



Incident report analysis

Instructions

As you continue through this course, you may use this template to record your findings after completing an activity or to take notes on what you've learned about a specific tool or concept. You can also use this chart as a way to practice applying the NIST framework to different situations you encounter.

Summary	Earlier this morning, the company’s network services became unavailable for approximately two hours. The IT team identified that the disruption was caused by a Distributed Denial of Service (DDoS) attack using ICMP packets (ping flood). The incoming traffic overwhelmed the network infrastructure, preventing normal system operations. After investigation, it was discovered that the malicious traffic passed through a misconfigured firewall, allowing the attacker to exploit the vulnerability. There was no evidence of data compromise, but the temporary unavailability directly impacted client-facing services.
Identify	The incident response team audited systems, devices, and access policies to identify security gaps. The analysis revealed that the firewall was not configured to limit or filter ICMP packets, which allowed the ICMP flood attack to reach internal resources. The attack disrupted web hosting, graphic design, and digital marketing services. Access permissions were reviewed to ensure that only authorized personnel have access to critical systems.
Protect	<p>The team implemented immediate protective measures, including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Creating a new firewall rule to limit the rate of incoming ICMP

	<p>packets.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Enabling IP source verification to detect spoofing.• Strengthening authentication on security devices.• Training the IT and security staff on DDoS prevention.• Updating firewall configurations and security policies.• Evaluating investment in more robust DDoS mitigation tools.
Detect	<p>To improve future detection of similar attacks, the team took the following actions:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Deployed a network monitoring system to identify abnormal traffic patterns.• Installed an IDS/IPS with the capability to analyze ICMP packet behavior.• Configured automatic alerts for unusual traffic spikes.• Adopted SIEM tools to correlate and respond to real-time security events.

Respond	<p data-bbox="428 239 685 275">During the incident:</p> <ul data-bbox="477 323 1455 856" style="list-style-type: none"><li data-bbox="477 323 1105 359">• ICMP traffic was blocked at the firewall level.<li data-bbox="477 436 1455 472">• Non-critical services were taken offline to preserve essential resources.<li data-bbox="477 550 1419 636">• The cybersecurity team conducted traffic forensics to determine the source and method of attack.<li data-bbox="477 714 1203 749">• The incident was formally reported to management.<li data-bbox="477 827 1446 863">• Incident response procedures were updated based on lessons learned.
Recover	<ul data-bbox="477 1073 1442 1766" style="list-style-type: none"><li data-bbox="477 1073 1333 1159">• Network services were gradually restored after the attack was mitigated.<li data-bbox="477 1236 1328 1272">• No data was lost—only temporary unavailability was reported.<li data-bbox="477 1350 1442 1436">• The recovery plan was reviewed and reinforced to ensure faster future restoration.<li data-bbox="477 1514 1321 1600">• Affected departments were notified and aligned to normalize operations.<li data-bbox="477 1677 1338 1766">• Enhancements to recovery and business continuity plans were documented for implementation.

--	--

Reflections/Notes: This incident highlighted the importance of proactive configurations and continuous monitoring. The lack of a basic firewall rule exposed the organization to a preventable attack. The NIST CSF framework effectively guided the response and helped identify critical improvement areas such as early detection, coordinated response, and infrastructure updates. The company emerged stronger and more resilient as a result.