the direct product of their Galois groups, and thus the Chebotarev density theorem implies that these splitting conditions may all be simultaneously satisfied.

Finally, note that the quadratic fields and rings may be handled exactly as in the negative discriminant case, and so the remainder of the proof is unchanged. \square

4.1. Generalizations of our results. Our proof generalizes with minimal modification to some additional Dirichlet series related to Shintani's zeta functions. We now describe these Dirichlet series and the modifications required.

The Dirichlet series for cubic fields. A Dirichlet series related to the Shintani zeta function is that simply counting cubic fields:

$$(4.3) \quad F^{\pm}(s) := \sum_{\substack{[K:\mathbb{Q}]=3 \\ \pm \text{Disc}(K) > 0}} |\text{Disc}(K)|^{-s}.$$

This does not seem to have any nice analytic properties (such as meromorphic continuation to \mathbb{C}), but we cannot rule such properties out. Needless to say, if $F^{\pm}(s)$ had such analytic properties then this would have interesting consequences for the distribution of cubic fields.

Our argument also proves that neither series $F^\pm(s)$ can be represented as a finite sum of Euler products. The proof is exactly as before, only easier because there are no quadratic fields to count. We write $\sum_n a(n)n^{-s} = F^\pm(s)$ instead of subtracting $\zeta(s)$ as in (4.1); we thus have $a(n_i) = a(n_i p_j^2) = 0$ for each n_i when $j \neq i$, and $a(n_i p_i^2) > 0$, and hence the conclusion of the argument works.

The same argument also works if each field is counted with the weight $\frac{1}{|\text{Aut}(K)|}$; indeed, our proof does not see any cyclic cubic fields.

Linear combinations. Suppose that $\xi(s) = C_1 \xi^+(s) + C_2 \xi^-(s)$ is a linear combination of the usual Shintani zeta functions, with $C_1 \cdot C_2 \neq 0$. Of particular interest are the cases $C_1 = \sqrt{3}$, $C_2 = \pm 1$, as the resulting zeta functions have particularly nice functional equations [6, 12, 14]. We choose negative fundamental discriminants n_i as above, and the same proof works exactly. (Instead of subtracting $\zeta(s)$ we instead subtract $C_2 \zeta(s)$.) The point is that all of the n considered in the proof have squarefree kernel $\equiv 3 \pmod{4}$, corresponding to fields and nonmaximal rings of discriminant $-n$, and so no positive fundamental discriminants enter our calculations. We could similarly work only with positive discriminants and ignore the negative ones.

REFERENCES

- [1] K. Belabas, *On quadratic fields with large 3-rank*, Math. Comp. **73** (2004), no. 248, 2061–2074.
- [2] M. Bhargava, A. Shankar, and J. Tsimerman, *On the Davenport-Heilbronn theorem and second order terms*, preprint.
- [3] E. Bombieri and D. Hejhal, *On the distribution of zeros of linear combinations of Euler products*, Duke Math. J. **80** (1995), no. 3, 821–862.
- [4] H. Cohen, *private communication*.
- [5] H. Cohen and A. Morra, *Counting cubic extensions with given quadratic resolvent*, J. Algebra **325** (2011), 461–478.
- [6] B. Datskovsky and D. Wright, *The adelic zeta function associated to the space of binary cubic forms. II. Local theory*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **367** (1986), 27–75.
- [7] B. Datskovsky and D. Wright, *Density of discriminants of cubic extensions*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **386** (1988), 116–138.
- [8] H. Davenport and H. Heilbronn, *On the density of discriminants of cubic fields. II*, Proc. Roy. Soc. London Ser. A **322** (1971), no. 1551, 405–420.
- [9] B. Hough, *Equidistribution of Heegner points associated to the 3-part of the class group*, preprint.
- [10] T. Ibukiyama and H. Saito, *On zeta functions associated to symmetric matrices. I. An explicit form of zeta functions*, Amer. J. Math. **117** (1995), no. 5, 1097–1155.
- [11] A. Morra, *Comptage asymptotique et algorithmique d’extensions cubiques relatives* (in English), thesis, Université Bordeaux I, 2009. Available online at <http://perso.univ-rennes1.fr/anna.morra/these.pdf>.
- [12] J. Nakagawa, *On the relations among the class numbers of binary cubic forms*, Invent. Math. **134** (1998), no. 1, 101–138.
- [13] J. Nakagawa and K. Horie, *Elliptic curves with no rational points*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **104** (1988), no. 1, 20–24.
- [14] Y. Ohno, *A conjecture on coincidence among the zeta functions associated with the space of binary cubic forms*, Amer. J. Math. **119** (1997), no. 5, 1083–1094.
- [15] PARI/GP, version 2.3.4, Bordeaux, 2008, available from <http://pari.math.u-bordeaux.fr/>.

- [16] T. Shintani, *On Dirichlet series whose coefficients are class numbers of integral binary cubic forms*, J. Math. Soc. Japan **24** (1972), 132–188.
- [17] J. Tate, *Fourier analysis in number fields and Hecke's zeta-functions*, thesis (Princeton, 1950); reprinted in J. W.S. Cassels and A. Fröhlich, eds., *Algebraic number theory*, Academic Press, London, 1986.
- [18] T. Taniguchi and F. Thorne, *Secondary terms in counting functions for cubic fields*, submitted.
- [19] T. Taniguchi and F. Thorne, *Orbital L-functions for the space of binary cubic forms*, preprint.
- [20] F. Thorne, *Analytic properties of Shintani zeta functions*, Proceedings of the RIMS Symposium on automorphic forms, automorphic representations, and related topics, Kyoto, 2010. (Also available from the author's website.)
- [21] D. Wright, *The adelic zeta function associated to the space of binary cubic forms. I. Global theory*, Math. Ann. **270** (1985), no. 4, 503–534.
- [22] D. Wright, *Twists of the Iwasawa-Tate zeta function*, Math. Z. **200** (1989), 209–231.
- [23] A. Yukie, *Shintani zeta functions*, London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series, 183, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1993.
- [24] Y. Zhao, doctoral thesis, University of Wisconsin, in preparation.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF SOUTH CAROLINA, 1523 GREENE STREET, COLUMBIA, SC 29201

E-mail address: `thorne@math.sc.edu`