# Maxima by Example: Ch.6: Differential Calculus \*

# Edwin L. Woollett

# July 21, 2008

# **Contents**

6	Diffe	erential Calculus	3
	6.1	Differentiation of Explicit Functions	3
		6.1.1 diff	3
		6.1.2 Total Differential	5
		6.1.3 Controlling the Form of a Derivative with gradef()	6
	6.2	Critical and Inflection Points of a Curve Defined by an Explicit Function	7
		6.2.1 Example 1: A Polynomial	7
		6.2.2 Automating Derivative Plots with plotderiv()	9
		1	11
		6.2.4 Example 3: $x^{2/3}$ , Singular Derivative, Use of limit()	12
	6.3		13
			14
		1 ' '	15
	6.4		16
		$\mathcal{C}$	17
			19
	6.5		20
			23
			25
		1 6	26
		1 6	27
		1	28
	6.6		30
			31
			34
	6.7		36
	6.8	$\mathcal{C}$	39
	6.9	<b>y</b>	42
			43
		1	45
			47
		6.9.4 Divergence $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{B}(\rho, \varphi, z)$	
		6.9.5 Curl $\nabla \times \mathbf{B}(\rho, \varphi, z)$	
	6.10	Maxima Derivation of Vector Calculus Formulas in Spherical Polar Coordinates	52

<sup>\*</sup>This version uses Maxima 5.15. This is a live document. Check http://www.csulb.edu/~woollett/ for the latest version of these notes. Send comments and suggestions to woollett@charter.net

## COPYING AND DISTRIBUTION POLICY

This document is part of a series of notes titled "Maxima by Example" and is made available via the author's webpage http://www.csulb.edu/~woollett/ to aid new users of the Maxima computer algebra system.

## NON-PROFIT PRINTING AND DISTRIBUTION IS PERMITTED.

You may make copies of this document and distribute them to others as long as you charge no more than the costs of printing.

These notes (with some modifications) will be published in book form eventually via Lulu.com in an arrangement which will continue to allow unlimited free download of the pdf files as well as the option of ordering a low cost paperbound version of these notes.

# 6 Differential Calculus

The methods of calculus lie at the heart of the physical sciences and engineering.

The student of calculus needs to take charge of his or her own understanding, taking the subject apart and putting it back together again in a way that makes logical sense. The best way to learn any subject is to work through a large collection of problems. The more problems you work on your own, the more you "own" the subject. Maxima can help you make faster progress, if you are just learning calculus.

For those who are already calculus savvy, the examples in this chapter will offer an opportunity to see some Maxima tools in the context of very simple examples, but you will likely be thinking about much harder problems you want to solve as you see these tools used here.

After examples of using **diff** and **gradef**, we present examples of finding the critical and inflection points of plane curves defined by an explicit function.

We then present examples of calculating and plotting the tangent and normal to a point on a curve, first for explicit functions and then for implicit functions.

We also have two examples of finding the maximum or minimum of a function of two variables.

We then present several examples of using Maxima's powerful **limit** function, followed by several examples of using **taylor**(..).

The next section shows examples of using the vector calculus functions (as well as cross product) in the package vcalc.mac, developed by the author and available on his webpage with this chapter, to calculate the gradient, divergence, curl, and Laplacian in cartesian, cylindrical, and spherical polar coordinate systems. An example of using this package would be curl([r\*cos(theta),0,0]); (if the current coordinate system has already been changed to spherical polar) or curl([r\*cos(theta),0,0]), s(r,theta,phi)); if the coordinate system needs to be shifted to spherical polar from either cartesian (the starting default) or cylindrical. The order of list vector components corresponds to the order of the arguments in s(r,theta,phi). The Maxima output is the list of the vector curl components in the current coordinate system, in this case [0, 0, sin(theta)] plus a reminder to the user of what the current coordinate system is and what symbols are currently being used for the independent variables.

Thus the syntax is based on lists and is similar (although better!) than Mathematica's syntax. There is a separate function to change the current coordinate system. An example of its use would be setcoord(cy(rho,phi,z)); to set the use of cylindrical coordinates (rho,phi,z), or setcoord(cy(r,t,z)); to set cylindrical coordinates (r,t,z).

The package vcalc.mac also contains the plotting function plotderiv(..) which is useful for "automating" the plotting of a function and its first n derivatives.

The next two sections dicuss the use of the batch file mode of problem solving by presenting Maxima based derivations of the form of the gradient, divergence, curl, and Laplacian by starting with the cartesian forms and changing variables to (separately) cylindrical and spherical polar coordinates. These two sections show Maxima's implementation of the calculus chain rule at work with use of both **depends** and **gradef**.

# **6.1** Differentiation of Explicit Functions

We begin with explicit functions of a single variable. After giving a few examples of the use of Maxima's **diff(...)** function, we will discuss critical and inflection points of curves defined by explicit functions, and the construction and plotting of the tangent and normal of a point of such curves.

#### 6.1.1 diff

The command diff (expr, var, num) will differentiate the expresssion in slot one with respect to the variable entered in slot two a number of times determined by a positive integer in slot three. Unless a dependency has

been established, all parameters and "variables" in the expression are treated as constants when taking the derivative.

Thus **diff** (expr, x, 2) will yield the second derivative of expr with respect to the variable x.

The simple form **diff** (expr, var) is equivalent to **diff** (expr, var, 1).

If the expression depends on more than one variable, we can use commands such as **diff** (expr, x, 2, y, 1) to find the result of taking the second derivative with respect to x (holding y fixed) followed by the first derivative with respect to y (holding x fixed). Here are some simple examples.

We first calculate the derivative of  $x^n$ .

(%i1) 
$$diff(x^n,x)$$
; 
$$n-1$$
 (%o1) 
$$n x$$

Next we calculate the third derivative of  $x^n$ .

Here we take the derivative (with respect to x) of an expression depending on both x and y.

You can differentiate with respect to any expression that does not involve explicit mathematical operations.

Note that  $x_1$  is Maxima's way of "pretty printing"  $\times [1]$ . We can use the **grind** function to display the output 0.04 in the "non-pretty print mode" (what would have been returned if we had set the **display2d** switch to false).

```
(%i5) grind(%) $
2*x[1]$
(%i6) display2d;
(%o6) true
```

Note the dollar sign **grind** adds to the end of **its** output.

Finally, an example of using one invocation of **diff** to differentiate with respect to more than one variable:

#### 6.1.2 Total Differential

If you use the **diff** function without a symbol in slot two, Maxima returns the "total differential" of the expression in slot one, and by default assumes every parameter is a variable.

If an expression contains a single parameter, say x, then diff (expr) will generate

$$df(x) = \left(\frac{df(x)}{dx}\right) dx \tag{6.1}$$

In the first example, we calculate the differential of the expression  $x^2$ , that is, the derivative of the expression (with respect to the variable x) multiplied by "the differential of the independent variable x", dx. Maxima uses del(x) for the differential of x, a small increment of x.

If the expression contains two parameters x and y, then the total differential is equivalent to the Maxima expression

diff(expr, x) \* del(x) + diff(expr, y) \* del(y), which generates (using conventional notation):

$$df(x,y) = \left(\frac{\partial f(x,y)}{\partial x}\right)_y dx + \left(\frac{\partial f(x,y)}{\partial y}\right)_x dy. \tag{6.2}$$

Each additional parameter induces an additional term of the same form.

We can use the **subst** function to replace, say del(x), by anything else:

You can use the **declare** function to prevent some of the symbols from being treated as variables when calculating the total differential:

We can "map" **diff** on to a list of functions of x, say, and divide by **del(x)**, to generate a list of derivatives, as in

## 6.1.3 Controlling the Form of a Derivative with gradef(..)

We can use **gradef** is to select one from among a number of alternative ways of writing the result of differentiation. The large number of "trigonometric identities" means that any given expression containing trig functions can be written in terms of a different set of trig functions.

As an example, consider trig identities which express  $\sin(x)$ ,  $\cos(x)$ , and  $\sec(x)^2$  in terms of  $\tan(x)$  and  $\tan(x/2)$ :

$$\sec(x)^2 = 1 + \tan(x)^2, (6.3)$$

$$\sin(x) = 2 \tan(x/2)/(1 + \tan(x/2)^2), \tag{6.4}$$

$$\cos(x) = (1 - \tan(x/2)^2) / (1 + \tan(x/2)^2). \tag{6.5}$$

The default Maxima result for the first derivatives of sin(x), cos(x), and tan(x) is:

```
(%i1) map('diff,[\sin(x),\cos(x),\tan(x)] )/del(x);

2
(%o1) [\cos(x), -\sin(x), \sec(x)]
```

Before using **gradef** to alter the return value of **diff** for these three functions, let's check that Maxima agrees with the trig "identities" displayed above. Variable amounts of expression simplification are needed to get what we want.

```
(\%i2) \  \, \text{trigsimp(1 + tan(x)^2);} \\ 1 \\ ----- \\ 2 \\ \cos(x) \\ (\%i3) \  \, (\ \text{trigsimp(2*tan(x/2)/(1 + tan(x/2)^2)), trigreduce(\%));} \\ (\%o3) \\ \sin(x) \\ (\%i4) \  \, (\ \text{trigsimp((1-tan(x/2)^2)/(1 + tan(x/2)^2)),} \\ \text{trigreduce(\%), expand(\%));} \\ (\%o4) \\ \cos(x) \\ \end{cases}
```

Recall that **trigsimp** will convert tan, sec, etc to sin and cos of the same argument. Recall also that **trigreduce** will convert an expression containing  $\cos(x/2)$  and  $\sin(x/2)$  into an expression containing  $\cos(x)$  and  $\sin(x)$ . Finally, remembering that  $\sec(x) = 1/\cos(x)$ , we see that Maxima has "passed the test".

Now let's use **gradef** to express the derivatives in terms of tan(x) and tan(x/2):

Here we check the behavior:

# 6.2 Critical and Inflection Points of a Curve Defined by an Explicit Function

The critical points of a function f(x) are the points  $x_j$  such that  $f'(x_j) = 0$ . We will use f' to indicate the first derivative, and f'' to indicate the second derivative. The "extrema" (ie., maxima and minima) are the values of the function at the critical points, provided the "slope" f' actually has a different sign on the opposite sides of the critical point.

Maximum: 
$$f'(a) = 0$$
,  $f'(x)$  changes from  $+$  to  $f''(a) < 0$   
Minimum:  $f'(a) = 0$ ,  $f'(x)$  changes from  $-$  to  $+$   $f''(a) > 0$ 

If f(x) is a function with a continuous second derivative, and if, as x increases through the value a, f''(x) changes sign, then the plot of f(x) has an inflection point at x = a and f''(a) = 0. The inflection point requirement that f''(x) changes sign at x = a is equivalent to  $f'''(a) \neq 0$ . We consider some simple examples taken from Sect. 126 of Analytic Geometry and Calculus, by Lloyd L. Smail, Appleton-Century-Crofts, N.Y., 1953 (a reference which "dates" the writer of these notes!).

## 6.2.1 Example 1: A Polynomial

To find the maxima and minima of the function  $f(x) = 2x^3 - 3x^2 - 12x + 13$ , we use an "expression" (called "g") rather than a Maxima function. We use gp (g prime) for the first derivative, and gpp (g double prime) as notation for the second derivative. Since we will want to make some simple plots, we use the package **qdraw**, available on the author's webpage, and discussed in detail in chapter five of these notes.

```
(%i5) gp : diff(g,x);

2
(%o5) 6 x - 6 x - 12
(%i6) gpf : factor(gp);
(%o6) 6 (x - 2) (x + 1)
(%i7) gpp : diff(gp,x);
(%o7) 12 x - 6
(%i8) qdraw( ex(g,x,-4,4), key(bottom) )$
```

Since the coefficients of the given polynomial are integral, we have tried out **factor** on both the given expression and its first derivative. We see from output %06 that the first derivative vanishes when x = -1, 2. We can confirm these critical points of the given function by using **qdraw** with the quick plotting argument **ex(..)**, which gives us the plot:




Figure 1: Plot of g over the range [-4, 4]

We can use the cursor on the plot to find that g takes on the value of roughly -7 when x = 2 and the value of about 20 when x = -1. We can use **solve** to find the roots of the equation gp = 0, and then evaluate the given expression g, as well as the second derivative gpp at the critical points found:

We next look for the inflection points, the points where the curvature changes sign, which is equivalent to the points where the second derivative vanishes.

