Solutions for Problem Sheet 3

1 Partial traces and reduced density operators

1) Starting with $|\psi\rangle=\frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}\left(\sqrt{2}\left|10\right\rangle+\left|11\right\rangle+\sqrt{2}\left|00\right\rangle-\left|01\right\rangle\right)$, the density matrix $|\psi\rangle\left\langle\psi\right|$ can be written as

$$\begin{split} &\frac{1}{6} \left(|0\rangle \left\langle 0| \otimes (\sqrt{2} \left| 0 \right\rangle - \left| 1 \right\rangle \right) (\sqrt{2} \left\langle 0| - \left\langle 1 \right| \right) + \left| 1 \right\rangle \left\langle 1| \otimes (\sqrt{2} \left| 0 \right\rangle + \left| 1 \right\rangle \right) (\sqrt{2} \left\langle 0| + \left\langle 1 \right| \right) \right) \\ &+ \frac{1}{6} \left(|0\rangle \left\langle 1| \otimes (\sqrt{2} \left| 0 \right\rangle - \left| 1 \right\rangle \right) (\sqrt{2} \left\langle 0| + \left\langle 1 \right| \right) + \left| 1 \right\rangle \left\langle 0| \otimes (\sqrt{2} \left| 0 \right\rangle + \left| 1 \right\rangle \right) (\sqrt{2} \left\langle 0| - \left\langle 1 \right| \right) \right) \end{split}$$

expanded out is

$$\frac{1}{3}(|10\rangle\langle 10| + |10\rangle\langle 00| + |00\rangle\langle 00| + |00\rangle\langle 10|)$$

$$+ \frac{\sqrt{2}}{6}(|10\rangle\langle 11| - |10\rangle\langle 01| + |00\rangle\langle 11| - |00\rangle\langle 01| + |11\rangle\langle 10| + |11\rangle\langle 00| - |01\rangle\langle 10| - |01\rangle\langle 00|)$$

$$+ \frac{1}{6}(|11\rangle\langle 11| - |11\rangle\langle 01| + |01\rangle\langle 01| - |01\rangle\langle 11|),$$

so in matrix form is

$$\begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{3} & -\frac{\sqrt{2}}{6} & \frac{1}{3} & \frac{\sqrt{2}}{6} \\ -\frac{\sqrt{2}}{6} & \frac{1}{6} & -\frac{\sqrt{2}}{6} & -\frac{1}{6} \\ \frac{1}{3} & -\frac{\sqrt{2}}{6} & \frac{1}{3} & \frac{\sqrt{2}}{6} \\ \frac{\sqrt{2}}{6} & -\frac{1}{6} & \frac{\sqrt{2}}{6} & \frac{1}{6} \end{bmatrix}.$$

2) Taking the partial trace with respect to the first party we get

$$\langle 0 | \otimes \mathbb{I} (|\psi\rangle \langle \psi|) | 0 \rangle \otimes \mathbb{I} + \langle 1 | \otimes \mathbb{I} (|\psi\rangle \langle \psi|) | 1 \rangle \otimes \mathbb{I} = \frac{1}{6} \left((\sqrt{2} |0\rangle - |1\rangle) (\sqrt{2} \langle 0| - \langle 1|) + (\sqrt{2} |0\rangle + |1\rangle) (\sqrt{2} \langle 0| + \langle 1|) \right)$$

$$= \frac{2}{3} |0\rangle \langle 0| + \frac{1}{3} |1\rangle \langle 1| ,$$

which in matrix form is

$$\begin{bmatrix} \frac{2}{3} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{3} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Taking the partial trace with respect to the second party we get

$$\mathbb{I} \otimes \langle 0 | (|\psi\rangle \langle \psi|) \mathbb{I} \otimes |0\rangle + \mathbb{I} \otimes \langle 1 | (|\psi\rangle \langle \psi|) \mathbb{I} \otimes |1\rangle = \frac{1}{3} (|0\rangle \langle 0| + |0\rangle \langle 1| + |1\rangle \langle 0| + |1\rangle \langle 1|)$$

$$+ \frac{1}{6} (|0\rangle \langle 0| - |0\rangle \langle 1| - |1\rangle \langle 0| + |1\rangle \langle 1|)$$

$$= \frac{2}{3} |+\rangle \langle +| + \frac{1}{3} |-\rangle \langle -|,$$

which in matrix form is

$$\begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{6} \\ \frac{1}{6} & \frac{1}{2} \end{bmatrix}.$$

