

(10)

Fields and σ -Fields :-Algebra and σ -Algebra:-Let ω be an abstract space.Let $A, B, C \dots$ be subsets of ω .Let \mathcal{C} denote some collection of subsets of ω .e.g. $\mathcal{C} = \{A, B, C\}$, $\mathcal{C} = \{\emptyset, \omega\}$, $\mathcal{C} = \{\emptyset, A, B\}$ etc. \mathcal{C} can be even empty collection.or $\mathcal{C} = \{\emptyset\}$ consists of one set \emptyset , so this is a non-empty collection.Field:Def: A non-empty collection \mathcal{C} of subsets of ω is known as a Field or Algebra if it satisfies the following conditions(i) $A \in \mathcal{C} \Rightarrow A' \in \mathcal{C}$ i.e. $A' \in \mathcal{C}$ (ii) $A, B \in \mathcal{C} \Rightarrow A \cup B \in \mathcal{C}$.

In other words

A non-empty collection \mathcal{C} of subsets of ω is known as a Field if it is closed under Compliments and finite unions.

—x—

Remarks: A ~~field~~ field is a non-empty collection.So let $A \in \mathcal{C}$ then $A' \in \mathcal{C}$ Also $\Rightarrow A \cup A' \in \mathcal{C}$ i.e. $\omega \in \mathcal{C}$ also $\emptyset \in \mathcal{C}$ i.e. $\emptyset \in \mathcal{C}$

Thus

(i) Every field always contains \emptyset and ω .(ii) $\mathcal{C} = \{\emptyset, \omega\}$ is the smallest ~~field~~ field.(Also known as trivial ~~field~~ field.)(iii) If $A \in \mathcal{C} \Rightarrow A' \in \mathcal{C}$ Thus $\{\emptyset, \omega, A, A'\}$ is also a field.

(Check?)

(iv) Suppose \mathcal{C} is a field & suppose $A, B \in \mathcal{C}$,then $A' \in \mathcal{C}$, $B' \in \mathcal{C}$ $\Rightarrow A \cup B, A \cup B', A' \cup B, A' \cup B' \in \mathcal{C}$ but $A' \cup B' = (A \cap B)' \in \mathcal{C} \Rightarrow A \cap B \in \mathcal{C}$ and so on.

$$(11) \quad \mathcal{C} = \{\emptyset, \Omega, A, B, A', B', A \cup B, A' \cup B, A' \cup B', \\ A \cap B, \dots\}$$

All possible unions, intersections & compliments have to be in \mathcal{C} .

$\rightarrow x \leftarrow$

Alternate Def:- A non-empty collection \mathcal{C} of subsets of Ω is a field if it is closed under compliments and finite intersections i.e.

$$A \in \mathcal{C} \Rightarrow A' \in \mathcal{C}$$

$$\text{if } A, B \in \mathcal{C} \Rightarrow A \cap B \in \mathcal{C}$$

$\rightarrow x \leftarrow$

Largest Field:-

$$\text{Power set of } \Omega = \mathcal{P}(\Omega) = \{A \mid A \subseteq \Omega\}.$$

Notation:- " \mathbb{F}_Ω " for a field.

σ -field $\rightarrow x \leftarrow$

Def: A non-empty collection of subsets of Ω , \mathcal{A} is called a σ -field (or σ -algebra) if

$$(i) \quad A \in \mathcal{A} \Rightarrow A' \in \mathcal{A}$$

$$(ii) \quad A_n \in \mathcal{A}, \forall n=1, 2, \dots$$

$$\text{then } \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n \in \mathcal{A}$$

i.e. closed under compliments and countable unions.

Alternate def: A non-empty collection \mathcal{A} of subsets of Ω is called a σ -field if it is closed under compliments and countable intersections.

