

# CS 373 Notes

Marcell J. Vazquez-Chanlatte     October 1, 2012

## Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>General</b>	<b>2</b>
1.1	Chomsky Heirarchy . . . . .	2
1.2	Starting off . . . . .	2
1.3	Strings . . . . .	3
1.4	Language . . . . .	3
<b>2</b>	<b>Regular Languages</b>	<b>3</b>
2.1	Deterministic Finite Automotas . . . . .	3
2.2	Non-Deterministic Finite Automotas (NFA) . . . . .	4
2.3	Regular Expressions . . . . .	4
2.4	Generalized NFA (GNFA) . . . . .	5
2.5	Pumping Lemma for regular languages . . . . .	5
2.6	Substitutions . . . . .	5
2.6.1	Substution simple definition . . . . .	5
2.6.2	Homomorphism . . . . .	5
2.6.3	Inverse Homorphism . . . . .	5
2.7	DFA Minimization . . . . .	6
2.7.1	Theory . . . . .	6
2.7.2	Algorithm . . . . .	6
2.8	Reg Operations (closed under the Reg languages) . . . . .	6
2.9	Right Invariant Equivalence . . . . .	6
2.10	Myhill-Nerod Theorem . . . . .	7
<b>3</b>	<b>Context Free Langagues</b>	<b>7</b>
3.1	Formal Definition: . . . . .	7
3.2	Chomsky Normal form (CNF) . . . . .	8
3.3	Deterministic Push Down Automotas . . . . .	8
3.4	Non-Deterministic Push Down Automotas (PDA) . . . . .	8
3.5	Relating PDA to CFL . . . . .	8
3.6	Pumping Lemma for CFL's . . . . .	8
3.7	Closure Properties of CFL's . . . . .	9
3.7.1	Theorems for Closure . . . . .	9
3.8	CYK algorithm . . . . .	9
3.8.1	Dynamic Programming (sequential optimization) . . . . .	9
3.8.2	Algorithm . . . . .	10
<b>4</b>	<b>Context Sensitive Languages</b>	<b>10</b>
4.1	Formal Definition: Non-Contracting Grammars . . . . .	10
4.2	Normal Form Definition . . . . .	10
4.3	Linear Bounded Automaton . . . . .	11
4.3.1	Informal Definition . . . . .	11

<b>5</b>	<b>Turing Machines</b>	<b>11</b>
5.1	Formal Def . . . . .	11
5.2	Configurations . . . . .	11
5.2.1	Halting Configs . . . . .	11
5.3	Turning Recognizable . . . . .	11
5.4	Turing Decidable . . . . .	11
5.4.1	Co-Recognizablity . . . . .	12
5.5	Turing Machine Variants: . . . . .	12
5.6	Universal Turing Machine . . . . .	12
5.7	Undecidability . . . . .	12
5.7.1	Halting Problem . . . . .	12
5.8	Reduction . . . . .	13
5.8.1	Map Reductions . . . . .	13
5.8.2	Rice's Theorem: . . . . .	13
<b>6</b>	<b>Kolmogorov Complexity</b>	<b>13</b>
<b>7</b>	<b>Complexity Theory:</b>	<b>13</b>
7.1	Definition: . . . . .	13
7.2	Complexity Classes . . . . .	14
7.2.1	P vs NP . . . . .	14

## 1 General

Sizes	Examples	Countable?
Finite	{a,b}	yes
Countable Infinite	N,Z, Q	yes
Uncountable Infinity	R, Pow(R)	no

  

name	descriptipn	Machine
regular	LRk	D PDA
context free language	CFG	PDA

### 1.1 Chomsky Heirarchy

Type	Grammar	Rules	Machine
0	Unrestricted	$\alpha \rightarrow \beta$	Turning Machines (recognizable)
1	Context-Sensitive	$\alpha \rightarrow \beta,  \beta  \geq  \alpha $	non-det LBA
2	Context-Free	$A \rightarrow \alpha$	non-det PDA
3	Regular	$A \rightarrow a, A \rightarrow aB$	DFA/NFA

