

\* Linear Estimation:

Defn: Linear Estimator

- The Estimators which are linear functions of the observed obs<sup>ns</sup> are known as Linear Estimators.

\* Concept of Unbiased Estimators of Linear Functions of parameters:

- Sample Mean  $\bar{Y} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n Y_i$  is an unbiased estimator of pop<sup>n</sup> mean  $\mu$ . i.e.,  $\hat{\mu} = \bar{Y}$

which is a linear unbiased estimator.

Linear Models

- $S^2 = \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (Y_i - \bar{Y})^2$  is an unbiased estimator of pop<sup>n</sup> variance  $\sigma^2$  i.e.,  $\hat{\sigma}^2 = S^2$

But  $S^2$  is not a linear estimator but a quadratic estimator of pop<sup>n</sup> Var.  $\sigma^2$ .

- If we have more than one unbiased linear estimator then to select the best estimator we use the concept of BLUE (Best Linear Unbiased Estimator)

- The principle which is used to make this selection is to choose that linear estimator from among all unbiased linear estimators which has the smallest variance.

- The Sampling dist' of that estimator will have the maximum concentration around the unknown true parametric function. Such an estimator is known as Minimum Variance Unbiased Linear Estimator or BLUE.
- This Minimum Variance Unbiased Linear Estimator have variances and covariances which again lead themselves to unbiased estimation.
- The Minimum Variance approach to the estimation of the parameters in the linear model was given by Markov (1900).
- The Least Square Method which is a Practical Method of estimating unknown parameter in a linear model was published independently by Gauss (1809) and Legendre (1806) in book on astronomical problems. The combined results is the famous Gauss - Markov Theorem.

### \* Gauss - Markov Linear Model:

Consider a set of 'n' independent r.v's  $y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n$  with a common Variance  $\sigma^2$  whose expectation are linear functions with known coefficients ( $a_{ij}$ 's) of p unknown parameters  $\beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_p$  ( $p < n$ )

Thus,

$$E(y_i) = a_{i1}\beta_1 + a_{i2}\beta_2 + \dots + a_{ip}\beta_p$$

$$\text{Var}(y_i) = \sigma^2 \quad \forall i = 1, 2, \dots, n \quad \} \quad (4)$$

$$\text{Cov}(y_i, y_j) = 0 \quad , \quad i \neq j$$

Eq<sup>n</sup> (4) is called the Gauss-Markov Linear Model.

Eq<sup>n</sup> (2) can be represented in matrix form as

$$\text{Let } \underline{Y} = \begin{pmatrix} Y_1 \\ Y_2 \\ \vdots \\ Y_n \end{pmatrix}, \underline{\beta} = \begin{pmatrix} \beta_1 \\ \beta_2 \\ \vdots \\ \beta_p \end{pmatrix}$$

and matrix of the known Coefficient as

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & \cdots & a_{1p} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & \cdots & a_{2p} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ a_{n1} & a_{n2} & \cdots & a_{np} \end{pmatrix}$$

Eq<sup>n</sup> (2) can be written as

$$E(\underline{Y}) = A\underline{\beta} \quad (2)$$

$$D(\underline{Y}) = \sigma^2 I \quad (3)$$

where  $D(\underline{Y})$  stands for Dispersion Matrix and  $I$  is the identity matrix of order  $n$ .

An alternative way of representing eq<sup>n</sup> (2) is using column vector  $\underline{e}$  of independent errors  $e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n$  as

$$E(\underline{Y}) = A\underline{\beta} + \underline{e} \quad (3)$$

where  $\underline{0}$  is a null vector

The unknown parameters  $\beta_j$ 's in the Model are called effects.

### \* Fixed Effect Model:

In linear estimation, the effects are all fixed quantities (parameters) and such a model where all effects are unknown parameters is called Fixed

517125

Effect Model or Model I. Sometimes, one of the  $\beta_j$ 's is a constant with  $a_{ij} = 1$  for that  $j$  and all  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ . Such an effect is called General Effect or an additive constant.

### \* Random Effect Model:

- If effects are random in a model then it is called Random Effect Model.

### \* Mixed Effect Model:

- If some effects are fixed and some effects are random then it is called Mixed Effect Model.
- Gauss Markov Linear Model may be classified into three broad areas depending on the nature of the values taken by coefficient  $a_{ij}$ 's.

→ Model in which  $a_{ij}$ 's are indicator variables taking values 1 or 0 then such a model is called ANOVA Model.

→ A Model in which  $a_{ij}$ 's takes the values as indep. variables then we have a Regression model.

→ A Model in which  $a_{ij}$ 's take indicator as well as indep. variable then the model is Analysis of Covariance Model.

5/7/25

PAGE NO.:  
DATE: / /

## \* Concept of Estimable funct's is due to R.C. Bose

Defn.: A linear funct<sup>n</sup>s of the parameters  $\beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_p$  is called a Parametric funct<sup>n</sup>.

Thus  $\underline{l}^T \underline{\beta} = l_1 \beta_1 + l_2 \beta_2 + \dots + l_p \beta_p = \underline{l}' \underline{\beta}$  is a parametric funct<sup>n</sup> where  $\underline{l}' = (l_1, l_2, \dots, l_p)$  is known.

Defn.: An estimable funct<sup>n</sup> is a parametric funct<sup>n</sup>  $\underline{l}' \underline{\beta}$  for which an unbiased linear estimator exists.

Thus if  $\exists$  a vector  $\underline{c} = (c_1, c_2, \dots, c_n)'$  of constants  $\Rightarrow E(\underline{c}' \underline{y}) = \underline{l}' \underline{\beta}$  indep. of the parameters then the parametric funct<sup>n</sup>  $\underline{l}' \underline{\beta}$  is such to be linearly estimable or to be an estimable funct<sup>n</sup>.

Theorem (1) : A necessary and sufficient condition for a parametric funct<sup>n</sup> or linear parametric funct<sup>n</sup>  $\underline{l}' \underline{\beta}$  of the parameters to be linearly estimable is

$\text{rank } (\underline{A}) = \text{rank } (\underline{l}' \underline{A})$  where  $(\underline{l}' \underline{A})$  is the matrix obtained from  $\underline{A}$  by adjoining the row vector  $\underline{l}'$ .

Proof : From the defn, we see that  $\underline{l}' \underline{\beta}$  is estimable iff  $\exists$  a vector of constants  $\underline{c} \Rightarrow$

$$E[\underline{c}' \underline{y}] = \underline{l}' \underline{\beta} + \underline{\beta}$$

$$\text{But } E[\underline{c}' \underline{y}] = \underline{c}' E(\underline{y}) = \underline{c}' \underline{A} \underline{\beta}$$

So  $\underline{l}' \underline{\beta}$  is estimable iff  $\underline{c}' \underline{A} \underline{\beta} = \underline{l}' \underline{\beta}$  identically in  $\underline{\beta}$  iff  $\underline{c}' \underline{A} = \underline{l}'$  — (i) — (\*)

To find an unbiased estimator for  $\underline{l}' \underline{\beta}$  we have to solve (i) for an unknown vector  $\underline{c}$



And the condition for existence of eq (i) is  
 $\text{rank}(A) = \text{rank} \begin{pmatrix} A \\ l' \end{pmatrix}$

Corollary (1): If  $\text{rank}(A) = p$  every linear function of the parameters is linearly estimable.

Proof :- Whatever may be  $\underline{l}'\beta$  giving parametric funct'

$$\text{rank} \begin{pmatrix} A \\ \underline{l}' \end{pmatrix} \geq \text{rank}(A)$$

But  $\text{rank} \begin{pmatrix} A \\ \underline{l}' \end{pmatrix}$  cannot exceed  $p$ , and

Since  $\begin{pmatrix} A \\ \underline{l}' \end{pmatrix}$  has only 'p' columns.

Hence if  $\text{rank}(A) = p$ , then

$$\text{rank} \begin{pmatrix} A \\ \underline{l}' \end{pmatrix} = \text{rank}(A) \text{ so by thm (1)}$$

every  $\underline{l}'\beta$  is estimable.

Corollary (2): Every linearly estimable parametric funct' is of the form  $\underline{b}'A\beta$  or

$$b_1 E(y_1) + b_2 E(y_2) + \dots + b_n E(y_n) \text{ where } \underline{b}' = (b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n)$$

Proof :- If  $\underline{l}'\beta$  is estimable then by (\*)  $\underline{l}'$  is linearly dependent on the rows of  $A$ , so that  $\underline{l}'$  is of the form  $\underline{b}'A$ .

$$\text{Hence } \underline{l}'\beta = \underline{b}'A\beta$$

Def

Theorem

Theorem

Proof

(m.v)

Def<sup>n</sup> :- A linear funct<sup>n</sup> of  $y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n$  is said to belong to error iff the expectation vanishes (becomes zero) independently of the parameters.

- If  $d' = (d_1, d_2, \dots, d_n)$ , then  $d'y$  belongs to error iff

$$E(d'y) = d'E(y) = d'A\beta = 0 \quad \forall \beta$$

i.e. iff  $d'A = 0$  or  $A'd = 0$

Theorem (2) :- A necessary and sufficient condition for the linear funct<sup>n</sup>  $d'y$  to belong to error is that  $d'$  is orthogonal to the vector space  $v(A')$  generated by the row vectors of  $A'$ .

- The vector space  $v(B)$  which is orthogonal to the space  $v(A')$  is called the Error space and
- The vector space  $v(A')$  which contains the coefficient vectors of the best estimators of estimable functions is known as Estimation Space.

Theorem (3) :- If  $\underline{l}'\beta$  is any estimable linear funct<sup>n</sup> of the parameters  $\beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_p$  then

(i)  $\exists$  a unique linear funct<sup>n</sup>  $c'y$  of the r.v's  $y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n \Rightarrow c \in v(A')$  and  $E(c'y) = \underline{l}'\beta$

(ii)  $\text{Var}(c'y)$  is less than the var of any other linear unbiased estimator of  $\underline{l}'\beta$ .

Proof :- (i) Since  $\underline{l}'\beta$  is estimable,  $\exists$  a linear funct<sup>n</sup>  $b'y$  of r.v's  $\Rightarrow E(b'y) = \underline{l}'\beta$

Now we can uniquely resolve  $\underline{b}'$  into  $\underline{c}'$  and  $\underline{d}' \Rightarrow \underline{c}' \in V(A')$  and  $\underline{d}' \in V(B)$  which is orthogonal to  $V(A')$ .

Hence  $\underline{b}'y = \underline{c}'y + \underline{d}'y$

where  $\underline{d}'y$  belongs to error.

Also,

$$\begin{aligned} E(\underline{b}'y) &= E(\underline{c}'y) + E(\underline{d}'y) \\ \Rightarrow E(\underline{c}'y) &= E(\underline{b}'y) = \underline{l}'\underline{\beta} \\ (\because E(\underline{d}'y)) &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $\exists$  a linear funct<sup>n</sup>  $\underline{c}'y$  with  $\underline{c}' \in V(A')$

$$\Rightarrow E(\underline{c}'y) = \underline{l}'\underline{\beta}$$

Now to show that this is unique.

If possible let there be another row vector  $\underline{c}' \in V(A') \Rightarrow E(\underline{c}'y) = \underline{l}'\underline{\beta}$

Define the row vector  $\underline{c}'_1 = \underline{c}' - \underline{c}'_0$

$$\text{Then } E(\underline{c}'_1y) = E(\underline{c}'y) - E(\underline{c}'_0y)$$

Thus  $\underline{c}'_1$  belongs to error space and being a linear combination  $\underline{c}'$  and  $\underline{c}'_0$  also belongs to estimation space but this is impossible unless  $\underline{c}'_1$  is a null vector has non-null vector cannot lie into two orthogonal space.