Properties of σ -field: -

Let \mathcal{A} be a σ -field. Let $A \in \mathcal{A} \Rightarrow A' \in \mathcal{A}$

Choose A_n s.t. $A_1 = A'$ & $A_2 = A_3 = \dots = A$

$$\text{then } \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n = A' \cup A = \Omega \in \mathcal{A}$$

$$\text{hence } \Omega' = \emptyset \in \mathcal{A}.$$

Thus every σ -field must contain \emptyset and Ω .

In fact $\{\emptyset, \Omega\}$ is the smallest σ -field and

$\mathcal{P}(\Omega)$ is the largest σ -field.

(12) Let \mathcal{A} be a σ -field.

Let $\{A_n\} \subset \mathcal{A} \Rightarrow \bigcup_n A_n \in \mathcal{A}$.

also $A_n \in \mathcal{A} \Rightarrow A_n^c \in \mathcal{A}$

$\Rightarrow \bigcup A_n^c \in \mathcal{A}$

$\Rightarrow (\bigcap A_n)^c \in \mathcal{A}$

$\Rightarrow \bigcap A_n \in \mathcal{A}$

Thus \mathcal{A} is closed under countable intersections.

$\limsup A_n, \liminf A_n \in \mathcal{A}$.

\rightarrow let $A_n \in \mathcal{A} \quad \forall n=1, 2, \dots$

then $\limsup A_n = \bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{n=k}^{\infty} A_n$

let $B_k = \bigcup_{n=k}^{\infty} A_n$ then $B_k \in \mathcal{A} \quad \forall k$

i $\bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} B_k \in \mathcal{A}$

$\Rightarrow \limsup A_n \in \mathcal{A}$

Similarly, $\liminf A_n = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} \bigcap_{n=k}^{\infty} A_n \in \mathcal{A}$

Further, if $\lim A_n$ exists, then $\lim A_n \in \mathcal{A}$

let \mathcal{A} be a σ -field. Then \mathcal{A} is also a field.

\rightarrow It is sufficient to check that $A, B \in \mathcal{A} \Rightarrow A \cup B \in \mathcal{A}$.

Take $A_1 = A \neq A_2 = A_3 = A_4 = \dots = B$, then

$A_n \in \mathcal{A} \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$

$\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n = A \cup B \in \mathcal{A}$

$\Rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ is a field.

Thus every σ -field is also a field.

But the converse is not true.

The following example will prove that-

every field is not necessarily a σ -field.

(13) Let $\omega = \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$

Define

$$\mathcal{F} = \{A \subset \omega \mid \text{either } A \text{ or } A^c \text{ is a finite set}\}$$

Claim: i) \mathcal{F} is non-empty.

let $A \in \mathcal{F} \Rightarrow A^c$ is finite if A is not finite

& A^c is not finite if A is finite.

Thus $\forall A \in \mathcal{F} \Rightarrow A^c \in \mathcal{F}$.

Thus \mathcal{F} is closed under complements.

Further let $A, B \in \mathcal{F}$. To prove $A \cup B \in \mathcal{F}$.

When $A \cap B \notin \mathcal{F}$, then one of the following holds:

(i) $A \cap B$ both finite $\Rightarrow A \cup B$ is finite.

(ii) $A \cap B'$ are finite $\Rightarrow A' \cap B'$ are finite
 $\Rightarrow (A \cup B)'$ is finite
 $\Rightarrow A \cup B \in \mathcal{F}$

(iii) $A' \cap B$ are finite. By similar arguments, $A \cup B \in \mathcal{F}$.

(iv) A' and B' are finite $\Rightarrow A' \cap B'$ is finite
 $\Rightarrow (A \cup B)'$ is finite
 $\Rightarrow A \cup B \in \mathcal{F}$

Thus \mathcal{F} is also closed under finite unions.

Thus \mathcal{F} is a field.

Now define A_n as

~~$A_n = \{2, 4, 6, 8, \dots\}$ if n is even~~ $A_n = \{n\}$, if n even
 $\& A_n = \emptyset$ if n is odd

Then $A_n \in \mathcal{F} \quad \forall n$.