### 1.2 Starting off

1. Alphabet( $\Sigma$ ) = finite non empty set
2.  $\mathbb{N}$  in this class starts at 0
3. A set X is countably infinite iff  $\exists$  a bijection  $f : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow X$

### 1.3 Strings

1.  $\text{String}(w)$  = sequence of characters in  $\Sigma$
2.  $w : \{c_i \in \Sigma | 0 \leq i \leq n\}$
3.  $|w| = n \equiv \text{length of the string}$
4.  $|w| = 0 \rightarrow w = \epsilon$ 
  - (a) Careful  $\sigma \neq \emptyset$
5. Substring subsequence of characters in  $w$
6. Concatenation:  $w_1 \cdot w_2$
7. Reverse:  $w^r$
8. Palindrome:  $w = w^r$

### 1.4 Language

1.  $\text{Language}(L)$  = set of strings
2.  $\Sigma^n = \{w : |w| = n\}$
3.  $\Sigma^0 = \{\epsilon\}$
4.  $\Sigma^* = \cup_{i=0}^n \Sigma^i$ , Language of all strings

## 2 Regular Languages

### 2.1 Deterministic Finite Automatas

1. Finite state machine (M)
2. Takes a string of inputs
3. 2 types of states
  - (a) Accept
  - (b) Deny
4. There is 1 start state
5. The set of all strings accepted by language of M or  $L(A)$
6. Formal Definition
  - (a) a Language  $A \in \Sigma^*$  is called regular iff there exists a DFA  $M$ , s.t.  $L(M) = A$
  - (b) A DFA is a 5 tuple  $M = (Q, \Sigma, \delta, q_0, F)$ 
    - i.  $Q$  is a finite set of states
    - ii.  $\Sigma$  is a finite alphabet
    - iii.  $\delta : Q \times \Sigma \rightarrow Q$  is the transition function
    - iv.  $q_0 \in Q$  is the initial state
    - v.  $F \subset Q$  is the set of accept states
  - (c)  $L(M) \equiv \text{language of all accepted strings}$

## 7. Closure properties/Regular Operations on languages

- (a)  $A_1$  and  $A_2$  are regular
- (b) Union:  $A_1 \cup A_2 = A_3$
- (c) Concatenate:  $A_1 A_2 = A_3$
- (d) Star:  $A_1^* = A_3$

## 2.2 Non-Deterministic Finite Automotas (NFA)

### 1. Formal Definition

- (a)  $M = (Q, \Sigma, \delta, q_0, F)$ 
  - i.  $Q$  = finite set of states
  - ii.  $\Sigma$  is a finite alphabet
  - iii.  $\delta = Q \times \Sigma_\epsilon \rightarrow Pow(Q)$ 
    - A.  $\Sigma_\epsilon = \Sigma \cup \epsilon$
  - iv.  $q_0$  = start state
  - v.  $F \subset Q$
- (b) NFA accepts  $w$  If we can write  $w = y_1 y_2 \dots y_n y_i \in \Sigma_\epsilon$  s.t. there exists a sequence of states path  $R = r_0, r_1, \dots$ 
  - i.  $r_0 = q_0$
  - ii.  $r_{i+1} \in \delta(r_i, y_{i+1})$  for  $i = 0, 1 \dots m-1$
  - iii.  $r_m \in F$

### 2. Useful Lemma: For all NFA, $M$ , there exists an DFA $N$ , s.t. $L(M) = L(N)$

## 2.3 Regular Expressions

### 1. Def: $R$ is a regex over a fixed alphabet iff one of the following is true:

- (a)  $R = a \in \Sigma$
- (b)  $R = \sigma$
- (c)  $R = \emptyset$
- (d)  $R = R_1 \cup R_2$ , given  $R_1$  and  $R_2$  are regex
- (e)  $R = R_1 R_2$ , given  $R_1$  and  $R_2$  are regex
- (f)  $R = R^*$