(%i12) solve(gpp=0); 
$$[x = -]$$
 (%o12) 
$$[x = -]$$
 2 (%i13) g, x=0.5; (%o13) 
$$6.5$$

We have found one inflection point, that is a point on a smooth curve where the curve changes from concave downward to concave upward, or visa versa (in this case the former).

We next plot g, gp, gpp together.

with the resulting plot:




Figure 2: Plot of 1: g, 2: gp, and 3: gpp

The curve g is concave downward until x=0.5 and then is concave upward. Note that each successive differentiation tends to flatten out the kinks in the previous expression (function). In other words, gp is "flatter" than gp, and gpp is "flatter" than gp. This behavior under **diff** is simply because each successive differentiation reduces by one the degree of the polynomial.

## **6.2.2** Automating Derivative Plots with plotderiv(...)

In a separate text file "vcalc.mac" (available on the author's webpage) is a small homemade Maxima function called plotderiv(...) which will plot a given expression together with as many derivatives as you want, using **qdraw** from Ch.5.

```
this list to qdraw(..). You need to have used load(draw)
       and load(qdraw) before using this function ")$
/* version 1 commented out
plotderiv(expr,x,x1,x2,y1,y2,numderiv) :=
   block([plist],
    plist : [],
     for i thru numderiv do
        plist : cons(diff(expr,x,i), plist),
    plist : reverse(plist),
     plist : cons(expr, plist),
     display(plist),
     apply('qdraw, [ex(plist,x,x1,x2),yr(y1,y2), key(bottom)])
   ) $
* /
/* version 2 slightly more efficient */
plotderiv(expr,x,x1,x2,y1,y2,numderiv) :=
   block([plist,aa],
    plist : [],
     aa[0] : expr,
     for i thru numderiv do (
        aa[i]: diff(aa[i-1],x),
        plist : cons(aa[i], plist)
        ),
     plist : reverse(plist),
    plist : cons(expr, plist),
     display(plist),
     apply('qdraw, [ex(plist,x,x1,x2),yr(y1,y2), key(bottom)])
   )$
```

We have provided two versions of this function, the first version commented out. If the expression to be plotted is a very complicated function and you are concerned with computing time, you can somewhat improve the efficiency of **plotderiv** by introducing a "hashed array" called <code>aa[j]</code>, say, to be able to simply differentiate the previous derivative expression. That is the point of version 2 which is not commented out.

We have added the line display (plist) to print a list containing the expression as well as all the derivatives requested.

We test plotderiv on the expression u<sup>3</sup>.

which produces the plot:




Figure 3: 1:  $u^3$ , 2:  $3u^2$ , 3: 6u, 4: 6, 5: 0

## **6.2.3** Example 2: Another Polynomial

To find the critical points, inflection points, and extrema of the expression  $3x^4 - 4x^3$ . We first look at the expression and its first two derivatives using plotderiv.

(%i17) plotderiv(
$$3*x^4 - 4*x^3, x, -1, 3, -5, 5, 2$$
) \$\\ 4 \quad 3 \quad 3 \quad 2 \quad 2 \\
\text{plist} = [3 \times - 4 \times, 12 \times - 12 \times, 36 \times - 24 \times]

which produces the plot:




Figure 4: 1:  $f = 3 x^4 - 4 x^3$ , 2: f', 3: f''

We have inflection points at x = 0, 2/3 since the second derivative is zero at both points and changes sign as we follow the curve through those points. The curve changes from concave up to concave down passing

through x = 0 and changes from concave down to concave up passing through x = 2/3. The following provides confirmation of our inferences from the plot:

```
(\%i18) g:3*x<sup>4</sup> - 4*x<sup>3</sup>$
(\%i19) g1: diff(g,x)$
(\%i20) q2 : diff(q,x,2)$
(\%i21) q3 : diff(q,x,3)$
(\%i22) x1 : solve(g1=0);
                                    [x = 0, x = 1]
(%022)
(%i23) gcrit : makelist(subst(x1[i],g),i,1,2);
(%023)
                                        [0, -1]
(\%i24) x2 : solve(g2=0);
                                         2
                                     [x = -, x = 0]
(%024)
(%i25) ginflec : makelist( subst(x2[i],g ),i,1,2 );
                                        [- --, 0]
(%025)
(%i26) q3inflec : makelist(subst(x2[i],q3),i,1,2);
(%026)
                                       [24, -24]
```

We have critical points where the first derivative vanishes at x=0, 1. Since the first derivative does not change sign as the curve passes through the point x=0, that point is neither a maximum nor a minimum point. The point x=1 is a minimum point since the first derivative changes sign from negative to positive as the curve passes through that point.

# **6.2.4** Example 3: $x^{2/3}$ , Singular Derivative, Use of limit(...)

Searching for points where a function has a minimum or maximum by looking at points where the first derivative is zero is useful as long as the first derivative is well behaved. Here is an example in which the given function of x has a minimum at x = 0, but the first derivative is singular at that point. Using the expression  $q = x^{(2/3)}$  with plotderiv(..):

```
(%i27) plotderiv(x^{(2/3)}, x, -2, 2, -2, 2, 1);
```




Figure 5: Plot of 1:  $f(x) = x^{2/3}$ , 2: f'

We see that the first derivative is singular at x=0 and approaches either positive or negative infinity, depending on your direction of approaching the point x=0. We can see from the plot of  $f(x)=x^{2/3}$  that the tangent line (the "slope") of the curve is negative for x<0 and becomes increasingly negative (approaching minus infinity) as we approach the point x=0 from the negative side. We also see from the plot of f(x) that the tangent line ("slope") is positive for positive values of x and as we pass x=0, moving from smaller x to larger x that the sign of the first derivative f'(x) changes from - to +, which is a signal of a local minimum of a function.

We can practice using the Maxima function **limit** with this example:

The most frequent use of the Maxima limit function has the syntax

```
Function: limit(expr, x, val, dir)
Function: limit(expr, x, val)
```

The first form computes the limit of expr as the real variable x approaches the value val from the direction dir. dir may have the value plus for a limit from above, minus for a limit from below. In the second form, dir is omitted, implying a "two-sided limit" is to be computed. limit uses the following special symbols: inf (positive infinity) and minf (negative infinity). On output it may also use und (undefined), ind (indefinite but bounded) and infinity (complex infinity).

Returning to our example, if we ignore the point x=0, the slope is always decreasing, so the second derivative is always negative.

# 6.3 Tangent and Normal of a Point of a Curve Defined by an Explicit Function

The equation of a line with the form  $y = m(x - x_0) + y_0$ , where m,  $x_0$ , and  $y_0$  are constants, is identically satisfied if we consider the point  $(x, y) = (x_0, y_0)$ . Hence this line passes through the point  $(x_0, y_0)$ . The "slope" of this line (the first derivative) is the constant m.

Now we also assume that the point  $(x_0, y_0)$  is a point of a curve given by some explicit function of x, say y = f(x); hence  $y_0 = f(x_0)$ . The first derivative of this function, evaluated at the point  $x_0$  is the local "slope", which defines the **local tangent line**  $y = m(x - x_0) + y_0$  if we use for m the value of  $f'(x_0)$ , where the notation means first take the derivative for arbitrary x and then evaluate the derivative at  $x = x_0$ . Let the two dimensional vector times be a vector parallel to the tangent line (at the point of interest) with components (tx, ty), such that the vector makes an angle  $\theta$  with the positive x axis. Then  $tan(\theta) = t_y/t_x = m = dy/dx$  is the slope of the tangent (line) at this point. Let the two dimensional vector nines with components (nx, ny) be parallel to the line perpendicular to the tangent at the given point. This **normal** line will be perpendicular to the tangent line if the vectors nines and times are "orthogonal".

In Maxima, we can represent vectors by lists:

Two vectors are "orthogonal" if the "dot product" is zero. A simple example will illustrate this general property. Consider the pair of unit vectors ivec = [1, 0] parallel to the positive x axis and jvec = [0, 1] parallel to the positive y axis.

```
(%i3) ivec : [1,0]$
(%i4) jvec : [0,1]$
(%i5) ivec . jvec;
(%o5)
```

Since Maxima allows us to use a period to find the dot product (inner product) of two lists (two vectors), we will ensure that the normal vector is "at right angles" to the tangent vector by requiring nvec . tvec = 0

We represent the normal (the line perpendicular to the tangent line) at the point  $(x_0, y_0)$  as  $y = m_n (x - x_0) + y_0$ , where  $m_n$  is the slope of the normal line:  $m_n = ny/nx = -(1/(ty/tx)) = -1/m$ 

In words, the slope of the normal line is the negative reciprocal of the slope of the tangent line. Thus the equation of the local normal to the point  $(x_0, y_0)$  can be written as  $y = -(x - x_0)/m + y_0$ , where m is the slope of the local tangent.

# **6.3.1** Example 1: $x^2$

As an easy first example, let's use the function  $f(x) = x^2$  and construct the tangent line and normal line to this plane curve at the point x=1, y=f=1. (We have discussed this same example in Ch. 5). The first derivative is 2x, and its value at x=1 is 2. Thus the equation of the local tangent to the curve at (x,y)=(1,1) is y=2x-1. The equation of the local normal at the same point is y=-x/2+3/2.

We will plot the curve  $x^2$ , the local tangent, and the local normal together. Special care is needed to get the horizontal "canvas" width about 1.4 times the vertical height to achieve a geometrically correct plot; otherwise the "normal" line would not cross the tangent line at right angles.

```
(%i8) qdraw( ex([x^2,2*x-1,-x/2+3/2],x,-1.4,2.8), yr(-1,2) , pts([[1,1]],ps(2)))$
```

The plot looks like:




Figure 6: Tangent and Normal to  $x^2$  at point (1,1)

We can see directly from the plot that the slope of the tangent line has the value 2. Remember that we can think of "slope" as being the number derived from dividing the "rise" by the "run". Thus if we choose a "run" to be the x interval (0, 1), from the plot this corresponds to the "rise" of 1 - (-1) = 2. Hence "rise/run" is 2.

## **6.3.2** Example 2: ln(x)

Our next example repeats the analysis of Example 1 for the function  $\ln(x)$ , using the point  $(x=2,y=\ln(2))$ . Maxima's natural log function is written  $\log(...)$ . Maxima does not have a core log to the base 10 function, although you can "roll your own" with a definition like  $\log 10$  (x) :=  $\log (x) / \log (10)$ , which is equivalent to  $\log (x) / 2.303$ . Thus in Maxima,  $\log (10) = 2.303$ ,  $\log (2) = 0.693$ , etc. We are constructing the local tangent and normal at the point (x=2,y=0.693). The derivative of the natural log is

```
(%i9) diff(log(x),x);

(%o9)
```

so the "slope" of our curve (and the tangent) at the chosen point is 1/2, and the slope of the local normal is -2. The equation of the tangent is then  $(y - \ln(2)) = (1/2)(x - 2)$ , or y = x/2 - 0.307. The equation of the normal is  $(y - \ln(2)) = -2(x - 2)$ , or y = -2x + 4.693. In order to get a decent plot, we need to stay away from the singular point x = 0, so we start the plot with x a small positive number. We choose to use the x-axis range (0.1, 4), then  $\Delta x = 3.9$ . Since we want  $\Delta x \approx 1.4 \Delta y$ , we need  $\Delta y = 2.786$ , which is satisfied if we choose the y-axis range to be (-1, 1.786).

```
(%i10) qdraw(key(bottom), yr(-1,1.786),

ex([log(x), x/2 - 0.307, -2*x + 4.693], x, 0.1, 4),

pts([[2,0.693]],ps(2)));
```

which produces the plot:




Figure 7: Tangent and Normal to ln(x) at point (2, ln(2))

## 6.4 Maxima and Minima of a Function of Two Variables

You can find proofs of the following criteria in calculus texts.

