

# Exercise Chapter 1

Tobias Hugentobler

09.03.2023

## Contents

Exercise 1 . . . . .	1
Exercise 2 . . . . .	2
Exercise 3 . . . . .	2
Exercise 4 . . . . .	2
Exercise 5 . . . . .	2

## Exercise 1

In this exercise, we consider 95%-confidence intervals for the true mean of a uniform distribution.

**a.**

Generate a sample of 30 observations from the standard uniform distribution and calculate a Student confidence interval for the true mean  $\mu$ . Interpret it.

```
set.seed(145)

nObs = 30
alpha = 0.95
degreesOfFreedom = nObs-1

x = runif(nObs)
mu_hat <- mean(x)
se_hat <- sd(x) / sqrt(nObs)

conf_int = c(mu_hat - 1:1*qt(alpha/2, degreesOfFreedom))
names(conf_int) = c("lci", "estimate", "uci")
conf_int

##      lci      estimate      uci
## 0.5091013 0.5723531 0.6356049
```

With confidence 0.95 we can say that the true mean is higher than 0.5091013 and lower than 0.6356049

**b.**

Calculate the Bootstrap estimate of the standard error and compare it with the usual estimate of the standard error. Plot a histogram of the Bootstrap replications.

**c.**

Use the `plot_stability()` function of the lecture notes to figure out after how many Bootstrap samples the Bootstrap estimate of the standard error would stabilize.

**d.**

Calculate a standard normal Bootstrap CI and a percentile Bootstrap CI for  $\mu$ . Compare with the interval from 1a.

## Exercise 2

Consider the two samples  $y_1 = 1, 2, \dots, 21$  and  $y_2 = 1, 2, \dots, 51$ .

**a.**

Resample within groups to calculate a percentile Bootstrap CI for the true median difference  $\theta = \text{Med}(y_2) - \text{Med}(y_1)$ . Interpret the result.

**b.**

Calculate a standard normal Bootstrap CI for  $\theta$ . Compare the two solutions.

## Exercise 3

For the situation in Exercise 1, use simulation to estimate real coverage probabilities of the Student CI and the two types of Bootstrap CIs. What do you observe?

## Exercise 4

Here, we study a test on Spearman's rank correlation. a. What is Spearman's rank correlation? b. Write a function `spearman_test2(x, y, B = 10000)` that calculates a one-sided permutation p value for the null hypothesis of no positive monotonic association. I.e., you want to show the alternative hypothesis that the true rank correlation is positive. c. Use a simulated example to compare with the corresponding p values from the "coin" package, and also using `stats::cor.test(x, y, method = "s", method = "greater")`.

## Exercise 5

In the situation of Exercise 4: Use simulation to compare your approach with `stats::cor.test()` regarding... a. ... Type 1 error? (Work with independent normal random variables) b. ... power? (Work with dependent normal random variables). c. How do you interpret your result?