### 2. Order of operations

- (a) star
- (b) concatenation
- (c) union

### 3. Identities

- (a)  $a\emptyset = \emptyset$
- (b)  $a\epsilon = a$
- (c)  $\emptyset^* = \{\epsilon\}$

## 2.4 Generalized NFA (GNFA)

### 1. Definition

- (a)  $Q$  = set of all states
- (b)  $Q^0 = Q - q_{start}, q_{accept}$
- (c) The start state has out edges to every  $q \in Q - q_{start}$ , and no in edges
- (d) The accept state has inedges from every  $q \in Q - q_{accept}$ , and no outedges
- (e) An edge exists from every  $q_1 \in Q^0$  to every  $q_2 \in Q^0$  even if  $q_1 = q_2$
- (f) Every edge is labeled with a regex

### 2. Useful lemma: Any NFA can be written as a GNFA

### 3. lemma: Given a GNFA, $M$ , with 2 states, the regex between the 2 states describes the language of $M$

## 2.5 Pumping Lemma for regular languages

If  $A$  is regular, then  $\exists p \in \mathbb{N}$  s.t.  $\forall s \in A$  for which  $|s| \geq p$ ,  $s$  can be written as  $xyz$  and satisfy the following condition:

- 1.  $\forall i \geq 0, xy^iz \in A$
- 2.  $|y| > 0$  i.e.  $y \neq \epsilon$
- 3.  $|xy| \leq p$

$p$  is called the “pumping length”

## 2.6 Substitutions

### 2.6.1 Substitution simple definition

- $A$  is a reg language and  $A \mapsto f(A), A \subseteq \Sigma^*$
- $A$  is described w. a regex and  $R_a$  is a regex using  $\Gamma$
- $\forall a \in \Sigma a \mapsto R_a$
- $\epsilon \mapsto \epsilon$  and  $\emptyset \mapsto \emptyset$

### 2.6.2 Homomorphism

- $A \mapsto h(A)$
- $a \mapsto w, w \in \Gamma^*, a \in \Sigma$

### 2.6.3 Inverse Homomorphism

- $h^{-1}(A) = \{w \in \Sigma^* | h(w) \in A\}$

## 2.7 DFA Minimization

### 2.7.1 Theory

Problem: Given a DFA,  $M$ , with  $L(M) = A$ , find another DFA,  $M_{2c}$ , s.t.  $L(M) = L(M_2)$  and  $|Q_2|$  is as small as possible

- $\delta : Q \times \Sigma \rightarrow Q$
- $\bar{\delta}(q, w)q \in Q, w \in \Sigma^*$
- $\bar{\delta}(q, w) \equiv$  iterative call on delta for all  $w_i$  in  $w$
- If  $\exists w \in \Sigma^*$  s.t.  $[\delta(\bar{p}, w) \in F \text{ and } \delta q, w \notin F]$  or  $[\bar{\delta}(p, w) \notin F \text{ and } \delta q, w \in F]$  then  $p$  and  $q$  are distinguishable

### 2.7.2 Algorithm

```
for (p,q) in Q^2:
    if (p in F) and (not q in F):
        A.push((p,q)) # marked list
    else:
        B.push((p,q)) # unmarked list
for (p,q) in B:
    if (delta(p,a),delta(q,a)) in B:
        A.push((p,q))
```

## 2.8 Reg Operations (closed under the Reg languages)

1.  $A_1 \cup A_2$
2.  $A_1 - A_2$
3.  $\bar{A}_1 = \Sigma^* - A_1$
4.  $A_1 \cap A_2$
5. Symmetric Diff
6.  $A_1 A_2$
7.  $A_1^*$
8.  $A^r$
9. Reg languages are closed under substitution
10. Reg languages are closed under homomorphism
11. Reg languages are closed under inverse homomorphism
12. Reg languages are closed under

