```
In [1]: # imports

import numpy as np
import pandas as pd

from sklearn.metrics import accuracy_score
from sklearn.cross_validation import train_test_split
from sklearn.cross_validation import cross_val_score
from sklearn import metrics
```

/Users/thp/anaconda3/lib/python3.6/site-packages/sklearn/cross\_validati on.py:41: DeprecationWarning: This module was deprecated in version 0.1 8 in favor of the model\_selection module into which all the refactored classes and functions are moved. Also note that the interface of the ne w CV iterators are different from that of this module. This module will be removed in 0.20.

"This module will be removed in 0.20.", DeprecationWarning)

# Import the training dataset and start preprocessing the data

```
In [73]: # import the dataset and check
    taxi = pd.read_csv('/Users/thp/Documents/CSULA/5661 Data Science/Projec
    t/train.csv')
    print(taxi.shape)
    taxi.head()
```

(1458644, 11)

Out[73]:

	id	vendor_id	pickup_datetime	dropoff_datetime	passenger_count	pickup_lo
C	id2875421	2	2016-03-14 17:24:55	2016-03-14 17:32:30	1	-73.98215
1	id2377394	1	2016-06-12 00:43:35	2016-06-12 00:54:38	1	-73.98041
2	id3858529	2	2016-01-19 11:35:24	2016-01-19 12:10:48	1	-73.97902
3	id3504673	2	2016-04-06 19:32:31	2016-04-06 19:39:40	1	-74.010040
4	id2181028	2	2016-03-26 13:30:55	2016-03-26 13:38:10	1	-73.97305

in this data set, we found out that some columns are not necessary for the prediction.

For example, "id" and "vendor\_id" and "store\_and\_fwd\_flag" column, so we first drop those.

Out[74]:

	pickup_datetime	dropoff_datetime	passenger_count	pickup_longitude	pickup_latituc
0	2016-03-14 17:24:55	2016-03-14 17:32:30	1	-73.982155	40.767937
1	2016-06-12 00:43:35	2016-06-12 00:54:38	1	-73.980415	40.738564
2	2016-01-19 11:35:24	2016-01-19 12:10:48	1	-73.979027	40.763939
3	2016-04-06 19:32:31	2016-04-06 19:39:40	1	-74.010040	40.719971
4	2016-03-26 13:30:55	2016-03-26 13:38:10	1	-73.973053	40.793209

In further observation, we found that Pick-up time - Drop-off time = trip duration,

so if we just need to find out what time the taxi pick people up, we can omit the drop-off column.

To easily capture the hour results, use the following code:

```
In [75]: taxi_new_h = pd.to_datetime(taxi_new["pickup_datetime"])
  taxi_new['hour'] = taxi_new_h.map(lambda x: x.hour)
  taxi_new.head()
```

Out[75]:

	pickup_datetime	dropoff_datetime	passenger_count	pickup_longitude	pickup_latituc
0	2016-03-14 17:24:55	2016-03-14 17:32:30	1	-73.982155	40.767937
1	2016-06-12 00:43:35	2016-06-12 00:54:38	1	-73.980415	40.738564
2	2016-01-19 11:35:24	2016-01-19 12:10:48	1	-73.979027	40.763939
3	2016-04-06 19:32:31	2016-04-06 19:39:40	1	-74.010040	40.719971
4	2016-03-26 13:30:55	2016-03-26 13:38:10	1	-73.973053	40.793209

we can see that we have a column 'hour' that captures the hour of the day when the taxi picks up the customer.

we believe that this timing of the ride is very important.

## To avoid numeric relationship, we categorize the hour of a day by 5 differnt time zones:

```
In [14]: def cateHours(x):
    if 0 <= x <= 4:
        return "EM"  # Early Morning
    elif 5<= x <= 11:
        return "MP"  # Morning Peak, when everybody is driving to work
and school
    elif 12 <= x <= 14:
        return "AF"  # Afternoon chill time
    elif 15 <= x <= 20:
        return "AP"  # AFternoon Peak, when people are going home from
    work and school
    elif 21 <= x <= 24:
        return "LN"  # Late Night</pre>
```

Then we apply this function to the dataset to change the 'hour' column to discrete values:

```
In [15]: taxi_new['hour'] = taxi_new['hour'].apply(cateHours)
taxi_new.head()
```

Out[15]:

	pickup_datetime	dropoff_datetime	passenger_count	pickup_longitude	pickup_latituc
0	2016-03-14 17:24:55	2016-03-14 17:32:30	1	-73.982155	40.767937
1	2016-06-12 00:43:35	2016-06-12 00:54:38	1	-73.980415	40.738564
2	2016-01-19 11:35:24	2016-01-19 12:10:48	1	-73.979027	40.763939
3	2016-04-06 19:32:31	2016-04-06 19:39:40	1	-74.010040	40.719971
4	2016-03-26 13:30:55	2016-03-26 13:38:10	1	-73.973053	40.793209

