

# **DOAB PRISM Service**

Manual, version 23 March 2022



## Table of contents

1	DOA	B Peer Review Information Service for Monographs (PRISM) - Overview	. 3
	1.1	Introduction	. 3
	1.2	Procedure overview	3
	1.3	Prerequisites	4
2	Crea	ite a new Peer Review	5
	2.1	Submit a new Peer Review	. 5
	2.2	Workflow management	. 8
	2.3	Create new peer reviewed books / chapters	11
3	Peer	review API	16
	3.1	Example queries:	17





# 1 DOAB Peer Review Information Service for Monographs (PRISM) -Overview

#### 1.1 Introduction

The Peer Review Information Service for Monographs (PRISM) is offered to publishers who have registered with Directory of Open Access Books (DOAB), and is provided by DOAB Foundation on behalf of the OPERAS community.

PRISM aims to provide information from open access (OA) book publishers, based on their publishing practices, in particular their peer review procedure. The service is intended to enable publishers to provide information at both publisher level and individual publication level. The goal of the service is to support trust in OA book publishing, by improving transparency around quality assurance of OA book publishers and their publications.

#### 1.2 Procedure overview

The procedure is as follows:

- 1. The publisher creates one or more peer review descriptions, using the peer review form.
- 2. The publisher submits the form.
- 3. The created peer review forms are assessed by the DOAB administrator.
  - a. If the form is accepted, the publisher can use this form to add peer review information to a publication
  - b. If the form is not accepted, the document will be deleted.
- 4. When the peer review form is accepted, the publisher can create a new version of the publication record in DOAB and select the peer review form.
- 5. The publisher can create multiple peer review forms, to describe different procedures. However, it is not possible to add more than one peer review form to a publication.







#### 1.3 Prerequisites

Users who are recognized as staff for a registered publisher can create a new peer review form ("Peer Review") for the corresponding Publisher record. The mapping between users, publishers and peer reviews is made based on the group the user belongs to.

Administrators can't create a Peer Review, as the system wouldn't know which publisher the Peer Review would need to be attached to. Administrators can impersonate other users, should they ever need to create a Peer Review for a publisher.





## 2 Create a new Peer Review

Applying for a Peer Review can be made by going to the "Submission" page, starting a new submission, and selecting the collection "Peer Review" (it will only display for users actually authorized to submit a Peer Review).

#### 2.1 Submit a new Peer Review

The first step is to open the Submissions menu

<b>Recently Added</b>	Search	
EXERCISE CONTRACTOR	Development, Social Change and Environmental Sustainability Sumarmi, Nanda Harda Pratama Meiji; Purwasih, Joan Hesti Gita; Kodir, Abdul; Andriesse, Edo; Dorina Camelia, Ilies; Miichi, Ken (2021) Nowadays, the concept of SDGs (Sustainable Development Goals) is starting to replace the concept of MDGs (Millennium Developmental Goals). It is a global goal adopted by all United Nations member states. It emphasizes the	All of DOAB Subjects Publishers Languages
	Colonial powers and Ethiopian frontiers 1880–1884	Collections
а	Rubenson, Sven; Aklilu, Amsalu; Bekele, Shiferaw; Rubenson, Samuel (2021) Colonial powers and Ethiopian frontiers 1880–1884 is the fourth volume of Acta Aethiopica, a series that presents original Ethiopian documents of nineteenth-century Ethiopian history with English translations and scholarly	Logout Profile
0		Submissions

#### Step 2 is to start a new submission







#### Step 3 is to select the correct 'collection'.

doab directory of open access books	
For Researchers For Librarians For Publishers	Our Supporters Resources DOAB
DOAB Home / Item submission	
Item submission	Search
Select a collection	BROWSE
Collection:	Subjects
Peer Reviews > Peer Reviews	✓ Publishers
Select the conection you wish to submit an term to.	Languages
Next	Collections
	MY ACCOUNT
	Locout





After selecting the collection "Peer reviews", the form displays, all fields are mandatory. Use the field title to name the peer review procedure.