$\underline{c}'_1$  is a null vector implying  $\underline{c}' = \underline{c}'_0$ . Thus  $\underline{c}'$  which lies in  $V(A')$  for which  $E(\underline{c}'y) = \underline{l}'\underline{\beta}$

(ii) Let  $\underline{b}'y$  be any arbitrary unbiased linear estimator of  $\underline{l}'\underline{\beta}$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \text{then } \text{Var}(\underline{b}'y) &= \underline{b}' \text{Var}(y) \underline{b} \\ &= \underline{b}'' \sigma^2 \underline{b} \end{aligned}$$

Proof:

Corollary

Proof:

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= (\underline{c}' + \underline{d}') (\underline{c}^* + \underline{d}) \sigma^2 \\
 &= \underline{c}' \underline{c} \sigma^2 + \underline{d}' \underline{d} \sigma^2 \\
 &= \text{Var}(\underline{c}' \underline{y}) + \text{Var}(\underline{d}' \underline{y})
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\text{Var}(\underline{b}' \underline{y}) \geq \text{Var}(\underline{c}' \underline{y})$$

and equality holds iff  $\text{Var}(\underline{d}' \underline{y}) = 0$   
i.e.  $\underline{d}' \underline{d} = 0$  or  $\underline{d}'$  is a null vector.

$\Rightarrow \underline{c}' \underline{y}$  has minimum variance.

Corollary - The best estimator of any estimable func'

$\underline{l}' \underline{B}$  must be of the form  $\underline{q}' \underline{A}' \underline{y}$  where

$\underline{q}' = (q_1, q_2, \dots, q_p)$  is a row vector and  
satisfies the eqn  $\underline{q}' \underline{A}' \underline{A} = \underline{l}'$

Proof - The coefficient vector  $\underline{c}'$  of the best estimator lies in  $V(\underline{A}')$

Hence  $\underline{c}' = \underline{q}' \underline{A}'$  for a suitable  $\underline{q}'$  and the best estimator is of the form  $\underline{c}' \underline{y} = \underline{q}' \underline{A}' \underline{y}$

Since  $E(\underline{q}' \underline{A}' \underline{y})$  must be  $\underline{l}' \underline{B}$

$$\Rightarrow \underline{q}' \underline{A}' \underline{A} = \underline{l}'$$

Corollary - Let  $\underline{l}_i' \underline{B}$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$  be 'k' estimable parametric func' and let  $T_i = \underline{c}_i' \underline{y}$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$  be their estimators. Then the best estimator of  $\sum b_i \underline{l}_i' \underline{B}$  is  $T = \sum b_i T_i$ .

Proof:

$$E(T) = E\left(\sum b_i T_i\right)$$

$$= \sum b_i E(T_i)$$

$$= \sum b_i E(\underline{c}_i' \underline{y})$$

$$= \sum b_i \underline{l}_i' \underline{B}$$

$T_i$  is an unbiased estimator of  $\sum b_i \underline{l}_i' \underline{B}$ .

## \* Least Square Estimators :

- Let  $b_1, b_2, \dots, b_p$  denotes any set of  $p$  known quantities which can be used as estimates of  $\beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_p$ .  
 $\hat{\beta}_1 = b_1, \dots, \hat{\beta}_p = b_p$ .

Def : A set of measurable funct<sup>n</sup>  $\underline{y}$ , say  $\hat{\beta} = \hat{\beta}(\underline{y})$ ,  $\hat{\beta}_2 = \hat{\beta}_2(\underline{y}), \dots, \hat{\beta}_p = \hat{\beta}_p(\underline{y})$  such that the values  $\hat{\beta}_1, \hat{\beta}_2, \dots, \hat{\beta}_p$  minimize the sum of squares of the deviation  $y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n$  from their expectation i.e.  $E(y_i)$

i.e.  $S = (\underline{y} - A\underline{\beta})'(\underline{y} - A\underline{\beta})$  is called a set of least square estimators of the known parameters  $\beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_p$  of the linear model.

## \* Least Square Estimators and Normal eqns :

$$S = [\underline{y} - A\underline{\beta}]'[\underline{y} - A\underline{\beta}]$$

To show that the minimum value of  $S$  is attained when  $\hat{\beta}$  is a sol<sup>n</sup> of a set of eq<sup>ns</sup> which are called Normal eq<sup>ns</sup>.

$$\text{we have } \underline{\beta}' A' \underline{y} = \underline{y}' A \underline{\beta} = \sum_{\alpha, j} a_{\alpha j} \beta_j y_{\alpha}; j=1, 2, \dots, p \\ \alpha = 1, 2, \dots, n$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{d\beta_j} (\underline{\beta}' A' \underline{y}) &= \frac{d}{d\beta_j} (\underline{y}' A \underline{\beta}) \\ &= \sum_{\alpha, j} a_{\alpha j} y_{\alpha} = \boxed{\underline{d}' \underline{y}} \end{aligned}$$

where  $d_1, d_2, \dots, d_p$  are the column vectors of  $A$ .

$$\text{Let } A'A = C = (c_{ij})$$

where  $C$  is a symmetric matrix of order  $p$ .

Now,

$$\underline{\beta}' A' A \underline{\beta} = \underline{\beta}' C \underline{\beta} = (\sum c_{ij}) \beta_i \beta_j ; i, j = 1, 2, \dots, p$$

Hence,

$$\frac{d}{d \beta_j} (\underline{\beta}' A' A \underline{\beta}) = \frac{d}{d \beta_j} (\underline{\beta}' C \underline{\beta})$$

$$= \sum_i c_{ij} \beta_i \quad \text{and}$$

$$= 2 C'_j \underline{\beta}$$

where

$$C'_j = (c_{1j}, c_{2j}, \dots, c_{pj})$$

$$S = [\underline{y} - A \underline{\beta}]' [\underline{y} - A \underline{\beta}]$$

$$\frac{\partial S}{\partial \beta} = 0$$

$$S = [\underline{y}' \underline{y} - 2 \underline{y}' A \underline{\beta} - A' \underline{\beta}' \underline{y} + A' \underline{\beta}' A \underline{\beta}]$$

$$\frac{\partial S}{\partial \beta} = 0 \Rightarrow 0 - 2 \frac{\partial}{\partial \beta_j} (\underline{\beta}' A' \underline{y}) + \frac{\partial}{\partial \beta_j} (\underline{\beta}' A' A \underline{\beta}) = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow -2 \underline{d}'_j \underline{y} + 2 C'_j \underline{\beta} = 0 ; j = 1, 2, \dots, p$$

$$\Rightarrow -\underline{d}'_j \underline{y} + C'_j \underline{\beta} = 0 \quad (*)$$

Eqn (\*) are normal eqns and are equivalent to

$$\left. \begin{aligned} A' A \underline{\beta} &= A' \underline{y} \\ \text{or} \end{aligned} \right\} \quad (**)$$

$$C \underline{\beta} = A' \underline{y}$$

$$\text{where } C = A' A$$

The normal eq<sup>ns</sup> always admit a solution since  $\underline{A}'\underline{y}$  lies in the vector space generated by the columns of  $\underline{C}$ .

Let  $\hat{\underline{\beta}}$  be a sol<sup>ns</sup> of these eq<sup>ns</sup>  
 $\hat{\underline{\beta}} = (\underline{A}'\underline{A})^{-1}\underline{A}'\underline{y}$

NOTE :- Every solution of the normal eq<sup>ns</sup> is a set of least square estimators and every set of least square estimators satisfies the normal equations.

Result :- The sol<sup>n</sup> of normal eq<sup>ns</sup>  $\underline{\beta} = \hat{\underline{\beta}}$  gives an extreme value of  $S$ , and thus extreme value is the minimum value of  $S$ .  
 ie  $\underline{\beta} = \hat{\underline{\beta}}$  minimizes  $S$ .

Proof :- Consider  $(\underline{y} - \underline{A}\underline{\beta})'(\underline{y} - \underline{A}\underline{\beta})$

$$= (\underline{y} - \underline{A}\hat{\underline{\beta}} + \underline{A}(\hat{\underline{\beta}} - \underline{\beta}))'(\underline{y} - \underline{A}\hat{\underline{\beta}} + \underline{A}(\hat{\underline{\beta}} - \underline{\beta}))$$

$$= (\underline{y} - \underline{A}\hat{\underline{\beta}})'(\underline{y} - \underline{A}\hat{\underline{\beta}}) + (\hat{\underline{\beta}} - \underline{\beta})'\underline{A}'\underline{A}(\hat{\underline{\beta}} - \underline{\beta})$$

$$\geq (\underline{y} - \underline{A}\hat{\underline{\beta}})'(\underline{y} - \underline{A}\hat{\underline{\beta}}) \quad \text{--- (***)}$$

$\therefore$  Since the quadratic form  $[\underline{A}(\hat{\underline{\beta}} - \underline{\beta})'][\underline{A}(\hat{\underline{\beta}} - \underline{\beta})]$  cannot be negative)

The equality holds only when  $\underline{\beta} = \hat{\underline{\beta}}$   
 $\Rightarrow \underline{\beta} = \hat{\underline{\beta}}$  minimizes  $S$ .

Further if  $\underline{\beta}$  and  $\hat{\underline{\beta}}$  are any two sol<sup>ns</sup> of (\*) then  
 $(\underline{y} - A\underline{\hat{\beta}})'(\underline{y} - A\underline{\hat{\beta}}) = (\underline{y} - A\underline{\hat{\beta}})'(\underline{y} - A\underline{\hat{\beta}})$   
 this along with (\*\*) show that every sol<sup>ns</sup> of  
 the normal eq<sup>ns</sup> is a set of LS. estimators.

### \* Gauss-Markov Theorem

The best estimator of the estimable linear funct<sup>ns</sup>  
 $\underline{l}'\underline{\beta}$  of the parameters is  $\underline{l}'\hat{\underline{\beta}}$  where  $\hat{\underline{\beta}}_1, \hat{\underline{\beta}}_2, \dots, \hat{\underline{\beta}}_p$   
 are a set of LSE of  $\underline{\beta}_1, \underline{\beta}_2, \dots, \underline{\beta}_p$ .

In other words, LSE of  $\underline{l}'\underline{\beta}$  is identical with min.  
 variance linear unbiased estimator of  $\underline{l}'\underline{\beta}$ .

Proof: From Corollary (1) we have BLUE of  $\underline{l}'\underline{\beta}$ .

$$\underline{l}'\underline{\beta} = q' A' \underline{y} = q' A' A \hat{\underline{\beta}} = \underline{l}' \hat{\underline{\beta}}$$

Since from the normal eq<sup>ns</sup> we have

$A' A \hat{\underline{\beta}} = A' \underline{y}$  and  $\underline{l}' \hat{\underline{\beta}} = q' A' \underline{y}$

$\underline{l}' \hat{\underline{\beta}}$  is the same for all LSE of  $\hat{\underline{\beta}}$  of  $\underline{\beta}$   
 obtained by solving Normal eq<sup>n</sup>.