### Then we use OneHot Encoding for column 'hour'

```
In [64]: # One hot encoding
    taxi_new_onehotHour = pd.get_dummies(taxi_new['hour'])
    taxi_new_onehotHour.head()
```

Out[64]:

	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9		14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	:	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

 $5 \text{ rows} \times 24 \text{ columns}$ 

```
In [65]: # put this into the dataset
    taxi_new = pd.concat([taxi_new,taxi_new_onehotHour], axis=1)
    taxi_new.head()
```

Out[65]:

		pickup_datetime	dropoff_datetime	passenger_count	pickup_longitude	pickup_latituc
(	0	2016-03-14 17:24:55	2016-03-14 17:32:30	1	-73.982155	40.767937
-	1	2016-06-12 00:43:35	2016-06-12 00:54:38	1	-73.980415	40.738564
2	2	2016-01-19 11:35:24	2016-01-19 12:10:48	1	-73.979027	40.763939
(	3	2016-04-06 19:32:31	2016-04-06 19:39:40	1	-74.010040	40.719971
4	4	2016-03-26 13:30:55	2016-03-26 13:38:10	1	-73.973053	40.793209

5 rows × 33 columns

## After getting the pick-up time zone, we can drop the pickup\_datetime and dropoff\_datetime

but before we do that, let make the Label first.

```
In [66]: label = taxi_new['trip_duration']
label.shape
Out[66]: (1458644,)
```

then drop all unnecessary columns, and make the feature matrix

Out[76]:

	passenger_count	pickup_longitude	pickup_latitude	dropoff_longitude	dropoff_latitud
0	1	-73.982155	40.767937	-73.964630	40.765602
1	1	-73.980415	40.738564	-73.999481	40.731152
2	1	-73.979027	40.763939	-74.005333	40.710087
3	1	-74.010040	40.719971	-74.012268	40.706718
4	1	-73.973053	40.793209	-73.972923	40.782520

#### Scaling may help to normalize the data:

```
In [32]: from sklearn import preprocessing
    taxi_scaled = preprocessing.scale(taxi_new)
    taxi_new = pd.DataFrame(taxi_scaled)
    taxi_new.head()
```

Out[32]:

	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
0	-0.505637	-0.122261	0.517494	0.124369	0.384575	-0.418775	1.433246	-0.404068
1	-0.505637	-0.097727	-0.375819	-0.368970	-0.575303	-0.418775	-0.697717	2.474831
2	-0.505637	-0.078143	0.395910	-0.451805	-1.162220	-0.418775	-0.697717	-0.404068
3	-0.505637	-0.515558	-0.941274	-0.549976	-1.256071	-0.418775	1.433246	-0.404068
4	-0.505637	0.006112	1.286091	0.006974	0.855957	2.387919	-0.697717	-0.404068

### **Algorithm selection:**

We select Linear Regression, Lasso, ElasticNet, and Ridge to perform regression, and compare them together

```
In [36]: # Frist define the RMSE method.

def RMSE(y_test,y_predict):
    # Calculating "Mean Square Error" (MSE):
    mse = metrics.mean_squared_error(y_test, y_predict)
    # Using numpy sqrt function to take the square root and calculate "R
    oot Mean Square Error" (RMSE)
    rmse = np.sqrt(mse)
    return(rmse)
```

```
In [42]: # Define a method to run all 4 regression at the same time:
         def Regressions(feature, label):
             # split the dataset into training and testing sets by 80-20 ratio
             X_train, X_test, y_train, y_test = train_test_split(feature, label,
         test_size=0.2, random_state=3)
             #linear
             from sklearn.linear_model import LinearRegression
             myLinearReg = LinearRegression()
             myLinearReg.fit(X_train,y_train)
             y predict = myLinearReq.predict(X test)
             print('Linear ',RMSE(y_test,y_predict))
             y predict = myLinearReg.predict(X train)
             print('Linear Train',RMSE(y_train,y_predict),'\n') ## Output the
          RMSE on the Training set.
             #Ridge
             from sklearn.linear_model import Ridge
             myRidge = Ridge()
             myRidge.fit(X_train,y_train)
             y_predict = myRidge.predict(X_test)
             print('Ridge ', RMSE(y_test,y_predict))
             y_predict = myRidge.predict(X_train)
             print('Ridge Train', RMSE(y train,y predict),'\n') ## Output the
          RMSE on the Training set.
             #ElasticNet
             from sklearn.linear_model import ElasticNet
             myENet = ElasticNet()
             myENet.fit(X_train,y_train)
             y predict = myENet.predict(X test)
             print('ElasticNet ', RMSE(y_test,y_predict))
             y predict = myENet.predict(X train)
             print('ElasticNet Train', RMSE(y_train,y_predict),'\n')
             from sklearn.linear model import Lasso
             myLasso = Lasso()
             myLasso.fit(X train,y train)
             y predict = myLasso.predict(X test)
             print('Lasso ', RMSE(y_test,y_predict))
             y predict = myLasso.predict(X train)
             print('Lasso Train', RMSE(y_train,y_predict),'\n')
             # 10-fold Cross validation:
             rmse list = cross val score(myLasso, X train, y train, cv=10, scorin
         g='neg mean_squared_error')
             #print(rmse list)
```

```
# Notice that "cross_val_score" by default provides "negative" value
s for "mse" to clarify that mse is error.
    # in order to calculate root mean square error (rmse), we have to ma
ke them positive!
    mse_list_positive = -rmse_list

# using numpy sqrt function to calculate rmse:
    rmse_list = np.sqrt(mse_list_positive)
    #print(rmse_list)

print('cross-validation',rmse_list.mean())
```

