

Limited Dependent Variables

$$\blacklozenge P(y = 1/x) = G(\beta_0 + x\beta)$$

$$\blacklozenge y^* = \beta_0 + x\beta + u, y = \max(0, y^*)$$

Binary Dependent Variables

- ◆ Recall the linear probability model, which can be written as $P(y = 1/x) = \beta_0 + x\beta$
- ◆ A drawback to the linear probability model is that predicted values are not constrained to be between 0 and 1
- ◆ An alternative is to model the probability as a function, $G(\beta_0 + x\beta)$, where $0 < G(z) < 1$

The Probit Model

- ◆ One choice for $G(z)$ is the standard normal cumulative distribution function (cdf)
- ◆ $G(z) = \Phi(z) \equiv \int \phi(v)dv$, where $\phi(z)$ is the standard normal, so $\phi(z) = (2\pi)^{-1/2}\exp(-z^2/2)$
- ◆ This case is referred to as a probit model
- ◆ Since it is a nonlinear model, it cannot be estimated by our usual methods
- ◆ Use maximum likelihood estimation

The Logit Model

- ◆ Another common choice for $G(z)$ is the logistic function, which is the cdf for a standard logistic random variable
- ◆ $G(z) = \exp(z)/[1 + \exp(z)] = \Lambda(z)$
- ◆ This case is referred to as a logit model, or sometimes as a logistic regression
- ◆ Both functions have similar shapes – they are increasing in z , most quickly around 0

Probits and Logits

- ◆ Both the probit and logit are nonlinear and require maximum likelihood estimation
- ◆ No real reason to prefer one over the other
- ◆ Traditionally saw more of the logit, mainly because the logistic function leads to a more easily computed model
- ◆ Today, probit is easy to compute with standard packages, so more popular

Interpretation of Probits and Logits (in particular vs LPM)

- ◆ In general we care about the effect of x on $P(y = 1/x)$, that is, we care about $\partial p / \partial x$
- ◆ For the linear case, this is easily computed as the coefficient on x
- ◆ For the nonlinear probit and logit models, it's more complicated:
- ◆ $\partial p / \partial x_j = g(\beta_0 + x\beta)\beta_j$, where $g(z)$ is dG/dz

Interpretation (continued)

- ◆ Clear that it's incorrect to just compare the coefficients across the three models
- ◆ Can compare sign and significance (based on a standard t test) of coefficients, though
- ◆ To compare the magnitude of effects, need to calculate the derivatives, say at the means
- ◆ Stata will do this for you in the probit case

The Likelihood Ratio Test

- ◆ Unlike the LPM, where we can compute F statistics or LM statistics to test exclusion restrictions, we need a new type of test
- ◆ Maximum likelihood estimation (MLE), will always produce a log-likelihood, L
- ◆ Just as in an F test, you estimate the restricted and unrestricted model, then form
- ◆ $LR = 2(L_{ur} - L_r) \sim \chi^2_q$

Goodness of Fit

- ◆ Unlike the LPM, where we can compute an R^2 to judge goodness of fit, we need new measures of goodness of fit
- ◆ One possibility is a pseudo R^2 based on the log likelihood and defined as $1 - L_{ur}/L_r$
- ◆ Can also look at the percent correctly predicted – if predict a probability $>.5$ then that matches $y = 1$ and vice versa

Latent Variables

- ◆ Sometimes binary dependent variable models are motivated through a latent variables model
- ◆ The idea is that there is an underlying variable y^* , that can be modeled as
- ◆ $y^* = \beta_0 + \mathbf{x}\boldsymbol{\beta} + e$, but we only observe
- ◆ $y = 1$, if $y^* > 0$, and $y = 0$ if $y^* \leq 0$,

The Tobit Model

- ◆ Can also have latent variable models that don't involve binary dependent variables
- ◆ Say $y^* = \mathbf{x}\boldsymbol{\beta} + u$, $u/\mathbf{x} \sim \text{Normal}(0, \sigma^2)$
- ◆ But we only observe $y = \max(0, y^*)$
- ◆ The Tobit model uses MLE to estimate both $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ and σ for this model
- ◆ Important to realize that $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ estimates the effect of \mathbf{x} on y^* , the latent variable, not y

Interpretation of the Tobit Model

- ◆ Unless the latent variable y^* is what's of interest, can't just interpret the coefficient
- ◆ $E(y/\mathbf{x}) = \Phi(\mathbf{x}\boldsymbol{\beta}/\sigma)\mathbf{x}\boldsymbol{\beta} + \sigma\phi(\mathbf{x}\boldsymbol{\beta}/\sigma)$, so
- ◆ $\partial E(y/\mathbf{x})/\partial x_j = \beta_j \Phi(\mathbf{x}\boldsymbol{\beta}/\sigma)$
- ◆ If normality or homoskedasticity fail to hold, the Tobit model may be meaningless
- ◆ If the effect of x on $P(y>0)$ and $E(y)$ are of opposite signs, the Tobit is inappropriate

Censored Regression Models & Truncated Regression Models

- ◆ More general latent variable models can also be estimated, say
- ◆ $y = \mathbf{x}\boldsymbol{\beta} + u$, $u/\mathbf{x}, c \sim \text{Normal}(0, \sigma^2)$, but we only observe $w = \min(y, c)$ if right censored, or $w = \max(y, c)$ if left censored
- ◆ Truncated regression occurs when rather than being censored, the data is missing beyond a censoring point

Sample Selection Corrections

- ◆ If a sample is truncated in a nonrandom way, then OLS suffers from selection bias
- ◆ Can think of as being like omitted variable bias, where what's omitted is how were selected into the sample, so
- ◆ $E(y/z, s = 1) = \mathbf{x}\boldsymbol{\beta} + \rho\lambda(z\boldsymbol{\gamma})$, where
- ◆ $\lambda(c)$ is the inverse Mills ratio: $\phi(c)/\Phi(c)$

Selection Correction (continued)

- ◆ We need an estimate of λ , so estimate a probit of s (whether y is observed) on z
- ◆ These estimates of γ can then be used along with z to form the inverse Mills ratio
- ◆ Then you can just regress y on x and the estimated λ to get consistent estimates of β
- ◆ Important that x be a subset of z , otherwise will only be identified by functional form