## 2.9 Right Invariant Equivalence

Def: An equivalence relation is called right invariant or concatenation invariant iff  $x \sim y \implies \forall w \in \Sigma^* xw \sim yw$

## 2.10 Myhill-Nerod Theorem

- Claim: The following statements are equivalent
  1.  $A$  is a regular language
  2.  $\exists$  a right invariant equivalence relation that has a finite index, and  $A =$  union of some of the equivalence classes
  3.  $\overset{A}{\sim}$  is of finite index
- Proof:  $1 \rightarrow 2$ 
  1. Let  $M$  be any DFA,  $M = (Q, \Sigma, \delta, q_0, F)$  s.t.  $A = L(M)$
  2. Let  $\overset{A}{\sim}$  be define as in Right Invariant Equivalence
  3. The number of equivalence classes is  $\leq |Q|$
  4.  $A$  is then the union of classes that correspond to  $F$   $\square$
- Proof:  $2 \rightarrow 3$ 
  1. Show that the partition of  $\Sigma^*$  produced by a right invariant is a refinement of the partition induced by  $\overset{A}{\sim}$
  2. Since  $\sim$  is right invariant,  $\forall z \in \Sigma^*, xz \sim yz$  which implies that  $xz \in A$  iff  $yz \in A$  which by definition implies  $x \overset{A}{\sim} y$
- Proof:  $3 \rightarrow 1$ 
  1. Construct a DFA using  $\overset{A}{\sim}$
  2. Let  $Q \equiv$  set of equivalence classes of  $\overset{A}{\sim}$
  3. Let  $[x] \in \Sigma^*, [x] \in Q$ , denote the equivalence class that  $x$  belongs to
  4. Let  $\delta([x], a) \equiv [xa]$ , by def of  $\overset{A}{\sim}$
  5. Let  $q_0 \equiv [\epsilon]$  and  $F \equiv [x] : x \in A$

## 3 Context Free Languages

### 3.1 Formal Definition:

1.  $(V, \Sigma, R, S)$ 
  - (a)  $V =$  Finite set of variables or “non-terminals”
  - (b)  $\Sigma =$  finite set of terminals
    - i.  $\Sigma \cap V = \emptyset$
    - ii. Convention: Variables are uppercase, symbols are lowercase
  - (c)  $R =$  finite set of rules or “substitution rules” or “productions” 1.Rules: examples
    - i.  $A \rightarrow aaBc|a$   
A. This means the for an  $A$  you can replace it with  $aaBc$  or  $a$
    - ii.  $A \Rightarrow OA1 \Rightarrow 00A11 \Rightarrow 001A011 \Rightarrow 001011$
  - (d)  $S$  is the start variable
2.  $L(G) = \{w \in \Sigma^* | S \xRightarrow{*} w\}$
3. Notation:

- (a) Variables:  $A, B, C, \dots$
- (b) Terminal:  $a, b, c, \dots, 0, 1, \epsilon$
- (c)  $U \xRightarrow{*} V$  is defined as  $\exists$  sequence  $U_1..U_k$ , s.t.  $U \Rightarrow U_1 \Rightarrow U_2 \Rightarrow \dots \Rightarrow U_k \rightarrow V$

### 3.2 Chomsky Normal form (CNF)

- All rules have the form
  - $A \rightarrow BC$ , where  $B, C$  cannot be  $S$
  - $A \rightarrow a$
  - if  $A \rightarrow \epsilon$  then  $A = S$
- Lemma: Any CFG can be written in CNF

### 3.3 Deterministic Push Down Automotas

- $M = (Q, \Sigma, \Gamma, \delta, q_0, F)$ 
  - $\delta : Q \times \Sigma_\epsilon \times \Gamma_\epsilon \rightarrow Q \times \Gamma_\epsilon$