If f(x, y) and its first derivatives are continuous in a region including the point (a, b), a necessary condition that f(a, b) shall be an extreme (maximum or minimum) value of the function f(x, y) is that (I):

$$\frac{\partial f(a,b)}{\partial x} = 0, \quad \frac{\partial f(a,b)}{\partial y} = 0 \tag{6.6}$$

in which the notation means first take the partial derivatives for arbitrary x and y and then evaluate the resulting derivatives at the point (x,y)=(a,b). Examples show that these two conditions (I) do not guarantee that the function actually takes on an extreme value at the point (a,b), although in many practical problems the existence and nature of an extreme value is often evident from the problem itself and no extra test is needed; all that is needed is the location of the extreme value. If condition (I) is satisfied and if, in addition, at the point (a,b) we have (II):

$$\Delta = \left(\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x^2}\right) \left(\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial y^2}\right) - \left(\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x \partial y}\right)^2 > 0 \tag{6.7}$$

then f(x,y) will have a maximum value or a minimum value given by f(a,b) according as  $\partial^2 f/\partial x^2$  ( or  $\partial^2 f/\partial y^2$  ) is negative or positive for x=a,y=b.

If condition (I) holds and  $\Delta < 0$  then f(a,b) is neither a maximum nor a minimum; if  $\Delta = 0$  the test fails to give any information.

## 6.4.1 Example 1: Minimize the Area of a Rectangular Box of Fixed Volume

Let the sides of a "rectangular box" (rectangular prism, or cuboid, if you wish) of fixed volume v be x, y, and z. We wish to determine the shape of this box (for fixed v) which minimizes the surface area s=2 (xy+yz+zx). We can use the fixed volume relation to express z as a function of x and y and then achieve an expression for the surface area which only depends of the two variables x and y. We then know that a necessary condition that the surface area be an extremum is that the two equations of condition I above be satisfied. We need to expose the hidden dependence of z on x and y via the volume constraint before we can correctly derive the two equations of condition I.

You probably already know the answer to this shape problem is a cube, in which all sides are equal in length, and a side is the same as the cube root of the volume. We require three equations to be satisfied, the first being the constant volume expressed as v = x y z, and the other two being the equations of condition I above. There are multiple paths to such a solution in Maxima. Perhaps the simplest is to use **solve** to generate a solution of the three equations for the variables (x, y, z), although the solutions returned will include non-physical solutions and we must select the physically realizable solution.

```
(%i1) eq1 : v = x*y*z;
(%01)
                                      v = x y z
(\%i2) solz : solve(eq1,z);
                                      [z = ---]
(%02)
(%i3) s : (subst(solz,2*(x*y)
                                 + y*z + z*x) ), expand(%%) );
                                                 2 v
                                          2 v
(%03)
                                 2 \times y + --- + ---
                                           У
(%i4) eq2 : diff(s,x)=0;
                                          2 v
                                    2 y - --- = 0
(%04)
                                           2
(\%i5) eq3 : diff(s,y) = 0;
                                          2 v
                                    2 \times - --- = 0
(%05)
                                           2
(%i6) solxyz: solve([eq1,eq2,eq3],[x,y,z]);
```

Since the physical solutions must be real, the first sublist is the physical solution which implies the cubical shape.

An alternative solution path is to solve eq2 for y as a function of x and v and use this to eliminate y from eqn3

The factored form 0.7 shows that, since  $x \neq 0$ , the solution must have  $x = v^{1/3}$ . We can then use this solution for x to generate the solution for y and then for z.

```
(%i9) solx : x = v^(1/3);

(%o9) x = v

(%i10) soly : subst(solx, soly);

(%o10) [y = v]

(%i11) subst([solx, soly[1]], solz);

1/3

(%o11) [z = v]
```

We find that  $\Delta > 0$  and that the second derivative of s(x,y) with respect to x is positive, as needed for the cubical solution to define a minimum surface solution.

$$(\%i12) \ \ delta : ( \ diff(s,x,2)*diff(s,y,2) - \ diff(s,x,1,y,1), \ expand(\%) );$$
 
$$2 \\ 16 \ v \\ (\%o12) \\ ----- - 2 \\ 3 \ 3 \\ x \ y \\ (\%i13) \ \ delta : \ subst([x^3=v,y^3=v], \ delta ); \\ (\%o13)$$

```
(%i14) dsdx2 : diff(s,x,2);

(%o14) ---
3
x
(%i15) dsdy2 : diff(s,y,2);

(%o15) ---
3
y
```

## 6.4.2 Example 2: Maximize the Cross Sectional Area of a Trough

A long rectangular sheet of aluminum of width L is to be formed into a flat bottom trough by bending both a left and right hand length x up by an angle  $\theta$  with the horizontal. A cross section view is then:




Figure 8: Flat Bottomed Trough

The lower base is l=L-2x, the upper base is  $u=l+2x\cos(\theta)$ . The altitude is  $h=x\sin(\theta)$ . The area of a trapezoid whose parallel sides are l and u and whose altitude is h is (h/2)(l+u) (ie., the height times the average width: Exercise: check this by calculating the area of a rectange h u, where u is the larger base, and then subtracting the area of the two similar right triangles on the left and right hand sides.) Hence the area of the cross section (called s in our Maxima work) is  $A = Lx\sin(\theta) - 2x^2\sin(\theta) + x^2\sin(\theta)\cos(\theta)$ 

Using condition I equations, we proceed to find the extremum solutions for x and  $\theta$  (which is called th in our Maxima work). Our method is merely one possible path to a solution.

```
(\%i1) \ s : L*x*sin(th) - 2*x^2*sin(th) + x^2*sin(th)*cos(th); \\ 2 \qquad 2 (\%o1) \qquad \qquad sin(th) \ x \ L + cos(th) \ sin(th) \ x \ - 2 \ sin(th) \ x (\%i2) \ dsdx : ( \ diff(s,x), \ factor(\%) ); \\ (\%o2) \qquad \qquad sin(th) \ (L + 2 \ cos(th) \ x \ - 4 \ x)
```

We see that one way we can get dsdx to be zero is to set  $sin(\theta) = 0$ , however this would mean the angle of bend was zero degrees, which is not the solution of interest. Hence our first equation comes from setting the second factor of dsdx equal to zero.

We see that we can get dsdth to be zero if we set x=0, but this is not the physical situation we are examining. We assume (of course) that  $x \neq 0$ , and arrive at our second equation of condition I by setting the second factor of dsdth equal to zero.

The only way we can satisfy eq2 is to set the numerator of the left hand side equal to zero, and divide out the factor L which is positive:

```
(\%i8) eq2 : num(lhs(eq2))/L = 0;
                      \sin (th) + \cos (th) - 2 \cos (th) = 0
(%i9) eq2 : trigsimp(eq2);
(%09)
                                1 - 2 \cos(th) = 0
(%i10) solcos : solve(eq2, cos(th));
                                  [\cos(th) = -]
(%010)
(%ill) solx : subst(solcos, solx);
                                     [X = -]
(%011)
(%i12) solth : solve(solcos,th);
'solve' is using arc-trig functions to get a solution.
Some solutions will be lost.
(%012)
                                   [th = ---]
```

# 6.5 Tangent and Normal of a Point of a Curve Defined by an Implicit Function

**diff** does "partial differentiation". In the following, Maxima assumes that y is independent of x:

We can indicate explicitly that y depends on x for purposes of taking this derivative by replacing y by y(x).

(%i2) diff(
$$x^2 + y(x)^2, x$$
);
d
(%o2)
2  $y(x) (-- (y(x))) + 2 x$ 
dx

Instead of using the functional notation to indicate dependency, we can use the **depends** function before taking the derivative.

In %i9 we defined g as the derivative with respect to x of the expression  $x^2 + y^2$  after telling Maxima that the symbol y is to be considered dependent on the value of x. Since Maxima, as yet, has no specific information about the nature of the dependence of y on x, the output is expressed in terms of the "noun form" of **diff**, which Maxima's pretty print shows as  $\frac{dy}{dx}$ . To see the "internal" form, we again use the **grind** function, which shows the explicit noun form 'diff(y,x,1). This is useful to know if we want to later replace that unknown derivative with a known result, as we do in input %i11.

However, Maxima doesn't do anything creative with the derivative substitution done in %i11, like working backwards to what the explicit function of x the symbol y might stand for (different answers differ by a constant). The output %o11 still contains the symbol y.

Two ways to later implement our knowledge of y(x) are shown in steps %i12 and %i13, which use the **ev** function. (In Chapter 2 we discussed using **ev** for making substitutions, although the use of **subst** is more generally recommended for that job.)

We see that Maxima does not bind the symbol y to anything when we call **ev** with an equation like  $y = x^4/4$ , and that the binding of g has not changed.

A list which reminds you of all dependencies in force is **dependencies**. The Maxima function **diff** is the only core function which makes use of the **dependencies** list. The functions **integrate** and **laplace** do not use the **depends** assignments; one must indicate the dependence explicitly by using functional notation.

In the following, we first ask for the contents of the **dependencies** list and then ask Maxima to remove the above dependency of y on x, using **remove**, then check the list contents again, and carry out the previous differentiation with Maxima no longer assuming that y depends on x.

On can also remove the properties associated with the symbol y by using **kill** (y), although this is more drastic than using **remove**.

There are many varieties of using the **kill** function. The way we are using it here corresponds to the syntax:

```
Function: kill (a_1, ..., a_n)
Removes all bindings (value, function, array, or rule) from the arguments a_1, ..., a_n. An argument a k may be a symbol or a single array element.
```

The list **dependencies** is one of the lists Maxima uses to hold information introduced during a work session. You can use the command **infolists** to obtain a list of the names of all of the information lists in Maxima.

When you first start up Maxima, each of the above named lists is empty.

```
(%i2) functions;
(%o2) []
```

## **6.5.1** Tangent of a Point of a Curve Defined by f(x,y) = 0

Suppose some plane curve is defined by the equation f(x,y) = 0. Every point (x,y) belonging to the curve must satisfy that equation. For such pairs of numbers, changing x forces a change in y so that the equation of the curve is still satisfied. When we start plotting tangent lines to plane curves, we will need to evaluate the change in y, given a change in x, such that the numbers (x,y) are always points of the curve. Let's then regard y as depending on x via the equation of the curve. Given that the equation f(x,y) = 0 is valid, we obtain another valid equation by taking the derivative of both sides of the equation with respect to x. Let's work just on the left hand side of the resulting equation for now:

```
(%i1) depends(y,x);

(%o1) [y(x)]

(%i2) diff(f(x,y),x);

d

(%o2) -- (f(x, y))
```

We can make progress by assigning values to the partial derivative of f(x,y) with respect to the first argument x and also to the partial derivative of f(x,y) with respect to the second argument y, using the **gradef** function. The assigned values can be explicit mathematical expressions or symbols. We are going to adopt the symbol dfdx to stand for the partial derivative

$$dfdx = \left(\frac{\partial f(x,y)}{\partial x}\right)_{y},$$

where the y subscript means "treat y as a constant when evaluating this derivative".

Likewise, we will use the symbol dfdy to stand for the partial derivative of f(x, y) with respect to y (regarding x to be independent of y):

$$dfdy = \left(\frac{\partial f(x,y)}{\partial y}\right)_x$$
.

We have adopted the symbol dydx for the "rate of change" of y as x varies, subject to the constraint that the numbers (x, y) always satisfy the equation of the curve f(x, y) = 0, which implies that g1 = 0.

We see that Maxima knows enough about the rules for differentiation to allow us to get to a famous calculus formula. If x and y are constrained by the equation f(x,y) = 0, then the "slope" of the local tangent to the

point (x, y) is

$$\frac{dy}{dx} = -\frac{\left(\frac{\partial f(x,y)}{\partial x}\right)_y}{\left(\frac{\partial f(x,y)}{\partial y}\right)_x}.$$
(6.8)

Of course, this formal expression has no meaning at a point where the denominator is zero.

In your calculus book you will find the derivation of the differentiation rule embodied in our output %04 above:

$$\frac{d}{dx}f(x,y(x)) = \left(\frac{\partial f(x,y)}{\partial x}\right)_y + \left(\frac{\partial f(x,y)}{\partial y}\right)_x \frac{dy(x)}{dx} \tag{6.9}$$

Remember that Maxima knows only what the code writers put in; we normally assume that the correct laws of mathematics are encoded as an aid to calculation. If Maxima were not consistent with the differentiation rule Eq. (6.9), then a bug would be present and would have to be removed.