2 Trace distance

1) By the spectral decomposition, $A = \sum_i \lambda_i |i\rangle \langle i|$, thus $A^{\dagger}A = \sum_i |\lambda_i|^2 |i\rangle \langle i|$, and thus $\sqrt{A^{\dagger}A} = \sum_i |\lambda_i| |i\rangle \langle i|$. The trace of an operator is also the sum of the operator's eigenvalues, thus the trace norm of A is $\sum_i |\lambda_i|$. A density matrix only has positive eigenvalues, and it has trace 1, so the sum of the absolute value of the eigenvalues is 1.

2 updated) For pure states, we want to find the eigenvalues of $|\psi\rangle\langle\psi|-|\phi\rangle\langle\phi|$. To find the eigenvalues of this, we need only consider the support of this rank two operator, i.e. vectors in span $\{|\psi\rangle,|\phi\rangle=\alpha\,|\psi\rangle+\beta\,|\theta\rangle\}$ where $\langle\theta|\,\psi\rangle=0$ and $\alpha=\langle\psi|\,\phi\rangle$. Therefore, we can reduce the operator $|\psi\rangle\langle\psi|-|\phi\rangle\langle\phi|$ to a 2-by-2 matrix:

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 - |\alpha|^2 = |\beta|^2 & -\beta^* \alpha \\ -\alpha^* \beta & -|\beta|^2 \end{bmatrix},$$

where the rows and columns are labelled by the vectors $|\psi\rangle$, $|\theta\rangle$. So we need to solve the following quadratic:

$$\lambda^2 = (|\alpha|^2 + |\beta|^2)|\beta|^2 = |\beta|^2 = 1 - |\langle \psi | \phi \rangle|^2, \tag{1}$$

which has the solutions

$$\lambda = \pm \sqrt{1 - |\langle \psi | \phi \rangle|^2},\tag{2}$$

thus the trace distance is $\sqrt{1-|\left\langle \psi\right|\phi\right\rangle |^{2}}.$

2) Clearly $d(\rho,\rho)=0$ since it is the trace norm of the null operator. To prove that $d(\rho_1,\rho_2)=d(\rho_2,\rho_1)$, observe that $(\rho_i^\dagger-\rho_j^\dagger)(\rho_i-\rho_j)=(\rho_i-\rho_j)(\rho_i-\rho_j)=\rho_i^2+\rho_j^2-\rho_i\rho_j-\rho_j\rho_i$, which is symmetric under exchange of i and j. Thus $\|\rho_i-\rho_j\|_{tr}=\|\rho_j-\rho_i\|_{tr}$. To show that $d(\rho_1,\rho_3)\leq d(\rho_1,\rho_2)+d(\rho_2,\rho_3)$, observe that

$$d(\rho_1, \rho_3) = \|\rho_1 - \rho_3\|_{tr} = \frac{1}{2} \|\rho_1 - \rho_2 + \rho_2 - \rho_3\|_{tr} \le \frac{1}{2} \|\rho_1 - \rho_2\|_{tr} + \frac{1}{2} \|\rho_2 - \rho_3\|_{tr} = d(\rho_1, \rho_2) + d(\rho_2, \rho_3),$$

since the trace norm will satisfy the triangle inequality. I think it's fine if students say this alone. However, for completeness, here's a proof of the triangle inequality $\|A + B\|_{tr} \leq \|A\|_{tr} + \|B\|_{tr}$. First observe that we can rewrite the operator A in terms of the singular value decomposition $U\Lambda V^{\dagger}$ where Λ is a diagonal matrix that has the absolute values of the eigenvalues of A on the diagonal, and U and V are unitaries. Now define $Q := UIV^{\dagger}$, where I is the identity matrix, thus Q has a singular value decomposition with singular values all being 1. Consider

$$\operatorname{Tr}(Q^{\dagger}A) = \operatorname{Tr}(VU^{\dagger}U\Lambda V^{\dagger}) = \operatorname{Tr}(\Lambda) = \|A\|_{tr} \le \sup_{\sigma_1(P) \le 1} \operatorname{Tr}(P^{\dagger}A), \tag{3}$$