### 3.4 Non-Deterministic Push Down Automotas (PDA)

- $M = (Q, \Sigma, \Gamma, \delta, q_0, F)$ 
  - $\delta : Q \times \Sigma_\epsilon \times \Gamma_\epsilon \rightarrow Pow(Q \times \Gamma_\epsilon)$

### 3.5 Relating PDA to CFL

- A language is context free iff  $\exists$  a PDA that recognizes it
  - Lemma: If  $A$  is CF, then  $\exists$  a PDA,  $M$ , s.t.  $A = L(M)$
  - Lemma:  $\forall$  PDA,  $M$ ,  $\exists$  CFL,  $G$ , s.t.  $L(G) = L(M)$ 
    - \* Proof Idea: Make a conical PDA (while preserving acceptance) as follows
      1. 1 accept states
      2. Stack is empty when accepting
      3. Every transition either push or pops but not both

### 3.6 Pumping Lemma for CFL's

Theorem: If  $A$  is a CFL, then  $\exists p \geq 0, p \in \mathbb{Z}$  s.t.  $\forall s \in A : |S| \geq p \implies \exists$  a partition  $s = uvxyz$  that satisfy the follow conditions

1.  $\forall i \geq 0, uv^i xy^i z \in A$
2.  $|vy| > 0$
3.  $|vxy| \leq p$

Proof:

1. Let  $G$  be a CFG s.t.  $A = L(G)$
2. Let  $b$  be the max length of the right side of a rule  $\in R$  and assume  $b \geq 2$ 
  - (a) If  $b < 2$  the language must be finite thus the pumping lemma is trivially true



3. Consider the derivation tree if the tree height is  $h$ , then the length of the generated string,  $s$ ,  $\leq b^h$
4. Let  $p = b^{|V|+1}$ , where  $V$  = set of variables
5. Observe that for any  $s \in A$  that  $|S| \geq p \implies h \geq |v| + 1$
6. Choose the 'smallest' derivation tree by height for  $s$
7. The longest path has length  $|v| + 1$  and visits  $|v| + 2$  variables
8. Note that  $|v| < \text{variables visited}$ , thus by the **Pidgeon Hole Principle** there must be at least 1 variable repeated
9. Thus There is a cycle in the production process strings which can then be repeated an indefinite amount of times in the form  $uv^i xy^i z \square$

### 3.7 Closure Properties of CFL's

1.  $A_1 \cap A_2$
2.  $A_1 \cdot A_2$
3.  $A_1^*$
4. Closure under substitution

#### 3.7.1 Theorems for Closure

Let  $G_i = (V_i, \Sigma_i, R_i, S_i)$  for  $i = 1, 2$  and  $A_i = L(G_i)$

Without loss of generality, assume  $V_1 \cap V_2 = \emptyset \wedge S_3$

- Theorem: If  $A_1$  and  $A_2$  are CFL's, then  $A_1 \cup A_2$  is a CFL

Proof:

1. Let  $G_i = (V_i, \Sigma_i, R_i, S_i)$  for  $i = 1, 2$  and  $A_i = L(G_i)$
2. Without loss of generality, assume  $V_1 \cap V_2 = \emptyset \wedge S_3 \notin V_1 \cup V_2$
3. Construct  $G_3 = (V_1 \cup V_2 \cup \{S_3\}, \Sigma_1 \cup \Sigma_2, R_3, S_3)$  with  $R_3 = R_1 \cup R_2 \cup \{S_3 \rightarrow S_1 | S_2\}$ .  $\square$

- Theorem: If  $A_1$  and  $A_2$  are CFL's then  $A_1 \cdot A_2$  is a CFL

Proof:

1.  $\notin V_1 \cup V_2$
2. Construct  $G_3 = (V_1 \cup V_2 \cup \{S_3\}, \Sigma_1 \cup \Sigma_2, R_1 \cup R_2 \cup \{S_3 \rightarrow S_1 S_2\}, S_3)$