The result Eq.(6.8) provides a way to find the slope (which we call m) at a general point (x, y).

This "slope" should be evaluated at the curve point  $(x_0, y_0)$  of interest to get the tangent and normal in numerical form. Recall our discussion in the first part of Section(6.3) where we derived the relation between the slopes of the tangent and normal. If the numerical value of the slope is denoted  $m_0$ , then the tangent (line) is the equation  $y = m_0(x - x_0) + y_0$ , and the normal (line) is the equation  $y = -(x - x_0)/m_0 + y_0$ 

## A Function which Solves for dy/dx given that f(x,y) = 0

Given an equation relating x and y, we assume we can rewrite that equation in the form f(x,y) = 0, and then define the following function:

As a first example, consider finding dy/dx given that  $x^3+y^3=1$ .

Next find dy/dx given that  $\cos(x^2 - y^2) = y \cos(x)$ .

For some reason Maxima 5.15 does not simplify r1 by cancelling the minus signs, and we have resorted to dividing the negative of the numerator by the negative of the denominator(!) to get the form of r2. Notice also that Maxima has chosen to write  $\sin(y^2 - x^2)$  instead of  $\sin(x^2 - y^2)$ .

Finally, find dy/dx given that  $3y^4 + 4x - x^2 \sin(y) - 4 = 0$ .

(%i5) 
$$dydx(3*y^4 + 4*x - x^2*sin(y) - 4, x, y);$$
  
 $2 x sin(y) - 4$   
(%o5)  $3 2$   
 $12 y - x cos(y)$ 

## 6.5.2 Example 1: Tangent and Normal of a Point of a Circle

As an easy first example, lets consider a circle of radius r defined by the equation  $x^2 + y^2 = r^2$ . Let's choose r = 1. Then  $f(x, y) = x^2 + y^2 - 1 = 0$  defines the circle of interest, and we use the "slope" function above to calculate the slope "m" for a general point (x, y).

We then choose a point of the circle, evaluate the slope at that point, and construct the tangent and normal at that point.

We can then use **qdraw** to show the circle with both the tangent and normal.

The result is




Figure 9: Tangent and Normal to  $x^2 + y^2 = 1$  at point (0.5, 0.866)

# **6.5.3** Example 2: Tangent and Normal of a Point of the Curve sin(2 x) cos(y) = 0.5

A curve is defined by  $f(x,y) = \sin(2x)\cos(y) - 0.5 = 0$ , with  $0 \le x \le 2$  and  $0 \le y \le 2$ . Using Eq. (6.8) we calculate the slope of the tangent to this curve at some point (x,y) and then specialize to the point  $(x=1,y=y_0)$  with  $y_0$  to be found:

```
(\%i13) f : \sin(2*x)*\cos(y) - 0.5$
(\%i14) m : dydx(f,x,y);
                                2 \cos(2 x) \cos(y)
(%014)
                                 sin(2 x) sin(y)
(%i15) s1 : solve(subst(x=1,f),y);
'solve' is using arc-trig functions to get a solution.
Some solutions will be lost.
(%015)
                              [y = acos(-----)]
                                         2 \sin(2)
(%i16) fpprintprec:8$
(%i17) s1 : float(s1);
                                 [y = 0.988582]
(%017)
(\%i18) m : subst([x=1, s1[1]], m);
                                1.3166767 cos(2)
(%018)
                                      sin(2)
```

which produces the plot




Figure 10: Tangent and Normal to  $\sin(2x)\cos(y) = 0.5$  at point (1, 0.988)

## **6.5.4** Example 3: Tangent and Normal of a Point of the Curve: $x = \sin(t), y = \sin(2t)$

This example is the parametric curve example we plotted in Ch. 5. If we divide the differential of y by the differential of x, the common factor of dt will cancel out and we will have an expression for the slope of the tangent to the curve at a point determined by the value the parameter t, which in this example must be an angle expressed in radians (as usual in calculus).

We then specialize to a point on the curve corresponding to x=0.8, with  $0 \le t \le \pi/2$ , which we solve for:

```
(\%i23) m : diff(\sin(2*t))/diff(\sin(t));
                                     2 cos(2 t)
(%023)
                                       cos(t)
(\%i24) \times 0 : 0.8;
                                          0.8
(%024)
(%i25) tsoln : solve(x0 = sin(t), t);
(%025)
                                    [t = asin(-)]
(%i26) tsoln : float(tsoln);
(%026)
                                   [t = 0.927295]
(%i27) t0 : rhs(tsoln[1]);
(%027)
                                      0.927295
```

with the resulting plot




Figure 11: Tangent and Normal to  $x = \sin(t)$ ,  $y = \sin(2t)$  at point t = 0.927 radians

# **6.5.5 Example 4: Polar Plot:** $x = r(t) \cos(t), y = r(t) \sin(t)$

We use the polar plot example from ch. 5, in which we took r(t)=10/t, and again t is in radians, and we consider the interval  $1 \le t \le \pi$  and find the tangent and normal at the curve point corresponding to t=2 radians. We find the general slope of the tangent by again forming the ratio of the differential dy to the differential dx.

```
(%i35) m : diff(yy)/diff(xx);
                              10 \cos(t) 10 \sin(t)
                                               2
(%035)
                               10 sin(t)
                                           10 cos(t)
                                   t
                                               t
(%i36) m : ratsimp(m);
                                sin(t) - t cos(t)
(%036)
                                t \sin(t) + \cos(t)
(\%i37) m : subst(t = 2.0, m);
(%037)
                                    1.2418222
(%i38) mnorm : -1/m;
                                   - 0.805268
(%038)
(%i39) x0 : subst(t = 2.0, xx);
(%039)
                                   - 2.0807342
(%i40) y0 : subst(t = 2.0, yy);
                                    4.5464871
(%040)
(%i41) qdraw(polar(10/t,t,1,3*%pi,lc(brown)),
           xr(-6.8,10), yr(-3,9),
           ex([y0 + m*(x-x0), y0 + mnorm*(x-x0)], x, -6.8, 10),
           pts( [x0,y0] ], ps(2),pk("t = 2 rad") ));
```

#### which produces the plot:




Figure 12: Tangent and Normal to  $x = 10 \cos(t)/t$ ,  $y = 10 \sin(t)/t$  at point t = 2 radians

# 6.6 Limit Examples Using Maxima's limit(...) Function

Maxima has a powerful **limit(...)** function which uses l'Hospital's rule and Taylor series expansions to investigate the limit of a univariate function as the variable approaches some point. The Maxima manual has the following description the Maxima function **limit**:

```
Function: limit (expr, x, val, dir)
Function: limit (expr, x, val)
Function: limit (expr)
```

Computes the limit of expr as the real variable x approaches the value val from the direction dir. dir may have the value plus for a limit from above, minus for a limit from below, or may be omitted (implying a two-sided limit is to be computed).

limit uses the following special symbols: inf (positive infinity) and minf (negative infinity). On output it may also use und (undefined), ind (indefinite but bounded) and infinity (complex infinity).

lhospitallim is the maximum number of times L'Hospital's rule is used in limit. This prevents infinite looping in cases like limit  $(\cot(x)/\csc(x), x, 0)$ .

tlimswitch when true will allow the limit command to use Taylor series expansion when necessary. limsubst prevents limit from attempting substitutions on unknown forms. This is to avoid bugs like limit (f(n)/f(n+1), n, inf) giving 1. Setting limsubst to true will allow such substitutions.

limit with one argument is often called upon to simplify constant expressions, for example, limit (inf-1). example (limit) displays some examples.

Here is the result of calling Maxima's **example** function:

Most use of **limit** will use the first two ways to call **limit**. The "direction" argument is optional. The default values of the option switches mentioned above are:

```
(%i6) [lhospitallim,tlimswitch,limsubst];
(%o6) [4, true, false]
```

Thus the default Maxima behavior is to allow the use of a Taylor series expansion in finding the correct limit. (We will discuss Taylor series expansions soon in this chapter.) The default is also to prevent "substitutions" on unknown (formal) functions. The third (single argument) syntax is illustrated by

```
(%i7) limit(inf - 1);
(%o7) inf
```

The expression presented to the **limit** function in input %i7 contains only known constants, so there are no unbound (formal) parameters like x for **limit** to worry about.

Here is a use of **limit** which mimics the calculus definition of a derivative of a power of x.

```
(%i8) limit( (x+eps)^3 - x^3)/eps, eps, 0);
2
(%o8) 3 x
```

And a similar use of **limit** with ln(x):

```
(%i9) limit( (log(x+eps) - log(x))/eps, eps,0 );

(%o9) - x
```

What does Maxima do with a typical calculus definition of a derivative of a trig function?

```
(%i10) limit((sin(x+eps)-sin(x))/eps, eps,0);
Is sin(x) positive, negative, or zero?
p;
Is cos(x) positive, negative, or zero?
p;
(%o10) cos(x)
```

We see above a typical Maxima query before producing an answer. Using p; instead of positive; is allowed. Likewise one can use n; instead of negative;.

#### **6.6.1** Discontinuous Functions

A simple example of a discontinuous function can be created using Maxima's **abs** function. abs (expr) returns either the absolute value expr, or (if expr is complex) the complex modulus of expr. We first plot the function |x|/x.

```
(%i11) load(draw) $
(%i12) load(qdraw) $
(%i13) qdraw( yr(-2,2),lw(8),ex(abs(x)/x,x,-1,1 ) )$
```

Here is that plot of |x|/x:




Figure 13: |x|/x

Maxima correctly evaluates the one-sided limits:

and Maxima also computes a derivative:

```
(%i17) g : diff(abs(x)/x, x);
                                          abs(x)
(%017)
                                 abs(x)
                                             2
                                            Х
(%i18) g, x = 0.5;
                                       0.0
(%018)
(\%i19) g, x = -0.5;
(%019)
                                       0.0
(%i20) g, x=0;
Division by 0
 -- an error.
               To debug this try debugmode (true);
(%i21) limit(q,x,0,plus);
                                        0
(%021)
(%i22) limit(g,x,0,minus);
(%022)
                                        0
(%i23) load(vcalc)$
(%i24) plotderiv(abs(x)/x,x,-2,2,-2,2,1)$
                                 abs(x)
                                                  abs(x)
                                        1
                       plist = [----- -
                                         abs(x)
                                                      2
                                   Х
                                                     Х
```

The derivative does not simplify to 0 since the derivative is undefined at x = 0. The plot of the step function and its derivative, as returned by **plotderiv(..)** is




Figure 14: |x|/x and its Maxima derivative

A homemade unit step function can now be defined by adding 1 to lift the function up to the value of 0 for x < 0 and then dividing the result by 2 to get a "unit step function".

We then use **qdraw** to plot the definition

```
(\%i26) qdraw(yr(-1,2), lw(5), ex(mystep,x,-1,1))$
```

with the result:




Figure 15: mystep

Maxima has a function called  $\mathbf{unit\_step}(\mathbf{x})$  available if you load the  $\mathbf{orthopoly}$  package. This function is "left continuous", since it has the value 0 for  $x \le 1$ . However, no derivative is defined, although you can use  $\mathbf{gradef}$ .

Of course, defining the derivative to be 0 everywhere can be dangerous in some circumstances. You can use **unit\_step** in a plot using, say,  $qdraw(yr(-1,2), lw(5), ex(unit_step(x), x, -1, 1))$ ;. Here we use **unit\_step** to define a "unit pulse" function upulse(x, x0, w) which is a function of x which becomes equal to 1 when  $x = x_0$  and has width w.

```
(%i32) upulse(x,x0,w) := unit_step(x-x0) - unit_step(x - (x0+w))$
```

and then make a plot of three black pulses of width 0.5.

with the result:




Figure 16: Using upulse(x,x0,w)

Of course, the above drawing can be done more easily with the **poly(..)** function in **qdraw**, which uses **draw2d**'s **polygon(..)** function.