### \* Variance and Covariance of LSE:

The normal eq<sup>ns</sup> are given by

$$A' A \underline{\beta} = A' \underline{y}$$

$$\text{Let } A' A = C$$

$$\Rightarrow C \underline{\beta} = \underline{q} \quad \text{where } \underline{q} = A' \underline{y} \text{ (say)}$$

$$E(\underline{A}' \underline{y}) = A' \underline{\beta}$$

$$E(\underline{A}' \underline{y}) = E(\underline{q}) = A' E(\underline{y}) = A' A \underline{\beta} \\ = E(\underline{q}) = E(\underline{q})$$

$$\Rightarrow E(\underline{\beta}) = C\underline{\beta}$$

$$E(\underline{q}) = \underline{q}$$

Let  $\bar{C}^{-1}$  be a g-inverse of  $C$  then a sol' of Normal eq's is given by

$$\hat{\underline{\beta}} = \bar{C}\underline{q}$$

$$D(\hat{\underline{\beta}}) = D(\bar{C}\underline{q})$$

$$D(\underline{q}) = D(C\underline{\beta}) = D(A'\underline{y})$$

$$= A'D(\underline{y})A$$

$$= A'A\sigma^2$$

$$D(\hat{\underline{\beta}}) = D(\bar{C}\underline{q}) = (\bar{C})D(\underline{q})(\bar{C})'$$

$$= (\bar{C} \cdot C)\sigma^2 \bar{C}$$

$$= \sigma^2 \bar{C} = [\sigma^2 (A'A)]$$

Theorem: If  $\underline{l}_1'\hat{\underline{\beta}}$  and  $\underline{l}_2'\hat{\underline{\beta}}$  LSE of two estimable fun'  $\underline{l}_1'\underline{\beta}$  and  $\underline{l}_2'\underline{\beta}$  respectively then

$$\text{Var}(\underline{l}_1'\hat{\underline{\beta}}) = \sigma^2 \underline{l}_1' \bar{C} \underline{l}_1$$

$$\text{Cov}(\underline{l}_1'\hat{\underline{\beta}}, \underline{l}_2'\hat{\underline{\beta}}) = \sigma^2 \underline{l}_1' \bar{C} \underline{l}_2$$

where  $\bar{C}$  is a g-inverse of  $C = A'A$

$$\text{Proof: } \text{Var}(\underline{l}_1'\hat{\underline{\beta}}) = \underline{l}_1' \text{Var}(\hat{\underline{\beta}}) \underline{l}_1 = [\sigma^2 \underline{l}_1' \bar{C} \underline{l}_1]$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Cov}(\underline{l}_1'\hat{\underline{\beta}}, \underline{l}_2'\hat{\underline{\beta}}) &= \underline{l}_1' \text{Cov}(\hat{\underline{\beta}}, \hat{\underline{\beta}}) \underline{l}_2 \\ &= \underline{l}_1' \text{Var}(\hat{\underline{\beta}}) \underline{l}_2 \end{aligned}$$

$$= [\sigma^2 \underline{l}_1' \bar{C} \underline{l}_2]$$

Remark

Remark: If  $(A'A) = C$  is a non-singular matrix then  
 $\text{rank}(A) = p$

$\Rightarrow \bar{c}'$  exists and a unique sol<sup>n</sup> of  $\underline{\beta} = \hat{\underline{\beta}}$  is obtained  
 $\Rightarrow \hat{\underline{\beta}}^* = (A'A)^{-1} A' \underline{y}$

$$\begin{aligned} \therefore E(\hat{\underline{\beta}}) &= E[(A'A)^{-1} A' \underline{y}] \\ &= (A'A)^{-1} A' E(\underline{y}) \\ &= (A'A)^{-1} (A'A) \underline{\beta} \end{aligned}$$

$$E(\hat{\underline{\beta}}) = \underline{\beta}$$

$$\begin{aligned} D(\hat{\underline{\beta}}) &= D[(A'A)^{-1} A' \underline{y}] \\ &= [(A'A)^{-1} A']' D(\underline{y}) [A'(A'A)^{-1}] \\ &= ((A'A)^{-1} A')' \sigma^2 (A'A)^{-1} A' \\ &= (A'A)^{-1} \sigma^2 \quad (\text{since } A'A \text{ is symmetric}) \end{aligned}$$

estimable function

\* Linear Estimation with linear restrictions on parameters:

- When the parameters are subject to a set of consistent linear restrictions  $\underline{P}' \underline{\beta} = \underline{L}$  with  $\text{rank}(P) = P_s$ , the appropriate linear model is

$$\left. \begin{aligned} E(\underline{y}) &= A \underline{\beta} \\ D(\underline{y}) &= \sigma^2 I \\ \underline{P}' \underline{\beta} &= \underline{L} \end{aligned} \right\}$$

In this case there are two method to estimate the parameters. First we eliminate some of parameters in the obs<sup>n</sup>. eq<sup>n</sup> with the help of eq<sup>n</sup> in linear restrictions and obtained a different

set of observational eqns with less parameters and having no restrictions on these fewer parameters.

This case is then similar to model

$$\begin{aligned} E(\underline{y}) &= \underline{A}\underline{\beta} \\ D(\underline{y}) &= \sigma^2 I \end{aligned}$$

and in this approach, let  $\underline{\beta}_0 + F\underline{\beta}^*$  be a general soln of  $\underline{P}'\underline{P} = L$  where  $\underline{\beta}_0$  is a particular soln and  $\underline{P}'F = 0$ .

$\underline{\beta}^*$  being the arbitrary vector of fewer parameters (s in no.) with  $\underline{z} = \underline{y} - \underline{A}\underline{\beta}_0$ .

$$E(\underline{z}) = \underline{A}F\underline{\beta}^*$$

$$D(\underline{z}) = \sigma^2 I$$

Thus with  $\underline{z}$  the model reduces to the original model with fewer parameters  $\underline{\beta}_0, \underline{\beta}^*, \dots, \underline{\beta}_s^*$  and no restrictions.

To estimate,

$$\underline{P}'\underline{P} = \underline{P}'(\underline{\beta}_0 + F\underline{\beta}^*) = \underline{P}'\underline{\beta}_0 + \underline{P}'F\underline{\beta}^*$$

we need to consider only  $\underline{P}'F\underline{\beta}^*$ .

Second approach is to minimize the S.S.

$(\underline{y} - \underline{A}\underline{\beta})'(\underline{y} - \underline{A}\underline{\beta})$  such that the conditions  $\underline{P}'\underline{P} = L$  using a lagrangian multiplier  $\lambda$ .

$$S^2 = (\underline{y} - \underline{A}\underline{\beta})'(\underline{y} - \underline{A}\underline{\beta}) + \lambda(\underline{P}'\underline{\beta} - L)$$

The normal eqns are

$$S^2 = (\underline{y}'\underline{y} - \underline{y}'\underline{A}\underline{\beta} - \underline{\beta}'\underline{A}'\underline{y} + \underline{\beta}'\underline{A}'\underline{A}\underline{\beta} + \lambda(\underline{P}'\underline{\beta} - L))$$

$$\frac{\partial S^2}{\partial \beta} = 0 \rightarrow 2 \underline{A}' \underline{A} \underline{\beta} + \underline{P}' \underline{\lambda} - 2 \underline{A}' \underline{y} = 0$$

$$\underline{A}' \underline{A} \underline{\beta} + \underline{P}' \underline{\lambda} = \underline{A}' \underline{y}$$

$$\frac{\partial S^2}{\partial \lambda} = 0 \Rightarrow \underline{P}' \underline{\beta} = \underline{L}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} \underline{A}' \underline{A} & \underline{P} \\ \underline{P}' & \underline{O} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \underline{\beta} \\ \underline{\lambda} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \underline{A}' \underline{y} \\ \underline{L} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\Rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} \hat{\underline{\beta}} \\ \hat{\underline{\lambda}} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \underline{A}' \underline{A} & \underline{P} \\ \underline{P}' & \underline{O} \end{pmatrix}^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} \underline{A}' \underline{y} \\ \underline{L} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\Rightarrow \hat{\underline{\beta}} = (\underline{A}' \underline{A})^{-1} \underline{A}' \underline{y} + \underline{A}' \underline{P}^{-1} \underline{L}$$

$$\hat{\underline{\lambda}} = (\underline{P}')^{-1} \underline{A}' \underline{y}$$

### \* Linear Estimation with correlated variables :-

- We consider a model, it is more general than the Gauss - Markov Linear Model.

$$E(\underline{y}) = \underline{A} \underline{\beta} \quad \text{--- (1)}$$

$$D(\underline{y}) = \sigma^2 \underline{B} \quad \text{--- (2)}$$

where  $\sigma^2$  is an unknown positive constant and  $B$  is a known matrix ( $B$  is symmetrical and we assume that  $|B| \neq 0$ ).

- The above model can be reduced to the original Gauss - Markov model as follows:-

Since ' $B$ ' is assumed to be non-singular matrix of order ' $n$ ' & a ns. matrix  $H$  of order ' $n$ '  $\Rightarrow H' B H = I$

Then we consider the transformation

$$\underline{y}^* = H' \underline{y}$$

$$E(\underline{y}^*) = E(H' \underline{y}) = H'E(\underline{y}) = H'A\underline{\beta} = A^* \underline{\beta} \text{ (say)}$$

$$\begin{aligned} D(\underline{y}^*) &= D(H' \underline{y}) = H'D(\underline{y})H \\ &= H'\sigma^2 B H \\ &= \sigma^2 I \end{aligned}$$
(2)

There exist a linear transformation which ~~requires~~ reduces the case of correlated variable to the earlier model with the matrix of coefficient

$$A^* = H'A$$

$$\text{and } \text{rank}(A^*) = \text{rank}(H'A) = \text{rank}(A)$$

So all the results for model (2) (the original model) will also be true above model.

Remark: However it is simple to used the actual obs<sup>n</sup>  $(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n)$  instead of transformed obs<sup>n</sup>  $(y_1^*, y_2^*, \dots, y_n^*)$

LSE is found by minimising the following S.S in terms of transformed variables

$$(\underline{y}^* - A\underline{\beta}^*)' (\underline{y}^* - A\underline{\beta}^*)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Since } (\underline{y}^* - A\underline{\beta}^*) &= H' \underline{y} - H'A\underline{\beta} \\ &= H'(\underline{y} - A\underline{\beta}) \text{ and } HH' = B^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

we have,

$$(\underline{y} - A\underline{\beta})' HH' (\underline{y} - A\underline{\beta})$$

$$= (\underline{y} - A\underline{\beta})' B^{-1} (\underline{y} - A\underline{\beta}) \text{ with } B^{-1} = H^T$$

The above model can be expressed as

$$\sum_{i,j} b_{ij}^2 (y_i - a_{i1}\beta_1 - a_{i2}\beta_2 - \dots - a_{ip}\beta_p)$$

$$x (y_j - a_{j1}\beta_1 - a_{j2}\beta_2 - \dots - a_{jp}\beta_p)$$

is called the Weighted Sum of Squares.

- (2) - LSE of  $\beta^*$  are then found by minimizing the weighted S.S. In the case of the correlated variables

Remarks :- If the variables are uncorrelated but do not

- (i) have a constant variance  $\sigma^2$  then  $B$  will be a diagonal matrix with say  $b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n$  as diagonal elements. Here  $b_j$  will be proportional to the  $\text{Var}(y_j)$ .

The weighted S.S. in this case, in order to get LSE of  $\beta$  is

$$\sum (y_i - a_{i1}\beta_1 - a_{i2}\beta_2 - \dots - a_{ip}\beta_p)^2 / b_i$$

If all  $b_i$  are equal i.e. case of constant

variance, the model reduces original Gauss-Markov Model.