where $\sigma_1(P)$ is the largest singular value of P. We can now prove that $\sup_{\sigma_1(P) \leq 1} \text{Tr}(P^{\dagger}A) \leq \|A\|_{tr}$, and thus that they are equal:

$$\sup\nolimits_{\sigma_1(P)\leq 1} \mathrm{Tr}(P^\dagger A) = \sup\nolimits_{\sigma_1(P)\leq 1} \mathrm{Tr}(P^\dagger U \Lambda V^\dagger) = \sup\nolimits_{\sigma_1(P)\leq 1} \mathrm{Tr}(V^\dagger P^\dagger U \Lambda) = \sum_i [V^\dagger P^\dagger U]_{ii} \Lambda_i \leq \sum_i \sigma_1(P) \Lambda_i = \sum_i \Lambda_i, \quad (4)$$

where Λ_i are the diagonal elements of Λ . Now this then implies that

$$\|A + B\|_{tr} = \sup_{\sigma_1(P) \le 1} \text{Tr}(P^{\dagger}(A + B)) \le \sup_{\sigma_1(P) \le 1} \text{Tr}(P^{\dagger}A) + \sup_{\sigma_1(Q) \le 1} \text{Tr}(Q^{\dagger}B) = \|A\|_{tr} + \|B\|_{tr}.$$
 (5)

A gold star to the students if they prove this!

3 Distinguishing two states

1) The states ρ_1 and ρ_2 commute and so $\rho_1 = \sum_i p_i |\psi_i\rangle \langle \psi_i|$ and $\rho_2 = \sum_i q_i |\psi_i\rangle \langle \psi_i|$. So the trace norm of $\rho_1 - \rho_2$ is the sum of the absolute values $|p_i - q_i|$. The optimal measurement will just be to observe the system in the basis $\{|\psi_i\rangle\}$, and if $p_i > q_i$ then guess ρ_1 , if $p_i < q_1$ guess ρ_2 , and otherwise just randomly output a state. The probability of success when $\rho = \rho_1$ is p_i , and the probability of success when $\rho = \rho_2$ is q_i , with there being equal probability of getting either state. So the overall success is $\sum_i \frac{1}{2} \max(p_i, q_i)$, which can be rewritten as $\sum_i \frac{1}{4} (p_i + q_i + |p_i - q_i|) = \frac{1}{2} (1 + d(\rho_1, \rho_2))$, and is thus optimal.

2) We need to find the absolute values of the eigenvalues of $2/3(|0\rangle \langle 0| - |+\rangle \langle +|) + 1/3(|1\rangle \langle 1| - |-\rangle \langle -|)$, which is the operator

$$\frac{1}{6}(|0\rangle\langle 0| - |0\rangle\langle 1| - |0\rangle\langle 1| - |1\rangle\langle 1|),\tag{6}$$

and has eigenvalues $\{\pm \frac{1}{3\sqrt{2}}\}$, and so $d(\rho_1, \rho_2) = \frac{1}{3\sqrt{2}}$, and the probability is thus $\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{6\sqrt{2}}$.

4 Bloch vectors

1) Since the Paulis are traceless, the trace of this operator is $\text{Tr}\{\frac{1}{2}I\}=1$. It is Hermitian, since it is the real linear combination of Hermitian matrices. Finally, we can show it's positive by considering its eigenvalues:

$$\frac{1}{2} (I + \vec{s} \cdot \vec{\sigma}) |\psi\rangle = \frac{1}{2} (|\psi\rangle + \vec{s} \cdot \vec{\sigma} |\psi\rangle) = \lambda |\psi\rangle.$$
 (7)

The eigenvalues of $\vec{s} \cdot \vec{\sigma}$ are obtained from explicit calculations as $\pm \sqrt{s_x^2 + s_y^2 + s_z^2}$, thus the eigenvalues of ρ are $\frac{1}{2} \left(1 \pm \sqrt{s_x^2 + s_y^2 + s_z^2} \right)$. Since $\sqrt{s_x^2 + s_y^2 + s_z^2} \le 1$, then ρ is definitely positive.