Item submission	Search Q
	Search DOAB
Describe Review Complete	O This Collection
Describe Item	BROWS5
Title: *	All of DOAB
The title for the peer review (in order to differentiate between peer reviews).	
	Subjects
	Publishers
Review decision: *	Languages
Is the document peer reviewed?	
O Yes	Collections
O No	MYACCOUNT
	Logout
Review type: *	Deefie
What is being reviewed?	Frome
Proposal	Submissions
Full text	EXPORT
Section	Repository metadata
Anonyminy: ^	
what is the rever or openness?	
O Double-blind	
O single-bind	
Reviewer type: *	
Who conducts the review?	
Internal editor	
Editorial board member	
External peer reviewer	
Crowd/open review	
Deview stores #	
at what state is the peer review being conducted?	
O Pre-publication	
Open review: *	
Are the review comments published?	
O Yes	
O No	
Publish responsibility: *	
Who takes the decision to publish?	
O Publisher	
O Books or series editor	
O Scientific or Editorial Board	
Save & Exit Next >	

Clicking "Next" shows a recap of the data for confirmation, and then the Peer Review item is submitted for review.





### 2.2 Workflow management

The DOAB administrators review the created PR information. DOAB administrators can find Peer Review submission requests in their own "Submissions" page.

Workflow tasks							
These tasks are items that are awaiting approval before they are added to the repository. There are two task queues, one for tasks which you have chosen to accept and another for tasks which have not been taken by anyone yet.							
Tas	Tasks you own						
	Task	Item	Collection	Submitter			
No	tasks are assigned to ye	ou					
Tasl	ks in the pool						
	Task	Item		Collection	Submitter		
	Claim Peer Review Task	Untitled		Peer Reviews	email:Benoît Wéry		
	Claim Accept/Reject Task	Indiana Uni	versity Press	Local publishers	email:atmire nv		
	Claim Accept/Reject Task	Concordia (	Jniversity Press	Local publishers	email:atmire nv		
	Claim Accept/Reject Task	EMC Imprir	at the UCSB Early Modern Center	Local publishers	email:atmire nv		
	Claim Accept/Reject Task	Universidad	Universidad Nacional de Cuyo		email:atmire nv		
	Claim Accept/Reject Task	High Rate C	Consulting, Corp	Local publishers	email:atmire nv		
	Claim Accept/Reject Task	Hybrid Pub	lishing Consortium	Local publishers	email:atmire nv		

Each administrator can select the task in the pool, and "Take" it in its own tasks pool.

Workflow tasks						
These one for Tasks	These tasks are items that are awaiting approval before they are added to the repository. There are two task queues, one for tasks which you have chosen to accept and another for tasks which have not been taken by anyone yet. Tasks you own					
	Task	Item	Collection	Submitter		
	Peer Review Task	Untitled	Peer Reviews	email:Benoît Wéry		
Retu	Irn selected tasks to the pool					





Clicking the actual task will take the administrator to the actual workflow review. The first part of the view shows the data that was submitted. The second part enables the administrator to accept or reject the PR.

Perform task: Accept/Reject item				
Item submission Untitled				
No Thumbnail	Review decision Yes Review type Full text; Section Anonymity Single-blind			
A Book License	<b>Reviewer type</b> Editorial board member; Crowd/open review <b>Review stage</b> Pre-publication			
	<b>Open review</b> No <b>Publish responsibility</b> Publisher			
Show full item record Actions you may perform	n on this task:			
If you have reviewed the item and it "Approve".	Approve item			
If you have reviewed the item and found it is <b>not</b> suitable for inclusion on the corresponding publishe, select "Reject".				
Cancel				

- When the item is accepted in the workflow, it will be attached as a xml file to the submitters corresponding publisher item. (The original item that was used during the submission is then subsequently deleted)
- When the item rejected, it will be deleted and the submitter will be notified. (Please note that this differentiates from other 'reject' steps in DSpace, where normally, the actual item is kept, and simply sent back to the users' workspace)





### Amsterdam University Press review Control of Control of

Netherlands



URI https://directory.doabooks.org/handle/20.500.12854/25305

#### **OA License**

AUP proposes to publish all contributions, including the supplemental data, under a Creative Commons (CC) license. This license form is designed for publications on the Internet. The CC organization is a nonprofit organization dedicated to expanding the range of creative works available for others to build and share. The organization has developed several copyright licenses that are better known as CC licenses. The CC license is currently the best type of license which allows the combination and open access paper book edition. <BR> <BR> With these licenses, authors / creators themselves indicate and communicate which rights they want to keep, and which rights they waive for the benefit of recipients or other creators. For a number of open access databases to be included, there should be under a CC license to be published. AUP uses the following license as a default form for online publications: Attribution Non-Commercial No Derivatives.