18/7/25

(3)

Let  $y_1, y_2, y_3$  be an independent r.v. with a common variance  $\sigma^2$  and  $E(y_1) = \theta_1 + \theta_3$ ,

$E(y_2) = \theta_1 + 2\theta_2 + \theta_3$ ,  $E(y_3) = \theta_1 + \theta_2$ , obtain

LSE of  $\theta_1, \theta_2, \theta_3$

→

$$E(\underline{y}) = A\underline{\theta}$$

$$D(\underline{y}) = \sigma^2 I$$

( $\because$  Normal eqn)

$$(A'A)\hat{\beta} = A'y$$

$$\hat{\theta} = (A'A)^{-1}A'y$$

$$(A'A)^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}^{-1}$$

$$= \frac{1}{8} \begin{bmatrix} 4 & -2 \\ -2 & 4 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1/2 & -1/4 \\ -1/4 & 1/2 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$(A'A)^{-1} A'y = \begin{bmatrix} 1/2 & -1/4 \\ -1/4 & 1/2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} y_1 \\ y_2 \\ y_3 \\ y_4 \\ y_5 \\ y_6 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} \hat{\theta}_1 \\ \hat{\theta}_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1/2 & -1/4 \\ -1/4 & 1/2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} y_1 + y_2 + y_5 + y_6 \\ y_3 + y_4 + y_5 + y_6 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\hat{\theta}_1 = \frac{1}{2} [(y_1 + y_2 + y_5 + y_6) - \frac{1}{2} (y_3 + y_4 + y_5 + y_6)]$$

$$\hat{\theta}_2 = \frac{1}{2} [-(y_1 + y_2 + y_5 + y_6) + \frac{1}{2} (y_3 + y_4 + y_5 + y_6)]$$

- (3)  $y_1, y_2, y_3$  are uncorrelated random variables with common variance  $\sigma^2$  and  $E(y_1) = \theta_1 + \theta_2$ ,  $E(y_2) = \theta_1 + \theta_3$ ,  $E(y_3) = \theta_1 + \theta_2$ . Show that  $\theta_1 \theta_1 + \theta_2 \theta_2 + \theta_3 \theta_3$  is estimable iff  $\theta_1 = \theta_2 + \theta_3$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \theta_1 + 2\theta_2 + \theta_3 \\
 & \sqrt{(\theta_1 - 2\theta_2 + 3\theta_3)} \\
 & \sqrt{(\theta_1 + 4\theta_2 + 9\theta_3)} \\
 & = \sigma^2 + 4\sigma^2 + 9\sigma^2 = 14\sigma^2
 \end{aligned}$$

PAGE NO.:

DATE: / /

$$\rightarrow \underline{l} = \begin{pmatrix} l_1 \\ l_2 \\ l_3 \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \underline{y} = \begin{pmatrix} y_1 \\ y_2 \\ y_3 \end{pmatrix}, A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

According to Thm,

 $\underline{l}' \underline{\theta}$  is estimable iff  $\text{rank}(A') = \text{rank}(A)$ 

$$\text{rank}(A'A) = \text{rank}(A'A, \underline{l})$$

$$A'A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 3 & 2 & 1 \\ 2 & 2 & 0 \\ 2 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$(A'A, \underline{l}) = \begin{pmatrix} 3 & 2 & 1 & l_1 \\ 2 & 2 & 0 & l_2 \\ 2 & 0 & 1 & l_3 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$3R_3 - R_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -2 & 2 & 3l_3 - l_1 \\ 2 & 2 & 0 & l_2 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & l_3 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$2R_3 - R_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -2 & 2 & 3l_3 - l_1 \\ 0 & -2 & 2 & 2l_3 - l_2 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & l_3 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$R_2 - R_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & l_1 - l_2 - l_3 \\ 0 & -2 & 2 & l_1 - 2l_3 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & l_3 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\text{rank}(A'A, \underline{l}) = \boxed{2}$$

## \* Simultaneous estimation of Parametric functions:

Consider the general step  $(\underline{y}, \underline{x}\underline{\beta}, \Sigma)$ , with or without restrictions on the parameter  $\underline{\beta}$ .

Let  $\underline{P}_1'\hat{\underline{\beta}}, \underline{P}_2'\hat{\underline{\beta}}, \dots, \underline{P}_k'\hat{\underline{\beta}}$  be the individual LSE of the parametric functions  $\underline{P}_1'\underline{\beta}, \underline{P}_2'\underline{\beta}, \dots, \underline{P}_k'\underline{\beta}$ . Let  $A$  be the dispersion matrix of the estimators  $\underline{P}_1'\hat{\underline{\beta}}, \underline{P}_2'\hat{\underline{\beta}}, \dots, \underline{P}_k'\hat{\underline{\beta}}$ . Then we have the following optimum property of LSE.

- (i) Let  $\underline{L}_1'\underline{y}, \underline{L}_2'\underline{y}, \dots, \underline{L}_k'\underline{y}$  be any unbiased estimators of  $\underline{P}_1'\underline{\beta}, \underline{P}_2'\underline{\beta}, \dots, \underline{P}_k'\underline{\beta}$  and let the dispersion matrix of estimators be  $B$ , then  $B - A$  is non-negative definite implying
  - (a)  $\text{trace } B \geq \text{trace } A$ .
  - (b)  $|B| \geq |A|$
  - (c)  $\text{trace } Q_B \geq \text{trace } Q_A$  where  $Q$  is non-negative definite matrix.
  - (d) Max. latent root of  $A$ .

- Consider the linear parametric functions

$$a_1\underline{P}_1'\underline{\beta} + a_2\underline{P}_2'\underline{\beta} + \dots + a_k\underline{P}_k'\underline{\beta} \quad \text{where LSE } \underline{P}_S$$

$$a_1\underline{P}_1'\hat{\underline{\beta}} + a_2\underline{P}_2'\hat{\underline{\beta}} + \dots + a_k\underline{P}_k'\hat{\underline{\beta}}$$

$$\text{Var}(\underline{a}'\underline{P}'\hat{\underline{\beta}}) = \underline{a}' \text{Var}(\underline{P}'\hat{\underline{\beta}}) \underline{a} = \underline{a}' A \underline{a}$$

$$\text{where } \underline{a} = (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k)$$

$$\sqrt{a_1 L_1' \underline{y} + a_2 L_2' \underline{y} + \dots + a_k L_k' \underline{y}} = \underline{a}' B \underline{a}$$

Then for all  $\underline{a}$ , we have

$$\underline{a}' B \underline{a} \geq \underline{a}' A \underline{a}$$

# UNIT - 2

PAGE NO.:

DATE: / /

## Prerequisite

= Consider the indep variables

$$y_i \sim N(x_{i1}\beta_1 + x_{i2}\beta_2 + \dots + x_{im}\beta_m, \sigma^2) \quad \text{--- (1)}$$

where  $x_{ij}$  are known coefficients and  $\beta_j$  are unknown parameters.

- In matrix notation,  $\underline{y}$  stands for col<sup>n</sup> vector of the variables  $y_i$ ,  $\underline{\beta}$  for the parameters  $\beta_j$  and  $X = (x_{ij})$  for matrix of coefficient then

$$\sum (-y_i - x_{i1}\beta_1 - \dots - x_{im}\beta_m)^2$$

$$= (\underline{y} - X\underline{\beta})'(\underline{y} - X\underline{\beta})$$

Hence, the pdf of  $y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n$  can be written as

$$c e^{-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2}(\underline{y} - X\underline{\beta})'(\underline{y} - X\underline{\beta})} \quad \text{--- (2)}$$

## \* First Fundamental Thm

Let  $R_o^2 = \min_{\underline{\beta}} (\underline{y} - X\underline{\beta})'(\underline{y} - X\underline{\beta})$

then,

$$R_o^2 \sim \sigma^2 \chi^2_{(n-r)} \quad \text{where } r \text{ is the rank of } X$$

Proof:  $(\underline{y} - X\underline{\beta})'(\underline{y} - X\underline{\beta})$  is minimum when  $X\underline{\beta}$  is the projection of  $\underline{y}$  on  $M(X)$  (manifold of  $X$ )

[ $\rightarrow$  A linear subspace or a linear manifold in a vector space  $V$  is any subset of vectors  $M$

closed under addition and scalar multiplication

if  $\underline{x}, \underline{y} \in M$ ,  $c, d$  are scalars then  $(c\underline{x} + d\underline{y}) \in M$

for any pair of scalars  $c$  and  $d$ . Any such subset  $M$  is itself a vector space.

- All linear combination of a given fixed set  $S$  of vectors  $\underline{\alpha}_1, \underline{\alpha}_2, \dots, \underline{\alpha}_k$  is a subspace called the linear manifold  $M(S)$  spanned by  $S$ . This is the smallest subspace containing  $S$ .
- A projection is a linear transformation  $P$  from a vector space to itself  $P^2 = P$ , i.e. whenever  $P$  is applied twice to any value it gives the same result as if it were applied once.

The mapping  $P: \underline{x} \mapsto \underline{x}$ , ( $P\underline{x} = \underline{x}$ ) is called the projection of  $\underline{x}$  and  $P$  is called the projection operator.

Thm: But projection of any vector on  $M(\underline{x})$  is

Proof obtained through an operator  $P$  which is symmetric, idempotent ( $P^2 = P$ ) and of rank =  $\text{rank } (\underline{x}) = n$ .

Thus the projection  $\underline{y}$  on  $M(\underline{x})$  equal  $P\underline{y}$

Therefore  $\underline{y} - P\underline{y}$  is perpendicular

$$\text{dist}^2 = (\underline{y} - \underline{x}\underline{\beta})' (\underline{y} - \underline{x}\underline{\beta})$$

$$= (\underline{y} - P\underline{y})' (\underline{y} - P\underline{y})$$

$$= \underline{y}' (\underline{I} - \underline{P})' (\underline{I} - \underline{P}) \underline{y}$$

$$= \underline{y}' (\underline{I} - \underline{P}) \underline{y}$$

(Standard Normal dist<sup>2</sup>)

The matrix  $(I - P)$  is also idempotent and  
 $\therefore \text{rank}(I - P) = \text{trace } I - \text{trace } P$   
 $= n - r$

The dist<sup>n</sup> of quadratic form

$$\underline{y}'(I - P)\underline{y} \sim \sigma^2 \cdot \chi^2_{(n-r, \lambda)} \quad (*)$$

where,

$\lambda$  is non-centrality parameter.

$$\lambda = E \left[ \frac{\underline{y}'(I - P)\underline{y}}{\sigma^2} \right].$$

$$\sigma^2 \lambda = E[\underline{y}'(I - P)\underline{y}]$$

$$= E(\underline{y}') (I - P) E(\underline{y})$$

$$= (\underline{\beta}' \underline{x}') (I - P)(\underline{x}' \underline{\beta})$$

$$= \underline{\beta}' [\underline{x}' \underline{x} - \underline{x}' P \underline{x}] \underline{\beta} \quad (\because P \underline{x} = \underline{x})$$

$$= 0 \quad (\text{Projection operator})$$

$\Rightarrow$  The dist<sup>n</sup> of  $\underline{y}'(I - P)\underline{y}$  is central  $\chi^2$  with  $(n-r)$  d.f.

$$\underline{y}'(I - P)\underline{y} \sim \text{Central } \chi^2 \text{ with } (n-r) \text{ d.f.}$$

Thm (2): Second fundamental Thm :-

- Let  $H$  be a matrix of order  $(m \times k)$  and  
 $\text{rank } k \geq M(H) \subset M(x')$  and

$$R_0^2 = \min_{\underline{\beta}} (\underline{y} - \underline{x}' \underline{\beta})' (\underline{y} - \underline{x}' \underline{\beta}) \text{ such that}$$

$$H \underline{\beta} = \underline{g} \text{ (given)}$$

(a)  $R_0^2$  and  $R_1^2 - R_0^2$  are independently distributed.

(b)  $R_0^2 \sim \sigma^2 \chi^2_{(n-r)}$  and  $R_1^2 - R_0^2$  as a non-central  $\chi^2$  on  $k$  d.f.

$$R_i^2 = (1 - \gamma \beta_0^2)(1 - \alpha)(1 - \gamma \beta_0)$$

PAGE NO.:

DATE: / /

c) If  $H'\underline{\beta} = \underline{\varepsilon}$  is true then

$$\frac{R_i^2 - R_0^2}{k} \sim \sigma^2 \chi^2_{(n-k)}$$
$$\frac{R_i^2 - R_0^2}{n-k} \sim F_{(k, n-k)}$$

Proof: If  $H'\underline{\beta} = \underline{\varepsilon}$  then  $\underline{\beta} = \underline{\beta}_0 + \underline{\gamma}$  where  $\underline{\beta}_0$  is a particular sol<sup>n</sup> of  $H'\underline{\beta} = \underline{\varepsilon}$  and  $\underline{\gamma}$  is a general sol<sup>n</sup> of  $H'\underline{\beta} = 0$ . Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} & \min (\underline{y} - \underline{x}\underline{\beta})' (\underline{y} - \underline{x}\underline{\beta}) \\ & H'\underline{\beta} = \underline{\varepsilon}, \\ & = \min (\underline{y} - \underline{x}\underline{\beta}_0 - \underline{x}\underline{\gamma})' (\underline{y} - \underline{x}\underline{\beta}_0 - \underline{x}\underline{\gamma}) \\ & H'\underline{\gamma} = 0 \end{aligned}$$

But  $\underline{x}\underline{\gamma}$  with the restriction  $H'\underline{\gamma} = 0$  is a subspace  $S \subset M(x)$  with rank(S) = rank  $[x' : H] - \text{rank}(H)$

whether or not  $H$  satisfies the condition  $M(H) \subset M(x')$

Let  $P$  be an operator for projecting  $M(x)$  and  $U$  be the operator for projecting on  $S$ .

$$\text{rank}(P) = \text{rank}(x) = n$$

$$\text{rank}(U) = S$$



$$R_0^2 = \underline{y}' (\underline{I} - \underline{P}) \underline{y}$$

$$= (\underline{y} - \underline{x}\underline{\beta}_0)' (\underline{I} - \underline{P}) (\underline{y} - \underline{x}\underline{\beta}_0)$$

Introduction of the factor  $\underline{x}\underline{\beta}_0$  in the expression of  $R_0^2$  does not alter its value.