$\bigcup A_n = \{2, 4, 6, 8, \dots\}$ not finite

$(\bigcup A_n)' = \{1, 3, 5, 7, \dots\}$ not finite

Thus $\bigcup A_n \notin \mathcal{F}$

$\Rightarrow \mathcal{F}$ is not closed under countable unions

$\Rightarrow \mathcal{F}$ is not a σ -field.

—x—

(14) Thm: A finite field is a σ -field.

Proof: Let f_f be a finite field.

i.e f_f is a field containing finite no. of sets

so let $f_f = \{C_1, \dots, C_N\}$ where N is finite.

Let $A_n \in f_f \quad \forall n$, then A_n is one or all of sets $C_1, \dots, C_N \in f_f$ hence

$\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n$ is union of some or all sets
 $C_1 \dots C_N$.

i.e $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n$ is a finite union

$\Rightarrow \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n \in f_f \quad (\because f_f \text{ is a field})$.

Hence f_f is also a σ -field.

Thus only finite \xrightarrow{x} field is a σ -field.

\xrightarrow{x}

Def: A non-empty collection M of subsets of Ω is called a monotone class if M is closed under limits of monotone sequences.

i.e $A_n \in M, n=1, 2, \dots \quad \{A_n\}$ is monotone
then $\lim A_n \in M$.

\xrightarrow{x}

Result: Is a σ -field a monotone class?

Yes. Every σ -field is a monotone class,
because σ -field is closed under limits of any type of sequence, if it exists.

The converse is not always true.

i.e a monotone class is not always a σ -field.

let $\mathcal{C} = \{I \mid I \text{ is an interval in } \Omega\}$

i.e any ^{type} of the interval $(a, b), [a, b], [a, b), (a, b]$,
 $(-\infty, b), (-\infty, b], (a, \infty), [a, \infty)$

then \mathcal{C} is a monotone class.

e.g. let $A_n = (a, b - \frac{1}{n}]$, $n \geq 1$

then $A_n \uparrow \text{ & } \lim A_n = (a, b) \in \mathcal{C}$

(15)



Here, we note that \mathcal{C} is closed under limits of monotone sequences. Hence \mathcal{C} is a monotone class. But if $(a, b) \in \mathcal{C}$, $(a, b)' \notin \mathcal{C}$
 $\Rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ is not closed under complements
 $\Rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ is not a σ -field.

Thm : A monotone field is a σ -field.

i.e a field which is a monotone class or a monotone class which is a field is also a σ -field,

Proof:- Let \mathcal{C} be a monotone field.

Being a field, it is always closed under complements.

Let $\{A_n \in \mathcal{C} \mid n=1, 2, \dots\}$

To prove $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n \in \mathcal{C}$.

Define $B_K = \bigcup_{n=1}^K A_n$

then $B_K \in \mathcal{C} \quad \forall K$

& $B_K \uparrow \Rightarrow \lim_{K \rightarrow \infty} B_K \in \mathcal{C}$

but $\lim_{K \rightarrow \infty} B_K = \bigcup_{\infty}^{\infty} B_K = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n$

$\Rightarrow \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n \in \mathcal{C}$

$\Rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ is closed under countable unions.

$\Rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ is a σ -field.

—x—

(16) Thus,

Thm:- A field is a σ -field iff it is a monotone class. Also

Thm:- A monotone class is a σ -field iff it is a field.

—x—

let $\omega = \mathbb{R}$, let $A_x = (x, \infty)$, $x \in \mathbb{R}$

Define $\mathcal{A}_x = \{\omega, \emptyset, A_x, A'_x\}$

then \mathcal{A}_x is a σ -field $\forall x \in \mathbb{R}$

Thus on a given space, we can define infinite no. of σ -fields.