## Amsterdam University Press peer review toop

Netherlands

2021-01-25T07:49:42Z	
2021-01-25T07:49:42Z	
https://directory.doabooks.org/handle/20.500.12854/25305	
Made available in DSpace on 2021-01-25T07:49:42Z (GMT). No. of bitstreams: 0	en
publisher	
145ccb7d-e69e-4d0d-adca-718ef440a82a	
xml version="1.0" encoding="UTF-8"? <dublin_core> <dcvalue element="id" language="en" quali-<br="">fier="none" schema="peerreview"&gt;018fba68-c4ac-4010-89c6-ff4f0dbcf579</dcvalue> <dcvalue ele-<br="">ment="title" language="en_US" qualifier="none" schema="dc"&gt;Double blind</dcvalue> <dcvalue ele-<br="">ment="review" language="en_US" qualifier="decision" schema="peerreview"&gt;Yes</dcvalue> <dc- value element="review" language="en_US" qualifier="type" schema="peerreview"&gt;Full text <dcvalue element="anonymity" language="en_US" qualifier="none" schema="peerreview">Double- blind</dcvalue> <dcvalue element="review" language="en_US" qualifier="none" schema="peerreview">Double- blind</dcvalue> <dcvalue element="reviewer" language="en_US" qualifier="type" schema="peerreview">Double- blind</dcvalue> <dcvalue element="reviewer" language="en_US" qualifier="type" schema="peerreview">Double- blind</dcvalue> <dcvalue element="review" language="en_US" quali-<br="">fier="stage" schema="peerreview"&gt;Pre-publication</dcvalue> <dcvalue element="open" lan-<br="">guage="en_US" qualifier="review" schema="peerreview"&gt;No</dcvalue> <dcvalue <br="" element="publish">language="en_US" qualifier="review"&gt;Publisher="type" schema="publish" language="en_US" qualifier="review"&gt;Publisher="peerreview"&gt;Publisher="type" schema="peerreview"&gt;Publisher="type" schema="peerreview"&gt;Publisher="type" schema="peerreview"&gt;Publisher="type" schema="peerreview"&gt;Publisher="type" schema="peerreview"&gt;Publisher // Covalue&gt; <dcvalue element="type" schema="peerreview">Publisher <dcvalue element="type" schema="peerreview">Covalue <dcvalue element="type" schema="peerreview">Covalue <dcvalue< td=""> // Covalue&gt; <dcvalue< td=""> // Covalue&gt; <dcvalue< td=""> // Covalue&gt; <dcvalue element="type" schema="peerreview">Covalue // Covalue&gt; <dcvalue< td=""> // Covalue&gt; <dcvalue< tdvalue=""> <dcvalue< tdvalue=""></dcvalue<></dcvalue<></dcvalue<></dcvalue<></dcvalue<></dcvalue<></dcvalue<></dcvalue<></dcvalue<></dcvalue></dcvalue<></dcvalue<></dcvalue<></dcvalue></dcvalue></dcvalue></dcvalue></dc- </dublin_core>	
	2021-01-25T07:49:42Z 2021-01-25T07:49:42Z https://directory.doabooks.org/handle/20.500.12854/25305 Made available in DSpace on 2021-01-25T07:49:42Z (GMT). No. of bitstreams: 0 publisher 145ccb7d-e69e-4d0d-adca-718ef440a82a ??ml version="1.0" encoding="UTF-8"?> <dublin_core> <dcvalue element="id" language="en" quali-<br="">fier="none" schema="peerreview"&gt;018fba68-c4ac-4010-89c6-ff4f0dbcf579</dcvalue> <dcvalue ele-<br="">ment="ititle" language="en_US" qualifier="none" schema="de"&gt;Double blind</dcvalue> <dcvalue ele-<br="">ment="review" language="en_US" qualifier="type" schema="peerreview"&gt;Full text</dcvalue> <dcvalue element="review" language="en_US" qualifier="none" schema="peerreview">Full text</dcvalue> <dcvalue element="review" language="en_US" qualifier="none" schema="peerreview">Full text</dcvalue> <dcvalue element="review" language="en_US" qualifier="type" schema="peerreview">Double- blind</dcvalue> <dcvalue element="review">Pull text</dcvalue> <dcvalue element="review" language="en_US" qualifier="none" schema="peerreview">Double- blind</dcvalue> <dcvalue element="review">Publisher</dcvalue> <dcvalue element="review">Publisher</dcvalue> <dcvalue element="review">Publisher</dcvalue> <dcvalue< dcvalue=""> <dcvalue element="review">Publisher</dcvalue> <dcvalue< dcvalue=""> <dcvalue< dcvalue=""> <dcvalue< dcvalue=""> <dcvalue element="review">Publisher</dcvalue> <dcvalue< dcvalue=""> <dcvalue element="review">Publisher</dcvalue> <dcvalue< dcvalue=""> <dcvalue< dcvalue=""> <dcvalue< dcvalue=""> <dcvalue> <dcvalue< th=""></dcvalue<></dcvalue></dcvalue></dcvalue></dcvalue></dcvalue></dcvalue></dcvalue></dcvalue></dcvalue></dcvalue></dcvalue></dcvalue<></dcvalue<></dcvalue<></dcvalue<></dcvalue<></dcvalue<></dcvalue<></dcvalue<></dublin_core>





#### 2.3 Create new peer reviewed books / chapters

Submitting a new book starts the same way as submitting a peer review, except that the right collection needs to be selected in the dropdown.