#### **6.6.2** Indefinite Limits

The **example** run showed that  $\sin(1/x)$  has no definite limit as the variable x approaches zero. This function oscillates increasingly rapidly between  $\pm 1$  as  $x \to 0$ . It is instructive to make a plot of this function near the origin using the smallest line width:

```
(%i34) qdraw(lw(1), ex(sin(1/x), x, 0.001, 0.01));
```

The eps image reproduced here actually uses a finer line width than the Windows Gnuplot console window:




Figure 17:  $\sin(1/x)$  Behavior Near x = 0

The Maxima limit function correctly returns ind for "indefinite but bounded" when asked to find the limit as  $x \to 0^+$ .

```
(%i35) limit(\sin(1/x), x, 0, plus);
(%o35) ind
```

An example of a function which is well behaved at x = 0 but whose derivative is indefinite but bounded is  $x^2 \sin(1/x)$ , which approaches the value 0 at x = 0.

In the first term of the derivative,  $x \sin(1/x)$  is driven to 0 by the factor x, but the second term oscillates increasingly rapidly between  $\pm 1$  as  $x \to 0$ . For a plot, we use the smallest line width and color blue for the derivative, and use a thicker red curve for the original function  $x^2 \sin(1/x)$ .

```
(%i40) qdraw( yr(-1.5,1.5),ex1(2*x*sin(1/x)-cos(1/x),x,-1,1,lw(1),lc(blue)),
ex1(x^2*sin(1/x),x,-1,1,lc(red)))$
```

Here is the plot:




Figure 18: Indefinite but Bounded Behavior of Derivative

# 6.7 Taylor Series Expansions using taylor(...)

A Taylor (or Laurent) series expansion of a univariate expression has the syntax

```
taylor( expr, x, a, n )
```

which will return a Taylor or Laurent series expansion of expr in the variable x about the point x = a, through terms proportional to  $(x - a)^n$ . Here are some examples of first getting the expansion, then evaluating the expansion at some other point, using both **at** and **subst**.

```
(%i1) t1 : taylor(sqrt(1+x),x,0,5);
                   x x x 5 x 7 x
                1 + - - -- + -- - --- + --- + . . .
(%o1)/T/
                 2 8 16 128 256
(%i2) [ at(t1, x=1), subst(x=1, t1) ];
                            365 365
                           [---, ---]
(%02)
                            256 256
(%i3) float(%);
(%03)
                    [1.42578125, 1.42578125]
(%i4) t2: taylor (cos(x) - sec(x), x, 0, 5);
                         - x - -- + . . .
(%o4)/T/
(%i5) [ at(t2, x=1), subst(x=1, t2)];
(%05)
                           [--, --]
(%i6) t3 : taylor(cos(x), x, %pi/4, 4);
                                    %pi 2
               sqrt(2) (x - ---) sqrt(2) (x - ---) sqrt(2) (x - ---)
(%o6)/T/ ----- + -------
                                                       12
                                                     %pi 4
                                          sqrt(2) (x - ---)
                                                 48
(%i7) ( at(t3, x = %pi/3), factor(%%) );
            4 3
        sqrt(2) (%pi + 48 %pi - 1728 %pi - 41472 %pi + 497664)
(%07)
                             995328
```

To expand an expression depending on two variables, say (x, y), there are two essentially different forms. The first form is

```
taylor(expr, [x,y], [a,b], n)
```

which will expand in x about y = a and expand in the variable y about y = b, up through combined powers of order x. If x = x b, then the simpler syntax:

```
taylor(expr, [x,y], a, n )
will suffice.
```

Note the crucial difference between this last example and the next.

$$(\%i11) \ t5 : \ taylor(\sin(x+y),[x,0,3],[y,0,3]); \\ 3 2 3 \\ y y y 2 \\ (\%o11)/T/y ---+ . . . + (1 ---+ . . .) x + (--+--+ . . .) x \\ 6 2 2 12 \\ 2 12 \\ 2 \\ 1 y 3 \\ + (--++--+ . . .) x + . . . \\ 6 12 \\ (\%i12) \ (subst([x=\%pi/2,y=\%pi/2],t5),ratsimp(\%\%)); \\ 5 3 \\ \%pi - 32 \%pi + 192 \%pi \\ (\%o12) \\ 192$$

#### Thus the syntax

taylor(expr, [x,a,nx], [y,b,ny]) will expand to higher combined powers in x and y.

We can differentiate and integrate a taylor series returned expression:

A common use of the Taylor series is to expand a function of x in the form f(x + dx), for dx small.

Another frequent use of the Taylor series is the expansion of a function which contains a small parameter which we will symbolize by e.

More examples of Taylor and Laurent series expansions can be found in the Maxima manual.

# 6.8 Vector Calculus Calculations and Derivations using vcalc.mac

The package vcalc.mac has been mentioned in the introduction to this chapter. Here we give a few examples of using this package for vector calculus work.

#### General Comments: Working with the Batch File vcalcdem.mac

A separate file "vcalcdem.mac" is available with this chapter on the author's webpage. This file is designed to be introduced into Maxima using the command batch (vcalcdem) (if vcalcdem.mac is in your work directory, say, and you have set up your file search paths as suggested in Ch. 1). You should first load in the vcalc mac file which contains the definitions of the vcalc vector calculus functions.

There are three points to emphasize when using batch files in this mode. The first feature is the use of lines like "this is a comment" \$\\$ in the file, which allow you to place readable comments between the usual Maxima input lines. Secondly, a Maxima input which simply defines something and for which there is no need to see the "output" line should end with the dollar sign \$\\$. Finally, when you "batch in" the file, you see neither the dollar signs, nor the semi-colons which end the other inputs. However, input and output numbers of the form (\%i12) and (\%o12) will be seen for inputs which end with a semi-colon, whereas there are no output numbers for inputs which end with the dollar sign. Once you get a little practice reading the text form of the batch file and comparing that to the Maxima display of the "batched in" file, you should have no problem understanding what is going on. The first lines of vcalcdem.mac are:

```
" vcalcdem.mac: sample calculations and derivations"$
" default coordinates are cartesian (x,y,z)"$
" gradient and laplacian of a scalar field "$
depends(f,[x,y,z]);
grad(f);
lap(f);
Here is what you will see in your Maxima session:
```

```
(%i1) load(vcalc);
                vcalc.mac: for syntax, type: vcalc syntax();
CAUTION: global variables set and used in this package:
       hhh1, hhh2, hhh3, uuu1, uuu2, uuu3, nnnsys, nnnprint, tttsimp
(%01)
                              c:/work2/vcalc.mac
(%i2) batch(vcalcdem);
batching #pc:/work2/vcalcdem.mac
               vcalcdem.mac: sample calculations and derivations
(%i3)
(%i4)
                   default coordinates are cartesian (x,y,z)
                   gradient and laplacian of a scalar field
(%i5)
(%i6)
                              depends(f, [x, y, z])
(%06)
                                  [f(x, y, z)]
(%i7)
                                     grad(f)
cartesian [x, y, z]
                                  df df df
                                  [--, --, --]
(%07)
                                  dx dy dz
```

The default coordinates are cartesian (x, y, z), and by telling Maxima that the otherwise undefined symbol f is to be treated as an explicit function of (x, y, z), we get symbolic output from grad (f) and lap(f) which respectively produce the gradient and laplacian in the current coordinate system.

For each function used, a reminder is printed to your screen concerning the current coordinate system and the current choice of independent variables.

Three dimensional vectors (the only kind allowed by this package) are represented by lists with three elements. In the default cartesian coordinate system (x, y, z), the first slot is the x component of the vector, the second slot is the y component, and the third slot is the z component.

Now that you have seen the difference between the file vcalcdem.mac and the Maxima response when using "batch", we will just show the latter for brevity. You can, of course, look at the file vcalcdem.mac with a text editor.

Here the batch file displays the divergence and curl of a general 3-vector in a cartesian coordinate system.

```
divergence and curl of a vector field
(%i9)
(%i10)
                               avec: [ax, ay, az]
(%010)
                                  [ax, ay, az]
(%i11)
                            depends (avec, [x, y, z])
(%011)
                     [ax(x, y, z), ay(x, y, z), az(x, y, z)]
(%i12)
                                    div(avec)
cartesian [x, y, z]
                                       day
                                              dax
(%012)
                                 --- + --- + ---
                                       dv
                                              dx
(%i13)
                                   curl(avec)
cartesian [x, y, z]
                         daz
                               day dax
                                           daz day
(%013)
                        [--- - ---, --- - ---,
                                               --- - ---]
                               dz
                                    dz
                                           dx
                                                dx
                                                      dy
```

We next have two vector calculus identities:

```
(%i14)
                 vector identities true in any coordinate system
(%i15)
                                   curl(grad(f))
cartesian
           [x, y, z]
cartesian
           [x, y, z]
(%015)
                                     [0, 0, 0]
(%i16)
                                  div(curl(avec))
cartesian
           [x, y, z]
cartesian
           [x, y, z]
(%016)
                                         0
```

and an example of finding the Laplacian of a vector field (rather than a scalar field) with an explicit example:

and an example of the use of the vector cross product which is included with this package:

We next change the current coordinate system to cylindrical with a choice of the symbols rho, phi, and z as the independent variables. We again start with some general expressions

```
(%i23)
                   cylindrical coordinates using (rho, phi, z)
(%i24)
                           setcoord(cy(rho, phi, z))
(%024)
                                     true
                   gradient and laplacian of a scalar field
(%i25)
(%i26)
                           depends(g, [rho, phi, z])
(%026)
                                [g(rho, phi, z)]
(%i27)
                                     grad(g)
cylindrical [rho, phi, z]
                                        dq
                                       ____
                                      dphi dq
                                  dq
                                [----, ----]
(%027)
                                drho rho
                                             dz
(%i28)
                                     lap(g)
cylindrical [rho, phi, z]
                                    2
                                  d q
                           dq
                                     2
                                           2
                          drho
                                 dphi
                                          d g
                                                 d g
                          ---- + ----- + ---- + -----
(%028)
                                            2
                          rho
                                     2
                                  rho
                                          dz
                                                drho
                     divergence and curl of a vector field
(%i29)
(%i30)
                             bvec : [brh, bp, bz]
(%030)
                                  [brh, bp, bz]
(%i31)
                         depends(bvec, [rho, phi, z])
(%031)
             [brh(rho, phi, z), bp(rho, phi, z), bz(rho, phi, z)]
```

```
(%i32)
                                     div(bvec)
cylindrical [rho, phi, z]
                                    dbp
                                    ____
                                    dphi
                             brh
                                           dbz
                                                  dbrh
(%032)
                             --- + ---- + ---- + ----
                                                  drho
                             rho
                                    rho
                                           dz
(%i33)
                                    curl(bvec)
cylindrical
             [rho, phi, z]
                  dbz
                                              dbrh
                  dphi
                                              dphi
                         dbp dbrh
                                      dbz
                                                      bp
                                                            dbp
                                              ---- + ---- |
(%033)
                  rho
                         dz
                               dz
                                      drho
                                              rho
                                                      rho
                                                            drho
```

Instead of using setcoord(...) to change the current coordinate system, we can insert an extra argument in the vector calculus function we are using. Here is an example of not changing the coordinate system, but telling Maxima to use r instead of rho with the currently operative cylindrical coordinates.

Here is an example of using this method to switch to spherical polar coordinates:

```
(%i37)
               change to spherical polar coordinates on the fly
                                          sin(theta)
                           cvec : [0, 0, ----]
(%i38)
(%i39)
                          div(cvec, s(r, theta, phi))
spherical polar [r, theta, phi]
(%039)
(%i40)
        coordinate system remains spherical unless explicitly changed
(%i41)
                          cvec : [0, 0, r sin(theta)]
(%i42)
                                   div(cvec)
spherical polar [r, theta, phi]
(%042)
                                        0
(%i43)
                example of div(vec) = 0 everywhere except r = 0
                                     1
(%i44)
                                div([--, 0, 0])
                                      r
spherical polar [r, theta, phi]
(%044)
```

The best way to get familiar with vcalc.mac is just to play with it. Some syntax descriptions are built into the package.

# 6.9 Maxima Derivation of Vector Calculus Formulas in Cylindrical Coordinates

In this section we start with the cartesian (rectangular) coordinate expressions for the divergence, the gradient, the curl, and the Laplacian of a scalar expression, and use the power of Maxima to find the correct forms of these vector calculus formulas in a cylindrical coordinate system.