- Since in  $R_0^2$  is  $(\underline{I} - \underline{u})$  is idempotent

$$\text{rank } (\underline{I} - \underline{u}) = n - s$$

$$R_0^2 \sim \sigma^2 \cdot \chi^2_{(n-s)}$$

$$\sigma^2 \lambda = E [(\underline{y} - \underline{x}\underline{\beta}_0)' (\underline{I} - \underline{u}) (\underline{y} - \underline{x}\underline{\beta}_0)]$$

$$= (\underline{x}\underline{\beta} - \underline{x}\underline{\beta}_0)' (\underline{I} - \underline{u}) (\underline{x}\underline{\beta} - \underline{x}\underline{\beta}_0)$$

$$= (\underline{x}\underline{\beta}_0 + \underline{x}\gamma - \underline{x}\underline{\beta}_0)' (\underline{I} - \underline{u}) (\underline{x}\underline{\beta}_0 + \underline{x}\gamma - \underline{x}\underline{\beta}_0)$$

( $\because$  If  $H'\underline{\beta} = \underline{e}_j$  is true  
then  $\underline{\beta} = \underline{\beta}_0 + \gamma$ )

$$= (\underline{x}\gamma)' (\underline{I} - \underline{u}) (\underline{x}\gamma)$$

$$= \gamma' \underline{x}' \underline{x} \gamma - \gamma' \underline{x}' U \underline{x} \gamma.$$

$$= \gamma' \underline{x}' \underline{x} \gamma - \gamma' \underline{x}' \underline{x} \gamma \quad (\text{since } U \underline{x} \gamma = \underline{x} \gamma)$$

$$= 0$$

Since  $R_0^2 \sim \sigma^2 \chi^2_{(n-s)}$  by 1<sup>st</sup> thm and

$$R_1^2 - R_0^2 \geq 0 \text{ also } R_1^2 \sim \sigma^2 \chi^2_{(n-s)}$$

$$R_1^2 - R_0^2 \sim \sigma^2 \cdot \chi^2_{(n-s)}$$

In the special case,  $M(H) \subset M(x')$  and  $H$  is of rank  $k$

$H_0: \mu = 0$   
large  $n > 0$ ,  $\sigma^2$  is known  
PAGE NO.: / /  
DATE: / /

$$E(\hat{\beta}) = \beta$$

$$V(\hat{\beta}) = n^{-1} \text{Var}(\beta)$$

$$\text{This follows } u = \frac{\chi^2_{(q-r_1)}}{\chi^2_{(q-r_1)} + \chi^2_{(n-r_1-q+r_1)}}$$

$$S = \text{rank}(x' : H) - \text{rank}(H)$$

$$= K$$

$$\text{Hence } R_1^2 - R_0^2 \sim \sigma^2 \chi^2_{(K)}$$

$$\text{Hence } \frac{R_1^2 - R_0^2}{K} \stackrel{d}{\sim} \frac{R_0^2}{n-r_1} \sim F_{(K, n-r_1)}$$

Theorem 3: Let  $\underline{y}' = (y'_1 : y'_2)$  with the corresponding partition of the expectation vector  $\underline{\beta}' x' = \underline{\beta}' (x'_1 : x'_2) = (\underline{\beta}' x'_1 : \underline{\beta}' x'_2)$  then the statistic

$$u = \min_{\underline{\beta}} (y_1 - x_1 \underline{\beta})' (y_1 - x_1 \underline{\beta})$$

$$\min_{\underline{\beta}} (y_1 - x_1 \underline{\beta})' (y_1 - x_1 \underline{\beta})$$

$$\sim \underline{\beta}' \left( \frac{q-r_1}{2}, \frac{n-q-r_1+r_1}{2} \right)$$

where  $\text{rank}(x_1) = r_1$ , and  $\text{rank}(x) = r_1$   
and  $q = \text{col}^m$  in  $x_1$ .

### (25+125) \* Test of Hypothesis and Interval Estimation

- The LSE of a parametric funct<sup>n</sup> is only a point estimate and no exact statement of prob. of its deviation from the true value of the parametric funct<sup>n</sup> can be made without a specific dist<sup>n</sup> for the variable  $y$  being considered we shall assume that

$$y \sim N(x \underline{\beta}, \sigma^2 I)$$



Let  $H'$  be matrix of order  $k \times m$  of row 'k' such that its rows of  $\beta$  depends in the rows of  $x$ .

$\Rightarrow k$  Parametric funct<sup>n</sup>s  $H'\beta$  are individually estimable under the set up  $(y - x\beta, \sigma^2 I)$  in which case the LSE are  $H'\hat{\beta} = z$

In terms of  $x, y$  we have

$$H'\hat{\beta} = H'(x'x)^{-1}x'y$$

$$R_o^2 = \text{Error S.S.} = y'(I - (x'x)^{-1}x')y \\ = (y - x\hat{\beta})'(y - x\hat{\beta})$$

$$= (y - x(x'x)^{-1}x'y)'(y - x(x'x)^{-1}x'y)$$

$$= y'(I - x(x'x)^{-1}x')'y (I - x(x'x)^{-1}x')y \\ = y'(I - x(x'x)^{-1}x')y$$

then

$$\begin{aligned} & H'(x'x)^{-1}x'(I - x(x'x)^{-1}x') \\ &= H'[x'(x'x)^{-1} - (x'x)^{-1}x'] \\ &= H'[x'(x'x)^{-1} - x'(x'x)^{-1}] \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

$\Rightarrow H'\hat{\beta}$  and  $R_o^2$  are independently distributed

The dist<sup>n</sup> of  $z$  is a  $k$ -variate normal with mean  $H'\beta$  and dispersion matrix  $\sigma^2 D(y)$  and

$$R_o^2 \sim \sigma^2 \chi^2_{(n-k)}$$

Let  $H'$  be matrix of order  $k \times m$  of row 'k'  
such that its rows of  $\underline{\beta}$  depends in the rows  
of  $X$ .

$\Rightarrow k$  Parametric funct<sup>n</sup>s  $H'\underline{\beta}$  are individually estimable  
under the set up  $(\underline{y} - X\underline{\beta}, \sigma^2 I)$  in which case  
the LSF are  $H'\hat{\underline{\beta}} = Z$

In terms of  $X, Y$  we have

$$H'\hat{\underline{\beta}} = H'(X'X)^{-1}X'\underline{y}$$

$$R_o^2 = \text{Error S.S.} = \underline{y}'(I - (X'X)^{-1}X')\underline{y} \\ = (\underline{y} - X\hat{\underline{\beta}})'(\underline{y} - X\hat{\underline{\beta}})$$

$$= (\underline{y} - X(X'X)^{-1}X'\underline{y})'(\underline{y} - X(X'X)^{-1}X'\underline{y})$$

$$= \underline{y}'(I - X(X'X)^{-1}X')'\underline{y} \quad (I \text{ idempotent matrix } (A'A = A))$$

$$= \underline{y}'(I - X(X'X)^{-1}X')\underline{y}$$

then

$$\begin{aligned} & H'(X'X)^{-1}X'(I - X(X'X)^{-1}X') \\ &= H'[X'(X'X)^{-1} - (X'X)^{-1}X'] \\ &= H'[X'(X'X)^{-1} - X'(X'X)^{-1}] \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

$\Rightarrow H'\hat{\underline{\beta}}$  and  $R_o^2$  are independently distributed

The dist<sup>n</sup> of  $Z$  is a  $k$ -variate normal with  
mean  $H'\underline{\beta}$  and dispersion matrix  $\sigma^2 D$  (say)  
and

$$R_o^2 \sim \sigma^2 \chi^2_{(n-k)}$$

$$\text{i.e. } H'\hat{\beta} \sim N(H'\beta, \sigma^2 P)$$

$$\begin{aligned} E(H'\hat{\beta}) &= H'E(\hat{\beta}) = H'\beta \\ \text{Var}(H'\hat{\beta}) &= H' \text{Var}(\hat{\beta}) H = \sigma^2 P \quad \text{as } H'H = P \end{aligned}$$

### \* Single Parametric funct'

- Given an estimable parametric funct'  $\theta = P'\beta$   
 Let  $u$  be its LSE with  $\text{Var} = P^2\sigma^2$  Then  
 $u \sim N(\theta, P^2\sigma^2)$ , and  $R_o^2 \sim \sigma^2 \chi^2_{(n-1)}$  and are independent so that  $S^2 = \frac{R_o^2}{(n-1)}$

then

$$t = \frac{u - \theta}{\frac{P\sigma}{\sqrt{n}}} = \frac{u - \theta}{\frac{S}{\sqrt{n}}} \sim S \chi^2_{(n-1)} \quad (\text{ii})$$

which is Student's dist' on  $(n-1)$  d.f.

If  $t_\alpha$  is the  $\alpha$  prob point of  $|t|$   
 i.e.  $P[|t| > t_\alpha] = \alpha$  then

$$P\left[\frac{|u - \theta|}{\frac{P\sigma}{\sqrt{n}}} \leq t_\alpha\right] = 1 - \alpha \quad (\text{iii})$$

i.e.

$$P\left[-t_\alpha \leq \frac{(u - \theta)}{S} \leq t_\alpha\right] = 1 - \alpha$$

$$\Rightarrow P[u - PST_\alpha \leq \theta \leq u + PST_\alpha] = 1 - \alpha \quad (\text{iv})$$

Let the Null hypothesis be  $H_0 : P'\beta = \theta_0$   
 (an assigned value)

Null hyp is rejected at  $\alpha$  level of significance

Effect size is based on Non-centrality parameter

$$\theta = \bar{P}'\beta$$

$$H_0: \mu = 17 \quad H_1: \mu \neq 17$$

PAGE NO.:

DATE: / /

If for an observed  $\bar{u}$  we have

$$\frac{|\bar{u} - \mu_0|}{\sigma/\sqrt{n}} > t_{\alpha/2} \quad (\text{iv})$$

The Power of the test is given by  $(1 - \beta)$

i.e.  $P[|\bar{u}| > t_{\alpha/2}, \mu = \mu_1 | H_0]$ . Here  $t$  has non-central  $t$ -dist' with  $\lambda$  as non-central parameter. The power of  $t$  is monotonically increasing funct'n of  $|\lambda|$ .

$$|\lambda| = \frac{\text{Value of } \underline{P}'\beta \text{ under } H_1 - \text{Value of } \underline{P}'\beta \text{ under } H_0}{\sqrt{\text{Var of best estimator of } \underline{P}'\beta}}$$

26/7/25  
If  $Z \sim N(0, 1)$  ( $\because Z$  and  $\sqrt{v}$  are indep.)

$$\sqrt{v} \sim \chi^2_{(2)}$$

then non-central 't' is given by

$$t_{\alpha/2, \delta} = Z + \delta \quad ; \quad \delta \text{ is non-centrality parameter}$$

Ex: Suppose we want to  $H_0: \mu = 70$

$$H_1: \mu > 70$$

for  $n = 25$ ,  $\alpha = 0.05$ . Find Power of 't'  
when  $\sigma = 10$ ?

$$\delta = \frac{75 - 70}{10/\sqrt{25}} = \frac{5}{10/5} = 2.5$$



Scanned with OKEN Scanner

Effect size  $\delta$  is given by

$$\delta = d \cdot \sqrt{n}$$

$$d = \frac{2.5}{5} = 0.5$$

$$\Rightarrow d = \frac{\mu - \mu_0}{\sigma}$$

- (i) Let  $y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n$  be indep obs from  $N(\mu, \sigma^2)$   
Test the hyp.  $H_0: \mu = \mu_0$  (given)

