# MAD Project Exam

Tuesday 5 May 2020 09:51 AM

Exam evaluation criteria

# MAD Project Exam Details

- 1. Lab continuous evaluation 20 Marks
- 2. Presentation/Project Demo 30 Marks
  - a. Project Report **5 Marks**
  - b. Presentation 5 Marks
  - c. Demonstration 20 Marks

### **★** Tentative Dates 14th and 15th May 2020 Each day have 2 slots

# ★ Project Exam Rubrics

Criteria	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3
Design & Layout	Less effort has taken in the designing of layout. Or Not used appropriate layout as per the requirement of Application. Or Navigation in the app is very poor.  2 points	Design is of mobile app is satisfactory few UI component can also be added for better layout design. Or Navigation is little complex, no proper flow and transition among the layouts.  4 Points	Design as per the requirement of application. Uses the required UI component wherever required. Navigation is proper.  5 points
	•		•
Data storage on server database	Local data storage is used Or Server data storage is not working as per the need of application.  2 Points	Server storage is used, few operation is not working as per the requirement or not implemented. Or The data is stored but not retrieved as required.  4 Points	All the data is stored and retrieve properly.  5 Points
Use of Library/API	Not used and API or any other library.  O Points	Uses API/LIB but not functioning properly. Or Not required but used to fulfil the grading requirements.  3 Points	API/Lib is used as per the need of the application and relevant for the application which is working fine.  5 Points
\A/	Work flow of application is not working as		
Working of Mobile App	Work flow of application is not working as required, Event is not triggered in few activity.  2 Points Or App is not working at all.  0 Points (Failed)	Working as required but not implemented the few required capability of the application.  3 Points	Implemented all the required functionality and app is working as per its requirement.  5 Points
Presentation	Not presented properly lack of communication among the presenters. Or presentation slides prepared well.  2 points	Have coordination among the presenters but presentation slide is not prepared well.  3 Points	Presentation is fine and presented well.  5 Points
Report	Very poor formatting, not covered all the points, overall not prepared well.  2 Points	All the content is considered but formatting is not proper.  3 Points	<ol> <li>Report has good explanation of each chapter.</li> <li>Explain well the usage of API/LIB used or Firebase database/storage.</li> <li>Explain the database schema.</li> <li>Added all the snapshot.</li> <li>Report format is ok.</li> <li>Points</li> </ol>

### ★ Individual performance Evaluation

- Work distribution among the members (ordered in highest mark)
  - o Database, Code implementation has higher weightage (high score)
  - o API/Lib usage
  - Layout design
  - Report/PPT (low score)
- Q/A response
- Observation from the presentation
- ★ Marks will be deducted from the total score of above given rubrics ranging from (0 to 10)