Figure 19:  $\rho$  and  $\phi$  unit vectors

Consider a change of variable from cartesian coordinates (x,y,z) to cylindrical coordinates  $(\rho,\varphi,z)$ . Given  $(\rho,\varphi)$ , we obtain (x,y) from the pair of equations  $x=\rho\cos(\varphi)$  and  $y=\rho\sin(\varphi)$ . Given (x,y), we obtain  $(\rho,\varphi)$  from the equations  $\rho=\sqrt{x^2+y^2}$  (or  $\rho^2=x^2+y^2$ ) and  $\tan(\varphi)=y/x$  (or  $\varphi=\arctan(y/x)$ ).

We consider the derivatives of a general scalar function  $f(\rho, \varphi, z)$  which is an explicit function of the cylindrical coordinates  $(\rho, \varphi, z)$  and an implicit function of the cartesian coordinates (x, y, z) via the dependence of  $\rho$  and  $\varphi$  on x and y.

Likewise we consider a general three dimensional vector  $\mathbf{B}(\rho, \varphi, z)$  which is an explicit function of the cylindrical coordinates and an implicit function of the cartesian coordinates.

Since many of the fundamental equations governing processes in the physical sciences and engineering can be written down in terms of the Laplacian, the divergence, the gradient, and the curl, we concentrate on using Maxima to do the "heavy lifting" (ie., the tedious algebra) to work out formulas for these operations in cylindrical coordinates.

Our approach to the use of vectors here is based on choosing an explicit set of three element lists to represent the cartesian unit vectors. We then use the built-in dot product of lists to implement the scalar product of three-vectors, used to check orthonormality, and we also provide a simple cross product function which can be used to check that the unit vectors we write down are related by the vector cross product to each other in the conventional way (the "right hand rule").

#### 6.9.1 The Calculus Chain Rule in Maxima

Let g be some scalar function which depends implicitly on (x, y, z) via an explicit dependence on (u, v, w). We want to express the partial derivative of g with respect to x, y, or z in terms of derivatives of g with respect to u, v, and w.

We first tell Maxima to treat g as an explicit function of (u, v, w).

```
(%i1) depends(g,[u,v,w]);
(%o1) [g(u, v, w)]
```

If, at this point, we ask for the derivative of g with respect to x, we get zero, since Maxima has no information yet about dependence of u, v, and w on x.

```
(%i2) diff(g,x);
(%o2) 0
```

One way to make progress is to use another **depends** statement, as in

```
(%i3) depends([u,v,w],[x,y,z]);
(%o3) [u(x, y, z), v(x, y, z), w(x, y, z)]
```

which now allows Maxima to demonstrate its knowledge of the calculus "chain rule", which Maxima writes as:

Note how Maxima writes the "chain rule" in this example, which would be written in a calculus text as

$$\left(\frac{\partial g(u,v,w)}{\partial x}\right)_{(u,z)} = \frac{\partial g(u,v,w)}{\partial u} \frac{\partial u(x,y,z)}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial g(u,v,w)}{\partial v} \frac{\partial v(x,y,z)}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial g(u,v,w)}{\partial w} \frac{\partial w(x,y,z)}{\partial x} \quad (6.10)$$

Instead of using a **depends** statement to tell Maxima about the (x, y, z) dependence of (u, v, w), we can use the **gradef** function in a syntax we have not used before.

This now produces the partial derivative

This is the method we will use in this section on cylindrical coordinates and in the next section on spherical polar coordinates.

In the following sections we discuss successive parts of a batch file called "cylinder.mac", available with this chapter on the author's webpage. This file is designed to be introduced into Maxima with the input: batch(cylinder) (if cylinder.mac is in your work directory, say, and you have set up your file search paths as suggested in Ch. 1). We have given some orientation concerning batch files in the previous section.

Relating 
$$(x,y,z)$$
 to  $(\rho,\varphi,z)$ 

In cylinder mac we use rh to represent  $\rho$  and p to represent the angle  $\varphi$  expressed in radians. The ranges of the independent variables are  $0<\rho<\infty, 0\leq\varphi\leq 2\pi$ , and  $-\infty\leq z\leq +\infty$ . We first define c3rule as a list of replacement "rules" in the form of equations which can be used later by the **subst** function. To get automatic simplification of rh/abs (rh) we use the **assume** function.

```
" cylindrical coordinates (rho, phi, z ) = (rh, p, z) "$ "replacement rules x,y,z to rh, p, z "$ c3rule : [x = rh*cos(p), y = rh*sin(p)]$ c3sub(expr) := (subst(c3rule,expr),trigsimp(%%))$ rhxy : sqrt(x^2 + y^2)$ assume(rh > 0)$
```

which Maxima displays as:

```
(%i1) batch(cylinder);
batching #pc:/work2/cylinder.mac
(%i2)
                 cylindrical coordinates (rho, phi, z) = (rh, p, z)
                       replacement rules x, y, z to rh, p, z
(%i3)
                     c3rule : [x = rh cos(p), y = rh sin(p)]
(%i4)
(%i5)
               c3sub(expr) := (subst(c3rule, expr), trigsimp(%%))
                                            2
                                                  2
(%i6)
                               rhxy : sqrt(y + x)
(%i7)
                                  assume(rh > 0)
```

Now that you have seen what Maxima does with a file introduced into Maxima via batch (cylinder), we will stop displaying the contents of cylinder.mac but just show you Maxima's response. You can, of course, look at cylinder.mac with a text editor if you are not sure what was the input.

We next tell Maxima how to work with the cylindrical coordinates and their derivatives: We let the symbol drhdx, for example, hold (for later use)  $\partial \rho/\partial x$ .

```
partial derivatives of rho and phi wrt x and y
(%i8)
                       drhdx : (diff(rhxy, x), c3sub(%%))
(%i9)
(%09)
                                      cos(p)
                       drhdy : (diff(rhxy, y), c3sub(%%))
(%i10)
(%010)
                                      sin(p)
                      dpdx : (diff(atan(-), x), c3sub(%))
(%i11)
                                       sin(p)
(%011)
                                         rh
(%i12)
                      dpdy : (diff(atan(-), y), c3sub(%%))
                                      cos(p)
(%012)
                     tell Maxima rh=rh(x,y) and p = p(x,y)
(%i13)
(%i14)
                  (gradef(rh, x, drhdx), gradef(rh, y, drhdy))
                    (gradef(p, x, dpdx), gradef(p, y, dpdy))
(%i15)
```

We thus have established the derivatives  $\partial \rho(x,y)/\partial x = \cos(\varphi)$ ,  $\partial \rho(x,y)/\partial y = \sin(\varphi)$ ,  $\partial \varphi(x,y)/\partial x = -\sin(\varphi)/\rho$ , and  $\partial \varphi(x,y)/\partial y = \cos(\varphi)/\rho$ .

# **6.9.2** Laplacian $\nabla^2 f(\rho, \varphi, z)$

The Laplacian of a scalar function f depending on (x, y, z), is defined as

$$\nabla^2 f(x, y, z) \equiv \frac{\partial^2 f(x, y, z)}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 f(x, y, z)}{\partial y^2} + \frac{\partial^2 f(x, y, z)}{\partial z^2}$$
(6.11)

Let's calculate the Laplacian of a scalar function f when the function depends implicitly on (x,y,z) via an explicit dependence on the cylindrical coordinates  $(\rho,\varphi,z)$ . Because of our Maxima input "depends (f, [rh,p,z])", the cartesian form of the Laplacian of f is expressed in terms of the fundamental set of derivatives  $(\partial \rho/\partial x,$  etc.) which have been established above.

The next section of cylinder.mac then produces the response:

```
(%i16)
                 tell Maxima to treat scalar function f as an
                           explicit function of (rh,p,z)
(%i17)
(%i18)
                             depends(f, [rh, p, z])
                                   [f(rh, p, z)]
(%018)
            calculate the Laplacian of the scalar function f(rh,p,z)
(%i19)
(%i20)
                          using the cartesian definition
       (diff(f, z, 2) + diff(f, y, 2) + diff(f, x, 2), trigsimp(%%),
(%i21)
                                      multthru(%%))
                                   d f
                             df
                                           2
                             drh
                                   dp
                                          d f
(%021)
                                     2
                                            2
                                   rh
                                          dz
                                                drh
(%i22)
                                    grind(%)
'diff(f,rh,1)/rh+'diff(f,p,2)/rh^2+'diff(f,z,2)+'diff(f,rh,2)$
```

We then have the result:

$$\nabla^2 f(\rho, \varphi, z) = \frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial f}{\partial \rho} + \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial \rho^2} + \frac{1}{\rho^2} \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial \varphi^2} + \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial z^2}$$
(6.12)

The two terms involving derivatives with respect to  $\rho$  can be combined:

$$\frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial f}{\partial \rho} + \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial \rho^2} = \frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho} \left( \rho \frac{\partial f}{\partial \rho} \right) \tag{6.13}$$

We clearly need to avoid points for which  $\rho = 0$ .

It is one thing to use Maxima to help derive the correct form of an operation like the Laplacian operator in cylindrical coordinates, and another to build a usable function which can be used (without repeating a derivation each time!) to calculate the Laplacian when we have some function we are interested in.

The file "vcalc.mac", available with this chapter on the author's webpage, contains usable functions for the Laplacian, gradient, divergence, and curl for the three coordinate systems: cartesian, cylindrical, and spherical polar.

However, here is a Maxima function specifically designed for cylindrical coordinates which will calculate the Laplacian of a scalar expression in cylindrical coordinates. No effort at simplification is made inside this function; the result can be massaged by the alert user which Maxima always assumes is at the ready. (Note: the laplacian and other functions in vcalc.mac start with the general expressions valid in any orthonormal coordinate system, using the appropriate "scale factors" (h1,h2,h3), and some simplification is done. Also, the laplacian in vcalc.mac will correctly compute the Laplacian of a vector field as well as a scalar field.)

We can show that the combinations  $\rho^n \cos(n\varphi)$  and  $\rho^n \sin(n\varphi)$  are each solutions of Laplace's equation  $\nabla^2 u = 0.$ 

```
(%i2) (cylaplacian(rh^n*cos(n*p),rh,p,z), factor(%%));
(%02)
     (cylaplacian(rh^n*sin(n*p),rh,p,z), factor(%%));
(%i3)
(%03)
     ( cylaplacian(rh^(-n)*cos(n*p),rh,p,z), factor(%%) );
(%i4)
(%04)
(%i5)
     (cylaplacian(rh^(-n)*sin(n*p),rh,p,z), factor(%%));
(%05)
```

Another general solution is  $ln(\rho)$ :

```
(%i6) cylaplacian(log(rh),rh,p,z);
(%06)
```

Here is another example of the use of this Maxima function. The expression  $u = (2/3) (\rho - 1/\rho) \sin(\varphi)$  is proposed as the solution to a problem defined by: (partial differential equation: pde)  $\nabla^2 u = 0$  for  $1 \le \rho \le 2$ , and (boundary conditions: bc)  $u(1,\varphi) = 0$ , and  $u(2,\varphi) = \sin(\varphi)$ . Here we use Maxima to check the three required solution properties.

```
(\%i7) u : 2*(rh - 1/rh)*sin(p)/3$
(%i8) (cylaplacian(u,rh,p,z), ratsimp(%%));
(%08)
(%i9) [subst(rh=1,u),subst(rh=2,u)];
(%09)
                                  [0, \sin(p)]
```

# **6.9.3** Gradient $\nabla f(\rho, \varphi, z)$

There are several ways one can work with vector calculus problems. One method is to use symbols for a set of orthogonal unit vectors, and assume a set of properties for these unit vectors without connecting the set to any specific list basis representation. One can then construct the dot product, the cross product and the derivative operations in terms of the coefficients of these symbolic unit vectors (using ratcoeff, for example).

We have chosen to define our 3-vectors in terms of three element lists, in which the first element of the list contains the x axis component of the vector, the second element of the list contains the y axis component, and the third element contains the z component.

This method is less abstract and closer to the beginning student's experience of vectors, and provides a straightforward path which can be used with any orthonormal coordinate system

The unit vector along the x axis ( $\hat{\mathbf{x}}$ ) is represented by xu = [1,0,0] (for "x - unit vector"), the unit vector along the y axis  $(\hat{y})$  is represented by yu = [0,1,0], and the unit vector along the z axis  $(\hat{z})$  is represented by zu = [0, 0, 1].