2) $d(\rho_1, \rho_2) = \frac{1}{4} ||I - I + \vec{s}_1 \cdot \vec{\sigma} - \vec{s}_2 \cdot \vec{\sigma}||_{tr}$, resulting in the matrix

$$\frac{1}{4} \begin{bmatrix} s_1^z - s_2^z & (s_1^x - s_2^x) - i(s_1^y - s_2^y) \\ s_1^x - s_2^x + i(s_1^y - s_2^y) & s_2^z - s_1^z \end{bmatrix},$$

thus

$$\lambda^{2} = \frac{1}{16} \left[(s_{1}^{z} - s_{2}^{z})^{2} + (s_{1}^{x} - s_{2}^{x} + is_{1}^{y} - is_{2}^{y})(s_{1}^{x} - s_{2}^{x} - is_{1}^{y} + is_{2}^{y}) \right]$$
$$= \frac{1}{16} \left[(s_{1}^{z} - s_{2}^{z})^{2} + (s_{1}^{y} - s_{2}^{y})^{2} + (s_{1}^{x} - s_{2}^{x})^{2} \right]$$

So the trace distance is

$$\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{(s_1^z - s_2^z)^2 + (s_1^y - s_2^y)^2 + (s_1^x - s_2^x)^2}$$
 (8)

5 Completely positive maps

1) To prove positivity, the density matrix ρ is positive, so it can be written as $\rho = M^{\dagger}M$ for a matrix M. Therefore, $A_k \rho A_k^{\dagger} = A_k M^{\dagger} M A_k^{\dagger} = N^{\dagger} N$ for $N = M A_k^{\dagger}$, and thus is positive. A sum of positive matrices is again positive, so the map is a positive map. To prove that it preserves trace, we have (by linearity and cyclicity of the trace) $\sum_k \operatorname{Tr}(A_k \rho A_k^{\dagger}) = \sum_k \operatorname{Tr}(A^{\dagger} A_k \rho) = \operatorname{Tr} \rho = 1$. Two compose to completely positive maps, we have $\sum_{kl} B_l A_k \rho A_k^{\dagger} B_l^{\dagger}$ where $\sum_l B_l^{\dagger} B_l = I$, thus it defines a new CP map with matrices $C_{kl} := B_l A_k$, and notice that $\sum_{kl} C_{kl}^{\dagger} C_{kl} = \sum_k A_k^{\dagger} (\sum_l B_l^{\dagger} B_l) A_k = \sum_k A_k^{\dagger} A_k = I$.

2) Given the Bloch representation, we can consider how each Pauli components evolve under conjugation of Paulis. In particular, $\sigma_i \sigma_j \sigma_i = (-1)\sigma_j$ if $i \neq j$, and σ_i otherwise. This results in the new density matrix:

$$\frac{1}{2}(I - (1 - \frac{4p}{3})[s_x\sigma_x + s_y\sigma_y + s_z\sigma_z]),\tag{9}$$

where all components s_x , s_y and s_z are rescaled by $(1 - \frac{4p}{3})$.

6 Positive but not completely maps

- 1) We can straightforwardly see that $s_i \rightarrow -s_i$, i.e. a reflection with respect to every axis.
- 2) The density matrix after applying the map is still trace 1 since it only affects the Pauli terms in the Bloch representation. The Bloch vector after applying the map satisfies $\sqrt{(-s_x)^2+(-s_y)^2+(-s_z)^2}=\sqrt{(s_x)^2+(s_y)^2+(s_z)^2}=\sqrt{(s_x)^2+(s_y)^2+(s_z)^2}=\sqrt{(s_x)^2+(s_y)^2+(s_y)^2+(s_y)^2}=\sqrt{(s_x)^2+(s_y)^2+(s_y)^2+(s_y)^2}=\sqrt{(s_x)^2+(s_y)^2+(s_y)^2+(s_y)^2+(s_y)^2}=\sqrt{(s_x)^2+(s_y)^2+(s_y)^2+(s_y)^2+(s_y)^2+(s_y)^2}=\sqrt{(s_x)^2+(s_y)^2$

- 1, and thus results in another density matrix.
- 3) The density matrix after applying $\mathcal N$ to the first qubit is

$$\frac{1}{4}\left(I\otimes I - \sigma_x\otimes\sigma_x + \sigma_y\otimes\sigma_y - \sigma_z\otimes\sigma_z\right). \tag{10}$$

Now take the maximally entangled state $|\Phi^+\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|00\rangle + |11\rangle)$, and apply the density matrix to this state. We get:

$$\frac{1}{4\sqrt{2}}\left(I\otimes I - \sigma_x\otimes\sigma_x + \sigma_y\otimes\sigma_y - \sigma_z\otimes\sigma_z\right)\left(\left|00\right\rangle + \left|11\right\rangle\right) = \frac{1}{4}\left(\left|\Phi^+\right\rangle - \left|\Phi^+\right\rangle - \left|\Phi^+\right\rangle - \left|\Phi^+\right\rangle\right) = \frac{-1}{2}\left|\Phi^+\right\rangle, \quad (11)$$

which then implies the resulting density matrix is no longer positive, and so the map is not completely positive.