→ In this case

$$SSE = \min_{\mu} \sum (y_i - \mu)^2$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - \bar{y})^2 \text{ has } (n-1) \text{ d.f.}$$

The normal eq<sup>n</sup> is

$$\Rightarrow \hat{\mu} = \frac{\sum y_i}{n} = \bar{y}$$

$$\text{Also } V(\bar{y}) = V\left(\frac{\sum y_i}{n}\right) = \frac{1}{n^2} \sum V(y_i)$$

$$= \frac{\sigma^2}{n^2} = \frac{n\sigma^2}{n^2} = \frac{\sigma^2}{n}$$

Thus appropriate test statistic for testing  $H_0$  is

$$t = \frac{(\bar{y} - \mu_0) \cdot \sqrt{n}}{\sqrt{SSE}} \text{ which is t with } (n-1) \text{ d.f.}$$

Reject  $H_0$  if  $|t|_{\text{cal}} > t_{\text{tab}}$  where

$$t_{\text{tab}} = t_{(\alpha/2, n-1)}$$

The Power of the t-test w.r.t  $H_1: \mu = \mu_1$ , i.e.

$$P\left[\frac{\sqrt{n}|\bar{Y} - \mu_0|}{\sqrt{MSSE}} > t(\alpha_{12}, n-1) \mid H_1\right]$$

where  $t$  has non-central t-dist' with non-centrality parameter

$N(\mu, \sigma^2)$

$$\lambda = |\mu_1 - \mu_0| \sqrt{n}$$

Ex:- Let  $Y_1, Y_2, \dots, Y_n$  be a r.s. from  $N(\mu_1, \sigma^2)$  and  $Y'_1, Y'_2, \dots, Y'_{n_2}$  be a r.s. from  $N(\mu_2, \sigma^2)$  the two samples being mutually indep.. Test the hyp.  $H_0: \mu_1 = \mu_2$  ( $H_1: \mu_1 \neq \mu_2$ )

$$\rightarrow E(Y_i) = \mu_1, \quad i=1, 2, \dots, n$$

$$E(Y'_i) = \mu_2, \quad i=1, 2, \dots, n$$

$$SSE = \lim_{\mu_1, \mu_2} \left[ \sum_{i=1}^{n_1} (Y_i - \mu_1)^2 + \sum_{i=1}^{n_2} (Y'_i - \mu_2)^2 \right]$$

$$= \frac{\sigma^2}{n}$$

The normal eq'n are

$$n_1 \mu_1 = \sum Y_i = n_1 \bar{Y}$$

$$n_2 \mu_2 = \sum Y'_i = n_2 \bar{Y}'$$

Hence

$$SSE = \sum_{i=1}^{n_1} (Y_i - \bar{Y})^2 + \sum_{i=1}^{n_2} (Y'_i - \bar{Y}')^2$$

with  $(n_1 + n_2 - 2)$  d.f.

Variance for use of parametric  $(\mu_1 - \mu_2)$  funct'

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Var}(\bar{Y} - \bar{Y}') &= \text{Var}(\bar{Y}) + \text{Var}(\bar{Y}') \\ &= \frac{\sigma^2}{n_1} + \frac{\sigma^2}{n_2} \\ &= \sigma^2 \left( \frac{1}{n_1} + \frac{1}{n_2} \right) \end{aligned}$$

Hence, the appropriate 't' statistic is

$$t = \frac{\bar{Y} - \bar{Y}'}{\sqrt{\left( \frac{1}{n_1} + \frac{1}{n_2} \right) \text{MSE}}} \quad \text{with d.f. } (n_1 + n_2 - 2)$$

(∴ Fisher's t)

### More than one parametric function

- Let us consider 'k' independent estimable linear parameter function

$$\underline{\theta}_1 = H'_1 \underline{\beta}, \underline{\theta}_2 = H'_2 \underline{\beta}, \dots, \underline{\theta}_k = H'_k \underline{\beta}$$

- In matrix notation, it may be written as

$$\underline{\theta} = H' \underline{\beta}$$

where  $H'$  is a  $k \times m$  matrix and  $\underline{\theta}$  is the column vector  $\underline{\theta}_1, \underline{\theta}_2, \dots, \underline{\theta}_k$

- The LSE of  $(\underline{\theta}_1, \underline{\theta}_2, \dots, \underline{\theta}_k)$  are represented by  $(z_1, z_2, \dots, z_k) = \underline{z}'$  and its dispersion matrix by  $\sigma^2 D$ . Then

$$E(\underline{z}) = \underline{\theta}, D(\underline{z}) = \sigma^2 D$$

We have

$$(\underline{z} - \underline{\theta})' D^{-1} (\underline{z} - \underline{\theta}) \sim \sigma^2 \chi^2_{(k) \text{ df.}}$$

and

$$R_o^2 \sim \sigma^2 \chi^2_{(n-k)} \text{ are indep.}$$

Hence,

$$F = \frac{(\underline{z} - \underline{\theta})' D^{-1} (\underline{z} - \underline{\theta})}{k} \sim \frac{R^2}{(n-k)}$$

$$\sim F(k, n-k)$$

\* Test of multiple hypothesis :-

(ANOVA)

- Let it be required to test the null hyp. that 'k' parametric funct have the assigned values as

$$H_0: \underline{H}' \underline{\beta} = \underline{\theta}_0, \underline{H}_2' \underline{\beta} = \underline{\theta}_{20}, \dots, \underline{H}_k' \underline{\beta} = \underline{\theta}_{k0}$$

which may be written in matrix notation as

$$\underline{H}' \underline{\beta} = \underline{\theta}_0$$

Let  $\underline{z}_1, \underline{z}_2, \dots, \underline{z}_k$  be LSE of  $\underline{H}_1' \underline{\beta}, \underline{H}_2' \underline{\beta}, \dots, \underline{H}_k' \underline{\beta}$

$(\underline{z} - \underline{\theta}_0)$  is the vector of deviations

Def' lse and assigned values.

If infact  $\underline{\theta}_0$  is not true, the deviations  $(\underline{z} - \underline{\theta}_0)$  are likely to be large.

Let us consider the compound deviation (a single measure of deviations)

$$(\underline{z} - \underline{\theta}_0)' D^{-1} (\underline{z} - \underline{\theta}_0)$$

Now,

$$E \left[ \frac{(\underline{z} - \underline{\theta}_0)' D^{-1} (\underline{z} - \underline{\theta}_0)}{k} \right]$$

$$= \frac{\sigma^2}{K} \text{trace}(D^{-1} D) + \frac{1}{K} E(\underline{z} - \underline{\Omega}_0)' D^{-1} E(\underline{z} - \underline{\Omega}_0)$$

$$= \frac{\sigma^2}{K} \cdot K + \frac{1}{K} [(\underline{H}' \underline{\beta} - \underline{\Omega}_0)' D^{-1} (\underline{H}' \underline{\beta} - \underline{\Omega}_0)]$$

$$(\because E(\underline{z}) = \underline{\Omega} = \underline{H}' \underline{\beta}$$

$$\therefore D(\underline{z}) = \sigma^2 D$$

$= \sigma^2$  if the null hyp is true, ie.  $\underline{H}' \underline{\beta} = \underline{\Omega}_0$   
 $> \sigma^2$  if the null hyp is not true

(Since  $(\underline{H}' \underline{\beta} - \underline{\Omega}_0)' D^{-1} (\underline{H}' \underline{\beta} - \underline{\Omega}_0)$  is positive definite)

Also,

$$E(S^2) = \frac{R_0^2}{n-1} = \frac{\sigma^2}{K}$$

Hence the test statistics becomes.

$$F_{(k)} = \frac{(\underline{z} - \underline{\Omega}_0)' D^{-1} (\underline{z} - \underline{\Omega}_0)}{K} \div \frac{R_0^2}{n-1}$$

Reject  $H_0$  if  $F_{(k)} > F_{tab}$  where  $F_{tab} = F_{(k, n-k)}$

#### \* Simultaneous Confidence Interval :-

It follows that

$$P\left\{ \frac{(\underline{z} - \underline{\Omega})' D^{-1} (\underline{z} - \underline{\Omega})}{K S^2} \leq F_k \right\} = 1 - \alpha \quad (1)$$

where  $\underline{\Omega} = \underline{H}' \underline{\beta}$  stands for 'k' indep. estimable parametric functions of  $\underline{\beta}$ .

Expression (1) is called  $1 - \alpha$  Confidence region of  $\underline{\theta} = \underline{H}'\underline{B}$ .

Let it be represented by  $C$ . Then simultaneous C.I. funt's  $g_i(\underline{\theta})$ ,  $i=1, 2, \dots, k$  with Confidence Coefficients possible greater than  $1 - \alpha$  are given by

$$\left[ \min_{\underline{\theta} \in C} g_i(\underline{\theta}), \max_{\underline{\theta} \in C} g_i(\underline{\theta}) \right], \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, k$$

For any Particular  $\underline{\theta}$

$$P \left[ \min_{\underline{\theta} \in C} g_i(\underline{\theta}), \max_{\underline{\theta} \in C} g_i(\underline{\theta}) \right] \geq 1 - \alpha.$$

\* Second Method : (Using Cauchy - Schwartz inequality)

- If  $\underline{u}$  and  $\underline{A}$  are col<sup>n</sup> vectors and  $B$  is a positive definite matrix then

$$\underline{A}' \underline{B}^{-1} \underline{A} = \max_{\underline{u}} \frac{(\underline{u}' \underline{A})^2}{\underline{u}' \underline{B} \underline{u}}$$

$$\text{Let } \underline{A} = (\underline{z} - \underline{\theta}), \quad B = D$$

then we have

$$\frac{(\underline{z} - \underline{\theta})' D' (\underline{z} - \underline{\theta})}{k s^2} = \frac{1}{k s^2} \max_{\underline{u}} \frac{[\underline{u}' (\underline{z} - \underline{\theta})]^2}{\underline{u}' D \underline{u}} \quad (1)$$

then using (1) we have

estimable

$$P \left\{ \max_u \frac{|\underline{u}'(\underline{z} - \underline{\theta})|}{\sqrt{\underline{u}' D \underline{u}}} \leq s \sqrt{k F_\alpha} \right\} = 1 - \alpha.$$

$$\therefore P \left\{ \frac{|\underline{z} - \underline{\theta}|}{\sqrt{k s^2}} \leq \sqrt{F_\alpha} \right\} = 1 - \alpha$$

$$P \left\{ \max_u \frac{|\underline{u}'(\underline{z} - \underline{\theta})|}{\sqrt{k s^2 \underline{u}' D \underline{u}}} \leq \sqrt{F_\alpha} \right\}$$

$$\Rightarrow P \left\{ |\underline{u}'(\underline{z} - \underline{\theta})| \leq s \sqrt{k F_\alpha} \underline{u}' D \underline{u} \text{ for all } \underline{u} \right\} = 1 - \alpha$$

$$\Rightarrow P \left\{ -s \sqrt{k F_\alpha} \underline{u}' D \underline{u} \leq \underline{u}'(\underline{z} - \underline{\theta}) \leq s \sqrt{k F_\alpha} \underline{u}' D \underline{u} \right\} = 1 - \alpha$$

$$\Rightarrow P \left\{ -s \sqrt{k F_\alpha} \underline{u}' D \underline{u} - \underline{u}' \underline{z} \leq \underline{u}' \underline{\theta} \leq s \sqrt{k F_\alpha} \underline{u}' D \underline{u} - \underline{u}' \underline{z} \right\} = 1 - \alpha$$

$$\Rightarrow P \left\{ \underline{u}' \underline{z} + s \sqrt{k F_\alpha} \underline{u}' D \underline{u} \geq \underline{u}' \underline{\theta} \geq \underline{u}' \underline{z} - s \sqrt{k F_\alpha} \underline{u}' D \underline{u} \right\} = 1 - \alpha$$

$$(100(1-\alpha)\% \text{ C.I. for } \underline{\theta} = \underline{u}' H^* \underline{\beta})$$

\* Tukey's test :-

- Suppose that following analysis of variance in which we have rejected the null hypothesis of equal treatment means (For one-way ANOVA) we wish to test all pairwise mean comparison

$$H_0 : \mu_i = \mu_j$$

$$H_1 : \mu_i \neq \mu_j \quad i \neq j$$

- Tukey propose a procedure for testing hyp. for which overall significance level is exactly  $\alpha$  when sample sizes are equal and at the most  $\alpha$  when the sample sizes are unequal.
- The procedure can also be used to construct C.I.s on the differences in all pairs of means for this intervals the simultaneously confidence level  $100(1-\alpha)\%$ . when the sample sizes are equal and atleast  $100(1-\alpha)\%$ . when the sample sizes are not equal.
- Tukey procedure makes use of the dist' of Students t and their range R statistics

$$q = \frac{\bar{Y}_{\max} - \bar{Y}_{\min}}{\sqrt{MSSE/m}}$$

when  $\bar{Y}_{\max}$   $\leftarrow$  largest sample mean

$\bar{Y}_{\min}$   $\leftarrow$  smallest sample mean out of a group of P samples.