Here we return to the batch file cylinder.mac, where we define lcross (u, v) to calculate the vector cross product when we are using three element lists to represent vectors.

Note that our "orthonormality checks" use the built-in "dot product" of lists provided by the period. (which is also used for non-commutative matrix multiplication). The dot product of two vectors represented by Maxima lists is obtained by placing a period between the lists. We have checked that the cartesian vectors defined are "unit vectors" (dot product yields unity) and are also mutually orthogonal (ie., at right angles to each other) which is equivalent to the dot product being zero.

```
(%i23)
              prepare to find derivatives which involve vectors.
                cross product rule when using lists for vectors
(%i24)
(\%i25) lcross(u, v) := (u
                          v - u v ) zu + (u v - u v ) yu
                            2
                                  2 1
                                               3 1
                                                      1
                                                              (u v – u v ) xu
                                                                 2 3
                                                                        3 2
(%i26)
                   cross product of parallel vectors is zero
                      lcross([a, b, c], [n a, n b, n c])
(%i27)
(%027)
(%i28)
                        a function we can use with map
(%i29)
                        apcr(ll) := apply('lcross, ll)
(%i30)
                     3d cartesian unit vectors using lists
(%i31)
               (xu : [1, 0, 0], yu : [0, 1, 0], zu : [0, 0, 1])
(%i32)
                orthonormality checks on cartesian unit vectors
(%i33)
            [xu . xu, yu . yu, zu . zu, xu . yu, xu . zu, yu . zu]
                              [1, 1, 1, 0, 0, 0]
(%033)
(%i34)
           low tech check of cross products of cartesian unit vectors
                              lcross(xu, yu) - zu
(%i35)
(%035)
                                    [0, 0, 0]
(%i36)
                              lcross(yu, zu) - xu
(%036)
                                    [0, 0, 0]
(%i37)
                              lcross(zu, xu) - yu
(%037)
                                    [0, 0, 0]
               [lcross(xu, xu), lcross(yu, yu), lcross(zu, zu)]
(%i38)
(%038)
                       [[0, 0, 0], [0, 0, 0], [0, 0, 0]]
          high tech checks of cross products of cartesian unit vectors
(%i39)
(%i40)
           map('apcr, [[xu, yu], [yu, zu], [zu, xu]]) - [zu, xu, yu]
                       [[0, 0, 0], [0, 0, 0], [0, 0, 0]]
(%040)
(%i41)
                  map('apcr, [[xu, xu], [yu, yu], [zu, zu]])
(%041)
                       [[0, 0, 0], [0, 0, 0], [0, 0, 0]]
```

Thus we have cartesian unit vector relations such as  $\hat{\mathbf{x}} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{x}} = 1$ , and  $\hat{\mathbf{x}} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{y}} = 0$ , and  $\hat{\mathbf{x}} \times \hat{\mathbf{y}} = \hat{\mathbf{z}}$ .

The unit vector  $\hat{\rho}$  along the direction of increasing  $\rho$  at the point  $(\rho, \varphi, z)$  is defined in terms of the cartesian unit vectors  $\hat{\mathbf{x}}$  and  $\hat{\mathbf{y}}$  via

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{\rho}} = \hat{\mathbf{x}} \cos \varphi + \hat{\mathbf{y}} \sin \varphi \tag{6.14}$$

Because the direction of  $\hat{\rho}$  depends on  $\varphi$ , a more explicit notation would be  $\hat{\rho}(\varphi)$ , but following convention, we surpress that dependence in the following.

The unit vector  $\hat{\varphi}$  along the direction of increasing  $\varphi$  at the point  $(\rho, \varphi, z)$  is

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{\varphi}} = -\hat{\mathbf{x}} \sin \varphi + \hat{\mathbf{y}} \cos \varphi \tag{6.15}$$

Again, because the direction of  $\hat{\varphi}$  depends on  $\varphi$ , a more explicit notation would be  $\hat{\varphi}(\varphi)$ , but following conventional use we surpress that dependence in the following. We use the symbol rhu (rh-unit-vec) for  $\hat{\rho}$ , and the symbol pu for  $\hat{\varphi}$ .

```
(%i45)
                     orthonormality checks on unit vectors
(%i46) ([rhu . rhu, pu . pu, zu . zu, rhu . pu, rhu . zu, pu . zu],
                                                                     triasimp(%%))
(%046)
                               [1, 1, 1, 0, 0, 0]
(%i47)
                        low tech check of cross products
(%i48)
                      (lcross(rhu, pu), trigsimp(%%)) - zu
                                    [0, 0, 0]
(%048)
                      (lcross(pu, zu), trigsimp(%%)) - rhu
(%i49)
                                     [0, 0, 0]
(%049)
                      (lcross(zu, rhu), trigsimp(%%)) - pu
(%i50)
(%050)
                                    [0, 0, 0]
                       [lcross(rhu, rhu), lcross(pu, pu)]
(%i51)
(%051)
                             [[0, 0, 0], [0, 0, 0]]
                      high tech checks of cross products
(%i52)
       (map('apcr, [[rhu, pu], [pu, zu], [zu, rhu]]), trigsimp(%%))
(%i53)
                                                                   - [zu, rhu, pu]
                        [[0, 0, 0], [0, 0, 0], [0, 0, 0]]
(%053)
(%i54)
                      map('apcr, [[rhu, rhu], [pu, pu]])
(%054)
                             [[0, 0, 0], [0, 0, 0]]
```

Thus we have cylindrical unit vector relations such as  $\hat{\rho} \cdot \hat{\rho} = 1$ ,  $\hat{\rho} \cdot \hat{\varphi} = 0$ , and  $\hat{\rho} \times \hat{\varphi} = \hat{z}$ .

The gradient of a scalar function f(x, y, z) is defined by

$$\nabla f(x, y, z) = \hat{\mathbf{x}} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} + \hat{\mathbf{y}} \frac{\partial f}{\partial y} + \hat{\mathbf{z}} \frac{\partial f}{\partial z}$$
(6.16)

For a function f which depends implicitly on (x,y,z) and explicitly on  $(\rho,\varphi,z)$ , we can use the relations established to express the gradient of f (called here fgradient) in terms of the cartesian unit vectors and in terms of derivatives with respect to  $(\rho,\varphi,z)$ .

Again returning to cylinder.mac:

(%i55) cartesian def. of gradient of scalar f (%i56) fgradient : 
$$diff(f, z) zu + diff(f, y) yu + diff(f, x) xu$$

The  $\rho$  component of a vector **A** (at the point  $(\rho, \varphi, z)$ ) is given by

$$A_{\rho} = \hat{\boldsymbol{\rho}} \cdot \mathbf{A},\tag{6.17}$$

and the  $\varphi$  component of a vector **A** at the point  $(\rho, \varphi, z)$  is given by

$$A_{\omega} = \hat{\boldsymbol{\varphi}} \cdot \mathbf{A}. \tag{6.18}$$

Our batch file follows this pattern to get the  $(\rho, \varphi, z)$  components of the vector  $\nabla f$ :

Hence we have derived

$$\nabla f(\rho, \varphi, z) = \hat{\boldsymbol{\rho}} \frac{\partial f}{\partial \rho} + \hat{\boldsymbol{\varphi}} \frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial f}{\partial \varphi} + \hat{\mathbf{z}} \frac{\partial f}{\partial z}.$$
 (6.19)

#### **6.9.4** Divergence $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{B}(\rho, \varphi, z)$

In cartesian coordinates the divergence of a three dimensional vector field  $\mathbf{B}(x,y,z)$  can be calculated with the equation

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{B}(x, y, z) = \frac{\partial B_x}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial B_y}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial B_z}{\partial z}$$
(6.20)

Consider a vector field  $\mathbf{B}(\rho, \varphi, z)$  which is an implicit function of (x, y, z) and an explicit function of the cylindrical coordinates  $\rho, \varphi, z$ ). We will use the symbol by  $\mathbf{B}(\rho, \varphi, z)$ , and use the symbols bx, by, and bz to represent the x, y, and z components of  $\mathbf{B}(\rho, \varphi, z)$ .

The  $\rho$  component (the component in the direction of increasing  $\rho$  with constant  $\varphi$  and constant z) of  $\mathbf{B}(\rho, \varphi, z)$  at the point  $(\rho, \varphi, z)$  is given by

$$B_{\rho}(\rho,\varphi,z) = \hat{\boldsymbol{\rho}} \cdot \mathbf{B}(\rho,\varphi,z). \tag{6.21}$$

We use the symbol brh for  $B_{\rho}(\rho, \varphi, z)$ . The component of  $\mathbf{B}(\rho, \varphi, z)$  at the point  $(\rho, \varphi, z)$  in the direction of increasing  $\varphi$  (with constant  $\rho$  and constant z) is given by the equation

$$B_{\varphi}(\rho, \varphi, z) = \hat{\varphi} \cdot \mathbf{B}(\rho, \varphi, z). \tag{6.22}$$

We use the symbol bp for  $B_{\varphi}(\rho, \varphi, z)$ . Returning to the cylinder mac batch file:

```
(%i61)
                               divergence of bvec
(%i62)
                          bvec : bz zu + by yu + bx xu
                                   [bx, by, bz]
(%062)
               two equations which relate cylindrical components
(%i63)
(%i64)
                        of byec to the cartesian components
                             eq1 : brh = rhu . bvec
(%i65)
(%065)
                           brh = by sin(p) + bx cos(p)
(%i66)
                              eq2 : bp = pu . bvec
                           bp = by cos(p) - bx sin(p)
(%066)
(%i67)
                             invert these equations
             sol: (linsolve([eq1, eq2], [bx, by]), trigsimp(%%))
(%i68)
          [bx = brh cos(p) - bp sin(p), by = brh sin(p) + bp cos(p)]
(%068)
(%i69)
                            [bx, by] : map('rhs, sol)
                tell Maxima to treat cylindrical components as
(%i70)
(%i71)
                          explicit functions of (rh,p,z)
```

Hence we have derived the result:

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{B}(\rho, \varphi, z) = \frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho} (\rho B_{\rho}) + \frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi} B_{\varphi} + \frac{\partial B_{z}}{\partial z}, \tag{6.23}$$

in which we have used the identity

$$\frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho} \left( \rho B_{\rho} \right) = \frac{B_{\rho}}{\rho} + \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho} B_{\rho} \tag{6.24}$$

### **6.9.5** Curl $\nabla \times \mathbf{B}(\rho, \varphi, z)$

In cartesian coordinates the curl of a three dimensional vector field  $\mathbf{B}(x,y,z)$  can be calculated with the equation

$$\nabla \times \mathbf{B}(x, y, z) = \hat{\mathbf{x}} \left( \frac{\partial B_z}{\partial y} - \frac{\partial B_y}{\partial z} \right) + \hat{\mathbf{y}} \left( \frac{\partial B_x}{\partial z} - \frac{\partial B_z}{\partial x} \right) + \hat{\mathbf{z}} \left( \frac{\partial B_y}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial B_x}{\partial y} \right)$$
(6.25)

Remember that we have already bound the symbols bx and by to linear combinations of brh and bp, and have told Maxima (using **depends**) to treat brh and bp as explicit functions of (rh, p, z). Hence our assignment of the symbol bcurl in cylinder mac will result in Maxima using the calculus chain rule. We can then extract the cylindrical components of  $\bf B$  by taking the dot product of the cylindrical unit vectors with  $\bf B$ , just as we did to get the cylindrical components of  $\nabla f$ .