Item submission		
Select a collection		
Collection:		
Books > Imported or submitted locally	~	
Select the collection you wish to submit an item to.           Next           Next		

In the input form, the Peer Review field is presented with a lookup button. The lookup button opens a popup window in which eligible PRs are listed, and can be selected (only 1 possible choice). In our case, since the peer review we created was the first one for this publisher, it is the only one our user has access to.

Peerreview:
Lookup
Lookup peer review information





Peer Review	×				
Searc	Search for a peer review:				
Peer Review Peer review info 0	<ul> <li>review stage: Pre-publication</li> <li>review type: Section</li> <li>open review: No</li> <li>publish responsibility: Publisher</li> <li>id: ff816895-7bb1-4423-9c65-036959676db1</li> <li>anonymity: Single-blind</li> <li>review decision: Yes</li> </ul>				
	• <b>reviewer type:</b> Crowd/open review Add this Peer Review				
Showing 1 results. show m	iore				





Peerreview:	
Peer review info 0	
ю 	
Lookup	
Lookup peer review information	
Peer review ID:	
ff816895-7bD1-4423-9c65-036959676db1	
Peer review decision:	
Yes	
Peer review type:	
Full text	
Section Section	
Peer review anonimity:	
Single-blind	
Peer review reviewer type:	
Crowd/open review Crowd/open review	
Crowd, open review	
Peer review review stage:	
Pre-publication	
Peer review open review:	
No	
Description of the second of the	
Peer review publish responsibility:	
r ubrisitei	
Reviewer identities:	
	Add
If the attached peer review is marked as 'Open review', please fill in this field	
Review(s):	
	Add
If the attached peer review is marked as 'Open review', please fill in this field	
Review comments:	1
	Add
	2
Link to original near raview information:	
	bba
	J

When selected, the form is extended with all PR fields, that will be shown (but disabled since they can't be edited in an individual book record). Three more fields are displayed that are specific to how the current book was reviewed: those can be filled in. If needed, the field "Review comments" can be used to give a more detailed description of the peer review process.

The next step allows the user to submit a full-text file or a link to it. Then, similarly as for PRs, a final step shows an overview of the introduced metadata before the user can validate the submission. Unlike PRs, there is no additional validation workflow step for books, they become immediately visible.





The peer reviewed books / chapters display an icon next to their title, that identified they have been peer reviewed.

Inadvisable Science 🖁	Export citation	doab directory of gen access	Publisher login Supp
Scientific or Editorial Board		000/5	
INIDVISIABLE	This book has been reviewed externally. The Scientific or Editorial Board decides about the publication.	Per Besenders Full Uterations Full Publishers	Our Supporters Search
Scientific entropy Board Edunal per Entropy En	Science; (In)advisibility	Science Stories Ministration (100)	All of DOAB
	Publisher Universitätsverlag Göttingen	STORIES This books has been externally reviewed. Here, the presiding reas were the publisher. The was single-blind.	procedure Subjects
	Publisher website	EXTERNAL	Publishers
	mtp.//www.univenag.uni-geomogen.com		Languages
	2021		Collections
	Classification Physiological & neuro-psychology, biopsychology		MY ACCOUNT
CREATED WITH PULL-OFILIZER COVER PAREN	Pages		Login
Book License	321	Inadvisable Science perfective tool	- Tragister
https://library.oapen.org/bitstream	Review decision Yes	INDYILLABLE Snijder, Ronald (2021) This book has been reviewed externally. The Science concrete Board decides about the p	ublication. Repository m
/1/9789085551201_OA_version.pdf	Review type	JCIERCE	DISCINER
Author(s)	Proposal; Full text	EDITORIAL	Subject
Snijder, Ronald	Anonymity Single-blind	Example of the second s	Literature & lit
Language English	Reviewer tyne	Interest Action	Biography & T
Show full item record	External peer reviewer		Science: gene
	Review stage Post-publication		Humanities (1

When clicked, the icon will show the details about the Peer Review (which can already be seen in the item view, as shown on the capture above).