$q_{\alpha}(P, f)$  is the upper  $\alpha$  percentage points of P and f is the no. of d.f. associated with MSSE.

For equal sample sizes, Tukey's test declares two mean significantly different if the absolute value of their sample difference exceeds  $T_{\alpha}$ .

$$|\bar{Y}_i - \bar{Y}_j| > T_{\alpha}$$

$$T_{\alpha} = \bar{Y}_{i_0} - \bar{Y}_{j_0} - \frac{q_{\alpha}(p, f)}{\sqrt{\frac{MSE}{n}}} \quad | \quad MSE$$

$100(1-\alpha)\%$  C.I. for all pairs of means are given by

$$\bar{Y}_{i_0} - \bar{Y}_{j_0} - q_{\alpha}(p, f) \sqrt{\frac{MSE}{n}} \leq \mu_i - \mu_j$$

$$\leq \bar{Y}_{i_0} - \bar{Y}_{j_0} + q_{\alpha}(p, f) \sqrt{\frac{MSE}{n}}; i \neq j$$

when sample sizes are not equal then,

$$T_{\alpha} = \frac{q_{\alpha}(p, f)}{\sqrt{2}} \left( \sqrt{\frac{MSE}{n_i}} \left( \frac{1}{n_i} + \frac{1}{n_j} \right) \right)$$

and  $100(1-\alpha)\%$  C.I. is given by

$$\bar{Y}_{i_0} - \bar{Y}_{j_0} - \frac{q_{\alpha}(p, f)}{\sqrt{2}} \left( \sqrt{\frac{MSE}{n_i}} \left( \frac{1}{n_i} + \frac{1}{n_j} \right) \right) \leq \mu_i - \mu_j$$

$$\leq \bar{Y}_{i_0} - \bar{Y}_{j_0} + \frac{q_{\alpha}(p, f)}{\sqrt{2}} \left( \sqrt{\frac{MSE}{n_i}} \left( \frac{1}{n_i} + \frac{1}{n_j} \right) \right); i \neq j$$

Unequal Sample Size Version is sometimes called Turkey-Kramer Procedure

~~2/6/25~~ Scheffe's test : (For any type of treatment contrast)

In many situations, experimentors may not know in advance which contrast they wish to compare or they may be interested in more

than  $a(a-1)$  possible comparisons.

- In many exploratory experiments, the comparisons of interest are discovered only after preliminary examination of the data.
- Scheffe's has proposed a method for comparing any and/or possible contrast between treatment means. In the Scheffe's method, Type-I Error is at most  $\alpha$  for any of the possible comparison.
- Suppose a set of 'm' contrast in the treatment means

$$V_u = C_{1u} \bar{Y}_1 + C_{2u} \bar{Y}_2 + \dots + C_{au} \bar{Y}_a, \quad u = 1, 2, \dots, m$$

of interest have been determined.

- The corresponding contrast in the treatment average  $\bar{Y}_r$  is

$$C_u = C_{1u} \bar{Y}_1 + C_{2u} \bar{Y}_2 + \dots + C_{au} \bar{Y}_a, \quad u = 1, 2, \dots, m$$

and the SE of the contrast is

$$S_{Cu} = \sqrt{\text{MSSE} \sum_{i=1}^a \left( \frac{(C_{iu})^2}{n_i} \right)}$$

where  $n_i$  is the no. of obs in  $i^{th}$  treatment

The critical value against which  $C_u$  can be compared is

$$S_{Cu, \alpha} = S_{Cu} \sqrt{(a-1) F_{(a-1, N-a)}}$$

If  $|C_u| > S_{\alpha, u}$  the hyp that the contrast  $\nu_u = 0$  is rejected

The Scheffe's procedure can also be used to form C.I. for all possible contrast among treatment means, the resulting interval  $C_u - S_{\alpha, u} \leq T_u \leq C_u + S_{\alpha, u}$  are simultaneous C.I.

Although for pairwise treatment comparison Scheffe's method can be applied but it is not the most sensitive procedure for such comparisons.

Ex:

% weight of cotton	1	2	3	4	5	Total $y_i$	Avg $\bar{y}_i$	Total
15	7	7	15	11	9	49	9.8	
20	12	17	12	18	18	77	15.4	
25	14	18	18	19	19	88	17.6	
30	19	25	22	19	23	108	21.6	
35	7	10	11	15	11	54	10.8	
						376	75.2	

$$SS_{\text{Total}} = \sum y_{ij}^2 - \frac{\bar{y}_{..}^2}{N} = 6292 - \frac{141376}{25} \\ = 6292 - 5655.04 \\ = 636.96$$

$$SS_{\text{Treatment}} = \frac{1}{n} \sum y_{ii}^2 - \frac{\bar{y}_{..}^2}{N} = 6130.8 - 5655.04 \\ = 475.76$$

$$SSE = SS_{\text{total}} - SS_{\text{treat.}} = 161.2$$

Hypothesis:

$$H_0: \mu_1 = \mu_2 = \mu_3 = \mu_4 = \mu_5 = 0$$

$$H_1: \text{At least one } \mu_i \neq 0$$

ANOVA.

Source of Variation	d.f.	S.S.	MSS	Fcal	Ftab
Treatment	a-1 = 4	475.76	118.94	14.76	F(4, 20, 0.05)
Error	20	161.2	8.06		= 2.87
Total	N-1 = 24	636.96			

Conclusion:  $F_{\text{cal}} > F_{\text{tab}}$  ( $14.76 > 2.87$ ) so we reject  $H_0$  at 5% LOS.

Hypothesis:

$$H_0: \mu_i = \mu_j$$

$$H_1: \mu_i \neq \mu_j$$

$$q = \bar{y}_{\max} - \bar{y}_{\min} = \frac{11.8}{1.270} = 9.291$$

$$T_\alpha = q_{\alpha(p, f)} \sqrt{\frac{MSSE}{n}}$$

$$= q_{0.05(5, 20)} \sqrt{\frac{8.06}{5}}$$

$$= 4.23 \times 1.270$$

$$\approx 5.3721$$

(\* → significantly different)

PAGE NO.: 11  
DATE: / /

NOTE:

$$\begin{array}{ll}
 \bar{y}_{1.} - \bar{y}_{2.} = +5.6 * & \bar{y}_{2.} - \bar{y}_{4.} = 6.2 * \\
 \bar{y}_{1.} - \bar{y}_{3.} = +7.8 * & \bar{y}_{2.} - \bar{y}_{5.} = 4.6 \\
 \bar{y}_{1.} - \bar{y}_{4.} = +11.8 * & \bar{y}_{3.} - \bar{y}_{4.} = +4 \\
 \bar{y}_{1.} - \bar{y}_{5.} = +4 & \bar{y}_{3.} - \bar{y}_{5.} = 6.8 * \\
 \bar{y}_{2.} - \bar{y}_{3.} = 2.2 & \bar{y}_{4.} - \bar{y}_{5.} = 10.8 *
 \end{array}$$

Test the Contrast:

$$\begin{aligned}
 Y &= \mu_1 + \mu_3 - \mu_4 - \mu_5 \\
 &\Rightarrow \mu_1 + \mu_3 = \mu_4 + \mu_5
 \end{aligned}$$

$$H_0: Y = 0$$

$$H_1: Y \neq 0$$

$$C_u = C_{1u}\bar{y}_{1.} + C_{2u}\bar{y}_{2.} + \dots + C_{au}\bar{y}_{a.}$$

$$C_1 = \bar{y}_{1.} + \bar{y}_{3.} - \bar{y}_{4.} - \bar{y}_{5.} = -5$$

$$S_{C1} = \sqrt{\text{MSSE} \sum_{i=1}^a \left( \frac{(C_{iu})^2}{n_i} \right)} = \sqrt{8.06 \left( \frac{1+1+1+1}{5} \right)}$$

$$= \sqrt{6.448} = 2.54$$

$$S_{\alpha; u} = S_{C1} \sqrt{(a-1) F(\alpha, a-1, N-a)}$$

$$= 2.5 \sqrt{4 \times 2.87} = 2.5 \times 3.39 = 8.47$$

Test Criteria:  $|C_1| > 8.47$

Conclusion: Since  $|C_1| < S_{\alpha; u}$  ( $5 < 8.47$ ) we do not reject our  $H_0$  at 5%. LOS and hence  $Y \neq 0$

NOTE: - If multiple test are performed on a given sample the value of  $\alpha$  changes since sampling dist' for t assumes only one t-test from any given sample.

- The true  $\alpha$  level given multiple test or comparisons can be estimate as  $1 - (1 - \alpha)^c$  where  $c$  = total no. of comparison, contrast or test performed.

$$(\text{e.g., } \alpha = 0.05, c = 10 \text{ then } 1 - (1 - 0.05)^{10} = [0.4])$$

#### \* Power of F-test :

- O.C - Curve is a plot of Type-II error Prob. of a statistical test for a particular sample size versus a parameter that reflects the extent to which the null hyp. is False
- This curve can be used to guide the experimental in selecting the no. of replicates so that the design will be sensitive to imp. potential differences in the treatment.
- We consider the Prob. of Type-II error of the fixed effect model for the case of equal sample sizes per treatment

$$p = 1 - \beta \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{Reject } H_0 / H_0 \text{ is false} \end{array} \right\}$$

$$= 1 - \beta \quad \left\{ F_{\text{cal}} > F_{\alpha, a-1, N-a} / H_0 \text{ is false} \right\}$$

L (\*)

To evaluate the prob., we need to know the dist' of the test statistics if null hyp is False.

- If  $H_0$  is false, the statistic

$$F_{\text{cal}} = \frac{\text{MSS}_{\text{treat}}}{\text{MSS}_E} \sim \text{non-central F distribution}$$

with  $(a-1, N-a)$  d.f. and non-centrality parameter  $\delta$ , if  $\delta = 0$  then non-central F becomes usual (central) F dist'.

- OC curves are used to evaluate the prob.

- These curves plot the prob. of Type-II error ( $\beta$ ) against a parameter  $\Phi$  where

$$\Phi = n \sum_{i=1}^a T_i^2 / b_{\text{min}} \quad (\because a = \text{no. of treatment})$$

The quantity  $\Phi$  is related to non-centrality parameter  $\delta$ .

(Curves are available for  $\alpha = 0.5$  and  $\alpha = 0.01$ )

- One way to determine  $\Phi$  is to choose the actual values of the treatment means for which we would like to reject the null hyp with high prob.

Thus if  $\mu_1, \mu_2, \dots, \mu_a$  are the specified treatment means we find  $\tau_i$  as

$$T_i = M_i - \bar{M} \quad \text{where} \quad \bar{M} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n M_i$$

- We also required an estimate of  $\sigma^2$ . Sometimes this is available from prior experience or preliminary test or a judgement estimate and alternate approach is to select a sample size such that the difference between any two treatments means if it exceeds a specified value, the null hyp. should be rejected.