- Theorem: If  $A_1$  and  $A_2$  are CFL's then  $A_1^*$  is a CFL

Proof: Construct  $G_3 = (V_1 \cup \{S_3\}, \Sigma_1, R_1 \cup \{S_2 \rightarrow S_1 S_2 | \epsilon\})$

### 3.8 CYK algorithm

#### 3.8.1 Dynamic Programming (sequential optimization)

- Richard Bellman 1950
- sequential decision making
- extensive form games
- optimal control theory
- Dijkstra's Algorithm

### 3.8.2 Algorithm

Is  $G \xRightarrow{*} w$  true or false?

$G = (V, \Sigma, R, S)$ , Put  $G$  into Chomsky Normal Form,  $w \in \Sigma^*$

Cocke, Schwartz, Younger, Kasame

```
"""
```

```
Preconditions:
```

```
let the input be a string  $S$  consisting of  $n$  characters:  $a_1 \dots a_n$ .
```

```
let the grammar contain  $r$  nonterminal symbols  $R_1 \dots R_r$ .
```

```
This grammar contains the subset  $R_s$  which is the set of start symbols.
```

```
let  $P[n,n,r]$  be an array of booleans. Initialize all elements of  $P$  to false.
```

```
"""
```

```
for each  $i = 1$  to  $n$ :
```

```
    for each unit production  $R_j \rightarrow a_i$ :
```

```
         $P[i][1][j] = \text{true}$ 
```

```
for each  $i = 2$  to  $n$ : # Length of span
```

```
    for  $j$  in range(1,  $n-i+2$ ): # Start of span
```

```
        for  $k$  in range(1,  $i$ ): # Partition of span
```

```
             $A = \text{filter}(R_A \rightarrow R_B R_C, G)$  #  $A$  = list of productions s.t.  $R_A \rightarrow R_B R_C$ 
```

```
            for production in  $A$ :
```

```
                if  $P[j][k][B]$  and  $P[j+k][i-k][C]$ :
```

```
                     $P[j][i][A] = \text{True}$ 
```

```
if any  $P[1][n]$ : #  $x$  is iterated over the set  $s$ , where  $s$  are all the indices for  $R_s$ )
```

```
    print 'S is member of language'
```

```
else:
```

```
    print 'S is not member of language'
```

## 4 Context Sensitive Languages

### 4.1 Formal Definition: Non-Contracting Grammars

$G = (V, \Sigma, R, S)$

1.  $V$  is finite set of variables
2.  $\Sigma$  is a finite set of terminals and  $\Sigma = \emptyset$
3.  $\alpha \rightarrow \beta$
4.  $|\alpha| \leq |\beta|$

### 4.2 Normal Form Definition

1.  $R$  is a finite set of rules of the form  $\alpha A \beta \rightarrow \alpha \gamma \beta$  in which  $A$  is a variable and  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma$  are strings of terminals and variables.
  - $\alpha, \beta \in (V \cup \Sigma)^*$
  - $\gamma \in (V \cup \Sigma)^* - \epsilon$
2.  $S \in V$  is the start variable
3. One additional rule allowed  $S \rightarrow \epsilon$  and  $S$  is not on the right side of any rule

## 4.3 Linear Bounded Automaton

### 4.3.1 Informal Definition

Has no stack but can read/write anywhere on the input string

## 5 Turing Machines

### 5.1 Formal Def

A Turing Machine is a 7-tuple  $(Q, \Sigma, \Gamma, \delta, q_0, q_{accept}, q_{reject})$  with  $Q, \Sigma, \Gamma \equiv$  non empty sets

- $Q$  is set of states
- $\Sigma$  is the input alphabet, which does not contain the blank symbol  $\_$
- $\Gamma$  is the tape alphabet, in which  $\_ \in \Gamma$  and  $\Sigma \subset \Gamma$
- $\delta : Q \times \Gamma \rightarrow Q \times \Gamma \times \{L, R\}$  is the transition function
- $q_0 \in Q$  is the start state
- $q_{accept} \in Q$  is the accept state
- $q_{reject} \in Q$  is the reject state
- $q_{accept} \neq q_{reject}$

### 5.2 Configurations

A configuration of the turing machine  $\equiv c_i = (q_i, p_i, t_i)$ , where  $q_i \in Q, p_i$  is the head pos, and  $t_i \in \Gamma^*$  is the tape contents.