7 Approximate cloning

- 1) We start with two input states $|\phi\rangle$ and $|\psi\rangle$. Before the cloner the inner product between $|\phi,0,R\rangle$ and $|\psi,0,R\rangle$ is $\langle\phi|\psi\rangle$. Now assume without loss of generality that the cloner is a unitary (by enlarging the ancilla if necessary). After the cloner, the inner product between $|\phi,\phi,R'\rangle$ and $|\psi,\psi,R''\rangle$ is $\langle\phi|\psi\rangle^2\langle R'|R''\rangle$. Since a unitary preserves the inner product between vectors, then $\langle\phi|\psi\rangle^2\langle R'|R''\rangle=\langle\phi|\psi\rangle$ if $|\psi\rangle$ and $|\phi\rangle$ are at least identical or orthogonal. Therefore, for two arbitrary states we does not hold.
- 2) The reduced density matrices of the first and second qubits will be the same since the overall state is symmetric under exchange of the first two qubits.
- 3) The reduced state of the first two qubits is

$$\frac{2}{3} |\psi\psi\rangle\langle\psi\psi| + \frac{1}{6} \left(\left|\psi\psi^{\perp}\right\rangle + \left|\psi^{\perp}\psi\right\rangle \right) \left(\left\langle\psi\psi^{\perp}\right| + \left\langle\psi^{\perp}\psi\right| \right). \tag{12}$$

Now if we take the partial trace with respect to the first party we get

$$\frac{2}{3} \left| \psi \right\rangle \left\langle \psi \right| + \frac{1}{6} \left| \psi \right\rangle \left\langle \psi \right| + \frac{1}{6} \left| \psi^{\perp} \right\rangle \left\langle \psi^{\perp} \right|. \tag{13}$$

- 4) The test is the projective measurement in the basis $\{|\psi\rangle, |\psi^{\perp}\rangle\}$, so it will pass with probability 5/6.
- 5) The Bloch vector of ρ is in the same direction as $|\psi\rangle\langle\psi|$ but shrunk by a factor of 2/3. This can be seen by writing the matrix as $\frac{5}{12}(I+a\cdot\sigma)+\frac{1}{12}(I-a\cdot\sigma)$, which when expanded can be written as

$$\frac{1}{2}(I + \frac{2}{3}a \cdot \sigma),\tag{14}$$

and we thus see the shrinking of $\frac{2}{3}$.

8 Controlled unitaries revisited

- 1) The computation goes as $|0u\rangle \to |+u\rangle \to \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(|0u\rangle + e^{i\alpha}\,|1u\rangle\right) \to \frac{1}{2}\left((1+e^{i\alpha})\,|0u\rangle + (1-e^{i\alpha})\,|1u\rangle\right)$. Therefore, the probability of getting outcome 0 is $\frac{2+e^{i\alpha}+e^{-i\alpha}}{4}=\frac{1}{2}(1+\cos(\alpha))$.
- 2) If we now take the linear extension of the above for the state $|\psi\rangle$, at the end of the controlled unitary we have the state

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left(\left| 0\psi \right\rangle + \left| 1 \right\rangle U \left| \psi \right\rangle \right). \tag{15}$$

The state after the final Hadamard is

$$\frac{1}{2}\left(\left|0\right\rangle\left(I+U\right)\left|\psi\right\rangle+\left|1\right\rangle\left(I-U\right)\left|\psi\right\rangle\right),\tag{16}$$

and the probability of getting outcome 0 is then $|\frac{1}{2}\langle\psi|(I+U^{\dagger})(I+U)|\psi\rangle|^2 = \frac{1}{2}(1+\frac{\langle\psi|U+U^{\dagger}|\psi\rangle}{2})$. Note that $\langle\psi|U|\psi\rangle = \langle\psi|\phi\rangle = xe^{ip}$ and $\langle\psi|U^{\dagger}|\psi\rangle = \langle\phi|\psi\rangle = \langle\psi|\phi\rangle^* = xe^{-ip}$. Therefore the probability is

$$\frac{1}{2}(1 + \frac{x(e^{-ip} + e^{ip})}{2}) = \frac{1}{2}(1 + x(\cos(p))),\tag{17}$$

therefore, $ve^{i\phi} = xe^{ip} = \langle \psi | U | \psi \rangle$.