Returning to cylinder.mac:

```
cartesian definition of curl(vec)
(%i75)
(%i76) bcurl : (diff(by, x) - diff(bx, y)) zu + (diff(bx, z) - diff(bz, x)) yu
                                                 + (diff(bz, y) - diff(by, z)) xu
                   find cylindrical components of curl(bvec)
(%i77)
(%i78)
             bcurl_rh : (rhu . bcurl, trigsimp(%%), multthru(%%))
                                    dp
                                          dbp
(%078)
                                          dz
                                    rh
(%i79)
              bcurl_p : (pu . bcurl, trigsimp(%%), multthru(%%))
                                   dbrh
                                           dbz
(%079)
                                    dz
                                          drh
(%i80)
              bcurl_z : (zu . bcurl, trigsimp(%%), multthru(%%))
                                  dbrh
                                   dp
                                               dbp
                                         bp
(%080)
                                   rh
                                         rh
                                               drh
```

Hence we have derived

$$\nabla \times \mathbf{B}(\rho, \varphi, z) = \hat{\boldsymbol{\rho}} \left( \frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial B_z}{\partial \varphi} - \frac{\partial B_{\varphi}}{\partial z} \right) + \hat{\boldsymbol{\varphi}} \left( \frac{\partial B_{\rho}}{\partial z} - \frac{\partial B_z}{\partial \rho} \right) + \hat{\mathbf{z}} \left( \frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho} \left( \rho B_{\varphi} \right) - \frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial B_{\rho}}{\partial \varphi} \right)$$
(6.26)

in which we have used

$$\frac{B_{\varphi}}{\rho} + \frac{\partial B_{\phi}}{\partial \rho} = \frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho} (\rho B_{\varphi}). \tag{6.27}$$

# 6.10 Maxima Derivation of Vector Calculus Formulas in Spherical Polar Coordinates

The batch file sphere.mac, available on the author's webpage with this chapter, uses Maxima to apply the same method we used in the previous section to derive spherical polar coordinate expressions for the gradient, divergence, curl and Laplacian. We will include less commentary in this section since the method is the same.

We consider a change of variable from cartesian coordinates (x,y,z) to spherical polar coordinates  $(r,\theta,\varphi)$ . Given  $(r,\theta,\varphi)$ , we obtain (x,y,z) from the equations  $x=r\sin(\theta)\cos(\varphi),\ y=r\sin(\theta)\sin(\varphi),\ and\ z=r\cos(\theta)$ . Given (x,y,z), we obtain  $(r,\theta,\varphi)$  from the equations  $r=\sqrt{x^2+y^2+z^2},\cos(\theta)=z/\sqrt{x^2+y^2+z^2},$  and  $\tan(\varphi)=y/x$ .

In sphere mac we use t to represent  $\theta$  and p to represent the angle  $\varphi$  expressed in radians. The ranges of the independent variables are  $0 < r < \infty$ ,  $0 < \theta < \pi$ , and  $0 \le \varphi < 2\pi$ .

We first define cs3rule as a list of replacement "rules" in the form of equations which can be used later by the **subst** function. To get automatic simplification of r/abs(r) and sin(t)/abs(sin(t)) we use the **assume** function.

Here is the top part of sphere.mac:

```
(%i1) batch(sphere);
batching #pc:/work2/sphere.mac
             spherical polar coordinates (r, theta, phi) = (r, t, p)
(%i2)
(%i3)
                        replacement rules x,y,z to r,t,p
       s3rule : [x = r sin(t) cos(p), y = r sin(t) sin(p), z = r cos(t)]
(%i4)
              s3sub(expr) := (subst(s3rule, expr), trigsimp(%%))
(%i5)
                            assume(r > 0, sin(t) > 0)
(%i6)
                            rxyz : sqrt(z + y + x)
(%i7)
           partial derivatives of r, theta, and phi wrt x, y, and z
(%i8)
(%i9)
                        drdx : (diff(rxyz, x), s3sub(%%))
(%09)
                                  cos(p) sin(t)
(%i10)
                        drdy: (diff(rxyz, y), s3sub(%%))
                                  sin(p) sin(t)
(%010)
                        drdz : (diff(rxyz, z), s3sub(%%))
(%i11)
                                     cos(t)
(%011)
(%i12)
                     dtdx : (diff(acos(----), x), s3sub(%%))
                                  cos(p) cos(t)
(%012)
                                         r
```

The batch file next calculates the Laplacian of a scalar function f.

Hence the form of the Laplacian of a scalar field in spherical polar coordinates:

$$\nabla^2 f(r,\theta,\varphi) = \frac{1}{r^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left( r^2 \frac{\partial f}{\partial r} \right) + \frac{1}{r^2 \sin \theta} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \left( \sin \theta \frac{\partial f}{\partial \theta} \right) + \frac{1}{r^2 \sin^2 \theta} \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial \varphi^2}$$
(6.28)

in which we have used the identities

$$\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial r^2} + \frac{2}{r} \frac{\partial f}{\partial r} = \frac{1}{r^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left( r^2 \frac{\partial f}{\partial r} \right)$$
 (6.29)

and

$$\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial \theta^2} + \frac{\cos \theta}{\sin \theta} \frac{\partial f}{\partial \theta} = \frac{1}{\sin \theta} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \left( \sin \theta \frac{\partial f}{\partial \theta} \right)$$
 (6.30)

We need to avoid r=0 and  $\sin\theta=0$ . The latter condition means avoiding  $\theta=0$  and  $\theta=\pi$ .

#### Gradient of a Scalar Field




Figure 20: r and  $\theta$  unit vectors

The batch file sphere mac introduces the cartesian and spherical polar unit vectors corresponding to the coordinates  $(r, \theta, \varphi)$ . The unit vector  $\hat{\varphi}$  is the same as in cylindrical coordinates:

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{\varphi}} = -\hat{\mathbf{x}} \sin \varphi + \hat{\mathbf{y}} \cos \varphi \tag{6.31}$$

We can express  $\hat{\mathbf{r}}$  and  $\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}$  in terms of the cylindrical  $\hat{\boldsymbol{\rho}}$  and  $\hat{\mathbf{z}}$  (from the figure above):

$$\hat{\mathbf{r}} = \hat{\mathbf{z}} \cos \theta + \hat{\boldsymbol{\rho}} \sin \theta \tag{6.32}$$

and

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} = -\hat{\mathbf{z}}\sin\theta + \hat{\boldsymbol{\rho}}\cos\theta. \tag{6.33}$$

Hence we have

$$\hat{\mathbf{r}} = \hat{\mathbf{x}} \sin \theta \cos \varphi + \hat{\mathbf{y}} \sin \theta \sin \varphi + \hat{\mathbf{z}} \cos \theta \tag{6.34}$$

and

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} = \hat{\mathbf{x}} \cos \theta \cos \varphi + \hat{\mathbf{y}} \cos \theta \sin \varphi - \hat{\mathbf{z}} \sin \theta \tag{6.35}$$

Maxima has already been told to treat f as an explicit function of (r, t, p) which we are using for  $(r, \theta, \varphi)$ .

The vector components of  $\nabla f$  are isolated by using the dot product of the unit vectors with  $\nabla f$ . For example,

$$(\nabla f)_r = \hat{\mathbf{r}} \cdot \nabla f \tag{6.36}$$

```
prepare to find derivatives which involve vectors
(%i26)
(%i27)
                             cartesian unit vectors
(%i28)
               (xu : [1, 0, 0], yu : [0, 1, 0], zu : [0, 0, 1])
                    spherical polar coordinate unit vectors
(%i29)
             ru : cos(t) zu + sin(t) sin(p) yu + sin(t) cos(p) xu
(%i30)
                     [\cos(p) \sin(t), \sin(p) \sin(t), \cos(t)]
(%030)
(%i31)
            tu : -\sin(t) zu + \cos(t) \sin(p) yu + \cos(t) \cos(p) xu
(%031)
                    [\cos(p) \cos(t), \sin(p) \cos(t), -\sin(t)]
                           pu : cos(p) yu - sin(p) xu
(%i32)
(%032)
                              [-\sin(p),\cos(p),0]
                     cartesian def. of gradient of scalar f
(%i33)
           fgradient : diff(f, z) zu + diff(f, y) yu + diff(f, x) xu
(%i34)
(%i35)
                     r, theta, and phi components of grad(f)
(%i36)
                 fgradient_r : (ru . fgradient, trigsimp(%%))
                                        df
(%036)
                                        dr
(%i37)
                 fgradient_t : (tu . fgradient, trigsimp(%%))
                                        df
                                        dt
(%037)
                 fgradient_p : (pu . fgradient, trigsimp(%%))
(%i38)
                                        df
                                        dp
(%038)
                                     r sin(t)
```

Thus we have the gradient of a scalar field in spherical polar coordinates:

$$\nabla f(r,\theta,\varphi) = \hat{\mathbf{r}} \frac{\partial f}{\partial r} + \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial f}{\partial \theta} + \hat{\boldsymbol{\varphi}} \frac{1}{r \sin \theta} \frac{\partial f}{\partial \varphi}$$
(6.37)

#### Divergence of a Vector Field

The path here is the same as in the cylindrical case. For example, we define the spherical polar components of the vector  $\mathbf{B}$  via

$$B_r = \hat{\mathbf{r}} \cdot \mathbf{B}, B_\theta = \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} \cdot \mathbf{B}, B_\varphi = \hat{\boldsymbol{\varphi}} \cdot \mathbf{B}. \tag{6.38}$$

```
(%i44)
                             eq2 : bt = tu . bvec
            bt = -bz \sin(t) + by \sin(p) \cos(t) + bx \cos(p) \cos(t)
(%044)
(%i45)
                             eq3 : bp = pu . bvec
(%045)
                          bp = by cos(p) - bx sin(p)
                            invert these equations
(%i46)
(%i47)
         sol : (linsolve([eq1, eq2, eq3], [bx, by, bz]), trigsimp(%%))
(%o47) [bx = br cos(p) sin(t) + bt cos(p) cos(t) - bp sin(p),
by = br sin(p) sin(t) + bt sin(p) cos(t) + bp cos(p),
bz = br cos(t) - bt sin(t)
                          [bx, by, bz] : map('rhs, sol)
(%i48)
(%i49)
              tell Maxima to treat spherical polar components as
                          explicit functions of (r,t,p)
(%i50)
(%i51)
                       depends([br, bt, bp], [r, t, p])
                    [br(r, t, p), bt(r, t, p), bp(r, t, p)]
(%051)
(%i52)
                       calculate the divergence of byec
(%i53) bdivergence: (diff(bz, z) + diff(by, y) + diff(bx, x), trigsimp(%%),
                                                         scanmap('multthru, %%))
                                  dbp
                                            dbt
                                  ___
                                                  2 br
                                  dp
                                            dt
                    bt cos(t)
(%053)
                       ----- + ----- + --- + ---- + ---
                               r sin(t) r
                    r sin(t)
```

Hence we have the spherical polar coordinate version of the divergence of a vector field:

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{B}(r,\theta,\varphi) = \frac{1}{r^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left( r^2 B_r \right) + \frac{1}{r \sin \theta} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \left( \sin \theta B_\theta \right) + \frac{1}{r \sin \theta} \frac{\partial B_\varphi}{\partial \varphi}$$
(6.39)

using the identities

$$\frac{2}{r}B_r + \frac{\partial B_r}{\partial r} = \frac{1}{r^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left(r^2 B_r\right) \tag{6.40}$$

and

$$\frac{\cos \theta}{\sin \theta} B_{\theta} + \frac{\partial B_{\theta}}{\partial \theta} = \frac{1}{\sin \theta} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} (\sin \theta B_{\theta})$$
(6.41)

#### Curl of a Vector Field

Again the path is essentially the same as in the cylindrical case:

which produces the spherical polar coordinate version of the curl of a vector field:

$$(\mathbf{\nabla} \times \mathbf{B})_r = \frac{1}{r \sin \theta} \left[ \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} (\sin \theta \, B_{\varphi}) - \frac{\partial B_{\theta}}{\partial \varphi} \right]$$
(6.42)

$$(\mathbf{\nabla} \times \mathbf{B})_{\theta} = \frac{1}{r} \left[ \frac{1}{\sin \theta} \frac{\partial B_r}{\partial \varphi} - \frac{\partial}{\partial r} (r B_{\varphi}) \right]$$
(6.43)

$$(\mathbf{\nabla} \times \mathbf{B})_{\varphi} = \frac{1}{r} \left[ \frac{\partial}{\partial r} (r B_{\theta}) - \frac{\partial B_r}{\partial \theta} \right]$$
 (6.44)

in which we have used Eq.(6.41) with  $B_{\theta}$  replaced by  $B_{\varphi}$  and also Eq.(6.27) with  $\rho$  replaced by r.