Notice that configurations are unique, and from them given the next input symbol one can determine the next configuration. i.e.

$$\delta(q_i, \gamma_i) : (c_i, \gamma_i) \mapsto (q_{i+1}, p_{i+1}, D_{i+1}) \mapsto c_{i+1} \quad (1)$$

for  $D_{i+1} \in \{L, R\}$

#### 5.2.1 Halting Configs

If either of the following type of configs is encountered, the turning machine halts and returns ‘accept’ or ‘reject’ respectively.

- Accept Config

$$c_{accept} \iff q_i = q_{accept} \quad (2)$$

- Reject Config

$$c_{reject} \iff q_i = q_{reject} \quad (3)$$

### 5.3 Turning Recognizable

$M$  is Recognizable iff  $\forall w \in L, M$  accepts

### 5.4 Turing Decidable

$M$ , is Decidable iff  $\forall w \in L, M$  accepts and  $\forall w \notin L, M$  rejects

### 5.4.1 Co-Recognizability

1. Define:  $\Sigma^* - A = \bar{A}$  is recognizable
- Decidability Theorem:
  1. A language is only Turing Decidable iff it is both recognizable and co-recognizable
  2. If a language is not decidable then its complement is not recognizable

### 5.5 Turing Machine Variants:

Note that none of these add any power

- Multi-Tape:  $\delta : Q \times \Gamma^k \rightarrow Q \times \Gamma^k \times \{L, R\}^k$ 
  - Emulate on single tape by striping and recording/marking virtual head position
- Adding Stay:  $\delta : Q \times \Gamma \rightarrow Q \times \Gamma \times \{L, R, S\}$  where S doesn't move the head
  - Emulate by moving left and then right while not changing tape contents
- Non-Deterministic:

### 5.6 Universal Turing Machine

Turing machine that take a turing machine, M, as a string encoding denoted as  $\langle M \rangle$

### 5.7 Undecidability

#### 5.7.1 Halting Problem

1. Theorem:  $A_{TM}$  is not Turing Decidable
2. Proof by Contradiction:
  - (a) Suppose  $A_{TM}$  were decidable.
  - (b) Let H be a TM that decides  $A_{TM}$ 
    - i.  $H(\langle M, w \rangle) =$ 
      - A. accept if  $w \in L(M)$
      - B. reject if  $w \notin L(M)$
  - (c) Construct a TM, D, which uses H and give the opposite result
    - i.  $D \equiv$  on input  $\langle M \rangle$ , in which M is a T.M
      - A. Run H on input  $\langle M, \langle M \rangle \rangle$
      - B. Return the opposite of what H outputs
  - (d) Therefore  $D(\langle M \rangle) :$ 
    - i. accept if M rejects  $\langle M \rangle$
    - ii. reject if M accepts  $\langle M \rangle$
  - (e) Note that this implies  $D(\langle D \rangle) :$ 
    - i. accept if D rejects  $\langle D \rangle$
    - ii. reject if D accepts  $\langle D \rangle$
  - (f) Notice that this is a contradiction, thus H and D cannot exist

## 5.8 Reduction

Using a language that is known to be undecidable prove that another language is not undecidable.

### 5.8.1 Map Reductions

- Computable Functions

A function  $f : \Sigma^* \rightarrow \Sigma^*$  is called computable iff  $\exists$  a TM,  $M$ , s.t.  $M$  halts  $\forall w \in \Sigma^*$ , and after halting,  $f(w)$  appears alone on the tape.