## Also, in order to reduce work load and compare result, we create a method to split the dataset

#### Split the dataset to make it smaller:

```
In [61]: # use spliting 5 times as example.

taxi_reduced, label_reduced = shrinkDataSet (taxi_new,label,5,0.5) #
    split 5 times, into half

print('original: ',taxi_new.shape)
print('After: ',taxi_reduced.shape)

original: (1458644, 10)
After: (45582, 10)
```

### start predicting:

#### First, Define a method for easy comparing:

```
In [77]: def Run_compare(k): # K = how many times to split
             taxi_reduced, label_reduced = shrinkDataSet (taxi_new,label, k ,0.5)
         # split k times, into half
             print('Original shape: ',taxi_new.shape)
             print('After shape: ',taxi_reduced.shape,'\n')
             Regressions(taxi reduced, label reduced)
In [78]: # with k=8
         k=8
         Run_compare(k)
         Original shape: (1458644, 6)
         After shape: (5697, 6)
         Linear 1781.51831131
         Linear Train 2877.84713674
         Ridge 1784.69808899
         Ridge Train 2878.11171685
         ElasticNet 1837.5547643
         ElasticNet Train 2892.35522215
         Lasso 1788.45754825
         Lasso Train 2878.39847003
         cross-validation 2132.3395566
In [79]: \# with k=5
         k=5
         Run_compare(k)
         Original shape: (1458644, 6)
         After shape: (45582, 6)
         Linear 2404.81766244
         Linear Train 3173.99831691
         Ridge 2404.76544278
         Ridge Train 3173.99949758
         ElasticNet 2409.92230982
         ElasticNet Train 3177.59270167
         Lasso 2404.79612419
         Lasso Train 3174.20953396
         cross-validation 3201.01362113
```

```
In [80]: # with k=3
         k=3
         Run_compare(k)
         Original shape: (1458644, 6)
         After shape: (182330, 6)
         Linear 3156.34673057
         Linear Train 3031.27338788
         Ridge 3156.3498263
         Ridge Train 3031.27348151
         ElasticNet 3164.61795738
         ElasticNet Train 3037.9264342
         Lasso 3157.00275639
         Lasso Train 3031.50439215
         cross-validation 3020.4657568
In [81]: # with k=1
         k=1
         Run_compare(k)
         Original shape: (1458644, 6)
         After shape: (729322, 6)
         Linear 3164.22500312
         Linear Train 6845.44324993
         Ridge 3164.22268921
         Ridge Train 6845.44325583
         ElasticNet 3172.01889884
         ElasticNet Train 6849.21676245
         Lasso 3164.36450035
         Lasso Train 6845.64709536
         cross-validation 5315.64243849
```

```
In [82]: # with k=0 , not spliting at all
    k=0
    Run_compare(k)

Original shape: (1458644, 6)
After shape: (1458644, 6)

Linear 4792.48485427
Linear Train 5337.21470879

Ridge 4792.48477267
Ridge Train 5337.21470982

ElasticNet 4795.97657707
ElasticNet Train 5341.76515078

Lasso 4792.57522921
Lasso Train 5337.38714826

cross-validation 4483.48686124
```

From article, if we compare the RMSE from the Predicted RMSE and the Training RMSE, and if they are similar, it's good.

RMSE doesn't have a specific threadhold to say "below xxx is good".

Therefore, we can see that at k=3, the RMSE of predicted and training are the closest.

In this case, I can say that when we split the dataset into 3 times, providing about 180k rows of data, the model is trained to the best fit.