After at least one book or chapter has been added, that is peer reviewed, for a publisher, the "browse by publisher" view also gets identified with the same "certified by DOAB" icon. Clicking the icon shows the PR details as well.





-9 A B C D E F G H I J K L M N O P Q K S I O V W X Y Z	
Or enter first few letters: Go	
low showing items 1-20 of 490	
Publisher	
Academia Press [37]	
Academia Press / Vantilt [1]	
Academic Studies Press [94]	
Accademia University Press [50]	
ad picturam Fachverlag für kunstwissenschaftliche Literatur e.K. [1]	
African Books Collective [3]	
African Minds [32]	
African Studies Centre Leiden (ASCL) [1]	
Akademie Verlag [1]	
Alliance Athéna [3]	
Alpara [41]	
Amsterdam University of Applied Scient [2]	
Amsterdam University Press review (100) [451]	
Anthony Droop [15]	

African Studies Centre Leiden	Peer Review Information for Amsterdam University Press			
Akademie Verlag [1]				
Alliance Athéna [3]	DOAB has certified the Peer Review Process for 2 Peer Review types:			
Alpara [41]	What - What is being reviewed? Full text     Who - Who conducts the neer review? External neer reviewer			
Amsterdam University of App	How - What is the level of openness? Double-blind			
Amsterdam University Press	When - At what stage is the peer review being conducted? Pre-publication     Peer review is overseen by: Publisher     What - What is being reviewed? Full text			
Anthem Press [15]				
AntropoWeb [3]	Who - Who conducts the peer review? Editorial board member;External peer reviewer     How - What is the level of openpass? Single-blind			
ANU Press [613]	When - At what stage is the peer review being conducted? Pre-publication			
AOSIS [74]	Peer review is overseen by: Publisher			
APOLLON University Press [1]				
Appalachian State University [67]				
Anrase [96]				

On the item view for that same publisher too, next to the publisher name, the icon is displayed and shows the PR details when clicked as well.





## 3 Peer review API

The information about a peer review can be retrieved from an API. The API is available at {DOAB URL}/rest/peerReviews.

Search parameter	Explanation
pUuid	Publisher UUID of a publisher that has been attached to an item that contains peer review information.
pld	Publisher legacy ID of a publisher that has been attached to an item that contains peer review information.
isbn	ISBN linked to an item that contains peer review information.
doi	DOI of an item that contains peer review information.
title	Publisher name of a publisher that can contain multiple peer re- views linked to the publisher.

This endpoint requires ONE of the following search parameters to perform a lookup:





#### 3.1 Example queries:

Query 1: {DOAB URL}/rest/peerReviews?pId=1244 (querying the publisher by its legacy ID):

```
{
  "peerReviews": [{
    "prOverseenBy": "Publisher",
    "prPublished": "No",
    "prConductedBy": ["Editorial board member", "Crowd/open review"],
    "prStage": "Pre-publication",
    "prId": "ff816895-7bb1-4423-9c65-036959676db1",
    "prType": "Single-blind",
    "prObject": ["Full text", "Section"],
    "prIconUrl": "https://dev2.atmire.com/dspace-doab-6/themes/Mirage2/im-
ages/prCertificate.png"
  }],
  "numberOfItems": 1,
  "glossary": {
    "p": "publisher",
    "b": "Book",
    "pr": "Peer Review"
  },
  "publisher": {
    "pId": "1244",
    "pName": "Cambridge University Press"
  }
}
```





Query 2: {DOAB URL}/rest/peerReviews?doi=10.123456/789 (querying the test book above by its DOI):

```
{
  "peerReviews": [{
    "prOverseenBy": "Publisher",
    "prPublished": "No",
    "prConductedBy": ["Editorial board member", "Crowd/open review"],
    "prStage": "Pre-publication",
    "prId": "ff816895-7bb1-4423-9c65-036959676db1",
    "prType": "Single-blind",
    "prObject": ["Full text", "Section"],
    "prIconUrl": "https://dev2.atmire.com/dspace-doab-6/themes/Mirage2/im-
ages/prCertificate.png"
  }],
  "numberOfItems": 1,
  "glossary": {
    "p": "publisher",
    "b": "Book",
    "pr": "Peer Review"
  },
  "publisher": {
    "pId": "c8993eee-bd10-40e1-a40c-2c83dbb9e6bc",
    "pName": "Cambridge University Press"
  },
  "book": {
    "bIsbn": [],
    "bDoi": "10.123456/789",
    "bTitle": "Test book",
    "bUrl": null
  }
}
```