So let \mathcal{A}_1 and \mathcal{A}_2 be two σ -fields, or subsets of ω .

clearly $\emptyset, \omega \in (\mathcal{A}_1 \cap \mathcal{A}_2)$

Thus $\mathcal{A}_1 \cap \mathcal{A}_2$ is non empty.

let $A \in \mathcal{A}_1 \cap \mathcal{A}_2$

$\Rightarrow A \in \mathcal{A}_1$ and $A \in \mathcal{A}_2$

$\Rightarrow A' \in \mathcal{A}_1$ and $A' \in \mathcal{A}_2$

$\Rightarrow A' \in \mathcal{A}_1 \cap \mathcal{A}_2$

$\Rightarrow \mathcal{A}_1 \cap \mathcal{A}_2$ is closed under complementation.

let $\{A_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \in \mathcal{A}_1 \cap \mathcal{A}_2$

$\Rightarrow A_n \in \mathcal{A}_1 \quad \forall n \quad \& \quad A_n \in \mathcal{A}_2 \quad \forall n$

$\Rightarrow \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n \in \mathcal{A}_1$ and $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n \in \mathcal{A}_2$

$\Rightarrow \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n \in \mathcal{A}_1 \cap \mathcal{A}_2$

$\Rightarrow \mathcal{A}_1 \cap \mathcal{A}_2$ is closed under countable unions.

Hence $\mathcal{A}_1 \cap \mathcal{A}_2$ is also a σ -field.

—x—

This idea can be extended to intersection of any number of σ -fields.

(17) Let $\{\mathcal{A}_t, t \in T\}$ be a collection of σ -fields of subsets of Ω .

(T can be finite, infinite, countable or uncountable)

Then $\bigcap_{t \in T} \mathcal{A}_t$ is also a σ -field.

Is Union of two σ -fields also a σ -field?

No, not necessarily.

e.g. Let $\Omega = \mathbb{R}$, $A = [0, \infty)$, $B = (0, \infty)$

then let

$$\mathcal{A}_1 = \{\emptyset, \Omega, [0, \infty), (-\infty, 0]\}$$

$$\mathcal{A}_2 = \{\emptyset, \Omega, (0, \infty), (-\infty, 0]\}$$

then

$$\mathcal{A}_1 \cup \mathcal{A}_2 = \{\emptyset, \Omega, [0, \infty), (-\infty, 0], (0, \infty), (-\infty, 0]\}$$

$$\text{Now } (-\infty, 0] \cap [0, \infty) = \{0\} \notin \mathcal{A}_1 \cup \mathcal{A}_2$$

$\Rightarrow \mathcal{A}_1 \cup \mathcal{A}_2$ is not a σ -field.

—x—

Suppose \mathcal{A}_1 and \mathcal{A}_2 are σ -fields. Further suppose $\mathcal{A}_1 \cup \mathcal{A}_2$ is a σ -field, then what is the relation between \mathcal{A}_1 & \mathcal{A}_2 ?

The relation is either $\mathcal{A}_1 \subset \mathcal{A}_2$ or $\mathcal{A}_2 \subset \mathcal{A}_1$.

Proof: If possible suppose $\mathcal{A}_1 \not\subset \mathcal{A}_2$ or $\mathcal{A}_2 \not\subset \mathcal{A}_1$,

$\Rightarrow \exists$ set $B_1 \in \mathcal{A}_1$ but $B_1 \notin \mathcal{A}_2$

& \exists set $B_2 \in \mathcal{A}_2$ but $B_2 \notin \mathcal{A}_1$

Now

$$B_1 \in \mathcal{A}_1 \Rightarrow B_1 \in \mathcal{A}_1 \cup \mathcal{A}_2$$

$$B_2 \in \mathcal{A}_2 \Rightarrow B_2 \in \mathcal{A}_1 \cup \mathcal{A}_2$$

Now

$$B_1 \cup B_2 \in \mathcal{A}_1 \because B_2 \notin \mathcal{A}_1$$

$$B_1 \cup B_2 \in \mathcal{A}_2 \because B_1 \notin \mathcal{A}_2$$

$$\Rightarrow B_1 \cup B_2 \notin \mathcal{A}_1 \cup \mathcal{A}_2$$

$\Rightarrow \mathcal{A}_1 \cup \mathcal{A}_2$ is not closed under finite union

$\Rightarrow \mathcal{A}_1 \cup \mathcal{A}_2$ is not a field.