- Mapping reducible

A language  $A$  is called mapping reducible to language  $B$ , written  $A \leq_M B$  iff:

$$\exists(f : \Sigma^* \rightarrow \Sigma^*), \forall w \in \Sigma^* : [w \in A \iff f(w) \in B] \quad (4)$$

– Theorems

1. Note the rule of thumb for these theorems is that  $\leq_M$  more or less reflects the Chomsky Hierarchy:
2. **Theorem:**  $[A \leq_M B] \implies [\text{If } B \text{ is Turing Decidable, then } A \text{ is Turing Decidable}]$
3. **Collary:**  $[A \leq_M B] \implies [\text{If } A \text{ is Turing Undecidable, then } B \text{ is Turing Undecidable}]$
4. **Theorem:**  $[A \leq_M B] \implies [\text{If } B \text{ is Turing Recognizable then } A \text{ is Turing Recognizable}]$
5. **Collary:**  $[A \leq_M B] \implies [\text{If } A \text{ is Turing Unrecognizable then } B \text{ is Turing Unrecognizable}]$

### 5.8.2 Rice's Theorem:

1. If  $P$  is a set of TM's with a property that satisfies:
  - (a)  $\forall$  TM's  $M$  and  $M_2$  s.t.  $L(M_1) = L(M_2)$ ,  $\langle M_1 \rangle \in P$  iff  $\langle M_2 \rangle \in P$
  - (b)  $\exists$  TM  $M_1$  and  $M_2$  for which  $\langle M_1 \rangle \in P$  and  $\langle M_2 \rangle \notin P$
2. Then the language of  $P$  is not Turing Decidable

## 6 Kolmogorov Complexity

- How 'Small'(state number) of a turing machine is needed to generate a given string

## 7 Complexity Theory:

### 7.1 Definition:

1. **Time Complexity:** How many steps does a Turing machine take to decide?
2. **Space Complexity:** How much space does a turing machine need on the tape to decide?
3. Let  $f(n) \equiv$  the max number of steps for a TM to decide  $w$ , with  $n = |w|$ 
  - (a)  $f(n) = O(g(n)) \iff \exists(c, n_0) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{Z}$  s.t.  $\forall n \geq f(n), f(n) \leq cg(n)$
  - (b) Which is equivalent to:

$$\forall w \in \Sigma^* : |w| \geq n_0 \iff f(|w|) \leq cg(|w|) \quad (5)$$

## 7.2 Complexity Classes

1. Let  $t : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$  be a function
2. Let  $D \equiv$  set of all decidable TMs
3. Let  $TIME[t(n)] \equiv A \in D | \exists$  a TM that decides A in  $O(t(n))$  steps

### 7.2.1 P vs NP

- P

$$P \equiv \cup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} TIME(n^k) \quad (6)$$

1. Notice that  $TIME(n^k) \subset TIME(n^{k+1})$
2. Thus  $P =$  all language for which  $\exists$  a TM that decides in polynomial time

- NP

$$NP \equiv \cup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} NTIME(n^k) \quad (7)$$

1.  $NTIME(t(n)) \equiv \{A \in D | \exists \text{ a nondeterministic TM that decides A in } O(t(n)) \text{ steps}\}$
2. Note that this appears to be equivalent to verification via brute force
  - (a) **Satisfiability Problem:** A language  $A \in NP \iff A$  is polynomially verifiable.
    - i.  $A$  is verifiable iff  $\exists$  a poly-time DTM that takes input  $w$  for  $A$  and the certificate  $c$  and decides if  $w \in A$

- Million Dollar Question

1. Does  $P = NP$  ?

- Hardest NP problems

1. A Problem, P, is NP-Complete iff:
  - (a)  $P \in NP$
  - (b)  $\forall A \in NP, A \leq_P P$ 
    - i. Where  $\leq_P$  is a mapping reduction where  $f$  is computable in polynomial time