## Quiz 5 - Math 544, Frank Thorne (thorne@math.sc.edu)

## Monday, October 12, 2015

- 1. What does it mean for a set of vectors S to be linearly dependent? You may give the definition, or answer using any of the 'if and only if' results from lecture or the book.
- 2. Determine whether each of the following subsets of  $\mathbb{R}^2$  is linearly dependent or not.

$$S_1 := \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 4 \\ 4 \end{bmatrix} \right\}$$

$$S_2 := \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} -2 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix} \right\}$$

1. Three cornect answers:

(a) There is some VES with Span (5-873) = Span(S)

(b) S= { 0} or some element of S is a linear combination

of the others.

(Note: Controly to what I said in lecture, you don't need to specify "nontrivial". If  $\vec{v} = 0.\vec{w}_1 + ... + 0.\vec{w}_k$  for some vectors  $\vec{w}_1, ..., \vec{w}_{lc}$ , then  $\vec{v} = \vec{0}$  and span(s-803) = Span(s).

(c) You can write

a, v, + ... + a = 0 for some vectors vi,..., vè and scalors a,... ax where

at least one of the scalars is not zero

2. S, = {[2], [3], [4]} is linearly dependent. To see this by (three correct answers:)

(a): We already saw that any two nonzero, nonperallel vectors in IR2 span all of IR2. So, any two vectors in S, span R2, S, also spans IR2 because it is as least as large as the span of any subset of s, but contained within 122.

So, if is any vector in S, Span(S,-[i])=Spen(S)

(b) We can write 
$$\begin{bmatrix} 4 \\ 4 \end{bmatrix} : \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}$$
.

$$(c) \qquad \left[ \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix} + 1 \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix} + (-1) \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 4 \\ 4 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Sz = {[2], [-2]} is linearly independent.

Proof using (b).

If [2] is a linear combination of the others then

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix} = a \begin{bmatrix} -2 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix} \text{ for some } a. \text{ So } a = \frac{-1}{2} \text{ and } a = \frac{2}{3},$$

impossible.

Similarly, if [-2] is a linear combination of the others then  $\begin{bmatrix} -2 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix}$ :  $b \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}$  for some  $b \in \mathbb{R}$ . Then 6 = -2 and b = 3 inpossible.

Alternative proof using (c).

If 
$$a_1 \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix} + a_2 \begin{bmatrix} -2 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$
,

We can solve  $a_1 - 2a_2 = 0$ 

$$2a_1 + 3a_2 = 0$$
The associated angmented matrix is

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & -2 & 0 \\ 2 & 3 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{\text{from } R2} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -2 & 0 \\ 0 & 7 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$
Relatively  $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & -2 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ 

Add  $2 \cdot R^2$ 

$$= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

So  $a_1 = 0$ ,  $a_2 = 0$  is the only solution.

This means that  $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$  are linearly independent.

i.e. Span ( { [ ] ] ] = U.