

Lab 10

Day 1: do problems 2, 3, 4

Day 2: finish the rest of the problems

1. In the slides, Greedy Strategy #2 for solving the Knapsack Problem was the following:

Greedy Strategy #2. Try arranging S in decreasing order of *value per weight*. For each i , let $b_i = v_i/w_i$. Scan the new arrangement S' of S and put in items as long as the weight restriction permits; skip over items that will cause the weight to exceed W .

Give an example of a Knapsack problem for which this strategy does *not* give an optimal solution.

2. Below, the BinarySearch and Recursive Fibonacci algorithms are shown. In each case, what are the subproblems? Why do we say that the subproblems of BinarySearch *do not overlap* and the subproblems of Recursive Fibonacci *overlap*? Explain.

```

Algorithm binSearch(A, x, lower, upper)
    Input: Already sorted array A of size n, value x to be
             searched for in array section A[lower]..A[upper]
    Output: true or false

    if lower > upper then return false
    mid  $\leftarrow$  (upper + lower)/2
    if x = A[mid] then return true
    if x < A[mid] then
        return binSearch(A, x, lower, mid - 1)
    else
        return binSearch(A, x, mid + 1, upper)

```

```

Algorithm fib(n)
  Input: a natural number n
  Output: F(n)

  if (n = 0 || n = 1) then return n
  return fib(n-1) + fib(n-2)

```

3. Consider the following SubsetSum problem: $S = \{4, 2, 5, 3\}$, $k = 5$. Fill in *the first row* of the table for the bottom-up dynamic programming solution for this problem. (Locate the formula for this in the slides.)
4. Consider the following SubsetSum problem: $S = \{4, 3, 5, 6\}$, $k = 8$. Part of the table $A[i,j]$ for the bottom-up dynamic programming solution is provided. Use the recursive formula given in the slides to compute the values of $A[1,7]$ and $A[2,7]$.

A[i,j]	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
0	{}	NULL	NULL	NULL	{4}	NULL	NULL	NULL	NULL
1	{}	NULL	NULL	{3}	{4}	NULL	NULL	??	
2								??	
3									

5. Consider the following Knapsack problem: $S = \{s_0, s_1, s_2, s_3\}$, $w[] = \{3, 1, 3, 5\}$, $v[] = \{4, 2, 3, 2\}$, $W = 7$. Part of the table $A[i,j]$ for the bottom-up dynamic programming solution is provided. Use the recursive formula given in the slides to compute the values of $A[2,7]$ and $A[3,7]$.

$A[i,j]$	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
0	{}	{}	{}	{s ₀ }	{s ₀ }	{s ₀ }	{s ₀ }	{s ₀ }
1	{}	{s ₁ }	{s ₁ }	{s ₀ }	{s ₀ , s ₁ }	{s ₀ , s ₁ }	{s ₀ , s ₁ }	{s ₀ , s ₁ }
2	{}	{s ₁ }	{s ₁ }	{s ₀ }	{s ₀ , s ₁ }	{s ₀ , s ₁ }	{s ₀ , s ₂ }	??
3								??

6. Use the Knapsack problem you created in Problem 1 as a starting point, but now find an optimal solution for the *fractional* knapsack problem based on the same input data.
7. Write the Java code for the iterative dynamic programming solution of the edit distance problem discussed in class.
8. Devise a dynamic programming solution for the following problem:

Given two strings, find the length of longest subsequence that they share in common.

Different between substring and subsequence:

Substring: the characters in a substring of S must occur contiguously in S .

Subsequence: the characters can be interspersed with gaps.

For example: Given two Strings - “regular” and “ruler”, your algorithm should output 4.