## **Table of Contents**

1.	Syntax for outer joins (Left, Right, Full)	. 1
	Queries using altgeld mart tables	
	Customers and orders	
	Products and orders	

These are the tables we are using. Note that we have employees with no projects and a department with no employees and employees with no department.

z\_em\_dept

d_id	d_name
100	Manufacturing
150	Accounting
200	Marketing
250	Research

z\_em\_emp

e_id	e_name	d_id
1	Jones	150
2	Martin	150
3	Gates	250
4	Anders	100
5	Bossy	
6	Perkins	

z\_em\_empproj

<u> </u>				
p_id	e_id			
ORDB-10	3			
ORDB-10	5			
Q4-SALES	2			
Q4-SALES	4			
ORDB-10	2			
Q4-SALES	5			

# 1. Syntax for outer joins (Left, Right, Full)

Outer joins can use the syntax Left Join or Right Join. A join written as

From tblA LEFT JOIN tblB

will include all rows from table tblA and any matching rows from tblB. The table to the left of the phrase Left Join will have all of its rows returned.

#### A join written as

```
From tblA RIGHT JOIN tblB
```

will include all rows from table tblB and any matching rows from tblA. The table to the right of the phrase Right Join will have all of its rows returned.

The outer joins are not symmetric.

The word OUTER is optional; you can use Left Outer Join or LeftJoin.

You will still need to identify the joining columns.

#### Demo 01: All departments; employees of those departments if they exist.

Demo 02: All employees; assigned departments if they exist. Outer joins are not commutative

Demo 03: All employees; assigned departments if they exist.

MySQL does not yet support the Full Outer join syntax that you might know from another dbms.

Demo 04: Three table outer join. This is all of the departments and their employees if there are any in the department and the projects if the employees have a project.

Demo 05: Three table outer join. This is all of the employees and their departments if they have one and their projects if they have one

Demo 06: Suppose we want to see all employees and their departments if they have one and the names of their projects if they have one. The following query does not do that. We start with an outer join but then use an inner join which eliminates employees with no projects.

# 2. Queries using altgeld\_mart tables

### 2.1. Customers and orders

The cust\_id filter is simply to reduce the volume of output.

Demo 07: Customers with orders. This uses an inner join.

```
Select cust_id
, cust_name_last
, ord_id
From a_oe.customers
Join a_oe.order_headers using(cust_id)
Where cust_id between 404900 and 409030
Order by cust_id, ord_id;
+-----+
| cust_id | cust_name_last | ord_id |
+-----+
| 404900 | Williams | 520 |
```

	404950		Morris			110	
	404950		Morris			408	
	404950		Morris			510	
	404950		Morris			535	
	404950		Morris			540	
	405000		Day			116	
	408770		Clay			405	
	409030		Mazur			128	
	409030		Mazur			130	
	409030		Mazur			324	
+		-+-			+		+
11	rows ir	١ ،	set (0 03	sec)			

11 rows in set (0.03 sec)

Demo 08:

Customers with and without orders. This uses an outer join; Customers Left Join Order Headers. That means we get customers with orders and if the customer has several orders, that customer gets multiple lines in the result set.

We also get rows for the two customers in this cust\_id range who have no orders and the column for their order id value is null- these customers each get one row.

```
Select cust id
, cust name last
, ord id
From a oe.customers
left join a oe.order headers using(cust id)
Where cust id between 404900 and 409030
Order by cust id, ord id;
+----+
| cust id | cust name last | ord id |
| 404900 | Williams | 520 |
| 404950 | Morris | 110 |
| 404950 | Morris | 408 |
+----+
                         510 |
  404950 | Morris
                      | 535 |
| 404950 | Morris
| 404950 | Morris
                     540 |
| 405000 | Day
                         116 |
                     | 408770 | Clay
                     405 I
                  | NULL |
| NULL |
| 409010 | Morris
  409020 | Max
                         128 |
130 |
  409030 | Mazur
                     409030 | Mazur
| 409030 | Mazur
                     324 |
+----+
13 rows in set (0.03 sec)
```

Demo 09: Now consider this join. I change the join to a right join. The result set is the same as the inner join used previously. Why?

```
Select cust id
, cust name last
, ord id
From a oe.customers
Right join a_oe.order_headers using(cust_id)
Where cust id between 404900 and 409030
Order by cust id, ord id;
| cust id | cust name last | ord id |
```

In our database we have a foreign key in the order headers table that refers back to the customer table and to the cust\_id in the customer table.

I also set the cust\_id in the order headers table as Not null. This means that every row in the order headers table must have a value for the cust\_id (it is Not null) and that cust\_id in the order header must match a cust\_id in the customers tables (foreign key reference).