(18) $\Rightarrow A_1 \cup A_2$ is not a σ -field.

which is a contradiction.

Thus our assumption must be wrong.

$\Rightarrow A_1 \cup A_2$ is a σ -field iff

either $A_1 \subset A_2$ or $A_2 \subset A_1$.

—x—

Given a class \mathcal{C} of subsets of Ω , find the smallest σ -field containing \mathcal{C} .

\rightarrow Note that power set of Ω always contains \mathcal{C} .
 $\& \mathcal{P}(\Omega)$ is a σ -field.

Now to find smallest σ -field containing \mathcal{C} , let us consider the collection of all σ -fields, that contain \mathcal{C} .

i.e. let $\{A_t, t \in T\}$ be such that $A_t \supseteq \mathcal{C}, \forall t \in T$.

Let $A = \bigcap_{t \in T} A_t \supseteq \mathcal{C}$

We know that A is also a σ -field.

$\& A \subset A_t \quad \forall t \in T$

Thus A is the smallest σ -field containing \mathcal{C} .

—x—

Def: σ -field generated by class \mathcal{C} .

Let \mathcal{C} be a non-empty collection of subsets of Ω . Then the smallest σ -field containing the class \mathcal{C} is called the σ -field generated by class \mathcal{C} & is denoted by $\sigma(\mathcal{C})$.

[Remark: If \mathcal{C} itself is a σ -field then $\sigma(\mathcal{C}) = \mathcal{C}$.]

But if \mathcal{C} is simply a non-empty collection, to reach up to σ -field containing \mathcal{C} , we need to add all possibly required sets, such that it becomes a σ -field.

e.g. Let $A \subset \Omega$ & $\mathcal{C} = \{A\}$

then $\sigma(\mathcal{C}) = \{A, \emptyset, \Omega, A'\}$

Thus $\mathcal{C} \subset \sigma(\mathcal{C})$

—x—

(1) Let $\mathcal{C} = \{A, B\}$, $A, B \subset \mathbb{R}$

then $\sigma(\mathcal{C}) = \{\emptyset, \mathbb{R}, A, B, A', B', A \cup B, A \cap B, A' \cup B, A' \cap B, A' \cup B', A' \cap B', \dots\}$

Borel σ -field : —

Let $\Omega = \mathbb{R}$. Then the σ -field defined over real line is known as Borel σ -field & is denoted by \mathcal{B} .

How to generate Borel σ -field?

Define

$$\mathcal{F}_f = \{A \mid A \text{ is a finite union of sets of the type } (a, b], (-\infty, a], (b, \infty) \mid a \leq b, a, b, \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}\}$$

Then \mathcal{F}_f is a field. why?

$$\text{e.g. } (-\infty, 2] \cap (-\infty, 3] = \emptyset$$

$$(-\infty, 5] \cup (5, \infty) = \mathbb{R} \in \mathcal{F}_f$$

$$\text{Let } a=5, b=5 \text{ then } (5, 5) = \emptyset \in \mathcal{F}_f$$

Thus $\emptyset, \mathbb{R} \in \mathcal{F}_f$.

Further \mathcal{F}_f is closed under finite unions.

$\Rightarrow \mathcal{F}_f$ is a field.

Then the σ -field generated by \mathcal{F}_f i.e $\sigma(\mathcal{F}_f)$ is known as the Borel σ -field.

Thus $\mathcal{B} = \sigma(\mathcal{F}_f)$

Borel σ -field can also be generated as follows.