- If difference "bet" any two treatment means is as large as  $D$ , then  $H_0$  is rejected.

$$\Phi = \frac{nD^2}{2a\sigma^2}$$

22/8/25

# UNIT - 3

if no. of obs<sup>n</sup> in each cell  
are same  $\rightarrow$  Balanced layout

PAGE NO.:

DATE: / /

## \* Random Effect Model :-

- If the effects in the linear model are all  $\sigma^2$ 's except for the additive constant  $\mu$ , which is a fixed quantity, it is called a Random Effect Model.
- The effects become random given to the sampling of the levels of the factors included. It is also called Variance - Component Model.
- Thus we have Variance- Component Model for one-way layout, two-way layout or multi-way layout. The complete p-way classification or layout is called Balanced if the no. of obs<sup>n</sup>s in the different cells are equal.
- The one-way classification is balanced if no. of obs<sup>n</sup>s under the categories are same.

## \* General Random Effect Model in the balanced case

Let an observable r.v.  $y_{ijk...m}$  for a balanced case be such that

$$y_{ijk...m} = \mu + a_i + b_{ij} + c_{ijk} + e_{ijk...m} \quad (1)$$

where  $\mu$  is a constant, the r.v.'s  $a_i, b_{ij}, c_{ijk} \dots, e_{ijk...m}$  are completely indep

each cell  
had layout.

$$a_1, a_2, a_3 \sim N(0, \sigma_a^2)$$

$$b_1, b_2, b_3 \sim N(0, \sigma_b^2)$$

PAGE NO.:  
DATE: / /

$$a_i \sim N(0, \sigma_a^2)$$

$$b_{ij} \sim N(0, \sigma_b^2)$$

$$c_{ijk} \sim N(0, \sigma_e^2)$$

$$e_{ijk...m} \sim N(0, \sigma_e^2)$$

$$E(y_{ijk...m}) = \mu$$

$$D(y_{ijk...m}) = \text{Var}(\mu + a_i + b_{ij} + c_{ijk} + e_{ijk...m})$$

$$= \sigma_a^2 + \sigma_b^2 + \dots + \sigma_e^2$$

the  
s included.

Model.

del for

or  
way

anced if

cells

if no.

same

mixed case

balanced

(1)

ely indep

- Diagonal elements of the dispersion matrix of  $y_i$ 's are all same. Then  $\sigma_a^2, \sigma_b^2, \dots, \sigma_e^2$  are the components of the variance of the obs so they are called Variance - component and hence the model is called Variance - Component Model.

- The Dispersion Matrix is positive definite if it satisfies the condition of Model (1).

### ANOVA (Table 1)

Source	d.f.	S.S.	M.S.	E(M.S.)
1	$f_1$	$s_1$	$s_1^2$	$\sigma_1^2$
2	$f_2$	$s_2$	$s_2^2$	$\sigma_2^2$
1	1	1	1	1
1	1	1	1	1
K	$f_K$	$s_K$	$s_K^2$	$\sigma_K^2$
	$\sum_{i=1}^K f_i$	$\sum_{i=1}^K s_i$		

- Generally each  $\sigma_i^2$  will be a linear funct<sup>n</sup>, a Variance - component  $\sigma_a^2, \sigma_b^2, \dots, \sigma_e^2$

Thm (2) :- Let the model be (1) and be balanced and the appropriate ANOVA table be given as table (1) then

$\frac{s_1}{\sigma^2}, \frac{s_2}{\sigma^2}, \dots, \frac{s_k}{\sigma^2}$  are mutually independently

distributed as central  $\chi^2$  with  $(f_1, f_2, \dots, f_k)$  d.f. respectively. Since  $\sigma_i^2$  are linear funct<sup>n</sup>s of the variance components we can obtain unbiased estimators of the variance components by equating each E(MSS) to the corresponding MSS of the table (1).

- These estimators have the following properties

Thm (2) :- Let the model be (1) and be balanced then the estimators of the variance components obtained by equating each E(MSS) to the corresponding MSS of table (1) are minimum variance unbiased.

Thm (3) :- Let the model be (1) and be balanced with the corresponding ANOVA given in table (1) then the test of

$$H_0 : \sigma_i^2 = \sigma_j^2 \quad (i \neq j)$$

$$H_1 : \sigma_i^2 > \sigma_j^2$$

is given by

$$F = \frac{s_i^2}{s_j^2} \sim F_{\text{central}}$$

with  $(f_i, f_j)$  d.f.

\* Power :-

- Power of the test :  $H_0$  depends on  $H_1$ :  $\frac{\sigma_i^2}{\sigma_j^2} = \lambda < 1$   
and is given by

$$\beta(\lambda) = P \left[ \frac{s_i^2}{s_j^2} > F(\alpha, f_i, f_j) \mid H_1 \right]$$

$$= P \left[ \frac{s_i^2}{s_j^2} \lambda > F(\alpha, f_i, f_j) \mid H_1 \right]$$

$$= \int_{\lambda F(\alpha, f_i, f_j)}^{\infty} f(u) du$$

$$\lambda F(\alpha, f_i, f_j)$$

where  $f$  is the pdf of central F dist with  $(f_i, f_j)$  df.

- Thus, in the variance component model, both the test and the power are given by Central F-dist whereas in Fixed Effect Model, power is given by Non-Central F-dist.

\* One-Way classification : Random Effect Model

- Consider the following balanced one-way classification random effect Model

$$Y_{ij} = \mu + \alpha_i + \epsilon_{ij}, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, p \\ j = 1, 2, \dots, n$$

where  $\alpha_i$  and  $\epsilon_{ij}$  are completely indep. &  
 $\alpha_i \sim N(0, \sigma_a^2)$  &  $\epsilon_{ij} \sim N(0, \sigma_e^2)$   
 $\mu$  is additive constant.

Hence,  $\sigma_a^2$  is random effect due to  $i$ th classification and,

e.g. are errors.

To test,

$$H_0: \sigma_a^2 = 0 \text{ against } H_1: \sigma_a^2 > 0$$

which means testing the homogeneity of the effects of the classification.

We have,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i,j} (y_{ij} - y_{..})^2 &= \sum_{i,j} (y_{ij} - y_{i.} + y_{i.} - y_{..})^2 \\ &= \sum_{i,j} (y_{ij} - y_{i.})^2 + \sum_{i,j} (y_{i.} - y_{..})^2 \\ &= n \sum_i (y_{i.} - y_{..})^2 + \sum_{i,j} (y_{ij} - y_{i.})^2 \\ &= SS(\text{bet classes}) + SS(\text{within classes}) \\ &= SSB + SSE \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Let } y_{i.} - y_{..} &= \mu + a_i + e_{i.} - \mu - a_0 - e_{00} \\ &= a_i + e_{i.} - a_0 - e_{00} \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{where } a_0 = \frac{\sum a_i}{P}, \quad e_{i.} = \sum_j \frac{e_{ij}}{n}, \quad e_{00} = \sum_i \frac{e_{i.}}{P}$$

Thus,

$$SSB = n \sum_i (a_i + e_{i.} - a_0 - e_{00})^2$$

where

$$a_i + e_{i.} \sim N(0, \sigma_a^2 + \sigma_e^2/n)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \therefore \text{Var}(a_i + e_{i.}) &= \text{Var}(a_i) + \text{Var}(e_{i.}) = \text{Var}(a_i) + \text{Var}\left(\frac{\sum e_{ij}}{n}\right) \\ &= \text{Var}(a_i) + \frac{1}{n} \sum \text{Var}(e_{ij}) \\ &= \sigma_a^2 + \frac{n \sigma_e^2}{n^2} = \sigma_a^2 + \frac{1}{n} \sigma_e^2 \end{aligned}$$

So

$$\frac{n \sum_{i=1}^p (a_i + e_{io} - a_0 - e_{oo})^2}{(n\sigma_a^2 + \sigma_e^2)} \sim \chi^2_{(p-1) \text{ d.f.}}$$

i.e. SSB is  $(\sigma_e^2 + n\sigma_a^2) \cdot \chi^2_{(p-1)}$

$$E[\text{MSSB}] = E\left[\frac{\text{SSB}}{p-1}\right] = \sigma_e^2 + n\sigma_a^2$$

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{SSE} &= \sum_{i,j} (y_{ij} - y_{io})^2 \\ &= \sum_{i,j} (\mu + a_i + e_{ij} - \mu - a_i - e_{io})^2 \\ &= \sum_{i,j} (e_{ij} - e_{io})^2 \end{aligned}$$

SSF and  $e_{ij}$  are independently distributed and  
 $e_{ij} \sim N(0, \sigma_e^2)$

So for different  $i$

$\frac{\sum_{j=1}^n (e_{ij} - e_{io})^2}{\sigma_e^2}$  are independently distributed as  $\chi^2_{(n-1)}$

Hence,

$$\frac{\text{SSE}}{\sigma_e^2} = \frac{\sum_{i,j} (e_{ij} - e_{io})^2}{\sigma_e^2} \sim \chi^2_{p(n-1)}$$

\* To show SSB and SSE are indep :-

We first show that

$$u_i = a_i + e_{io} - a_0 - e_{oo} \quad \text{and} \quad v_{ij}' = e_{ij} - e_{io}'$$

have zero covariance.

$$\text{Cov}(u_i, v'_{ij}) = E[u_i v'_{ij}] - E[u_i]E[v'_{ij}]$$

$$E[u_i] = a_i - a_0$$

$$E[v'_{ij}] = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \text{Cov}(u_i, v'_{ij}) = E[u_i v'_{ij}]$$

$$E[u_i v'_{ij}] = E[(a_i - a_0) + (e_{i0} - e_{00})] \times (e_{ij} - e'_{i0})]$$

within  
Total

$$= E[(a_i - a_0)(e_{ij} - e'_{i0})] + E[(e_{i0} - e_{00})(e_{ij} - e'_{i0})]$$

(Since  $a_i$  and  $e_{ij}$  are indep.)

$$E[(a_i - a_0)(e_{ij} - e'_{i0})] = 0$$

$$= E[(e_{i0} - e_{00})(e_{ij} - e'_{i0})]$$

$$= E[(e_{i0} e_{ij}) - e_{i0} e'_{i0} - e_{00} e_{ij} + e_{00} e'_{i0}]$$

$$e_{ij} \sim N(0, \sigma_e^2)$$

$$v(e_{ij}) = E[e_{ij}^2] - [E[e_{ij}]]^2$$

$$\Rightarrow v(e_{ij}) = \sigma_e^2$$

$$= E[e_{ij}^2]$$

$$= \delta_{ii'} \frac{\sigma_e^2}{n} - \delta_{ii'} \frac{\sigma_e^2}{n} = \frac{\sigma_e^2}{np} + \frac{\sigma_e^2}{np} = 0$$

where

$$\delta_{ii'} = 1 \quad \text{if } i = i' \\ \delta_{ii'} = 0 \quad \text{if } i \neq i'$$

$\Rightarrow u_i$  and  $v'_{ij}$  are independent

Thus SSB and SSE being functions of independent quantities are also independent.

Hence

$$SSE \sim \chi^2_{p(n-1)} \quad \&$$

$$\frac{s^2}{\sigma_e^2}$$

$\frac{SSB}{\sigma_e^2 + n\sigma_a^2} \sim \chi^2_{(p-1)}$  and are independently distributed.

### ANOVA

Source	d.f.	S.S.	M.S.S.	E(M.S.S)
Bet <sup>n</sup> classes	p-1	SSB	MSSB	$\sigma_e^2 + n\sigma_a^2$
Within classes	p(n-1)	SSE	MSSE	$\sigma_e^2$
Total	np-1	Total SS		

The test  $H_0: \sigma_a^2 = 0$  is given by

$$F_{cal} = \frac{MSSB}{MSSE}$$

we reject  $H_0$  if  $F_{cal} > F_{tab}$  at level  $\alpha$ .

The power of the test against  $H_1: \lambda = \frac{\sigma_e^2}{\sigma_e^2 + n\sigma_a^2} < 1$  is given by

$$\beta(\lambda) = \int f(u) du \\ \lambda \cdot F(\alpha, (p-1), p(n-1))$$

where  $f$  is pdf of central F-dist<sup>n</sup>.