3) Starting with $\mathrm{Tr}(\rho U) = \sum_k \mathrm{Tr}(p_k|u_k\rangle\langle u_k|U) = \sum_k \mathrm{Tr}(e^{i\phi_k}p_kv_k|u_k\rangle\langle u_k|)$. Therefore, we have

$$\sum_{k} \operatorname{Tr}(e^{i\phi_k} p_k v_k | u_k \rangle \langle u_k |) = \sum_{k} e^{i\phi_k} p_k v_k,$$

which is a complex number, so it can be written as $ve^{i\phi}$.

4) First observe that the expectation value of the Pauli-Z matrix on the first qubit is $\frac{1}{2}(1+v\cos\phi-(1-v\cos\phi))=v\cos\phi=\text{Re}(ve^{i\phi})$. Therefore, given the circuit we can estimate the real part of $ve^{i\phi}$, which is the real part of $\text{Tr}(\rho U)$. To estimate $ve^{i\phi}$, we need to find the imaginary part of it. This can be achieved by replacing the final Hadamard and measurement in the circuit (together it evaluates a measurement in the Pauli-X basis) with a Pauli-Y measurement. After the controlled unitary we have the state

$$|\psi\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left(|0\psi\rangle + |1\rangle U |\psi\rangle \right),\tag{18}$$

as before. The expectation of the Pauli-Y is then

$$\frac{1}{2} \left(\langle 0u| + \langle 1u| U^{\dagger} \right) \sigma_y \left(|0u\rangle + U |1u\rangle \right) = \frac{1}{2} \left(-i \langle \psi | U | \psi \rangle + i \langle \psi | U^{\dagger} | \psi \rangle \right)
= \frac{1}{2} \left(-ive^{i\phi} + ive^{-i\phi} \right)
= i^2 v \frac{\left(-e^{i\phi} + e^{-i\phi} \right)}{2i}
= v \sin \phi$$

which is the imaginary part of $ve^{i\phi}$. By the same calculation as before, we can generalise this to the case of density matrices, to get the imaginary part of $Tr(\rho U)$.

To estimate Tr(U), we can take ρ to be the maximally mixed state. Then we just multiply the expectations from before by a constant d, which is the dimension of the Hilbert space.

9 Deutsch's algorithm and decoherence

After the query of the oracle, the state is

$$\frac{1}{2} \sum_{x} (-1)^{f(x)} |e_x, x, 0\rangle - |e_x, x, 1\rangle, \tag{19}$$

where e_x labels the state of the environment. Then applying the final Hadamard leads to

$$\frac{1}{2}H\sum_{x}(-1)^{f(x)}|e_{x},x\rangle (|0\rangle - |1\rangle) = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}}\sum_{x}(-1)^{f(x)}|e_{x}\rangle \sum_{y}(-1)^{x,y}|y\rangle (|0\rangle - |1\rangle)
= \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}}\left(\sum_{x,y}(-1)^{f(x)+x,y}|e_{x},y\rangle\right) (|0\rangle - |1\rangle).$$

The state of the first two qubits is

$$\frac{1}{2} \sum_{x,y} (-1)^{f(x)+x.y} |e_x, y\rangle.$$
 (20)

For getting outcome $|0\rangle$ in the first qubit, we have the restriction of the state being

$$\frac{1}{2} \sum_{x} (-1)^{f(x)} |e_x, 0\rangle. \tag{21}$$

So the probability of getting this outcome is

$$\frac{1}{4} \left| \sum_{x,x'} (-1)^{f(x)+f(x')} \langle e_{x'} | e_x \rangle \right|^2 = \frac{1}{4} \left(2 + 2v(-1)^{f(0)+f(1)} \right), \tag{22}$$

which is equal to (1/2)(1+v) if the function is constant, and (1/2)(1-v) if it is balanced.