Define the following 4 classes of subsets of \mathbb{R} .

$$\mathcal{C}_1 = \{I \mid I = (a, b), -\infty < a \leq b < \infty\}$$

$$\mathcal{C}_2 = \{I \mid I = [a, b], -\infty < a \leq b < \infty\}$$

$$\mathcal{C}_3 = \{I \mid I = (a, b], -\infty < a \leq b < \infty\}$$

$$\mathcal{C}_4 = \{I \mid I = [a, b), -\infty < a \leq b < \infty\}$$

(20)

Remark: Any member of any class can be written as member of other class.

e.g. let $A_n = [a, b - \frac{1}{n}]$, $n=1, 2, \dots$

then $A_n \in \mathcal{C}_3$. Note that $A_n \uparrow (a, b)$

$$\text{i.e. } \lim_n A_n = (a, b) \in \mathcal{C},$$

i.e. set in \mathcal{C} , can be written as limits of sets in \mathcal{C}_3 .

Similarly

$$[\alpha, \beta] = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\alpha, \beta + \frac{1}{n})$$

$$\downarrow \qquad \qquad \downarrow$$

$$\in \mathcal{C}_3 \qquad \qquad \in \mathcal{C}_1$$

OR

$$[a, b] = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (a - \frac{1}{n}, b + \frac{1}{n})$$

$$\downarrow \qquad \qquad \downarrow$$

$$\in \mathcal{C}_2 \qquad \qquad \in \mathcal{C}_1$$

Thus it does not matter, among $\mathcal{C}_1, \mathcal{C}_2, \mathcal{C}_3 \text{ & } \mathcal{C}_4$, which one we choose.

Then $\sigma(\mathcal{C}_1) = \sigma(\mathcal{C}_2) = \sigma(\mathcal{C}_3) = \sigma(\mathcal{C}_4) = \mathcal{B}$.

[Smallest σ -field containing \mathcal{C}_1 , OR
 σ -field generated by \mathcal{C}_1]

This is how Borel σ -field is generated

Extended real line:

Real line $(-\infty, \infty) = \mathbb{R}$

Extended real line $[-\infty, \infty] = \bar{\mathbb{R}}$

σ -field over \mathbb{R} : Borel σ -field (\mathcal{B})

σ -field over $\bar{\mathbb{R}}$: extended Borel σ -field ($\bar{\mathcal{B}}$)

(2) Def.: Set $B \in \mathcal{B}$ are called Borel sets.
Examples of Borel sets: open sets, closed set, semi-open or semi-closed sets, singleton sets, set of all rationals, set of all irrationals etc. are some all Borel sets.

* Remark: Note that Borel sets are always subset of \mathbb{R} , but every subset of \mathbb{R} need not be a Borel set.

* Remark: To describe a Borel set is highly impossible. We can simply give a number of examples of Borel sets.

Let Ω be some abstract space & let \mathcal{A} be a fixed σ -field of subsets of Ω .

Then (Ω, \mathcal{A}) is known as a Measurable Space.

Similarly, $(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{B})$ is also a measurable space.

Let (Ω, \mathcal{A}) be a measurable space. Sets belonging to \mathcal{A} are known as measurable sets (mble sets).

e.g. let $A, B \subset \Omega$.

$$\text{let } A_1 = \{\emptyset, \Omega, A, A^c\}$$

$$\leftarrow A_2 = \{\emptyset, \Omega, B, B^c\}$$

then A is mble w.r.t A_1 , but not mble w.r.t A_2 .

Thus whenever a measurable space is defined, the σ -field has to be kept fixed.

Then the mble sets are also fixed.

Now the question is how to measure a mble set?

For this, we have the concept of set function, which assigns every mble set some value over \mathbb{R} or $\overline{\mathbb{R}}$.

Set function:

Def: A function $\chi: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ is known as a set function.

e.g. let $(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{B})$ be a mble space

$$\text{let } B = \{5, 7\}, C = \{2, 4, 8\} \text{ etc.}$$

1) define $\chi(B) = \text{no. of elements in } B \Rightarrow \chi(B)=2, \chi(C)=3$ etc.