The outer join in this query is asking for all orders whether or not they match a customer. But our database is set up so that every order header rows is matched with a customer. So it does not make sense to ask to see order headers rows that do not match a customer. In this case you should use an inner join. Using an outer join when it is logically impossible to return unmatched rows is inefficient. Someone reading your query would assume you have made a mistake someplace but they would not know what the mistake is- is the database badly designed and allows the entry of orders that do not belong to a customer (who pays for those orders?), or did you get the join order incorrect?

### 2.2. Products and orders

These are limited to products in the MUS category to reduce the volume of output

Demo 10: First an inner join- these show products which have been ordered- each product id must match a product id on an order detail row

```
Select PR.prod id, PR.prod desc, PR.catg_id, OD.ord_id
From a prd.products PR
Join a oe.order details OD on PR.prod id = OD.prod id
Where PR.catg id in ('MUS')
Order by PR.prod id;
<u>-----</u>
                              | catg id | ord_id |
| prod id | prod desc
+----+
    2014 | Bix Beiderbecke - Tiger Rag | MUS
                                               518 |
                                           2014 | Bix Beiderbecke - Tiger Rag | MUS
2412 | David Newman - Davey Blue | MUS
                                           | 525 |
                                           525
    2746 | Charles Mingus - Blues & Politics | MUS
                                           | 525 |
   2747 | Charles Mingus - Blues & Roots | MUS
                                                520 I
```

```
| 2947 | Ornette Coleman - Sound Grammer | MUS | 525 | 2984 | John Coltrane - Lush Life | MUS | 518 | +----+ 7 rows in set (0.00 sec)
```

Demo 11: How many products do we have in the MUS category?

We have 11 products; looking at the previous result set, 6 of these products were sold (One was on two different orders)

```
Select PR.prod id, PR.prod desc, PR.catg id
From a prd.products PR
Where catg id in ('MUS')
Order by PR.prod id;
+-----+
                                              | catg id |
| prod id | prod desc
+----
 2014 | Bix Beiderbecke - Tiger Rag | MUS |
   2234 | Charles Mingus - Pithecanthropus Erectus | MUS
   2337 | John Coltrane - Blue Train | MUS
2412 | David Newman - Davey Blue | MUS
   2487 | Stanley Turrentine - Don't Mess With Mr. T | MUS
   2746 | Charles Mingus - Blues & Politics | MUS
2747 | Charles Mingus - Blues & Roots | MUS
                                              | MUS
   2933 | David Newman - I Remember Brother Ray | MUS
   2947 | Ornette Coleman - Sound Grammer | MUS
2984 | John Coltrane - Lush Life | MUS
2987 | Stanley Turrentine - Ballads | MUS
+----+
11 rows in set (0.00 sec)
```

### Demo 12: We can use an outer join to get both ordered and un-ordered products

Select PR.prod id, prod desc, catg id, ord id

Demo 13: This query gives us rows for the same products- why are we missing values in the first column which shows the product id? Every product has a product Id!

Select OD.prod id, prod desc, catg id, ord id

```
From a prd.products PR
Left join a oe.order details OD on PR.prod id = OD.prod id
Where catg id in ('MUS')
Order by OD.prod id;
+----+
| prod_id | prod desc
                                               | catg id | ord id |
+----+
    NULL | Stanley Turrentine - Ballads | MUS | NULL | NULL | David Newman - I Remember Brother Ray | MUS | NULL |
    NULL | Stanley Turrentine - Don't Mess With Mr. T | MUS
                                                              | NULL |
    NULL | Charles Mingus - Pithecanthropus Erectus | MUS | NULL |
NULL | John Coltrane - Blue Train | MUS | NULL |
2014 | Bix Beiderbecke - Tiger Rag | MUS | 518 |
    2014 | Bix Belderbecke - Tiger Rag
2014 | Bix Beiderbecke - Tiger Rag
2412 | David Newman - Davey Blue
                                                                   525 |
                                                              | MUS
                                                    | MUS
                                                              | 525 |
    2746 | Charles Mingus - Blues & Politics
                                                 | MUS
                                                              | 525 |
    2747 | Charles Mingus - Blues & Roots
                                                    | MUS
                                                              I 520 I
                                                    | MUS
                                                                  525 I
     2947 | Ornette Coleman - Sound Grammer
                                                               | MUS
                                                                   518 |
     2984 | John Coltrane - Lush Life
```

What I did is switch the column alias for the first column and for the sort key to use the order detail s table. If I am looking for the product id in the order details table, the products which are not ordered do not have a value for that column and display as nulls.